Derek Conley Economic Development Director City of St. Charles dconley@stcharlesil.gov 630.762.692

Derek -

Thank you for your confidence in our team & interest in the proposal. We are extremely excited for the opportunity and this project. Below & attached, please find our responses to the questions from your initial review of the project. Should any other questions arise, or if you would like additional clarification on any of the information provided below do not hesitate to reach out.

1. The estimate budget for the proposed project is \$150 million. Can Frontier Development please provide a preliminary proforma and explain how the revenues from the project will justified the initial investment?

Please see attached preliminary program.

2. Can Frontier Development please provide a preliminary budget?

Please see attached preliminary program.

3. The proposal requests the City participate in the project via a TIF and revenue sharing financing. Does Frontier Development expect the City to issue bonds to finance the project or implement a pay-as-you go approach?

The Partnership is requesting that the City issue tax increment revenue bonds. The bonds will not be a general obligation of the City and will not constitute an indebtedness of the City within the meaning of any constitutional or statutory provision or limitation. A holder of the bonds will not have the right to compel the exercise of any taxing power of the City for payment on the bonds. The bonds shall be payable solely from incremental tax revenues pledged by the City to the bonds.

The bonds will be underwritten and sold to a limited number of institutional investors who have adequate experience to evaluate the merits and risks of the bonds. The City's issuance and sale of the bonds will be contingent on the Partnership's ability to provide evidence of financing, necessary equity, construction contracts and permitting among other customary conditions precedent.

In addition, the Partnership is requesting the ability to receive a subordinate developer note in the event the proceeds of the tax increment revenue bond are insufficient to produce a market rate of return on the project.

4. The proposal includes an underground parking garage with 133 stalls. As mentioned in the Request For Proposal document, this site is encumbered with bedrock and floodplain? Does Frontier Development see this as major obstacle to underground parking and meeting the

parking requirement? If it is determined that underground parking is not financially feasible, are there other solutions to ensure the parking requirement is met?

The floodplain is a manageable obstacle as it relates to sub-surface parking. We have anticipated the cost of removing bedrock in our budget & this site remediation would be a TIF eligible cost. We are also prepared to explore raising the elevation of the parking by adding freeboard to the building and/or raising the plaza if cost/conditions are prohibitive beyond a certain depth. We would also be open to identifying opportunities to add offsite parking via the TIF to meet the parking requirements independent of the feasibility of the proposed underground parking.

5. The development includes a 164-luxury room hotel. What would the target market be for the hotel? Why does Frontier Development believe this size of hotel would be successful?

We have engaged HVS to complete a feasibility study and will remit this to the city upon completion. Additionally, our development is designed to provide the opportunity for flexing hotel suites into residential components & vice versa to adjust to market demands.

The program for the hotel is based on our team's significant experience in the suburban hospitality market in both design & operations. Currently the driving force behind St. Charles tourism is the wedding business. Youth sports, corporate business events, and "day trippers" engaging in large scale events hosted in the City, social media & active lifestyle pursuits are also significant drivers of hotel stays in the area. Currently, 5 of the major hotels in St. Charles are projecting a 90-92% occupancy trend on the weekends through October. We believe the demand generators will be sufficient to support an additional & unique luxury hotel experience.

The level of service, a world class spa, 5,225 square foot ballroom and robust event programing on the plaza, coupled with the new retail venues will create a true destination in and of itself. The development will be holistically additive to St. Charles' tourism industry.

6. The development includes four restaurants. Please explain why Frontier Development believes four restaurants can be successful without negatively impacting the existing downtown restaurants.

The development proposes 4 unique hospitality venues. Currently, the 4 concepts are anticipated to be some version of a:

- Hotel bar & restaurant Kitchen will also accommodate banquet events and room service.
- Small throwback steak house
- Upscale rooftop cocktail lounge
- French style patisserie, focused on breakfast/lunch service with coffee and a full-service bakery. This component will have flexibility to create European styled food carts and kiosks in the plaza.

In total the proposed concepts will add around 250 dinner service seats to the existing restaurant stock in town. Of the 4 proposed concepts 3 will be managed by a group already operating a successful restaurant in town. Based on local demographics, and proprietary evidence of the ability to attract customers from multiple markets, there is currently substantial room for growth in the hospitality industry without harming established businesses in the area. As we continue to build a critical mass of elevated dining experiences, that reach will expand along with the customer base & success of all local business. The added visitor volume generated by the hospitality nature of the new development will be the primary driving force of the new venues and be additive to the existing businesses in town.

7. The project includes a 85,000 square foot outdoor plaza. How would routine maintenance and event programing be addressed? Does Frontier Development expect the City to maintain ownership of the plaza area?

The outdoor plaza is a important component of our revenue model. Accordingly, maintenance & ownership will be private, exclusive of the riverwalk. Our development team will retain a qualified operator to manage the programming and maintenance of the plaza. We will collaborate closely with the City and Business Alliance to ensure the space offers a diverse schedule of events that is inclusive of and serves the community. When there are no structured events, alfresco dining will bring energy to the space, which will remain open for public enjoyment and community gathering.

8. While the project includes a 85,000 square foot outdoor public plaza, there is not a lot of green space. Are there any options that could be explored which would expand green space?

We are open to exploring the use of features such as moveable planters to incorporate softer green elements into the space. We can also consider additional greenspace or trees around the areas directly in front of the residences & east of city hall. However, the use of hardscaping for the plaza was a deliberate design decision, harkening to iconic classical European town centers & punctuated by City Hall. It maximizes the flexibility of the space for a multitude of uses.

The Plaza is envisioned as a community gathering place, providing ample opportunities for multiple special events uses such as farmer's markets, car shows and art fairs. It also offers an ideal setting for weddings or as a stage for musical and theatrical events. All these activities become part of the fabric of the community.

9. The primary structure in the conceptual plan runs the length of Riverside Ave blocking the river views from Riverside and State Avenue. Is this a necessity to the development? Is Frontier Development open to adjustments which would provide more river views?

The design fully engages Route 64, Riverside and Cedar Ave as the main Gateway providing significant visibility to and from the Plaza with City Hall as it's central focal point.

The vertical elements of the development were intentionally oriented to the east of the site to actively engage the users of the hotel, restaurants, and plaza with the river walk & open space. These components will provide maximum opportunity for public enjoyment of the Riverwalk & open space better than a differently oriented site plan could.

We envision Riverside Ave from Main St and going east on Cedar Ave up to 3rd Ave being revitalized into a robust retail corridor. There are ample building opportunities for redevelopment to engage this activity. Patrons will be fully immersed in these areas creating significant foot traffic and interaction with the Plaza's gateway.

The ability to embed the parking deck into the hillside, along with the electric plant make the NE corner of the property the ideal area to have parking and deliveries that do not conflict with retail and pedestrian experiences. This area is not considered to be a key entry point to the development. The layout of the buildings, particularly in this corner where the hotel and residential components converge, allows for the necessary flexibility in our uses (as described in #5).

Apartment Preliminary Unit Mix <u>Plaza San Carlos</u>

Unit Mix Per Floor:

	Quantity	RSF Per Floor	
Ground Floor	7	7,635	
Level Two	16	16,490	
Level Three	28	28,750	
Level Four	28	28,750	
Level Five	28	28,750	
Total SF		110,375	
Total Units:	107	1,032	SF/Unit (Avg.)

		Ţ	Year 1
Operating Proforma (000's):		(St	abilized)
Gross Rents \$3	3.00 per SF per month @ 110,375 RSF	\$	3,974
Parking Income \$80	0.00 (219 space @ \$80.00/month @ 90%)		189
Other Income \$350	0.00 annually per unit		37
Total Revenue			4,200
Vacancy Allowance	5.0%		(210)
Net Revenue			3,990
Operating Expenses \$6,	000 per unit		(640)
RE Taxes & Insurance \$5,	000 per unit		(535)
Net Income - Apartments		\$	2,815
Ground Floor Retail - Net Rent (9,000 SF (a	2 \$40.00)		360
Total Net Income		\$	3,175
Project Value (@4.75% cap rate)		\$	63,500

Plaza San Carlo Preliminary Budget

Stacking Plan (SF)	Total SF	Apartments & Retail	Luxui	ry Hotel
Ground Floor	53,665	27,630		26,035
Floors 2-6: Hotel	245,895	127,430		118,465
Total	299,560	155,060		144,500
Project Budget (000's)	Total	Apartments & Retail	Luvu	ry Hotel
Land	\$ -	\$ -	\$	
Parking, Foundation, and Deck	14,700	14,700	*	_
Precast Parking Structure	6,600	6,600		_
Demolition & Permits	500	300		200
Hard Costs - Above Deck	90,000	46,500		43,500
Hard Costs - Performance Stage (allow.)	1,500	-		1,500
Hard Cost - Contingency 5.00% of hard		3,500		2,250
Construction Management Fees 1.00% of hard	costs 1,150	700		450
Architectural & Engineering Fees 3.00% of hard	costs 3,450	2,100		1,350
Other Consultants	300	150		150
Interior Design	500	100		400
Development Fee 3.00% of total p	project costs 4,000	2,000		2,000
Project Administration & Management 27 months	at \$30,000 810	405		405
F,F&E (amenity space; lobby) (164 keys)	5,420	500		4,920
Low Voltage & OSE	900	300		600
Loan Fees 1.00% of loan	1,050	670		380
Lender Fees & Costs 1.25% of loan	1,315	840		475
C.P. Interest Reserve 3.5% / 5.0% interest	at 50% for 24 mos. 4,400	2,500		1,900
C.P. Insurance	300	180		120
C.P. Real Estate Taxes	200	100		100
Marketing & Models / Pre-Opening	1,200	500		700
Soft Cost Contingency	1,455	655		800
Working Capital	600	300		300
HUD Required Reserves (Debt Service & IOD)	1,000	<u>-</u> _		1,000
Total Project Budget	\$ 147,100	\$ 83,600	\$	63,500
Product Comitation (0001)				
Project Capitalization (000's) Social Loop (Arts /Batsil/Stope @ 759/ , Hatal @ 659/)	\$ 103.975	\$ 62,700	\$	41 275
Senior Loan (Apts./Retail/Stage @ 75%; Hotel @ 65%)	*	* ,	\$	41,275
Sponsor Equity	22,100	4,100		18,000
TIF Contribution	21,025	16,800		4,225
Total Capitalization	\$ 147,100	\$ 83,600	\$	63,500

Hotel Preliminary Room Mix and Operating Proforma (5.0 Star Product; 4.5 Star Service)

Room Mix Per Floor: Bays Quantity % Unit RSF Total RSF Target ADR Deluxe King 40 40 24.4% 350 14,000 \$240 Leight Vision Strike 20 20 20.0% 465 10.125 \$200	Total Annual Room Revenue Potential (000's):
Bays Quantity % Unit RSF Total RSF Target ADR Deluxe King 40 40 24.4% 350 14,000 \$240	(000's):
Deluxe King 40 40 24.4% 350 14,000 \$240	
8	
T ' TZ' C ' 20 20 20 20 02 00/ 4/5 10 125 0200	\$3,504
Junior King Suite 39 39 23.8% 465 18,135 \$280	\$3,986
Junior Queen - Queen 34 34 20.7% 465 15,810 \$280	\$3,475
Executive King Suite 24 24 14.6% 535 12,840 \$325	\$2,847
One Bedroom Suite (2 bay) 48 24 14.6% 840 20,160 \$375	\$3,285
V.P. Suite (3 bay) 6 2 1.2% 1,250 2,500 \$600	\$438
Presidential Suite (4 bay) 4 1 0.6% 1,930 1,930 \$750	\$274
Total 195 164 100.0% 85,375	\$17,808
521 SF/Unit (Avg.)	\$297.50 ADR (Avg.)
Hotel Proforma: (000's)	
Gross Revenue \$297.50 ADR at 65.0% occ. \$ 11,575	
F&B Revenue (Restaurant/Bar, Banqueting, and Catering) 4,000	
Music Venue 500	
Total Revenue 16,075	
10,075	
Operating Expenses & Fees (11,092)	
Net Operating Income \$ 4,983 31.0%	6 of total revenue
EBITDA Per Key \$ 30,386	
Value at 7.25% cap rate: <u>\$ 66,445</u>	
Per Unit Value \$\\\ \begin{array}{c} \\$ \\ 405,153 \\ \end{array}	