

**MINUTES FROM THE MEETING OF THE ST. CHARLES CITY
COUNCIL
HELD ON MONDAY, APRIL 4, 2016 – 7:00 P.M.
CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS
2 E. MAIN STREET ST. CHARLES, IL 60174**

1. **Call To Order By Mayor Raymond Rogina at 7:14 P.M.**
2. **Roll Call.**
Present: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis
Absent: None
3. **Invocation by Rita Payleitner.**
4. **Pledge of Allegiance.**
5. **Presentations**
 - Special presentation of the City of St. Charles Fire Department Citizen Award to Mr. Glenn Kennedy and Ms. Amalia Tavajian.
 - Presentation of the 2016 St. Patrick's Day Parade Winners:

**Best of Show
Blue Goose Market**

Children's Showcase
St. Patrick's Catholic Preschool & St. Patrick's Catholic School Girl Scouts (Tie)
Girl Scouts of Wildrose Elementary
Daisy Troop #99 & Girl Scouts of Northern Illinois - Pottawatomie Service Unit (Tie)

Non-Profit Showcase
Knights of Columbus
St. Charles Public Library
Congregational United Church of Christ

Business Showcase
Landmark Farms Inc.
Smallcakes
Abby's Breakfast & Lunch

- Presentation of a Proclamation declaring April 10 – 16, 2016 Week of the Young Child in the City of St. Charles.
6. **Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve the Omnibus Vote.**
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED

- *7. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to accept and place on file minutes of the regular City Council meeting held on March 21, 2016.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *8. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve and authorize issuance of vouchers from the Expenditure Approval List for the period of 03/04/16 – 03/27/16 in the amount of \$4,251,998.41.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

I. New Business

- A. Motion by Stellato, seconded by Krieger to approve a Resolution 2016-23 providing for the adoption of the City of St. Charles Annual Budget for Fiscal Year 2016/2017.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED

- B. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Turner to approve the revised Article V, Section 5.1, and Appendix A, Salary Ranges, to replace the existing Article V, Section 5.1, and Appendix A within the agreement between the City of St. Charles and Teamsters Local Union No. 330 for the period May 1, 2014, to April 30, 2018.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED

- **Denice Brogan, Acting HR Director**
I would like to recommend approval of the article. The collective bargaining agreement approved by the members of the Teamsters Local Union No. 330 and the City Council in 2014 included a wage re-opener for the second two years of the contract. That wage reopener has been negotiated and ratified by the union. It provides for a 2.5% wage increase on 5/1/2016 and 5/1/2017. I recommend approval of the agreement.

II. Committee Reports

A. Government Operations

- *1. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a Massage Establishment license LeVisage Spa LLC, located at 475 Dunham Road, Ste. 2A, St. Charles.
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis
NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)
- *2. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a Resolution 2016-24 Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to Approve Award of 2016/17 Stone/Gravel Bid to C. H. Hager Excavating, Inc.
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis
NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)
- *3. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a **Resolution 2016-25** Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk of St. Charles to Approve Award of 2016/17 Hauling Bid to S. Schroeder Trucking, Inc.
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis
NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)
- *4. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a **Resolution 2016-26** Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk to Approve Award of 2016/17 Concrete Reclamation Bid to Ozinga Ready Mix Concrete, Inc.
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis
NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)
- *5. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to waive the bid procedure and approve a **Resolution 2016-27** Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk to Accept the Blanket Cable Quote from Anixter, Inc. for Okonite Cable for Fiscal Year 2016/17.
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis
NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)
- *6. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a **Resolution 2016-28** Authorizing the Mayor and the City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to Approve the Award of a Hydraulic Telescopic Articulating Aerial Device to Altec Industries, Inc., and Approve the Trade-In of Replaced 2005 IHC 4300 Vehicle #1924.
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis
NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)
7. Motion by Stellato, seconded by Bessner to postpone motion to approve a **Resolution 2016-29** Authorizing the Mayor and the City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to Approve the Award of a 2017 Freightliner 114SD 6x4 66k Chassis, With Sewer Cleaning

Equipment, to E J Equipment, Inc., and Approve Trade-in of Replaced 2012 Vactor 2112, Vehicle #1859.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED

- *8. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a **Resolution 2016-30** Authorizing the Mayor and the City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to Approve the Award of a 2016 International 7400 SFA 6x4 to Rush Truck Centers and Sell Replaced 1998 International 49004x2 Vehicle #1808.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *9. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a **Resolution 2016-31** Authorizing the Mayor and the City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to Approve the Award of a 2016 Ford F-250 Pick Up, With Snow Plow, to Currie Motors Fleet and Sell Replaced 2004 Ford F-350 SD Vehicle #1804.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *10. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve **Ordinance 2016-M-11** Reserving and Authorizing the Transfer of Volume Cap in Connection with Private Activity Bond Issues and Related Matters.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *11. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to accept and place on file minutes of the March 21, 2016 Government Operations Committee meeting.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- 12a. Motion by Lemke, seconded by Turner to approve the final decision of the disposition of payment for the 2015 outstanding invoice due to the City of St. Charles for services rendered to Pride of the Fox, Inc. (Riverfest).

Mayor Rogina

This motion would accept the request to forgive payment and reduce the amount of funding in subsequent years funding based upon anticipated funding level of \$27,000. The total cash paid to Festival of the Fox event would be \$15,578.38.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

ABSTAIN: Bessner
MOTION CARRIED

Discussion:

Mayor Rogina

Before we entertain a motion, while we have had conversations about this in committee, there has been no formal action taken. I want to make sure that before any motions take place that there is extensive conversation on a, b, and c. First to Staff, to clarify the consequences of three separate items.

Commander Mahan

The purpose of the discussion is to a) consider the disposition of the 2015 invoice; and b) the 2016 festival for the Fox event. The invoice of the 2015 event, there is still an outstanding request from Riverfest to forgive the invoice payable for the 2015 event. That invoice is \$11,421.62. It is still payable as of March 29, 2016. As shared in prior meeting, the options regarding the invoice are:

1. Deny the request and seek payment in full amount.
2. Accept the request and forgive payment.
3. Accept the request, forgive the payment and reduce the amount provided in subsequent years.

There is \$27,000 budgeted for this in 2016/2017 for this event. If the Council decides to fund the event at the \$27,000 level, we have sufficient funds to do so. If the Council decides the third option and decides to forgive the 2015 invoice, and fund the 2016 event for the difference, the \$11,421.62 amount would be utilized from the 2016/17 budget to pay the invoice from the 2015 event. And \$15,578.38 would be paid to Pride of the Fox festival in support of the 2016 event. For the 2016 event, Pride of the Fox incorporated has been renamed and revised event this year. The event will be held June 9-June 12. It will be limited to two venues. The first being Pottawatomie Park and will feature dragon boats, water-ski show, family activities including inflatable and live entertainment. The second being the carnival. Windy City amusements will be set up in municipal lot G, and O. The kiddy ride area of the carnival is proposed to move to lot O. To facilitate that the following parking lot closures are proposed, municipal lot G and lot O both closing Wednesday June 8 at 6:00 am to Sunday June 12 at 11:00 pm. Municipal lot P by the Police Department to allow parking for on duty police and fire. This will be scaled down due to estimated attendance. To accommodate carnival equipment, Cedar Street between north Second Street and Route 31 and north Third Street there will be no parking on either side from Wednesday June 8 at 6:00 am through Sunday, June 12 at 11:00 pm. Parking will be restricted around the park at Pottawatomie Park including North Avenue and Second Avenue. There will be no alcohol sales or service at this event. And they have planned for a family with special needs night at the carnival on Thursday night. In the packet, because of rebranding and renaming the event, the downtown St. Charles event review from Downtown St. Charles Partnership is included. The 2016 estimate costs: the Police Department Costs would be approximately \$1100. That would cover police presence at the carnival if necessary and pedestrian control. Public works would be \$2673.57 would cover the no parking signs and parking lot closure signs. For a total of \$3,773.57. The total cost for the 2015 event was \$22,843.25. The event sponsor making a request via the special events application process for the lot closures.

Mayor Rogina

Thanks to Commander Mahan for the summary.

Alder. Stellato

I know this whole process is going through transition from the team last year to this year. There is a new shot in the arm for the better. The organization has decided to downsize which I agree with. We would like to promote this, since there is no alcohol, as a true family event. I support this type of event going forward. What do we do about the amount owed how do we work that out. I would say I like option three is the best compromise. In order to forgive that we have the \$27,000 in the budget. We allow the group to have the \$15,000 we try things out this year and see how it goes. We look at this as a way to test waters and see how it's received.

Alder. Silkiatis

We have two choices, we can fund the event and take the money for last year. Or we can cancel it. I am not in favor of cancelling it. If we do cancel it, we have no way to get any money back. But if we have it, we have a chance of recovering money in future years. I am willing to go ahead and take the money out next year's budget.

Alder. Payleitner

I agree with downsizing and like that its family friendly. I am a little leery of totally cancelling out debt. Wondering if there is a way we can we keep on books for down the road. I did have a concern, originally when this conversation started it was going to be a dragon boat race only event to secure the spot in Pottawatomie. Now it has grown to two venues and six lot closures. What's the reason for the growth?

Venessa Del A Soto, 1610 Howard St., St. Charles

It has not been expanded it's in Pottawatomie, it is the dragon boat races, it is a small modest amount of activity to keep them in the park. It is still run by one beverage vendor and one snack vendor to draw the crowds back into town. The only addition was the carnival. The necessity for the carnival is, in order to conduct the park district; we must prepay the park district.

Alder. Payleitner

Thanks for clarification. I know you said that the dragon boats was a wash. But you are having the carnival to pay back the park. The park is still getting that figure to us, it will be between \$3000 and \$4000. At the same time, I want to make a slight correction in a statement that was made from the Partnership that this was a way to raise funds to pay for the 2015 debt. It is not a way to repay debts it's to repay the park district to run the event to make good with the City.

Alder. Lemke

In favor of the carnival, it's well attended. So I am supporting that.

Alder. Turner

I would take option three and I think they should have the carnival. We have given a lot other organizations in town a second chance with a lot more money, if the carnival will allow them to break even, I am all for it.

Alder. Lewis

Agree with the sentiment on the Council.

Alder. Gangel

Much of what has been said. I don't think we should forgive, but option three is best option right now.

Alder. Krieger

I would support option three, but I am not really in favor of the carnival, I don't feel like its attached. But I understand the problems. So I will support option three.

Alder. Bancroft

I agree.

Mayor Rogina

To Staff, if there's a motion for option three, would that cover 12A and 12B?

Chris Minnick

Yes, for 12A, there is \$27,000 in the budget for 2016/17 that was just approved. However, \$11, would be appropriated back into last year's budget to satisfy the invoice that Pride of the Fox has payable to City. The remaining \$15,000 would be used for the new event.

Mayor Rogina

So that would take care of 12A and 12B. If you read item three, it speaks for itself. Your yay or nay vote supports item three.

- 12b. Motion by Lemke, seconded by Turner to approve a request for funding Pride of the Fox for Festival to be held June 9-12, 2016.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

ABSTAIN: Bessner

MOTION CARRIED

- 12c. Motion by Stellato, seconded by Turner to approve "no parking restrictions" for named streets/avenues, parking lot closures and use of amplification equipment for the Festival of the Fox to be held June 9-12.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

ABSTAIN: Bessner

MOTION CARRIED

B. Government Services

- I. Motion by Lewis, seconded by Bessner to approve moving the electrical switchgear on IL Route 31, 100 feet to the north in an amount not to exceed \$45,000.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Bessner, Lewis

NAY: Krieger, Gaugel, Silkaitis ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED

Motion by Krieger, seconded by Silkaitis to amend motion to approve moving the electrical switchgear on IL Route 31 100 feet to the north in an amount not to exceed \$45,000 and where the cost will be split between the Oaks Homeowners Association and the City of St. Charles.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Krieger, Gaugel, Silkaitis

NAY: Stellato, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Bessner, Lewis

ABSENT: 0

MOTION FAILED

Discussion:

Alder. Krieger

I have great deal of problem with this motion. I think mistakes were made on both sides of the fence. I think the electrical division was lax in not contacting the home owners association and at the same time I think the HAS was a little lax and did not inform the City when they were getting to work in the easement which is where this box is located. My concern is, if we move the box for these people free of charge to them, that everybody that comes up with a box in their yard is going want the City to put it on someone else's easement or yard. I think the only way to avoid setting a precedent is if we share the expense 50/50 with HAS. I would like to amend the motion to read that the cost be split evenly between the homeowners association and the City of St. Charles.

Mayor Rogina

To clarify amendment, you want it to say, instead of the amount not to exceed \$45,000, it should say the cost of said expenditure be split 50/50 between the HAS and the City. I need a second on that.

Alder. Silkiatis seconded the motion.

Mayor Rogina

After discussion, we will vote on the amendment. Discussion on amendment?

Alder. Lewis

While I appreciate Alder. Krieger's concern but I think this is a different scenario in that it is not moving to a different property its all the Oaks property. It is not going to a neighbor's yard. I do think they have proper permits, their project had been started two years prior and were in constant communication with the City and fulfilling all requests that the City asked of them. Like I said last week it was an unfortunate situation and I think it is a unique case when they have been trying to do a \$2million project that will enhance the beautification as you enter that south gateway coming north. I think we do have a responsibility to correct this situation and learn from it that we need to be in better communication when we go forward with major projects like this.

Alder. Payleitner

I asked this question the last time this came up and Mr. Bruhl, correct me if I am wrong. If they had put the box in the place they wanted to move it originally it would still cost the same amount of money. So moving of the box, there is not a whole lot of extra expenditure if it had been moved to that spot. Is that correct?

Tom Bruhl – Electric Services Manager

Correct, the location it is at is an engineering best practice location where there was a splice box. So that extension of 100 feet more north would have been a double back where we still would have to go to the original location where it is today, take it to the new location and the double back to the junction box.

Alder. Payleitner

So if they had done this originally it is not an added expense? Maybe a little bit.

Mr. Bruhl

It would have have increased the cost of the project the same amount.

Alder. Lemke

Do we have any idea of how the HAS would cover half of the \$45,000?

Frank Esposito, 64 White Oak Circle

The HAS would not be in position to fund any portion of this. We just invested about \$2.1 million in renovating the whole complex. The Oaks is 35-40 years old now. It was our intent to beautify property but primarily what started this was that when it was originally envisioned, the City allowed the developer to develop without putting in a storm water system. Over years, through erosion we started to get water into our basements and garages. So we decided that we had to do something. Also there was erosion down into route 31 and Roosevelt Street. There was virtually no soil and we had trouble keeping grass growing. So we put in storm water system. We rebuilt our street and driveways. We put in all new sidewalks that are ADA compatible. We terraced in to eliminate erosion. We put in a new fence around the complex. Our intent was to increase property values. But at the same time, we are the gateway to the City from the south side. We are the first thing they see in St. Charles. Yes we had a motive to make ourselves better, but we also felt we were helping the City. The sediment that was going across the street was causing them problems. This is eliminated because we put in a detention basin there. We worked with the Army Corps of Engineers to make sure we did it correctly. We personally feel that we have a lot of skin in this game at this time. Had someone from the City come to us at the very beginning we would say the same thing we are saying now. Put it on our property but not there. There was a way to get around it. But no one took the time to come and talk to us. We are always willing to talk. During this entire project we had to go through the permits and inspections. No one decided to tell us that you would make this improvement and it was going to affect us. I will be honest; we don't have the money to do it. Our reserve funds can't do it. The proposal that was presented about screen at the current location, beautiful as it is, unfortunately we don't have the money to make the rest of the streetscape comparable to that. And unfortunately the front of it was composed of burning bush, which is deciduous. The leaves drop in the fall and winter and you would see the big green box. The rest of it was really nice. We have agreed that if you move the box to the north end, we will pay for landscaping to block that off. Because now it is moved to area that is further away from the unit. You have a letter from owner of unit who has said it was fine with her. I wish we had reserve funds to say we can do it, but we don't, I am sorry. I don't think it was our error. We should have been contacted by City.

Alder. Gaugel

There has been this easement that has been brought up twice did we have knowledge that this was going to be done on the easement and did we approve that? Not the utility work, but the modification to the property. Was that something we approved at a City level? We knew that all the modifications and the tiering and the retaining wall was going to be done on the City's easement?

Mr. Bruhl

It dates back to 2011-2012, some plans were provided. The electric utility was still overhead along Route 31 in terms of poles. At that time we had no vested interest in easement because there existing facilities that were not being impacted and our pole line was between the sidewalk and the street. Knowing what I know now, I probably would say please don't do anything in the first 10 feet of the easement behind the sidewalk because we might need the space for underground in the future. In 2011 I didn't have that foresight. And only in 2015 we redesigned the project from overhead to underground. So

did we know they were working in the easement, I think we did and building and code did.

Mark Koenen

The only other piece I would offer to that, after consulting with Rita Tungare our Community Development Director, I inquired if there were any permits applied for in regards to the landscaping or retaining walls, etc.. There were no permits necessary because they were not permanent walls. I would guess there would be a permit for fence, which parallels the sidewalk on the outside of it. Fencing is a required permit in the City of St. Charles. It was likely that was the only permit received. I think the question Alder. Gaugel asked was, was the City familiar with this project. Yes, I think everyone in the City knew there was a project that was going on that included streets, storm water, etc. Was there a plan that we had, I cannot say that I saw it.

Alder. Turner

We were told that at one point the Oaks would come to us for an SSA. We were going to fund that. They decided not to do that and they decided to do that on their own. So we knew something was going on but they decided to do it on our own.

Mr. Esposito

That was the original plan. However the expense of the SSA was unbelievable. The legal fees were \$70-80K. To the best of my knowledge, every permit and inspection that was required by the City was done. So, some portion of the City knew exactly what we were doing. Maybe some portion of the City didn't know, but that is not an Oak's problem. That is a City communication problem.

Alder. Lewis

I understand the concern regarding the precedent. But this has so many unique situations in this case. I don't have a concern on that.

- *2. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a **Resolution 2016-32** Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to award the Bid for Legacy Substation Civil Work and Cable Removal to Archon Construction.
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis
NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)
- *3. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a **Resolution 2016-33** Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to award the Bid for Electric Duct and Streetscape Elements to Intren.
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis
NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)
- *4. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a **Resolution 2016-34** Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to increase the Maximum Contribution for the Homeowner Sewer Assistance Policy to \$3,500.
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis
NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *5. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve an **Ordinance 2016-M-12** Authorizing Amendment of Title 3 "Revenue and Finance", Chapter 3.38, "Yard Waste User Fee", Section 3.38.010 "User Fee" of the St. Charles Municipal Code.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *6. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to waive the formal bid procedure and approve a **Resolution 2016-35** Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to approve a Contract for the Resurfacing of South Riverside Avenue and Various Public Works Parking Lots to Schroeder Asphalt Services.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *7. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve street and parking lot closures and use of amplification equipment for the 2016 Fox Valley Marathon.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *8. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a **Resolution 2016-36** Requesting the Closure of Route 64 and 31 for the Memorial Day Parade on May 30, 2016 from 9:45 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *9. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve parking lot, street closure and use of amplification equipment for St. Charles Cruise Nights.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *10. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve street closures for the Annual Farmers Market from June through October.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *11. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve street and parking lot closures and use of amplification equipment for the Fine Arts Show.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *12. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve the use of City Plazas/Property and use of amplification equipment for STC Live.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *13. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a Tri-City Ambulance Resolution 2016-01 Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to approve the Tri-City Ambulance Association Budget in the capacity as lead agency for TCA.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *14. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a **Resolution 2016-37** Authorizing the City of St. Charles, as Lead Agency, to Execute an Agreement Between Tri-City Ambulance and Paramedic Services of Illinois, Inc. for Paramedic Services on Behalf of Tri-City Ambulance.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

- *15. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to approve a **Resolution 2016-38** Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to approve the Award of Contract to Foster Coach for the Purchase of Ambulance Replacement for Tri-City Ambulance.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

C. Planning and Development

- *1. Motion by Krieger, seconded by Gaugel to accept and place on file minutes of the March 14, 2016 Planning & Development Committee Meeting.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

D. Executive Session

Motion by Krieger, seconded by Bessner to enter into Executive Session to discuss Pending Litigation at 8:30 pm.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft,
Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Lewis

NAY: 0 ABSENT: 0

MOTION CARRIED

Motion by Payleitner, seconded by Lewis to return from Executive Session at 9:15 pm.

VOICE VOTE

UNANIMOUS

MOTION CARRIED

E. Additional Items from Mayor, Council, Staff, or Citizens

Mayor Rogina

The Mayor read the following letter with a brief update:

The Krausz Companies purchased the Charlestowne Mall two years ago with the intention of redeveloping the center as a traditional enclosed mall. Since that time, a team of highly qualified mall leasing specialists have been working diligently to bring a vibrant mix of tenants back to the mall. However, the retail environment continues to evolve as consumers' shopping habits change, with tenant desires closely following the demonstrated preferences of their customers. The current configuration of the center does not lend itself to the contemporary concepts that both retailers and shoppers desire in today's market. To be successful today, a new development must incorporate retail, dining, entertainment and residential uses. The Krausz Companies has concluded that a successful rebirth of the Charlestowne mall must reflect that market demand, and that the developers must take the project in this new direction.

The vision moving forward is to develop a mixed-use project which will build on the strength of the existing retail anchors, Von Maur, Carson's, and Classic Cinema theater by adding a residential component that reflects the values and high standards of the neighborhood and the community. The Krausz Companies has been working with City staff to further develop plans that the City of St Charles and the adjacent residential community can embrace. As these plans come together we will share them with the community by presenting a revised Concept Plan to the City Council in the near future.

As announced earlier this year, Cooper's Hawk Winery will be opening its newest location at The Quad next year. Construction is scheduled to commence in July of this year with the grand opening slated for Spring of 2017. The addition of Cooper's Hawk has generated further interest in the project from other food and entertainment users, with additional tenants in final lease negotiation and announcements expected in the near future. In addition, Carson Pirie Scott has announced that it is adding furniture to its current merchandise mix at this location, and is developing plans to remodel its store shortly thereafter. Classic Cinemas will also be undergoing a remodel in the near future, including but not limited to replacing its existing seats with state-of-the-art larger seats, and installing a new public entrance.

Alder. Lewis

Reminded all to read the Boys in the Boat book as part of the community book club.

F. Adjournment

Motion by Payleitner, seconded by Lewis to, to adjourn meeting

VOICE VOTE

UNANIMOUS

MOTION CARRIED

Meeting adjourned at 9:15 P.M.

Nancy Garrison
Nancy Garrison, City Clerk

CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY OF ORIGINAL

Nancy Garrison
Nancy Garrison, City Clerk

