

**MINUTES
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL
GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING
MONDAY, MAY 22, 2017, 7:00 P.M.**

Members Present: Chairman Payleitner, Aldr. Stellato, Aldr. Silkaitis, Aldr. Lemke, Aldr. Turner, Aldr. Bancroft, Aldr. Gaugel, Aldr. Vitek, Aldr. Bessner, Aldr. Lewis

Members Absent: None

Others Present: Ray Rogina, Mayor; Mark Koenen, City Administrator; Peter Suhr, Director of Public Works; Chris Adesso, Asst. Director of Public Works - Operations; Karen Young, Asst. Director of Public Works – Engineering; AJ Reineking, Public Works Manager; Tim Wilson, Environmental Services Manager; James Keegan, Police Chief; Joseph Schelstreet, Fire Chief; Rita Tungare, Director, Community & Economic Development; Bob Vann, Building & Code Enforcement Division Manager

1. Meeting called to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. Roll Call

K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Present
Silkaitis: Present
Payleitner: Present
Lemke: Present
Turner: Present
Bancroft: Present
Gaugel: Present
Vitek: Present
Bessner: Present
Lewis: Present

3.a. Electric Reliability Report – Information only.

3.b. Active River Project Update – Information only.

3.c. Tree Commission Minutes – Information only.

4.a.1. Recommendation to approve an Ordinance Amending Title 6 of the St. Charles Municipal Code, Entitled “Animals”, Chapter 6.04 “Definitions” by adding Section 6.04.055 “Beekeeping” and Chapter 6.12 “Regulations”, by adding Section 6.12.085 “Beekeeping”.

Bob Vann presented. At the May 8 Planning & Development Committee meeting, the Committee discussed the proposed Bee Keeping Regulations and recommended modifications to the Ordinance. The Committee also provided direction to Staff to work with the City attorney to determine if violations to the Beekeeping Ordinance can be addressed in the Nuisance Abatement Provision of the City code.

You are being asked to adopt a change to Chapter 9.45 Nuisance Abatement by adding Violation of Beekeeping. Staff has reviewed the Nuisance Abatement Ordinance with the City attorney and determined that if there were enforceable standards in place, then the Nuisance Ordinance could be used for beekeeping. Due to the structure of this Ordinance, Building & Code Enforcement Division Staff will be responding to all complaints received by the City.

The Nuisance Abatement Ordinance has a very specific process. The proof of any violation that exists is the responsibility of the City to prove, whether it’s active or not. After two verified complaints of beekeeping standards, the owners are notified as a potential chronic violator. In reviewing the standards with the City attorney, we took a look at all the standards that have to be measurable. We cannot determine where a bee came from, so this Ordinance does not address bee stings or swarming. After the third violation in a 12 month period, a property is declared a chronic nuisance property. Then there is a formal meeting with the property owner and a plan of action is implemented. Should there be any variation from the plan, the property is then found in violation and fined \$750. The City also has the option that if we feel warranted, we can go to the Circuit Court and ask for the beehives to be removed by court order.

Aldr. Turner: So if someone gets stung or there is a swarm, that doesn’t qualify as a nuisance?

Mr. Vann: Correct. We cannot go into a court of law and provide any evidence of where that bee might have come from.

We are also asking to amend Title 6. If approved, those standards will be placed in that section of City Code. Like the previously proposed Ordinances, single family zoning districts are going to be the only districts that this standard will be placed in. There was conversation about manufacturing districts or commercial districts, and if those present themselves and we can come back and present the Committee with those variations of this Ordinance.

Chairman Payleitner: So this is just applied to single family homes; it's not forbidding bees in other places.

Mr. Vann: This Ordinance will only allow beekeeping in single family homes. The property has to be owner occupied. The first complaint we are going to ask for their registration with the IL Department of Agriculture which is a requirement. As stated before, the maximum number of hives on the property is two and the minimum distance from the lot line has been changed from 25 to 20 feet. The only location hives are allowed is in the rear yard and a water source for the hives must be provided. The property must have signs posted stating hive activity.

An addition to the previous Ordinance is that hives must be screened from neighboring properties by fencing or vegetation at a height of six feet. The Committee felt fencing along the property line was burdensome; however, there was concern from the school district that some type of screening was needed to protect school children. If vegetation is planted that is not six feet in height, we will allow a couple years for the vegetation to reach the required height. The last provision of the Ordinance is the same as before; no hives on roof tops or balconies.

Aldr. Lewis: If the hives are only allowed at single family residences, does that mean there can be no hives at a community garden? I am thinking about the Illinois Extension office where they have their gardens for experimental things with a master gardener.

Mr. Vann: That will not be allowed with this Ordinance, but we can always revisit that.

Aldr. Gaugel: There is zero grandfathering in this; anyone who currently has a hive has to abide by everything in this Ordinance, is that correct?

Mr. Vann: That is correct; there is no grandfather clause in this Ordinance.

Aldr. Gaugel: So the six feet of vegetation or fence, it could be a foot away from the hives if the hives are 20 feet off the property line. Is that correct?

Mr. Vann: Correct.

Aldr. Gaugel: That is a complete non-starter for me; I don't understand that one.

Aldr. Stellato: We're not talking about fencing the whole yard, just in front of the hives. We want something to stop a ball from hitting it if kids are playing by it.

Aldr. Gaugel: Wouldn't it be easier to restrict putting beehives on any property adjacent to a school yard? To me, that's the answer.

Aldr. Lemke: Isn't there also a possibility that kids in the neighborhood may get curious about this and come into contact with the hives?

Mr. Vann: Attractive nuisance is another reason the screening was proposed.

Chairman Payleitner: This is a Nuisance Ordinance which means you are not out policing beehives, it only comes into effect if someone complains.

Mr. Vann: Correct; there is no licensing or permits.

Aldr. Lewis: I'm still not sure how this will solve the problem. The problem we have is that people are claiming they are getting stung by bees, and this will not stop anyone from getting stung by bees and it will not be a nuisance if they are stung three times in a year.

Mr. Vann: That is correct.

Aldr. Lewis: Even if the bee flies up over the fence and into the garden of someone else's house and stings them – nothing is going to happen to that beekeeper with all these things in place?

Mr. Vann: Correct. That is not a violation of this Ordinance. There is just no way that we can determine that bee came from that location.

Chairman Payleitner: I see it as not so much policing what we have, but looking forward, because there will be more beehives eventually and we want to make sure we are covering that as well.

Aldr. Gaugel: Anyone who wants to set up a beehive has to abide by what we have here if this is passed tonight, correct? If they don't, then the nuisance clause will kick in. Two weeks ago we heard testimony from Mr. Lekaba who said he cannot abide by the setback because his backyard is too small and he has an existing hive. So if one of his neighbors were to complain, he would in essence have to remove that hive and it hasn't been a problem up to this point. That is another example that this will restrict some of the existing beekeepers within City limits. Is that accurate?

Aldr. Stellato: No, that's not. The comment was that he has a 50 ft. yard, so he wouldn't be able to do 25 ft. setback and we thought a 20 ft. setback was a good compromise; most properties in town are well over 40 ft. deep.

Chairman Payleitner: Apparently there have been no complaints about current beehives except for the one. If there were, you would make them aware of the Ordinance and give them an opportunity to comply?

Mr. Vann: Correct.

Aldr. Bessner: If there are complaints with a beekeeper who hasn't complied, is it cut and dry after three incidents or does this turn into a much larger court type of scenario?

Mr. Vann: Once the complaint has been filed, it's there until they take care of the violation. It will still be out there even if they go to sell their house and don't take care of it.

Chairman Payleitner: We have two motions here; one is to add Beekeeping to our Nuisance Ordinance and the other is to allow it to be enforceable.

Mr. Vann: Correct.

Chairman Payleitner: We have had ample opportunity for public discussion, and with that, can I have a motion for 4.a.1 to approve an Ordinance Amending Title 6 of the St. Charles Municipal Code entitled "Animals" Chapter 6.04 Definitions by adding Section 6.04.055 Beekeeping and Chapter 6.12 Regulations by adding Section 6.12.085 Beekeeping.

Aldr. Stellato: So moved.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Second.

No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Kristi, please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Turner: No

Bancroft: No

Gaugel: No

Vitek: No

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: No

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Motion failed 5 to 4 by roll call vote.

Chairman Payleitner: There is no need to vote on the second one because the first one failed.

Aldr. Bancroft: Yes, we have to vote; it still has to go to Council.

Aldr. Stellato: I make a motion to approve item 4.a.2.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Second.

Chairman Payleitner: So we have a motion to approve an Ordinance Amending Title 9 of the St. Charles Municipal Code entitled Public Peace, Morals and Welfare, Chapter 9.45 Nuisance Abatement by amending Section 9.45.020 Definitions by adding item 21 Violation of Beekeeping. Kristi, please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Turner: No

Bancroft: No

Gaugel: No

Vitek: No

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: No

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Chairman Payleitner: We are going to move this forward to Council and see where it goes.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Motion failed 5 to 4 by roll call vote.

4.a.2. Recommendation to approve an Ordinance Amending Title 9 of the St. Charles Municipal Code, Entitled “Public Peace, Morals, and Welfare”, Chapter 9.45 “Nuisance Abatement” by amending Section 9.45.020 “Definitions” by adding Item 21, Violation of Beekeeping”.

Bob Vann presented. Discussion and vote included in previous item.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Motion failed 5 to 4 by roll call vote.

5.a. Presentation of APPA Reliable Public Power Award – Information only.

Presentation by Kevin Gaines of IMEA. As a member of the APPA Board and CEO of IMEA, I am here tonight to thank the City of St. Charles for all the work that you have done in the APPA’s RP3 award, a.k.a. Reliable Public Power Provider. This is being given to the City of St. Charles Public Work Electric Department because you have a program to keep on top of things and plan for the future.

Chairman Payleitner: Thank you, I share your sentiment; our Electric Department is certainly worthy of your recognition.

No further discussion.

5.b. Presentation of the Red Gate Water Tower Steel Structure of the Year Award by CB&I.

Tim Wilson presented. The Red Gate Water Tower is an important addition to the City of St. Charles infrastructure and provides initial water storage for fire protection during peak water usage periods and is also the only water tank on the east side so it balances out the water distribution system.

I would like to introduce Bill Pagel from Chicago Bridge and Iron to present the award.

Mr. Bill Pagel: Chicago Bridge and Iron is honored to have you select us for this project. We would like to thank the City of St. Charles, the Water Division and EEI for supporting us.

No further discussion.

5.c. Presentation of CMOM Public Communication Plan – Information only.

Tim Wilson presented. City Council has previously approved a contract for flow monitoring. We are coming up on our second phase which puts City Staff, Engineering crews and contractors in front of the public. Because of that, we want to take the opportunity to keep a high level of customer service and Public Works wants to educate residents on what the CMOM Program really is, how the program is managed by the EPA and how this will improve the overall sewer system.

The Engineering team and mutual City departments has put together this public communication plan; there is no action requested of you tonight, we would just like input on our communication plan.

Jeff Freeman: My name is Jeff Freeman of Engineering Enterprises, 52 Wheeler Road, Sugar Grove.

Presentation by Jeff Freeman.

Aldr. Lewis: If they find something on private property, are they required to fix it?

Mr. Wilson: Right now, they are just collecting data. After all the data is collected, we will come back to City Council to determine how we want to handle situations with private lines.

Aldr. Lewis: So we would have the decision if they would have to fix it or not?

Mr. Wilson: We want to gather the data first. We may not have that many problems, but we will want to prioritize and go after the worst ones first.

Next month we will be bringing to you the Phase two contract.

Aldr. Lemke: Phase two includes smoke testing?

Mr. Wilson: Yes; smoke testing, additional televising and dye testing.

No further discussion.

5.d. Recommendation to award the Bid for Water and Wastewater Treatment Chemicals to Viking Chemical for a Two Year Period Beginning May 1, 2017 through April 30, 2019.

Tim Wilson presented. The City of St. Charles Water and Wastewater Utility uses a variety of chemicals for both our water and wastewater treatment process. In an effort to gain efficiencies and prices, we combined both utilities into one bid. The City did receive four bids on May 11. Viking Chemical was the lowest unit cost vendor. The bid award was based on the city's chemical usage for our general treatment chemicals.

The reason for unit bid pricing was the City's chemical usage can vary based on our inflow. The bid proposal also included a second year contract; the option will be exercised at the sole discretion of the City and approval of the fiscal year budget. You will notice the second year award was increased by \$355,000. That increase is due to the Phosphorus Treatment requirement whereby the EPA mandates the City to have a backup chemical called Terra Chloride for phosphorus removal.

Staff recommends awarding water and wastewater treatment chemicals to Viking Chemical for a one year period beginning May 1, 2017 through April 30, 2018 and then to authorize Staff to exercise the optional second year period from May 1, 2018 through April 30, 2019 based on the FY18/19 budget approval and contractor performance.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Due to the requirement by the EPA, the cost is going up by \$350,000. Is that a one-time cost?

Mr. Wilson: We let bidders know that all our usages are based off averages. Since the phosphorus removal system is under construction, we based it on a maximum amount. All bidders were aware of the maximum amount. Right now, we won't have to use that much, but we are pushing for it for the safety of our staff.

Aldr. Silkaitis: It says it is a back-up chemical. I take that to mean you only use it if you have to.

Mr. Wilson: No; we will have to use it on start-up and then we dial in the plant and reduce the amount we are actually going to feed.

Aldr. Silkaitis: So this will be a recurring charge every year?

Mr. Wilson: Yes.

Aldr. Gaugel: Are we guaranteeing a minimum usage on it?

Mr. Wilson: No, we made it quite clear to all contractors that there is no guarantee minimum or maximum.

Aldr. Gaugel: I think this is fine the way it is. If we get into year three, I would base our usage on option year two and possibly pull that out and bid it on its own because that's 90% of your cost.

Mr. Wilson: We got pricing prior to going out to bid and none of the contractors increased their price.

Aldr. Gaugel: I'm surprised only two companies bid on this. Is this something that is really not used at all in the industry?

Mr. Wilson: There are really only six chemical companies in the area that compete for water and wastewater treatment. Right now we are under contract with Hawkins, whoever sharpens their pencil a little better is the one who wins the award. You can see its tight bidding.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Silkaitis, seconded by Aldr. Stellato. Approved by voice vote.

Motion carried

5.e. Recommendation to approve Acceptance of Electric Easement at Fox Island Square (12 Indiana Street).

Tom Bruhl presented. Last year we modified the pole system that runs north off of Indiana Street behind Fox Island Square to relieve a badly leaning pole. We took the high voltage lines off the top and put them underground and we worked with Shodeen to give us an easement.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Silkaitis, seconded by Aldr. Stellato. Approved by voice vote.

Motion carried

5.f. Recommendation to approve Budget Addition to the Expense Budget in the Electric Utility to Offset New Business Projects.

Tom Bruhl presented. In the event that planned projects start, we need money in the Expense budget to execute the work to get the jobs completed.

Staff recommends approval of a budget addition in the amount of \$525,000 for developer reimbursable work.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. Approved by voice vote.

Motion carried

5.g. Presentation of Solar Plant Status – Information only.

Kevin Gaines presented: Kevin Gaines, IMEA, 3400 Confier Drive, Springfield, IL. I'm here to give a report on our solar project. The design is completed, paperwork is in place, all agreements have been signed and materials have been ordered. Our target date for substantial completion is August 31.

No further discussion.

5.h. Recommendation to approve Ordinance Updates to City of St. Charles Code 13.08 - Electricity.

Tom Bruhl presented. There are two changes related to updating to the National Electric Safety Code. One of the changes is a recommendation by the City attorney to remove vague language with respect to liens for nonpayment.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Bessner, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved by voice vote.

Motion carried

5.i. Presentation of LED Lightbulb Giveaway Program – Information only.

Kevin Gaines presented. Over the last six years, the IMEA has contributed \$120,000 to energy efficiency grants in the City of St. Charles. That has funded a number of City based projects as well as commercial and industrial projects. Over those six years, we have given back about \$920,000 and we just exceeded 10 million kilowatt hours per year, which is the equivalent of electric savings in 1,000 homes.

We recently purchased 33,000 LED light bulbs and about 4,350 of those light bulbs have been delivered to St. Charles. They will be distributed using volunteers from America in

Bloom which I think it a wonderful opportunity to show your citizens that you care about their involvement in the community. They are also available in Utility Billing for people to pick up.

Aldr. Lemke: Are the LED bulbs more efficient than CFL's?

Mr. Gaines: Yes; they last ten times longer than a regular incandescent bulb.

No further discussion.

5.j. Recommendation to approve the Use of City Property at 1st Street Plaza for the Installation of Decorative Hanging Lights.

Chris Adesso presented. I'm here to ask you to grant permission to use City property for the purpose of installing decorative hanging lights at the existing First Street Plaza. The Downtown St. Charles Partnership, in cooperation with the St. Charles America in Bloom Committee has been working on a project with local businesses in the plaza to gain a common goal of installing decorative lights.

The lighting is planned to be installed utilizing entirely private funds. The only thing they are asking the City for is to use the property to hang the lights on existing street light poles and for the City to donate the energy to power the lights which Public Works estimates to be minor; about the same as it costs to power our holiday decorations.

If there are any questions, I would be happy to answer them.

Aldr. Lewis: This is going to be year round, and it's a three year contract, correct?

Mr. Adesso: Correct; they are expected to last about three years. The product they are proposing to install is more durable than Christmas lights and at \$2,100, they are relatively inexpensive.

Aldr. Lewis: They are a bigger bulb, not the mini Christmas lights. There is such a positive response with the Christmas lights, everyone wanted them left up. So these are designed to be left up year round. This is an America in Bloom initiative and we are having a fundraiser that will be in the plaza at McNally's.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Lewis, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.k. Recommendation to award the Bid for Pest Control Services.

AJ Reineking presented. The City utilizes a specialty maintenance service to provide spider and nesting insect removal and deterrent services at its facilities and public spaces near the river in the downtown area.

The scope consists of removing webs and nests and physically applying a natural deterrent material to surfaces, railings, spindles, window wells and other exterior surfaces to prevent the pests from returning and re-nesting in the area.

This process is repeated three times throughout the year with periodic inspections conducted more frequently. The City has utilized Earth Pest Control of Oswego to perform these services in the past. Prior to bidding this work, we called a number of exterminators and pest removal contractors, and none indicated that they offer such a service. It was no surprise that when we bid the project, Earth Pest Control was the sole bidder. Earth Pest Control performs similar work for several of our neighbors on the Fox River including Geneva, Batavia, and Aurora.

It is my recommendation to award the bid for Downtown Pest Control Services to Earth Pest Control in the amount not to exceed \$43,010.

Chairman Payleitner: Kristi, please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Turner: Yes

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved by roll call vote.

Motion carried

5.l. Recommendation to award the Bid for Lab Roof Replacement and Rehabilitation.

AJ Reineking presented. The asphalt shingle roof on the lab building at the east side Wastewater Treatment Plant is 24 years old. The roof has required several leaks to be repaired in recent years, and was budgeted for replacement in FY17/18.

A bid package was prepared to replace the roof with a 30-year architectural asphalt shingle. We received four bids to perform this work with Select Construction Group of Elgin, IL being the lowest responsive, responsible bidder. Select provided several municipal references for similar work that all came back very positive.

It is my recommendation to award the bid for Lab Building Roof Rehabilitation to Select Construction Group, LLC in the amount of \$29,247.00.

Aldr. Gaugel: Did we go over their bid in detail? Are there any red flags?

Mr. Reineking: No, there were no red flags.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Gaugel, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.m. Recommendation to award the Bid for FY 17/18 Sidewalk Lifting Program.

AJ Reineking presented. The City's sidewalk maintenance program is very comprehensive, including concrete lifting, cutting, and replacement operations.

Concrete lifting, in the municipal sense, includes boring a hole through a sidewalk square and pumping a concrete slurry under the panel to lift the sidewalk to a level position.

We received three bids to perform the work this year with two companies, B&B Concrete Lifting of St. Charles, and J&F Concrete Lifting of Aurora, being tied for the low bid at a unit rate of \$2.00 per square foot raised. B&B is based in St. Charles, they were our vendor last year and proved to be very responsive.

It is my recommendation to award the bid for Sidewalk Lifting Services to B&B Concrete Lifting at a rate of \$2.00 per square foot of sidewalk lifted, not to exceed the budgeted amount of \$35,000.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. Approved by voice vote.
Motion carried

5.n. Recommendation to approve a Purchase Order for Concrete Materials.

AJ Reineking presented. Each year, the City's Purchasing Division issues bids for commodity materials. Generally, we have stone, asphalt and concrete materials all hitting the street at about the same time. For the last few years, the suppliers of concrete materials have not responded to the bid and when we've called to solicit pricing, Ozinga

and Prairie have been the only one to offer their materials because we're not pouring roads or driveways; we are generally pouring small sections of curbs and sidewalks and we might make 5 stops with one truck to pour those sidewalks and curbs, which means we tie up their trucks longer than jobs that have one pour and done.

This year, VCNA Prairie submitted the lowest price quote. They'll be delivering to the City from their Batavia location.

It is my recommendation to authorize a Purchase Order with VCNA Prairie, LLC for concrete materials in the submitted unit rates, not to exceed the budgeted amount of \$60,280.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Lemke, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved by voice vote.

Motion carried

5.o. Recommendation to approve Design Engineering Agreement for the 7th Avenue Creek Culvert Replacement at John Deutsch Drive and Culvert Repairs at 7th Avenue.

Karen Young presented. This is the design process for the next phase of the 7th Avenue Creek project. As you may recall, as we went through the Master Plan process there were two locations identified within our existing culvert infrastructure that are deteriorated to a point where they need to be maintained. These project locations are the culvert under the Public Works entrance on 7th Avenue and the culverts on 7th Avenue just north of Fern.

These two locations are proposed to be rehabbed and lined. We are requesting approval of the design contract. This was identified as our first priority as part of the 7th Avenue Creek; regardless of whether we move forward with that project, this work needs to take place.

The work included in the agreement generally includes our survey, geotechnical investigation and design plan and specifications which is all preparation for the bidding process. HR Green was selected as the City's consultant for the original 7th Avenue Creek project and this work will be a continuation of the services based on the original consultant selection. The scope of work and fee were negotiated with HR Green in the amount of \$131,221. We were recently notified that the City is receiving \$71,000 from the Kane County Riverboat Grant Funding for this portion of the work.

It is my recommendation to approve the Design Engineering Agreement for the 7th Avenue Creek Culvert Replacement at the Public Works Entrance and the Culvert Repairs at 7th Avenue with HR Green in the amount of \$131,221.

Aldr. Turner: When you expect this to be constructed?

Mrs. Young: We are going to be finishing the design plans through this fiscal year, so as long as we move forward, the construction could take place next week. Right now we are setting this up for bidding in the spring.

Aldr. Lemke: Will the culverts that are being replaced improve the flow?

Mrs. Young: Yes, it will have more capacity at that location, but we will still have other restrictions.

Chairman Payleitner: Kristi, could you call a roll, please?

K. Dobbs:

Turner: Yes

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved by roll call vote.

Motion carried

6. Executive Session.

None.

7. Additional items from Mayor, Council, Staff or Citizens.

None.

8. Adjournment.

Motion by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Turner. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**