MINUTES CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL PLAN COMMISSION TUESDAY, OCTOBER 4, 2016

Members Present: Vice Chairman Tim Kessler

Brian Doyle

Laura Macklin-Purdy

Tom Pretz Michelle Spruth

Members Absent: Chairman Todd Wallace

Tom Schuetz Dan Frio

James Holderfield

Also Present: Russell Colby, Planning Division Manager

Ellen Johnson, Planner

Chris Bong, Development Engineering Manager

Court Reporter

1. Call to order

Vice Chairman Kessler called the meeting to order at 7:05 p.m.

2. Roll Call

Vice Chairman Kessler called the roll. A quorum was present.

3. Presentation of minutes of the September 12, 2016 joint meeting of the Plan Commission and Planning & Development Committee.

Motion was made by Mr. Doyle, seconded by Ms. Macklin-Purdy, and unanimously passed by voice vote to approve the minutes of the September 12, 2016 Plan Commission and Planning & Development Committee meeting.

4. Presentation of minutes of the September 20, 2016 meeting of the Plan Commission.

Motion was made by Mr. Doyle, seconded by Ms. Macklin-Purdy, and unanimously passed by voice vote to approve the minutes of the September 12, 2016 Plan Commission meeting. Mr. Pretz abstained.

PUBLIC HEARING

5. Primrose School, Northwest Corner of Bricher Rd. and Blackberry Dr. (John Finnemore & Shodeen Family Property Company LLC)

Minutes – St. Charles Plan Commission Tuesday, October 4, 2016 Page 2

Application for Special Use to amend Ordinance 1982-Z-6 (St. Charles Commercial Center PUD)

Application for PUD Preliminary Plan Application for Final Plat of Subdivision

The attached transcript prepared by Planet Depos - Chicago Area Real Time Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

Motion was made by Mr. Doyle and seconded by Mr. Pretz to close the public hearing.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Spruth, Doyle, Pretz, Macklin-Purdy, Kessler

Nays: None

Absent: Wallace, Schuetz, Holderfield, Frio

Motion carried: 5-0

MEETING

6. Primrose School, Northwest Corner of Bricher Rd. and Blackberry Dr. (John Finnemore & Shodeen Family Property Company LLC)

Application for Special Use to amend Ordinance 1982-Z-6 (St. Charles Commercial Center PUD)

Application for PUD Preliminary Plan

Application for Final Plat of Subdivision

The attached transcript prepared by Planet Depos - Chicago Area Real Time Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

7. Motion was made by Mr. Doyle and seconded by Mr. Pretz to recommend approval of the Application for Special Use to amend Ordinance 1982-Z-6 (St. Charles Commercial Center PUD), Application for PUD Preliminary Plan, and Application for Final Plat of Subdivision for Primrose School, Northwest Corner of Bricher Rd. and Blackberry Dr. (John Finnemore & Shodeen Family Property Company LLC) subject to resolution of all staff comments.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Spruth, Doyle, Pretz, Macklin-Purdy, Kessler

Nays: None

Absent: Wallace, Schuetz, Holderfield, Frio

Motion carried: 5-0

- 7. Additional Business from Plan Commission Members or Staff
- 8. Weekly Development Report

Minutes – St. Charles Plan Commission Tuesday, October 4, 2016 Page 3

9. Meeting Announcements

a. Plan Commission

Tuesday, October 18, 2016 at 7:00pm Council Chambers Tuesday, November 1, 2016 at 7:00pm Council Chambers Tuesday, November 22, 2016 at 7:00pm Council Chambers

Planning & Development Committee
 Monday, October 10, 2016 at 7:00pm Council Chambers
 Monday, November 14, 2016 at 7:00pm Council Chambers

10. Public Comment

11. Adjournment at 7:44pm

```
2
1
          Report of proceedings held at the location of:
 2
 3
                ST. CHARLES CITY HALL
 4
                2 East Main Street
 5
                St. Charles, Illinois 60174
                (630) 377-4400
 6
 7
 8
9
10
          Before Paula M. Quetsch, a Certified Shorthand
      Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, and a
11
12
      Notary Public in and for the State of Illinois.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

Hearing - Primrose School, Northwest Corner of Bricher Road and Blackberry Drive Conducted on October 4, 2016

			ī
		3	
1	PRESENT:		
2	TIM KESSLER, Acting Chairman		
3	BRIAN DOYLE, Member		
4	LAURA MACKLIN-PURDY, Member		
5	TOM PRETZ, Member		
6	MICHELLE SPRUTH, Member		
7			
8	ALSO PRESENT:		
9	RUSS COLBY, Planning Division Manager		
10	ELLEN JOHNSON, Planner		
11	CHRIS BONG, Development Engineering Manager		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

		4
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: This meeting of the	
3	St. Charles Plan Commission will come order.	
4	Doyle.	
5	MEMBER DOYLE: Here.	
6	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz.	
7	MEMBER PRETZ: Here.	
8	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Purdy.	
9	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Here.	
10	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Spruth.	
11	MEMBER SPRUTH: Here.	
12	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, here.	
13	Item No. 3 on the agenda, presentation of	
14	the minutes of September 12th, 2016, joint meeting	
15	of the Plan Commission and the Planning and	
16	Development Committee.	
17	Is there a motion to approve?	
18	MEMBER DOYLE: So moved.	
19	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Second.	
20	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: All in favor.	
21	(Ayes heard.)	
22	MEMBER PRETZ: Abstain.	
23	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: You were here.	
24	MEMBER PRETZ: Aye.	

```
5
 1
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Item 4 on the agenda is
 2
      presentation of the minutes of the September 20th,
 3
      2016, meeting of the Plan Commission. Is there a
 4
      motion?
 5
             MEMBER DOYLE: So moved.
 6
             MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Second.
 7
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: In favor.
             (Ayes heard.)
 8
9
             MEMBER PRETZ: I'm going to abstain from
10
      that one.
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Item 5 on the agenda is a
11
12
      public hearing, Primrose School, Northwest Corner of
      Bricher Road and Blackberry Drive (John Finnemore &
13
      Shodeen Family Property Company, LLC) application
14
15
      for Special Use to Amend Ordinance 1982-Z-6
      (St. Charles Commercial Center PUD), Application for
16
17
      PUD Preliminary Plan, and Application for Final Plat
      of Subdivision.
18
             Before we begin, just a couple -- we've all
19
      been through a public hearing, and there's not a lot
20
21
      of people here, but we'll just run through our
22
      method quickly for you.
23
             First, you can present your application.
      Then we'll ask you questions and make comments
2.4
```

6 1 regarding the presentation. Then if there was an 2 audience here we'd ask them to contribute, as well. 3 Then, if we've determined that we have 4 enough evidence to make a recommendation to the City 5 Council, we'll close the public hearing. 6 Any questions? 7 (No response.) CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Anyone wishing to offer 8 9 testimony, ask questions, I'd ask you to raise your right hand and be sworn in. 10 11 (Witness sworn.) 12 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay. We'll go ahead if the applicant would like to begin his presentation. 13 14 MR. FINNEMORE: Okay. Good evening. My 15 name is John Finnemore. I'm with Primrose Schools. We're based in Acworth, Georgia. I actually work 16 17 out of my home in Madison, Wisconsin. So I came 18 running down here for the meeting. I lived down 19 here for many years and forgot how bad traffic can 20 be at 6:00 at night, but I'm glad I made it. 21 Primrose is not particularly well known in 22 the Chicagoland area. We have four operating 23 schools, just opened our fourth in Long Grove. 24 have one in Naperville, South Elgin, and Algonquin.

So we have this particular site under contract, and we also have a location in Plainfield that we're pursuing in entitlements.

We're basically a franchised childcare company, education-based. We have a very substantial education department that, in fact, is going through a curriculum rewrite right now, and, you know, we have about 330 schools across the country. As I mentioned, we're franchised, so our operators will be local residents; they'll be members of your community operating the school.

You can see on the plan and probably in your packets we're proposing a roughly 12,000-square-foot building. At capacity DCFS will license it for about 184 children, which would equate to about 25 staff members at that capacity, you know, at any one time.

The layout reflects 43 parking spots. I believe your code requires 42, which is 3 1/2 stalls per thousand square feet. So we're right on the required number of parking spots. Primrose targets about 42 to 46 stalls, so your code pretty much nails childcare. We run into extremes on both ends that will have one per classroom; others might have upwards of 70 parking spots, but you're right on

what we find works very well for us.

Basically, the remainder of the site after the building and the parking lot will be a fairly expansive playground area. You can see we divide it up into four different age group playground settings that are separately fenced and then have age appropriate playground equipment within those individual playgrounds.

We believe we're proposing a very attractive building design. It comes very close and I'll get -- when I say "close," it's not there to meet

St. Charles' requirements on materials, and projections, and recessed spots in the building.

You know, we obviously believe that this is a very good location for us, underserved area for quality childcare, and we're very anxious to have an opportunity to construct and operate a school in St. Charles.

The three main things we're requesting tonight is we're amending the existing PUD to allow childcare as a special use. We're also asking for preliminary plan approval under the PUD, and then, additionally, we're asking for final subdivision plat approval.

This is basically just -- I'm guessing it became a remnant parcel of that overall development that for whatever reason never became platted. So this will officially make it a lot within that subdivision, but it's not dividing it up in any way; it's just making it of record via a plat.

I mentioned that we were very close to meeting, you know, all the requirements of the City code. The three items that we're asking for deviations on as part of this request, the first one is from the 8-foot-wide foundation planting strips around the building. Now we're providing that in front of the building, and we certainly can do that because it's between the parking lot and our building, but the three other sides of the building that would be required to have those planting strips are all playground. Consequently, not a very good mix with children and low-rise plantings.

We feel with the large expanse of green area around it and then our perimeter plantings that we are supplementing the required plantings in kind of a taller, different measure.

That's probably the biggest variation we're requesting, and we feel we've got a pretty good

reason for it, and that is that low-rise plants and children don't necessarily mix, and it wouldn't be a long-term solution if we were to put plants there.

The second item we're requesting deviation on is our front facade does not quite meet the projection or recess that's at least 3 feet deep for 20 percent of the facade.

What we're trying to request your approval of in lieu of that is we've created porch areas that meet the 20 percent requirement. And the reason we're asking for that as opposed to actually projecting the building out or recessing it in is the majority of that front is classrooms, and it would basically create bump-outs or indents within our classrooms, and we've got a very specific layout of our need package and the little kind of centers that are created within each individual classroom where projections — I mean, it's not an insurmountable problem, but it creates difficulty in operating those individual rooms.

So we feel -- we're not trying to get out of spending money or altering the design of our building, but we're trying to do it through porches that aren't -- they project the same distance, but they're

not -- you know, they're overhangs without the full, you know, depth of the wall that comes out the 3 feet.

The third deviation that we're requesting is kind of really caused by just the configuration of the site, and that is that our main entrance does not face Bricher Road. And the main reason that is the case is because we're not allowed access onto Bricher; we have to access this internal loop road. And in order to do that we've kind of had to orient the building backwards to the street so we could get the parking lot in front.

If we tried to put the parking lot right in front, you'd have to have an access that immediately you'd come in the loop road and have to take a very quick left into the parking lot, which would probably cause the transportation department and engineers to dislike that. Additionally, we would then have a dead-end parking lot. Whereas, with this turn design it allows us to have two drives.

Actually, I don't know what happened -Ellen pointed this out in our submittal, but we had
originally laid this out where I had the two drives
aligning with drives across the way, kind of created
a T intersection. That's one of the comments, and

we've said we'll make revisions just to make a better flow out of the Goodyear parking lot so it's more of a T intersection there.

Which reminds me of another item that Ellen had mentioned to us, and that is because the back of our building is facing the road, we have added some features and improved our finishes, but we've also agreed that we're going to add a couple gables to the roof back there to help break up, you know, the look of that larger mass of building on the back. But it does have like an appendage of two classrooms that stick out that helps break up the roofs, too, so we'll put a gable on each side of that which will add more interest to the back elevation of the building.

Again, we're kind of -- we're facing in but we're also facing the other businesses within the development, and the fact that, you know, there's not access onto the public road kind of causes us to turn the building around and face it, you know, kind of backwards from what you anticipate we would do to lay this building out.

I think that is pretty much my presentation, and I'd be happy that answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Great. Thank you.

13 1 Thanks very much. 2 Plan Commission? 3 MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: What is the actual address of the -- that the property is going to be? 4 5 Because all it says is Bricher and --6 MR. FINNEMORE: I don't know if that's 7 been --MS. JOHNSON: The address will be assigned 8 9 by the building commissioner and fire department. Usually, the address is based off of the street that 10 provides access, so it will probably be a Bricher 11 Road access. 12 MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Well, the reason why 13 I ask is because I know that across that little 14 15 access road, Urban Counter and the liquor store, if the fire department actually puts the address in, 16 17 it's interesting because they couldn't find them 18 when they went to do the inspection because they 19 have a Bricher Road address, but they're really on 20 Lincoln Highway. 21 So this being -- especially this being a 22 daycare facility, I mean, that -- that's a concern of mine if it has a Bricher Road access -- or 23 24 address that -- I'm hoping that they can find you.

14 MR. FINNEMORE: I think it would be a little 1 2 irregular, but I don't think it would be unwarranted 3 to -- I'm sure there's city requirements for putting 4 your address on the front of the building. It may be beneficial to add the address on the southeast 5 6 corner of the building so that as you're driving by 7 you can see it. I think that would be helpful. I think, too, when the emergency response 8 9 people get addresses, they also get the name, you 10 know, of the business. 11 MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Right. 12 MR. FINNEMORE: Which I think they're going to see a lot of playground equipment right way. 13 It's a good point. I think the address on 14 15 the back of the building may be an added feature that could really help us out there. 16 17 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Brian. MEMBER DOYLE: First of all, what grades 18 19 will this facility service, grade levels? 20 MR. FINNEMORE: Well, we call ourself a 21 private preschool, but effectively we're licensed by 22 DCFS, which per most zoning codes and, of course, 23 licensing makes us a childcare facility. 2.4 So we start at 6 weeks old and technically

15 go up to 12 years but not teaching school. That's 1 the afterschool program. 2 3 MEMBER DOYLE: I see. MR. FINNEMORE: Depending on demand, we 4 5 rarely open with a private kindergarten, but 6 occasionally -- 50 percent of the time we grow into 7 a private kindergarten, but that would be the only true grade we teach. It's basically infant/toddler 8 9 care and preschool. 10 MEMBER DOYLE: Maybe I should have said 11 ages. So there's afterschool care for ages up to 12 say 12? MR. FINNEMORE: Correct. 13 MEMBER DOYLE: Not so long ago when my wife 14 15 and I were using a facility like this relatively nearby, in the peak hours when parents are picking 16 17 up and dropping off their children, particularly older children, the facility would set up cones in the 18 parking lot and have employees out there, teachers, 19 you know to assist with pickup and drop off, and the 20 21 cars would just sort of have a lane they would come 22 into without parking. And I was thinking about 23 that, recalling that as you were giving your 24 presentation, and it made me wonder about traffic

16 flow during peak hours, ingress and egress into the 1 facility. 2 3 MR. FINNEMORE: Sure. MEMBER DOYLE: So my first question is, is 4 5 it typical at your facilities that you do something 6 like that during pick-up and drop-off hours? 7 MR. FINNEMORE: We don't. I worked for a number of childcare companies when I lived in 8 9 Chicago. I started in -- I'm getting old, too -the late '80s with KinderCare, and they kind of 10 started it and most more national-type chains have 11 12 followed suit where they require parents to park their car, bring their child in, and effectively 13 sign them in. 14 15 We have a keyed entrance system, and then they bring them to their classrooms. So we don't create 16 17 sort of the kiss-and-ride lane that you might see at an elementary school, and there's two, probably 18 three reasons for it. The first one being is that 19 20 kind of handoff of custody where there's no question 21 that your child was brought to the school, and then, 22 secondly, just the basic nature of -- like I said, 23 we start at 6 weeks, infants, toddlers. Obviously, 2.4 they need to literally be brought in.

One of the additions that we've made to our buildings that our franchisees ask for a lot is a car seat room because people carry their sleeping infant in a car seat, and they don't want to wake them up until they hand them off, and then they leave the car seat. They may come back and pick up their own car seat, or a husband and wife share the same car seat. It's amazing how many kids come in, especially with the rear facing car seats, the smaller ones.

Then really the third reason is to avoid that extra staffing and laying out of the parking lot that facilitates the drop-off lane. To really get true drop-off lanes -- I recently worked for the school district in Madison, and we're redoing some parking lots in some existing schools, and the length that's required to really get a good drop-off lane is extensive. It really -- a site like this, I mean, you could in theory push the building as far south as possible and probably loop a lane in there, but it would be a single one-way lane without a bypass, and, you know, one person stays too long and then the whole lane backs up. You've got to have that bypass.

So with sites -- and this is a fairly substantial site as most childcare sites go, but with space being limited, the need to drop off and actually bring the child in, you know, just kind of becomes the third reason why we do it.

MEMBER DOYLE: Well, I think -- so thank you.

I think that's important.

I'm glad to hear that that's your answer because -- not that I'm opposed to kiss-and-ride lanes, but given the layout that you have, and given, you know, that that use would basically consume parking spots, it would raise a number of questions about traffic circulation into and out of the parcel that -- you know, I think increasingly we have to be mindful of these peak hour traffic issues that happen around schools with the ways that people travel and do transportation today.

One thing just maybe more as a comment, one of the things I like about this site is there are multiple points of ingress and egress, not just the access drive off of -- is it Blackthorn? -- the main one off Bricher there closest to 38. In the morning near that BP, traffic can back up quite a bit waiting for the light change there.

So I don't know whether it's signage or just advice that you gave to your patrons, but the site provides amenities for them to exit out onto 38 or go out by Taco Bell farther downstream where the queue hasn't backed up. So that's just something that I --

MR. FINNEMORE: If I could just add to that, I think that's another kind of reason and justification for the orientation of the building. Because the way the exits are now, you know, there's a lot of stacking distance if you're just trying to go directly down to Bricher, but, also, you've got a lot of options, and it draws you to those options. Because there's a couple ways out of the parking lot, you're getting onto a very low traffic road, it just allows you to use those options.

MEMBER DOYLE: I just have a couple of procedural questions as much for staff as for the applicant.

You know, a lot of the questions that staff raised you indicated your openness to addressing those concerns. There were a couple of things I wanted to ask.

One is from a procedural standpoint, this

20 isn't -- I'm unclear. Is this an application to 1 2 amend the PUD to allow for a permitted use, or is it 3 an application to amend the PUD to allow for a 4 special use and then tack onto that a special use 5 application immediately as part of the same 6 application? 7 MS. JOHNSON: It's an application to amend the PUD to add a daycare center as a permitted use 8 9 for this site. 10 MEMBER DOYLE: As a permitted use? MS. JOHNSON: Yes. 11 MEMBER DOYLE: So it's not -- because the 12 staff -- it says "Special Use PUD Amendment." 13 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. So the application 14 15 itself is special use for PUD. It's the application that's required to amend the special use for a 16 17 planned unit development. MEMBER DOYLE: Okay. Henceforth, after we 18 19 approve this then daycare facilities will be a 20 permitted use in the PUD throughout the PUD? 21 MS. JOHNSON: Only at this specific location. 22 MEMBER DOYLE: Only at this specific parcel? 23 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. 24 MEMBER DOYLE: Okay. The second question I

had had to do with the -- this is related to the landscaping, but there's an interesting comment that said the applicants request a deviation from building foundation landscaping due to ADA requirements to have sidewalks directly adjacent to the building as well as the location of play areas.

So does that mean that in other instances that foundation landscaping interferes with an ADA requirement, or is it just in this case?

MS. JOHNSON: I believe that the requirements in the zoning ordinance for foundation landscaping, that landscaping has to be within -- is it -- 16 feet of the side of the building. So in theory you could have the sidewalks around the perimeter and then put the foundation landscaping on the other side of the sidewalk, but with the location of the play areas here, that's where the issue is coming from.

MEMBER DOYLE: I see. And that's your point about 4-year-olds running through the flowers?

MR. FINNEMORE: Exactly. It's funny. It took me a couple years of building childcare centers to realize there's many unique things about them mostly due to the size of the occupants and so forth, but one of them from a planning standpoint is

you pretty much as a rule have a sidewalk all the way around a childcare center because each room has an exit. They're not necessarily required if you sprinkle the building but they make sense. I mean you take out classrooms individually; you don't want to interrupt another classroom by passing through, and just for a fire we can get out of buildings in 40 seconds because we've got a door and 15 kids and two teachers and they're out.

And it makes site design interesting -- and that exit, once it's there, it has to be ADA. So it's tricky and you've got to do them just right so they meet the maximum 2 percent grade without bringing water back in the building. You know, because you don't normally pave -- people do stoops and things like that to get that separation from the natural grade. Well, you can't do that in the ADA, so the natural place for foundation plantings is right next to the building. Well, that's where the sidewalk needs to be. So then it needs -- I didn't know we could go all the way out as far as 16 feet, but then it puts it right in the middle of the playground and now it's short lived.

MEMBER DOYLE: I could bring all sorts of

23 minutia, but I don't think they're really material. 1 2 So that addressed my major questions. 3 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: All right. I have a 4 couple questions, and I think this might be for staff. You mentioned that planting -- talking about 5 6 eliminating them around the building, the foundation 7 plantings, but you also commented that you did extensive planting on the perimeter of the site. 8 9 I guess the question to staff is, I'm looking at it, and I can't tell by looking at the 10 11 drawings -- page 46 of the line drawing -- but at 12 any rate, is that excessive to the ordinance what's been done on Bricher Road? 13 MS. JOHNSON: The number of trees along 14 15 Bricher is definitely in excess of the requirement. We've actually asked them to reduce that a little 16 17 bit because it's a little tight in there with the number of trees that they're showing. So those 18 19 trees are in excess of the requirement. 20 They also have more impervious -- or 21 pervious area. So they have more space in general 22 with the large lawn. 23 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I guess my question to 2.4 staff would be, is there additional landscaping

24 equal to that might be required in the foundation 1 2 plantings, or have you not studied that? 3 MS. JOHNSON: We didn't really calculate 4 that out. 5 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay. I want to talk a 6 little bit about the articulation. I understand 7 that you have classrooms, and the front wall of the building will have classrooms on the other side, and 8 9 having little divots and places for kids to hide probably isn't the best idea. But when you're 10 talking about these porches, are you -- I was trying 11 12 to get a picture of it to see if I could see what it's like actually. 13 You said there's an overhang -- that the 14 15 roof will overhang the front of the building and then be held up by columns or something? I don't 16 17 quite get what you're talking about. 18 MR. FINNEMORE: I knew you were going to ask 19 me if the columns are there, and I am not 100 percent 20 sure on that. 21 MEMBER DOYLE: Either one, either of those 22 two elevations have the porches on the two far ends. 23 MR. FINNEMORE: I don't know if you can see 24 them from the side or not, but they're on the far

25 ends, and you can see those kind of pilasters coming 1 2 out, and I'm assuming those are columns as opposed 3 to continuous back to the building. I'm trying to 4 get a side view that might answer that for me. 5 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: A west elevation or an 6 east elevation. 7 MR. FINNEMORE: I'm trying to see if I can see it on there. 8 9 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: It's not on there. 10 MEMBER DOYLE: Wait, wait. Go back up. CHAIRMAN KESSLER: It looks like a shed roof. 11 12 MR. FINNEMORE: That's at the main entry, the shed roof. 13 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: So they're not shown on 14 15 the other elevations? MR. FINNEMORE: I'm not seeing it up there. 16 17 I think we'll have to obviously help answer that question for you by delineating it more clearly, but 18 if I look at the color elevations, the two columns 19 on both ends there -- well, there's four; two there 20 21 and two there. That is not on our prototypical 22 building. 23 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Staff, have you looked 2.4 at this?

26 1 MS. JOHNSON: It looks like the one column 2 is a little darker. I think that's the porch 3 projection. 4 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: We just can't see it on 5 that drawing. 6 They've done the calculation -- I mean, he 7 said they were 20 percent shy of requirement. 8 that with these porch elevations? 9 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. The requirement is the projections cover 20 percent of the facade, and I 10 haven't calculated that out to see if that would 11 12 equal the 20 percent. CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I guess my question, 13 Ellen, is were these added after you discovered that 14 15 they hadn't met the 20 percent? MS. JOHNSON: No. They had proposed these 16 17 at the outset. 18 MR. FINNEMORE: We proposed them to meet the 19 percentage but in a different not quite letter of 20 the code. But right here, that's the column. 21 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Oh, there you go. 22 MR. FINNEMORE: We zoomed in. Thank you 23 very much. 2.4 Okay. And I just wanted CHAIRMAN KESSLER:

27 to be clear. You had talked about -- you had 1 2 mentioned you talked to Ellen about aligning the drive. Your intent is to align the drives with the 3 4 drives across? 5 MR. FINNEMORE: We had an original plan, and 6 then I adjusted the placement of the building a 7 little bit, and they moved the alignment. Until she -- in fact, when I read her comments, oh, yeah 8 9 we aligned them and then I looked and we moved them. 10 So, yes, our intent is to revise to realign that. 11 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Finally, what about 12 signage? We talked a little bit about the address and how --13 14 MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: It's a monument sign, 15 isn't it? 16 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Where is this monument 17 sign? 18 MR. FINNEMORE: That's right down -- right here down at the intersection. 19 20 MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Oh, that's good. 21 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: The problem is these 22 screens are too small, you guys. We need 50-inch 23 screens. I can't see. 2.4 MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Right here.

28 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: But I'm looking for 1 dimensions. 2 3 And the reason I'm asking about this is for a number of reasons. First of all, it is a daycare 4 5 center and it should be -- I guess my question would 6 be, is this monument sign equal to what is allowed 7 or less? And I think that's important. MS. JOHNSON: I believe it's less. There's 8 9 a rendering of it in the packet. MR. FINNEMORE: I was just trying to figure 10 out where that is here in the packet. 11 12 MS. JOHNSON: It's 7 feet tall, I believe. And 10 feet is permitted, so it's shorter than the 13 14 maximum. 15 MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: I just think the address should be on there. 16 17 MR. FINNEMORE: We could definitely do the 18 address on there. 19 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I think that's a point well taken. I don't think we realize how extreme 20 21 the comment that Laura made is. The Pride Pantry is on the other side of this property all the way on 22 23 Route 38, and if that's a Bricher Road address, 24 who knows.

29 1 MR. FINNEMORE: I think this is a 2 significantly better place than my idea of putting 3 it on the building. We can put it on both sides of 4 it kind of right below there or right above, whichever makes the most sense, right above the "Primrose 5 6 School" or below the "Leader in Early Education and 7 Care." Probably above because we've got some base plantings there that are required. 8 9 So we could add, I would say probably like the font size of the "St. Charles" on that sign, you 10 know, whatever the address is, Bricher Road. 11 12 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: And then, finally, are you the franchisee? 13 MR. FINNEMORE: No, I'm not. I work for the 14 15 corporation. CHAIRMAN KESSLER: You work for Primrose? 16 17 MR. FINNEMORE: Yes. CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Have there been 18 franchisees chosen? 19 20 MR. FINNEMORE: They have not formally 21 signed their paperwork yet, but they're supposedly 22 within days of signing that. 23 Usually, most of our development is -- a 2.4 franchisee will sign up for a trade area market, and

30 1 then we look for a site. We're doing eight schools 2 this year where we're proactively finding the sites, 3 and then our franchise team is sourcing the 4 franchisees. The two we're doing in Chicago --5 because, quite frankly, four schools in Chicago is 6 crazy, but we've got 70 in Dallas Fort Worth. We're 7 very underrepresented here. The franchisees just signed up for the Plainfield site two weeks ago. I 8 9 met the franchisees for St. Charles. They're just 10 getting their paperwork -- getting ready to write us a check and sign their paperwork. So they're --11 12 last I heard, you know, ready to sign. Frankly, it's a better scenario for them in 13 a lot of ways because if they -- if we go find a 14 15 site for them, the minute we start spending on it, they're on the hook for it, where here we're 16 17 spending the money, and if for some reason I got denied or something fell apart, they don't sign up 18 19 and we've got the bills. So I think they're playing 20 that game right now, which is what I would do. 21 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Very wise choice. 22 Okay. That's all I have. 23 MEMBER SPRUTH: I guess just a quick question. 24 Have you reached out to your --

31 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Taco Bell? 1 2 MEMBER SPRUTH: -- neighbors in the rear of 3 the property? Have you reached out to any of the 4 adjacent property holders? 5 MR. FINNEMORE: I met the Goodyear guy the 6 day I was up here to look at this site. He said, 7 "Oh, what are you going to build up there." was about as close as reaching out. We made our 8 9 notice to them, but we haven't had any sit-down discussions with them. 10 You know, that's probably more what we 11 12 encourage our franchisees to do as the operator who is going to become the neighbor who knows them. 13 That's not something I would necessarily do as the 14 15 corporation representative. But, yes, they'll definitely get to know 16 17 their neighbors as the project progresses through 18 the approvals. 19 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: The residences on the south side of Bricher Road? 20 21 MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Is that Walgreen's? 22 Oh, it looks like there's a hair salon. 23 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: A doctor's office. 2.4 MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: An insurance company.

```
32
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay. Anything else?
 1
 2
             (No response.)
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: If we feel that we have
 3
 4
      enough information to close the public hearing, I'd
 5
      entertain a motion.
 6
             MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: I would just like to
 7
      state that I think the address should be on the west
      side. I just want to go on the record for that.
 8
9
             MR. FINNEMORE: Unless staff doesn't allow
      me to do it, I certainly would put it there.
10
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Is there a motion to
11
12
      close the public hearing?
             MEMBER DOYLE: I move to close the public
13
14
      hearing.
15
             MEMBER PRETZ: I'll second.
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: All in favor.
16
17
             (Ayes heard.)
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay. So let's move to
18
      Item No. 6, the meeting portion of our agenda. And,
19
20
      oh, it's the Primrose School, Northwest Corner of
      Bricher Road and Blackberry Drive (John Finnemore &
21
22
      Shodeen Family Property Company, LLC), Application
23
      for Special Use to Amend Ordinance 1982-Z-6
2.4
      (St. Charles Commercial Center PUD), Application for
```

		33
1	PUD Preliminary Plan, and Application for Final Plat	
2	of Subdivision.	
3	MEMBER DOYLE: So I'll make a motion.	
4	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay.	
5	MEMBER DOYLE: I move to approve recommend	
6	for approval applications for special use to amend	
7	Ordinance 1982-Z-6, application for PUD preliminary	
8	plan and application for final plat of subdivision	
9	for Primrose School, northwest corner of Bricher	
10	Road and Blackberry Drive subject to resolution of	
11	all staff comments.	
12	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Is there a second?	
13	MEMBER PRETZ: I'll second it.	
14	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Any discussion on the	
15	motion?	
16	(No response.)	
17	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Seeing none, Doyle.	
18	MEMBER DOYLE: Yes.	
19	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz.	
20	MEMBER PRETZ: Yes.	
21	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Spruth.	
22	MEMBER SPRUTH: Yes.	
23	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Purdy.	
24	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Yes.	

```
34
 1
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, yes.
 2
             And that concludes Item No. 6 on the agenda.
 3
             MR. FINNEMORE: Thank you.
 4
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER:
                                We've recommended
 5
      approval to the planning and development committee.
             No. 7 on our agenda is additional business
 6
 7
      for the Plan Commission members or staff.
 8
             (No response.)
 9
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: It's a very lively group
      tonight. Anybody have any questions about anything
10
      coming up?
11
12
             Next week -- I'm sorry -- next meeting on
      the 18th, Russ?
13
14
             MR. COLBY: Yes. We have Prairie Center
15
      scheduled.
16
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay.
17
             MEMBER PRETZ: What do we have?
             MR. COLBY: Prairie Center.
18
19
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Are we expecting a
20
      presentation from the applicant?
21
             MR. COLBY: Yes.
22
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: So we'll expect an
23
      audience, as well?
2.4
             MR. COLBY: I think so. It will be a public
```

35 hearing. 1 2 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: It will be a public hearing? 3 MR. COLBY: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: That will be an interesting 5 one. All right. MR. COLBY: Just for the Plan Commission's 6 7 information, we'll be posting -- some of the plans are already posted on the website on the project 8 9 page. We'll be posting additional information on there over the next few days. So I will be sending 10 out an e-mail of information that's available for 11 12 you to review in advance of the packet posting next week. 13 We're anticipating at this point that the 14 15 item will not be concluded in a single public hearing because we have some items that will need to 16 17 be addressed as follow-up items after the hearing date. So we're not anticipating that the Plan 18 19 Commission would conclude that item on the 18th. 20 CHAIRMAN KESSLER: All right. Will there be 21 anything else on the agenda that evening? 22 MR. COLBY: No. And we are proceeding with 23 the meeting date of November 1st for a Plan 24 Commission meeting which is what we discussed at the

		36
1	previous meeting. We have some items we're scheduling	
2	for that date.	
3	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: What about the meeting on	
4	the 22nd?	
5	MR. COLBY: At this point we're not intending	
6	to schedule anything for that date.	
7	MEMBER DOYLE: So do you already have an	
8	idea of when the Prairie Center will come back?	
9	MR. COLBY: It would likely be the first	
10	meeting in December.	
11	MEMBER DOYLE: Okay.	
12	MEMBER SPRUTH: And then we were also	
13	talking about November 15th. So there's only one	
14	meeting in November then?	
15	MR. COLBY: At this point, yes, we're only	
16	planning on the meeting on the 1st. We have not yet	
17	canceled the meeting on the 22nd, but we're	
18	attempting to not schedule any items for that day.	
19	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: All right. Very good.	
20	I'd ask for public comment but	
21	MR. FINNEMORE: I'm good.	
22	CHAIRMAN KESSLER: The public is good.	
23	Paula, you good?	
24	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm good.	

Hearing - Primrose School, Northwest Corner of Bricher Road and Blackberry Drive Conducted on October 4, 2016

```
37
1
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I'd accept a motion to
 2
      adjourn.
 3
             MEMBER DOYLE: So moved.
 4
             MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Second.
 5
             CHAIRMAN KESSLER: This meeting of the
      St. Charles Plan Commission is concluded.
 6
 7
              (Off the record at 7:44 p.m.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

38 CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER 1 2 3 I, Paula M. Quetsch, Certified Shorthand 4 Reporter No. 084-003733, CSR, RPR, and a Notary Public in and for the County of Kane, State of Illinois, the 5 officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were 6 7 taken, do certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings, that 8 9 said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 10 supervision, and that I am neither counsel for, 11 related to, nor employed by any of the parties to 12 this case and have no interest, financial or 13 otherwise, in its outcome. 14 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 9th day of 16 17 October, 2016. 18 19 My commission expires: October 16, 2017 20 21 22 Notary Public in and for the 23 State of Illinois 24