

**MINUTES
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL
GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING
MONDAY, MAY 29, 2018, 7:00 P.M.**

Members Present: Chairman Payleitner, Aldr. Stellato, Aldr. Silkaitis, Aldr. Lemke, Aldr. Turner, Aldr. Bancroft, Aldr. Gaugel, Aldr. Vitek, Aldr. Bessner, Aldr. Lewis

Members Absent: None

Others Present: Raymond Rogina, Mayor; Mark Koenen, City Administrator; Peter Suhr, Director of Public Works; Chris Adesso, Asst. Director of Public Works - Operations; Karen Young, Asst. Director of Public Works – Engineering; Tom Bruhl, Electric Division Manager; Tim Wilson, Environmental Services Manager; AJ Reineking, Public Works Manager; Jim Keegan, Police Chief; Joe Schelstreet, Fire Chief; John McGuirk, City Attorney

1. Meeting called to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. Roll Call

K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Present
Silkaitis: Present
Payleitner: Present
Lemke: Present
Turner: Present
Bancroft: Present
Gaugel: Present
Vitek: Present
Bessner: Present
Lewis: Present

3.a. Electric Reliability Report – Information only.

3.b. Active River Project Update – Information only.

3.c. Tree Commission Minutes – Information only.

3.d. Phosphorus Removal and Digester Improvements Project Update – Information only.

Tim Wilson presented. We are experiencing a manufacturer delay on the electrical panel that runs one of the motors; the delay is due to Hurricanes Harvey and Irma which has also increased the demand on the Motor Control Centers. They had a damaged facility, plus increased production demand so they are falling behind on getting the motor control units out. The impact to the project is that we will not make the EPA June deadline for the chemical feed; in addition, we will have to look at the overall schedule to consider a possible extension.

We have already talked to the EPA and they have extended the June deadline; we are not the only construction project having this issue. At this time there is no Council action.

The next topic is regarding the cat walk between the two digesters; once they started sandblasting, they uncovered holes in the I Beam which is a structural issue. This will be a change in the scope of work with the contractor and will be an additional cost to the project. At this time there is no Council action, I will bring it forward at a later date.

4.a. Recommendation to Update Title 9 “Public Peace, Morals and Welfare” of the City Ordinance, to Include Modifications to Chapters 9.04, 9.05, 9.08, 9.14, 9.21, 9.24, 9.28, 9.44, 9.49, 9.50, and 9.52.

Police Chief Keegan presented. These are all fine or fee related with the exception of the trespass Ordinance. In addition, I added the Intoxicating Compound Ordinance; this is an effort the Police Department is undertaking. The State of Illinois is decriminalizing some of the drug offenses in State statutes and we lacked an intoxicating compound Ordinance. This is similar to the term huffing or when chemicals are used inappropriately.

I recommend approving the aforementioned changes.

Aldr. Silkaitis: The age is 17 years of age for that, but smoking is 18. Is there any way we can make it uniform or is that a State statute?

Chief Keegan: People under the age of 18 are charged as minors, so this language mirrors the State platforms we have to follow.

Chairman Payleitner: I have a question about the Woods of Fox Glen piece. What exactly does “the corporate authorities of the City of St. Charles” mean?

Chief Keegan: The new language gives us the authority to use that Ordinance outside the Woods of Fox Glen so all of public properties are protected now.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

4.b. Recommendation to add Chapter 8.06 “Public-Owned Boat Launch” to Title 8 “Health and Safety” of the City Ordinance.

Police Chief Keegan presented. This was an effort I took with the Park District and the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. If a boat is tethered to a launch longer than three hours and if the situation presents itself, we have the authority to tow the boat at the owner’s expense.

It is my recommendation to approve this Ordinance.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

4.c. Recommendation to Update Title 5 “Business Licenses and Regulations” of the City Ordinance to Include Modifications to Chapters 5.28 “Peddlers” and 5.36 “Solicitors”.

Police Chief Keegan presented. About a year ago we enacted a registration program for solicitors. Some new things is that not for profits and religious groups no longer have to register with the City; they are required to notify us, but no longer have to register. In addition, I’ve merged the peddler and solicitor Ordinance so those who want to peddle curb side sales, we do a background check and they will have a placard and an ID. I’ve also changed the Ordinance to prevent groups coming in large groups and ringing the doorbell and interfering with people’s private time. We have gotten complaints of very aggressive solicitors over the years. I’ve also taken the liberty of strengthening our “No Solicitors” signs. Currently we pass out a cardboard placard, but I’ve taken the initiative to get stickers made up that say “No Soliciting by Order of St. Charles Police Department” which is codified so we can cite a solicitor for violating the Ordinance.

Aldr. Lewis: What does a resident do if someone sees that sticker and knocks on the door anyway? Are they to call 911, or tell them to go away?

Chief Keegan: I would be in favor of asking them to move along first but if ever you feel intimidated by aggressive tactics, feel free to call 911.

Aldr. Lewis: What about political candidates; are they solicitors?

Chief Keegan: No, political candidates, religious groups and not for profits are not considered solicitors.

Chairman Payleitner: So registry is only required for those with financial interest?

Chief Keegan: Financial interest and if it is a money making enterprise, they have to register. If they are a 501©3 doing religious solicitation or political, they have to notify us they will be out, but they don't have to register. There is a peaceful Ordinance that if you are a not for profit and the resident asks for documentation, you have to show it upon request.

Chairman Payleitner: And nobody can come if you have that sign on the door.

Chief Keegan: Correct.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

4.d. Recommendation to approve Street Closure for Baker Memorial Church Car Wash to be held on June 16, 2018.

Police Chief Keegan presented. This is a repeat event; the information is in your packet. I recommend approval.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Bessner, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

4.e. 2018 IBB for Pitties – Information only.

Police Chief Keegan presented. This is information only.

No further discussion.

5.a. Design Development Presentation and Cost Estimate Review for the Police Station Project.

Peter Suhr presented. We are here tonight seeking approval of the design development phase of the police project, allowing our team to proceed to the next phase of the project which is construction documents. This will keep us on schedule to deliver our new police station to our community and police department by fall of 2019. Similar to the approval of the schematic design, plans and cost estimate in February, Louise and Anna Bella of

FGM Architects and Chris of Riley Construction are on hand to share the latest information with you.

I think you will find that the design of the police station is very similar to what you saw in February, just more refined. Recognizing also at that time that the cost estimate at the schematic design phase was over \$2 million of our original budget, staff and our consultants have been busy trying to reduce costs as much as possible; for example, we have reduced the size of the building without jeopardizing the operational needs of the police. We continue to make smart decisions about material choices and we've also performed a value engineering audit on the entire project. That being said, we are still approximately \$1 million over the budgeted amount at this time. Chris Siefert with Riley Construction will get into more detail, but in general, our team has been successful in eliminating \$2 million in costs over the last several months. However, the reality of the significant material and labor increases are fighting us.

Bid Package #2, the structure and shell, will be bid in July, and Bid Package #3 which is the building finishes and interior work will be bid in October. Until then, our team continues to promise to evaluate and implement any ideas and alternatives for cost reductions. Before inviting our consultants to the podium, this is perhaps the first time you will see the storm water regional detention identified on the plans and also in our cost estimates.

Anna Bella Orlando, FGM Architects, 1211 West 22nd Street, Oakbrook, IL.

Louise Kowalczyk, FGM Architects, 1211 West 22nd Street, Oakbrook, IL.

Chris Siefert, Riley Construction, 926 North Shore Drive, Lake Bluff, IL.

Presentation by FGM Architects and Riley Construction.

Aldr. Lemke: Does the entrance line up with the other side of Main Street?

Ms. Kowalczyk: I believe it does; we are not changing where the curb cuts occur, so I believe that is the actual alignment of 15th Street.

Chairman Payleitner: The stone pillar will be visible from the street, correct?

Ms. Kowalczyk: Correct; as you turn in to the site that will be the focal point.

Aldr. Turner: When it comes to the regional stormwater, is that going to be handled by an enterprise fund?

Mr. Suhr: That is not an enterprise fund, but that is a separate fund in our budget; that \$1.7 million is not allocated to the Police budget line item. It's a separate line item approved in the budget this year.

No further discussion.

5.b. Recommendation to Waive the Formal Bid Procedure and Bid Package #2 and Bid Package #3 for the Police Station Project.

Peter Suhr presented. This is unprecedented; however, in preparation for the two upcoming large and complex bids – bid package #2 in July and bid package #3 in October, we are requesting to waive the bid procedure for each one of those. As you know, typically we would ask you to waive a bid procedure after we receive the bids, and quite frankly as we are presenting the information to you for the first time, but considering the size and the scope of the upcoming police bids and also the tight schedule, we would prefer to get your approval on that bid process in advance of receiving the actual bids.

The reason why is due to the complexity of the bid, including multiple subcontractors, alternative proposals and unit prices; it is more efficient for us to evaluate those numbers without the formal bid procedure. In addition, we recognize local vendor preference may be something you would like to consider, and if the bids are similar, we can bring those forward to you for recommendation. Also, by eliminating the bid procedure, staff and Riley can work with the apparent low bidders to consider voluntary alternates for creative ideas resulting in cost reductions.

Please keep in mind as you consider this request it was similar for bid package #1 which was the demolition package that was just opened a month ago; contractors will continue to be pre-qualified in advance of receiving final quotes. Therefore, the qualification process associated with the bid procedure is not redundant. In addition, and perhaps the most important; all of the bids or quotes we receive will be tabulated and presented to you for award as recommended by staff and Riley, so even by waiving the bid procedure, you and our public will receive information in a completely transparent process, which is the important thing.

With that, I recommend waiving the bid for both of the bid packages #2 and #3.

Aldr. Gaugel: I think this is a good idea and it's actually going to save time in the long run. It also could potentially save costs so I'm in favor of it. If there are no other comments, I make a motion for approval.

Aldr. Turner: Will this keep the project on time?

Mr. Suhr: Yes, that's one of the reasons for doing it.

Aldr. Turner: Are these construction costs constantly rising?

Mr. Suhr: They are changing rapidly, but they fluctuate.
No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Kristi, please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Turner: Yes

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Gaugel, seconded by Aldr. Stellato. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.c. Presentation with Recommendation to Authorize Execution of a Five Year Refuse Contract with Lakeshore Recycling System.

Chairman Payleitner: I want to lay out ground rules; Staff will give the presentation, and residents will have a chance to speak after the Committee has their first round of discussion. Then I will offer any vendors or whomever a chance to respond to questions or offer additional comments.

Tim Wilson presented. I'm here tonight to talk about the Tri-Cities Refuse Bid; as a reminder, the current contract expires on June 30 so we are asking for a decision tonight. My presentation is broken into three areas; the RFP process, the contractors that bid on the RFP and Option 1 Program, which is the sticker program as you know it today and Option 2 Program which is the full toter program.

Chairman Payleitner: I would like for us to have our conversation and chose the vendor and then have the conversation regarding Option 1 and Option 2.

Presentation by Tim Wilson.

It is Staff's recommendation to award the contract to Lakeshore Recycling Systems, and based on the information we provided to you tonight, we recommend that the City selects Option 2, the full cart program.

Aldr. Bessner: Would you be able to tell us what we are currently paying per year for Advanced Disposal? I'm trying to get a good feel of what the cost is overall from what we currently have to what we are considering.

Mr. Wilson: I didn't run the numbers where we are currently at, but the five year value based on the current usage for Option 1 is a \$10.5 million contract with Lakeshore, and if you were to select Advanced it's a \$12.1 million contract.

Aldr. Bessner: You talked an increase of services; what new services would be offered?

Mr. Wilson: Additional bulk items and white goods, additional recycling toter options, additional yard waste options and additional weekly services.

Aldr. Bessner: What is considered a white good?

Mr. Wilson: Washer, dryer.

Aldr. Bessner: It's one sticker on each one of them?

Mr. Wilson: Yes.

Aldr. Bessner: With all the bids that you received, were all three companies able to provide all the services that we discussed?

Mr. Wilson: The only company that didn't take any exceptions or deletions to our contract was Lakeshore. Advanced took exceptions and modifications and so did Groot.

Aldr. Lewis: Are Lakeshore's truck the environmentally friendly trucks that are more quiet?

Mr. Wilson: That is all based on opinion. The compressed natural gas (CNG) trucks tend to have a higher pitch. Lakeshore is using bio-diesel fuel and we'll allow them to talk about the cost savings and environmental friendliness of bio-diesel.

Aldr. Lewis: So just to be clear, all their truck will be bio-diesel; are all of Advanced Disposal's trucks bio-diesel?

Mr. Wilson: I believe they have a mix.

Aldr. Gaugel: If we should we switch to Lakeshore, do we have a termination clause in our contract should they not perform?

Mr. Wilson: Yes, we have our typical performance bonds. If you do select Lakeshore and there are customer service issues, we would sit down and address that in a professional manner and set it on the right path prior to going to termination.

Aldr. Gaugel: Correct; but just to know that we have the option to terminate for cause or even for convenience if need be is within our terms and conditions. I completely agree that we work it out first and that is a last effort. If we didn't have that, it would be a large

consideration of mine. But since we would have that in place should we write a new contract; that puts my mind at ease.

Aldr. Turner: I would like to know by how much less Advanced's proposed contract is than what they've charged us before. I know they are more than Lakeshore, but how much did they reduce their fees from the current contract? I didn't see that anywhere.

Mr. Wilson: The only reason I didn't provide that is because Lakeshore is so much cheaper than them; the side by side comparison is based off our usage. I provided you what our current rates were. As you can see with Lakeshore, they were significantly cheaper in both options.

Aldr. Turner: I understand that, but I would like to know how much Advanced lowered their fees in the next five years compared to what they are charging now. At this point, I'm going to abstain because I don't have enough information. Just because they are the lowest bidder, in talking to my residents, they are very happy with Advanced.

Aldr. Bancroft: Aldr. Turner and I are being contacted by the same residents; everything has been positive about Advanced. To his point, to know what Advanced has done to the current bid is an interesting piece of information.

Aldr. Lemke: How do we define the senior rate? Is it based on age or only on toter size?

Mr. Wilson: Senior rate is 65 years and older.

Aldr. Lemke: Is there an opportunity with LRS to convert from one option to the other during the course of a five year contract?

Mr. Wilson: The current RFP is set up to selection Option 1 or Option 2 for the entire contract.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Out of all the calls and communications I've had with Advanced, they have all been positive except for two, and those people want Advanced gone. We are supposed to base our bids on what was presented at the same time. I think we should rebid it because now we have a new proposal from Advanced which disturbed me after the bids have been opened, we get thrown something else; I don't like that – that's now how we do business and it's not fair to the party that didn't rebid. That would be my recommendation right now.

Aldr. Stellato: I've been around for 23 years, 13 years of it with Advanced. I will tell you as Alderman, I've never gotten a complaint. We are giving up a known entity, and we have a responsibility to our constituents to give them the best deal. We have new information that we aren't supposed to look at which is difficult to do. I'm not worried

about the process; I'm concerned about what our constituents pay and the service they get. I'm in support of Advanced and always have been.

Aldr. Gaugel: This statement of reopening the bid concerns me greatly. You reopen a bid for a best and final and you change the scope of work. I don't know what we would change in our scope of work currently. The fact that Advanced submitted an unsolicited, revised proposal, to me, should be completely discounted and we should only be looking at what was presented at the public opening in response to the original RFP.

If we were to entertain a rebid of this, we run the risk of having proposals withdrawn and we might be left with only one at that point. I cannot say that would be in the best interest of the residents of St. Charles. Competition proved to be an excellent thing for the residents of St. Charles and I would be very, very concerned about putting out a best and final RFP at this stage of the game when everybody had the opportunity to bid on a scope of work that was equal and fair for all of the five companies that were solicited and three that responded.

Chairman Payleitner: With that in mind, I'm wondering if Attorney McGuirk could weigh in on that.

Attorney McGuirk: The way I see it is, it was a Request for Proposal and there was a close date. The proposals were submitted. As I understand this process, once you have a close date, you are not supposed to consider additional information. To allow one of the proposers to get another bite at the apple by sending you an alternate proposal, I don't think that's a clear reason to open this up. You have your political pressures and I can understand that, but in terms of a good reason, I don't see it.

Aldr. Stellato: We just had two communities vote before us and we had two different opinions from two different communities. This is not black and white; this is not set in stone.

Attorney McGuirk: I agree there is not a lot of case law on the subject, but the court would look at it and ask about the fairness of the process. Parameters are set to be followed.

Aldr. Lewis: Could Lakeshore have submitted a new bid to us? If they had, could that have been submitted to us tonight?

Mr. Wilson: They submitted one proposal.

Aldr. Lewis: But they could have submitted a second one.

Attorney McGuirk: We had a close date, and that doesn't invite additional proposals.

Aldr. Gaugel: Anybody can submit as many times as they want; it's not a question of whether they can submit again and again, it's a question as to whether we accept the

revised proposals. In my opinion, we shouldn't accept anything unless we modify the scope of work and ask them all to submit a revised proposal. In this case, we didn't do that. We got an unsolicited, revised proposal that we should not consider because everybody was on the same level playing field when the initial submittal was made.

Aldr. Lewis: I would like to add that like the others, I received nothing but positive comments about Advanced Disposal.

Chairman Payleitner: Perhaps now would be a good time to let Lakeshore come up and talk to us.

Mr. Wilson: I would like to introduce two gentlemen from Lakeshore, Josh Connell, Managing Partner and Bill Kenney, Municipal Manager.

Mr. Connell: Josh Connell, Managing Partner, Lakeshore Recycling Systems. My home address is 76 Riverside, Deerfield, IL.

Mr. Kenney: Bill Kenney, Municipal Manager, Lakeshore Recycling Systems. My home address is 39W080 Shannon Square, Geneva, IL.

Mr. Connell: Thank you for the opportunity to be here. We are locally owned and operated with our corporate offices in Morton Grove, IL. Our nearest office to here is in West Chicago. We currently operate five material recycling facilities in the Chicago area. A year ago we also built an aerobic digester which is the first of its kind in North America, so we are using that for food scrap. Right now it's a pilot program; this has allowed us to provide the food scrap ride along program. We were the first to introduce it and that is one of the benefits with the new contract that was put forth in the RFP.

We operate a fleet of over 350 vehicles; we are the second largest portable restroom company in the state of Illinois and we are currently the largest street sweeping company in the state of Illinois and St. Charles is one of our street sweeping customers.

In regard to transition, we have done more transitions on the municipal end than any other waste company over the last five years. We have implemented over 15 new contracts in the last 4 years, so we have become very good at getting carts out and mobilizing equipment. We have a launch team and that's all they work on. Everything is about our customer.

As far as goodwill, we are no different than Advanced Disposal in that respect. When we partner with a community, we are part of the community. We want to have our name sponsored on the little league jerseys. But it is also about events and festivals and having portable toilets and street sweeping abilities that gives us the ability to provide services as goodwill. You can talk to references in any of our municipalities and they will tell you we are always providing goodwill and donations when events come up.

Chairman Payleitner: We could call any of the communities in your packet and they could verify any of this information?

Mr. Connell: Yes, as a matter of fact, you can go to our website and see all of the communities we service and call any one of them; absolutely.

Aldr. Lewis: Could you talk to me about your trucks? I got comments about Advanced Disposals trucks being quiet and environmentally friendly.

Mr. Connell: We do run a small fleet of compressed natural gas (CNG) trucks, but it is part of our plan over the next couple years in this area to implement CNG trucks in Kane County.

Aldr. Lewis: So they won't be part of our service right away?

Mr. Connell: They may or may not. As a company, we are purchasing CNG trucks each year. We are looking at building a CNG facility either at our Cortland facility near DeKalb or West Chicago depending on the need.

Aldr. Lewis: I'm the co-chair of the America in Bloom Committee and one of our criteria is environmental sustainability. I have worked with Advanced Disposal on our committee in reducing our footprint with recycling and the amount of garbage. It's something that is important to me. With your company, how do we make sure we are putting less and less into the landfill? How are we going to educate this community and work with us so that we do recycle more?

Mr. Connell: This is what we built our culture on, and it's been a big part of our growth and our story. We have built more recycling and sustainability and infrastructure than any other waste company in the area. In 2017 we were awarded the State of Illinois sustainability award. We are the only garbage company that has been acknowledged with that award. Going back to our digester and single stream recycling facility; we don't own a landfill, so from our perspective, anything that we can separate and get out of the stream to the landfill is to our benefit. We donate a lot of our time on education and best practices so we can create a better footprint and keep material out of the landfill.

At our facilities in Chicago, we are actually sorting recyclables out of the waste, so we have a transfer station where we are pulling materials that were destined for the landfill, presorting it before it gets to the landfill and recycling that material. We have five material recycling facilities; there is not another waste hauler that has that much recycling infrastructure in this market. We are very proud of our efforts and the education. I sit on the Illinois Food Scrap Coalition Board as one of the founding members. We also set up a recycling booth at fairs to hand out information and create goodwill.

Chairman Payleitner: Thank you for the information; we have our known entity with Advanced, so I want to make sure we get to know the new group.

Mr. Kenney: I understand the loyalty; that is not lost on us. We are not strangers to St. Charles. We have drivers and their families that live here, my wife is from here, I live in Geneva. I can personally say we will exceed your expectations.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Is your facility in West Chicago on Powis Road by the airport? If so, is that where your trucks will be?

Mr. Connell: Yes and yes.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Do you still have old diesel trucks?

Mr. Connell: Very few. The general age of our fleet is 7 ½ years right now and getting newer. St. Charles will be serviced with new vehicles; we have ordered brand new trucks and we have a letter from the manufacturer that has committed to having those trucks available.

Mr. Wilson: I would like to address Aldr. Turner's question; Advanced Disposal's current contract is \$15 million compared to the \$12.1 million they brought in and Lakeshore came in at \$10.5 million.

Aldr. Turner: So Advanced cut their current price by \$3 million dollars. Does that include cutting the price of bags and stickers?

Mr. Wilson: That is based off our current usage; 41% totes, 33% bags and that \$15 million didn't include multi-family.

Chairman Payleitner: Would someone from Advanced be able to answer that?

Mr. Wilson: Do you want to see this list of current rates?

Aldr. Turner: I want to see what was proposed before this last thing that you're not going to consider.

Mr. Wilson: For Option 1, Year 1, a refuse sticker, current price is \$3.40. Lakeshore proposed \$2.60 and Advanced proposed \$3.10.

For the half bag, current is \$2.20. Lakeshore proposed \$2.00 and Advanced proposed \$2.05.

65 gallon refuse toter, current is \$23.85. Lakeshore proposed \$18.25 and Advanced proposed \$23.25.

Aldr. Gaugel: Aldr. Stellato indicated about the uncertainty of the transition. About two weeks ago, AJ was before us with our mechanical contract for a one year renewal. In that

renewal, it was recommended that we go with the incumbent who has been our mechanical contractor for a year. When they proposed a year ago, they were a complete unknown out of Rockford and they replaced Service Mechanical. There was a significant cost savings that was realized over the span of this year that was five figures if not greater, if I remember correctly. Not only that, when AJ presented this again to unanimous re-upping of that contract, the service outpaced the expectation.

While I'm not saying that same scenario is going to play out here, it's a good case study in what happens when we step outside what we are comfortable with for cost savings and when competition steps into play.

Aldr. Stellato: I respect where you are coming from, I just think this type of entity touches everyone in this community; it's a widespread service. I'm more sensitive to the service level and quality.

Chairman Payleitner: As promised, I would like to open it up to citizens that have anything new to offer. I understand you have had good service, we have all heard the stories, we have all received the solicitations that Advanced sent out. You responded in kind; we appreciate that. We are very grateful to hear about the customer service end of the Advanced Disposal contract. We wanted to hear assurances from the potential new vendor that they will offer the same customer services; City staff has checked references and has received assurances that we will get the same type of services from the new company.

If anyone has something else to offer that is different than that, you are welcome to step up to the microphone and give us your name and address for the record.

Don Bodziak, 1613 Winners Cup Circle: Have the financials been reviewed? We are talking a 20% difference in cost; somethings got to give. Either Advanced is awful greedy or Lakeshore's shareholders are willing to work on a very small margin.

Mr. Suhr: We received three proposals and we reviewed those in detail. Yes, we looked at all that information and did reference checks and financial statement reviews. We are comfortable with that, therefore our recommendation.

Tim Millington, 32 Dunham Place: This is the first I've heard of the cart option. That means I'm going to have to have two carts in my garage. If I'm not here for a week, I still have to pay for the cart. I'm not here for 52 weeks per year.

Chairman Payleitner: Once we have a motion on the floor and vote on a contractor, then we will discuss the two options.

Brian Sosnowski, 268 Grand Ridge Rd.: In regard to the stickers, they were showing people using stickers all the time. Some people are gone for a month or so, like the snowbirds.

Karen Ramirez, Property Manager, Fox Place Apartments, 1336-1356 West Main Street: Advanced Disposal's customer service is terrible and I've had to involve Tim Wilson to help me resolve a situation.

Chairman Payleitner: Tim, were able to resolve this?

Mr. Wilson: I'll sit down with Advanced Disposal management and see if we can figure out a solution for her.

Ms. Ramirez: I want you to know how terrible Advanced's customer service is.

Chairman Payleitner: On the flipside, we have a wonderful Public Works Staff who can be the go between no matter who our contractor is, and that will always continue. Thank you.

Darlene Rebe, 809 N. 5th Avenue: I'm very involved with the community; I work for a not for profit, and Advanced always helps us out at events picking up trash for free and they sponsor with dollars, the parades especially. The money we collect is money we use to pay for City services.

Aldr. Lewis: Did you say they collect trash for free?

Ms. Rebe: Yes, at least for my events. I work at Lazarus House, and they have picked up for free from River Fest and they have picked up for free from the parades. I don't know about all the fests, like Scarecrow Fest, but my events they do.

Chairman Payleitner: I read in the proposal that community pick-ups are included, correct?

Mr. Wilson: Yes; we added free pick up at 10 or 12 City sponsored events. We also asked them to give us documentation on other communities that Lakeshore serves and the types of organizations they support and the communities they currently are contracted with; they provide the same level of service that Advanced does to St. Charles, so they will be equal.

Jim Diciaula, 325 S. 8th Street: I'm also President and CEO of the Chamber of Commerce. We have received several calls over the last several weeks with resounding support for Advanced Disposal. I appreciate the work that staff has done and I'm all about free trade and competition, but at the core, I'm all about relationships. At the end of the day, I would ask you to consider the relationships we have had.

Chairman Payleitner: I'll invite Advanced Disposal up to counter or compliment what was said.

Bob Pfister, Marketing Manager for Advanced Disposal: I watched the presentation and I was very surprised to see the numbers for Lakeshore were not the same numbers that were on the bid tabulation sheet. The bid tabulation sheet that I was given had the 35 gallon regular cart at \$11.50 and the senior cart at \$11.50. What I saw on the screen tonight was that the regular 35 gallon regular cart was raised to \$11.95 and the senior rate was lowered to \$9.50; it dropped \$2.

Also, on the multi-family; on the bid tabulation sheet it's \$7.50, but what I saw up there was \$7. If those are indeed correct and they have been changed, it appears negotiations took place. Isn't it only fair that the numbers we presented are also taken into account? We weren't asked by staff to give any counter proposal; we took it upon ourselves to do that. We've been here 13 years and provided extremely good service; we don't want to lose this community. It's only fair that you compare our lowest numbers to theirs and you'll see that we're not as low as them but we came down considerably because we don't want to lose your business.

Chairman Payleitner: Are you implying that what you had from when the bids were opened is different from what was presented tonight?

Mr. Pfister: Yes.

Mr. Wilson: After we opened proposals we sat down with Lakeshore to clarify why they didn't give us a clear definition of a senior rate. They came back with a clear definition of 65 years or older and \$9.50. It was actually a wash between lowering the senior rate and increased the 35 gallon rate so it was a complete wash.

Chairman Payleitner: So it was clarification.

Mr. Wilson: Yes; it was just a clarification issue on the RFP and that is typical. If there is a question about one portion of the contract, there was no change in scope of services, there was just a clarification question.

Aldr. Gaugel: So we changed nothing in the scope?

Mr. Wilson: No, we didn't change anything in the scope of services; we had that issue that we needed clarification and we had other typical RFP questions. Like I said, Lakeshore was the only one that didn't take any exceptions, changes or deviations in our RFP. Every other vendor asked for deviations, changes in the RFP – including that they can, at any time, come back and raise their rates based off their costs.

Aldr. Gaugel: If I heard you correct, the difference between those two and the fluctuation that they changed wouldn't have amounted to a total evaluated price that would have been different.

Mr. Wilson: No; it was a complete wash.

Aldr. Gaugel: So we are looking at the same total evaluated price for Lakeshore as we would across the board.

Mr. Wilson: Yes.

Aldr. Gaugel: I'm comfortable with that.

Aldr. Turner: So Advanced and Groot wanted language that said based on cost they could raise their rates?

Mr. Wilson: Yes.

Aldr. Turner: You rejected that?

Mr. Wilson: It's one of the things they submitted with their RFP. It was one of the five pages of deviations that Groot wanted; Advanced had two pages.

Aldr. Turner: Did Lakeshore want that language?

Mr. Wilson: No.

Aldr. Turner: So they can't come back to us in two years and say since gas went up to \$10 gallon they are going to charge us more?

Mr. Wilson: Correct; gas, recycling, any costs.

Tish Powell, 1660 Hubbard Avenue: I'm the Municipal Marketing Manager with Advanced Disposal. In terms of the acceptances and deviations that were mentioned, those are all negotiable. Those were listed as things that we specifically asked the City negotiate with Advanced; they were not set in stone. The explanation given for the change in the senior rate that Lakeshore was allowed to do; a senior rate is very common in the solid waste industry. They knew exactly what was being asked for in the RFP. They bid one rate and were allowed to change it. So as Bob said, you already started negotiating with Lakeshore; we provided new prices that we would like the opportunity for you to consider as well. If you are going to consider these rates that were not originally provided by Lakeshore, we respectfully ask that you consider our rates as well.

Chairman Payleitner: We are only looking for anything new at this late hour.

Chris Rooney, I'm the General Manager for Advanced Disposal in Batavia, 372 Prairie View Drive, Geneva. The average household uses somewhere between .52 & .53 stickers a week. When you look at our revised cost estimates a week, we are talking about \$.18 a week between Lakeshore's proposed cost and ours. Another point of clarification, the

Household Hazardous Waste fee that was discussed is part of the base RFP and we all had to agree to that as part of our response.

As far as CNG trucks, we implemented our fleet in 2015 and made the investment in our fueling station. When we made the investment we started with 14 trucks, we now have 28 out of 56. We are expecting 2 more CNG trucks delivered this year.

In Option 1, we currently accept bulk items with 1 sticker and we currently offer different cart sizes for recycling.

Tish Powell: We are here to ask you to ask you to deviate from Staff recommendation. But you are directly accountable to the citizens that you serve, and policy makers for this community, you are responsible for seeing the big picture. Garbage removal affects each person in your community. Issuing an RFP, which is what you did, is different. It is a professional service. Because of this distinction, you have leeway to consider more than price as well as to negotiate with responsible, responsive proposers. You have already started that process; we are just asking to be part of that. We heard the concerns about cost, which is why we provided an updated proposal with more aggressive pricing for Option 1 to reduce the sticker price from \$3.10 per sticker to \$2.95 per sticker; a savings of 13% of the current sticker price, which will provide an average savings of more than \$125,000 per year for St. Charles residents.

Based on Staff's recommendation that was released last Friday, we have also provided revised pricing for Option 2 which is the fully automated cart program that you should have received by email.

Chairman Payleitner: I'm sorry, did you say based on Staff's what?

Tish Powell: Staff's recommendation that you are looking at tonight.

Chairman Payleitner: Oh. Staff didn't recommend you send that to us.

Tish Powell: Staff's recommendation to you for the fully automated cart program, we provided an updated price for that too, and sent that to you as well. What we are asking for is for you to select the Advanced Disposal difference. What is that? It's proven performance; it's the fact that when we surveyed residents we had overwhelming feedback. We are currently using CNG trucks; we aren't promising it years from now.

Bob Pfister: If Advanced Disposal is not awarded this contract, we are less than four weeks away from transition when we will have to remove our carts and leave town. In addition to St. Charles, Lakeshore has also been awarded Geneva and Lisle, so they will have to transition three communities on the same day on a holiday week. That's about 25,000 units less than four weeks from today. How are they going to do that? No one has asked whether they are going to change your collection days? Let's ask what has to be done in less than four weeks.

Chairman Payleitner: They did say they are very experienced in transition; they have had 15 new contracts in the last three years, so I think they might have it nailed down.

Bob Pfister: In closing, I urge you not to risk an unknown service provide based on a lowball price. I ask for your vote to stay with Advanced Disposal.

Mr. Connell: Just so there is no misunderstanding; we are prepared from a cart manufacturing perspective, truck manufacturing, we are prepared. Whether it's one community or three, our cart manufacturer has teams of people that deliver the carts. We can start as early as June 18 to start delivering carts. Also, just to make sure other concerns are met; our proposed pricing is guaranteed. We don't have large corporate expenses like Advanced Disposal is a publically traded company. We are more nimble, we run leaner. We just finished our audited review and we are glad to share that with Staff. We are backed by Goldman Sax who is a private equity partner of ours as of December 2017 and trust me; they vetted us more significantly than any municipality would.

Mr. Kenney: Advanced has done a good job of lobbying the residents of St. Charles. As Tish pointed out, your responsibility is to those residents. In our case, we are the lowest responsible bidder. We have several large contracts, similar in size, scope and economics to the City of St. Charles. I believe they all gave us very good reviews. It should also be noted that Advanced was given us first right to extend the contract and it didn't come through. Only when faced with losing this contract are they saddling up and telling you what great partners they are, but at the end of the day, the economics speak. We are considerably lower; we are saving the residents of St. Charles considerable amounts of money, and all the talk can't mitigate that. We can't do anything less than an incredible job, and you have safeguards in this contract against that.

Aldr. Gaugel: The comment has been made that we engaged in negotiations. There is a distinct difference between a clarification and negotiation. From everything that Staff has told me, this was a clarification. There was no negotiation that took place. Even if we were to take into account the pricing for that clarification, the total evaluated price of Lakeshore's contract would not have changed, so to me, it's a non-issue. I make a motion to execute the contract with Lakeshore Recycling.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Our garbage days would be the same, right?

Mr. Wilson: Correct.

Chairman Payleitner: I would like to make a motion to execute a contract with Lakeshore Recycling Systems for refuse and recycling program. This is just to award the contract.

City Administrator Koenen: We aren't clear on who made the motion.

Aldr. Gaugel: I made the motion which is a Recommendation to authorize execution of a contract with Lakeshore Recycling Systems for refuse and recycling.

Motioned by Aldr. Gaugel, seconded by Aldr. Vitek.

Aldr. Stellato: We still have the opportunity to say that we want to open this up again. If we don't and we run into problems, I'm voting no on this. I have a known factor here. We have the opportunity to open it up again, you are refusing to do that, just remember that.

Aldr. Bancroft: So what would you recommend?

Aldr. Stellato: I recommend we go back to the table and talk about this and open this process up. There is more information that has been given to us. Again, I really don't care about the process to get the best deal for the constituents.

Mr. Suhr: With all due respect; I need to comment on that. It has been stated several times that we have about 30-45 days before the contract ends and there is no extension for the contract, so we will be out of garbage service on June 30 if the process continues. While we could perhaps speed up the process to rebid or to re-evaluate, we all know that is at least a week or two, if not longer. I think that puts our program in jeopardy.

There is something else that has been stated, but I want to be clearer. We have received three proposals from Advanced to date over the past 60+ days. We allowed Advanced to extend the current contract prior to us going out for an RFP. The prices they gave back to us at that time were significantly higher than the current pricing that we have today. They then submitted an RFP on the date that the RFP was due and you've seen the evaluation for that proposal. Over the last three days, we have all received in bits and pieces a third proposal from Advanced – one that came just several hours ago for Option 2, I believe. Option 1 we received in the last week, and while we didn't evaluate that fully, we did take a look at it. Quite frankly, that proposal still isn't the lowest bidder in regards to cost. So, if we do consider rebidding or re-evaluating this, I would ask as a Staff participant to also give us an opportunity to say how many chances at the apple? When is enough, enough? How many proposals are enough proposals before we get to an answer? Because I think we are going to need to have that information to evaluate during this process.

Aldr. Stellato: Look at it from our standpoint. How many meetings have we spent as a Committee or Council talking about this? One. This is a five year contract that affects everyone and we've talked about it at one meeting. Is that fair to us? I feel this process is rushed. I'm asking for more time.

Aldr. Gaugel: What is going to change? Are we going to change our scope of work just to continue the discussion?

Aldr. Stellato: It gets us their best prices, their best value and it gets us an extension for now. We've done it before. Be ready to defend this.

Aldr. Gaugel: I absolutely am.

Chairman Payleitner: I am too. Let's move on with the vote.

Aldr. Bessner: Thank you, Peter, for that information. It gives me a much better idea of where we are at.

Chairman Payleitner: Kristi, please start over with the roll call vote.

K. Dobbs:

Turner: Yes

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: No

Stellato: No

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Gaugel, seconded by Aldr. Vitek. Approved 7 to 2 by roll call vote.

Motion carried

Chairman Payleitner: Now on to our discussion about Option 1 or Option 2.

Aldr. Silkaitis: If we use totes, everyone could have three totes, correct? Refuse, Recycling and Yard Waste.

Mr. Wilson: They could have additional if they wanted, it's up to them.

Aldr. Silkaitis: In theory, you would have a minimum of three.

Mr. Wilson: No, you would have a minimum of two; refuse and recycling. You don't have to have Yard Waste.

Aldr. Silkaitis: In the old part of St. Charles, the garages are small and they don't have room for two totes in the garage. What do you do if someone doesn't have the room.

Mr. Wilson: Each homeowner has their own options where to store the toters. They can be stored outside or in alleys. We have no requirements as to where they need to store their toters.

Aldr. Silkaitis: That's why I have a problem with the all toter option.

Mr. Wilson: The floor space they take up is about the same as where they store their garbage now, whether they are using the orange bin or using a 30 gallon garbage can of their own; it's going to be the same square footage.

Aldr. Silkaitis: If we went to the all tote option, I could still put a bag out and they would pick it up.

Mr. Wilson: Yes, you are allowed to put one large item on the outside of your toter. Beyond that, if you put two or three extra items you would have to put a sticker on those.

Just so we are clear, you get one free item every week, but if you go above that one free item, then you have to put a sticker on the additional item. That is typical throughout every program like this. You have to set a limit because then everyone would rent a 35 gallon and pile garbage on the outside.

Aldr. Silkaitis: People are going to have Advanced Disposal stickers left over. What do they do?

Mr. Wilson: In the contract, there is a 30 day period where Lakeshore will accept Advanced Disposal stickers.

Aldr. Turner: I don't think the City is ready for a full toter program yet. You've got 36% of the people in the City using half bags or stickers and this idea that they are using a bag or sticker every week is nonsense. In the winter they may use one bag or sticker a month.

Aldr. Lewis: I would say the same thing. We are going with a new company which is going to be difficult enough for the community to accept. I think they need to get comfortable with who is servicing them before we go with Option 2.

Aldr. Gaugel: I would agree that I don't think we are ready as much as I like the toter program. I would be in favor of Option 1, even though I greatly appreciate the legwork that was done for Option 2, and I think it's something that we should be looking toward. I think it's a great option, I just don't think we are ready for it right now.

Aldr. Stellato: If that's a motion, I'll second that.

Aldr. Gaugel: I make a motion to choose Option 1 on the Refuse and Recycling Program to maintain the sticker/bag and toter combination program and it currently exists.

Aldr. Stellato: Second.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Can Option 1 or Option 2 be negotiated during this contract or not?

Mr. Wilson: The RFP was for five years with the selection of Option 1 or Option 2.

Chairman Payleitner: Kristi, please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Turner: Yes

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Gaugel, seconded by Aldr. Stellato. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.d. Recommendation to approve Limited License Agreement with Syndeo for Fiber Installation within St. Charles ROW.

Tom Bruhl presented. We have decided on a Limited License Agreement to make sure that Syndeo maintains JULIE locating status and if we ever widen the road, they would have to relocate their facilities. The City Attorney drafted the Agreement that is before you, which is acceptable to Syndeo.

With that, we recommend approving a Limited License Agreement with Syndeo for the installation of fiber in the City's right of way.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Bessner, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.e. Recommendation to approve Franchise Agreement and Pole Attachment Agreement with Comcast.

Tom Bruhl presented. The City's Franchise Agreement with Comcast expired on March 4, 2018. As part of the Illinois Level Playing Field Statute that provides Comcast the ability to renegotiate the terms and get any favorable terms that we gave to MetroNet to them. The two major changes to the Agreement are that we recommended an extension of the Franchise Agreement from five years to ten years and then second was the application of less stringent obligations to serve. MetroNet got an obligation to serve customers under less density where Comcast had an obligation to serve every citizen in St. Charles. Comcast is requesting that less stringent obligation to serve. We do not believe that is going to be a problem because they are already serving almost all of the citizens of St. Charles and any new developments.

The one provision they would not accept consistent with MetroNet was the language to relocate when we do overhead to underground conversions; they did not want the obligation to get off our poles, they wanted to maintain the overhead, so they stayed status quo with what we have today.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Isn't it our long term goal to eventually put everything underground? Is AT&T obligated to put everything underground?

Mr. Bruhl: No. AT&T's Pole Attachment Agreement has the provisions that if we want to abandon a pole, they have the right to buy it.

Aldr. Silkaitis: I find this odd. Comcast wanted everything MetroNet got, but they won't do this because it will cost them money. That is a deal breaker for me.

Mr. Bruhl: They kept the language that they had. In my 16 years here, we have never paid Comcast to relocate when we have done underground conversions. We have always been able to work it out.

Aldr. Lemke: Comcast could always buy a pole and remain overhead?

Mr. Bruhl: Correct.

Aldr. Lewis: If they bought a pole, wouldn't we have to give them permission to put it on our property?

Mr. Bruhl: The pole is already in the right of way. Telecommunications companies have the right to use the right of way to transmit telecommunications services.

Aldr. Silkaitis: I vote nay.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved 8 to 1 by voice vote.
Motion carried

5.f. Recommendation to approve Purchase Order to Sauber Manufacturing Company for Electric Line Pole/Cargo Combination Trailer.

Tom Bruhl presented. We received one bid and two no bids. We reviewed this trailer, and in terms of scope and size, it is in the ballpark range.

I make a recommendation to approve a purchase order to Sauber Manufacturing Company for an electric line pole/cargo combination trailer in the amount of \$34,450.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.g. Recommendation to award Purchase Order to Archon Construction for Electric Manhole Frame and Cover Adjustments.

Tom Bruhl presented. We went out for bid; Archon was the low unit cost for this item. I make a recommendation to approve a purchase order with Archon Construction for frame and cover adjustments in the amount of \$27,780.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.h. Recommendation to approve Purchase Order to Green Planet 21 Utility for Cable Removal Contractor Services for a Two Year Period beginning May 1, 2018 through April 30, 2020.

Tom Bruhl presented. Green Planet 21 removes cable from our duct with a special machine that pulls the cable out, chops it and then we take it for scrap. It is my recommendation to approve a purchase order with Green Planet 21 Utility for cable removal services in the amount of \$40,897.50.

Aldr. Gaugel: Do we get credit for the scrap?

Mr. Bruhl: Yes, we do. We are going to use our own dump trucks and take it to S&S in Aurora. The value of the scrap is not included in this; this is just the labor and the machine. The scrap value is going to be in the area of two times this value.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Lemke, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.i. Recommendation to approve Acceptance of Electric Easement at 315 S. Kirk Road.

Tom Bruhl presented. We have to reinforce the system in the Kirk Road area. We negotiated an easement for a pad mounted switch gear on Dupaco Pactiv at 315 S. Kirk. The attached agreement was drafted by their attorney, but our City attorney has approved it. It is my recommendation to authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute this Electric Utility Easement at 315 S. Kirk Road.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.j. Recommendation to approve Budget Addition to the Ohio Avenue Roadway Improvement Project.

Karen Young presented. For the purposes of discussion, I'm going to combine items 5.j and 5.k which include a recommendation for a budget addition and approval for a construction contract for the Ohio Avenue FDR project. We bid the project in May and had five bidders with the lowest bid being received by Geneva Construction Company. Geneva Construction has successfully completed several jobs and is qualified to do this project. Bids came in slightly higher than our budgeted amount; we reached out to several contractors because we noticed some high bids on the utility portion of the contract. They had mentioned there were several tollway projects that were recently awarded that was impacting the availability of the contractors and that is a very small portion of our contract, so that's what the overage is on our bid.

This is the same process that we did on 37th & 38th last year in the Industrial Park. The work is scheduled to start in June with the work to be substantially complete in August with restoration in September.

With that, I would like to make a recommendation for a budget addition for the Ohio Avenue project in the amount of \$8,271.

Aldr. Stellato: I will be abstaining from this.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Bessner, seconded by Aldr. Turner, Abstained by Stellato. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.k. Recommendation to approve Construction Contract for the Ohio Avenue Roadway Improvement Program.

Karen Young presented. This is a recommendation to approve a construction contract with Geneva Construction Company for the Ohio Avenue project in the amount of \$943,270.56.

Aldr. Stellato: I need to abstain from this one as well.

Aldr. Lemke: Is there any reason why Geneva Construction is higher?

Mrs. Young: We found out the utility contractors have been awarded several large contracts and all the utility costs were significantly higher.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Lemke, Abstained by Stellato. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.l. Recommendation to approve a Construction Engineering Agreement for the Ohio Avenue Roadway Improvement Project.

Karen Young presented. As we did last year, we had a construction engineer on the jobsite full time. This is a big project that impacts several industrial businesses in the industrial park on Ohio Avenue from Kirk to Kautz. We sent out RFP's to five firms; we had three firms submit proposals being HR Green, Baxter & Woodman and V3. Upon reviewing proposals it was determined that the staff that was proposed by the two other firms did not have appropriate experience for the type of work that we have proposed. We reviewed the remaining proposal from HR Green and determined that they had a combination of RFP compliance, project approach, capability and project cost. We negotiated with them further, and it is my recommendation to approve a contract with HR Green in the amount of \$108,096.99.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Lemke, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.m. Recommendation to approve a Construction Engineering Agreement for the Campton Hills Road Roadway Improvement Project.

Karen Young presented. We put this out at the same time as the RFP for Ohio Avenue and evaluated the same consultants for this work and we are making a recommendation to approve a Construction Engineering Agreement with HR Green for the Campton Hills Road Roadway Improvement Project in the amount of \$28,448.97.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Bessner, seconded by Aldr. Gaugel. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.n. Recommendation to approve Construction Contract for Pavement Rejuvenation.

Karen Young presented. As you may recall, last year we began a Pavement Rejuvenation Program which identified three different types of improvements; reconstruction, resurfacing and preventative maintenance. This work falls under preventative maintenance which will extend the life of our pavement throughout town.

This year we are proposing to complete all the streets that were resurfaced in 2016/2017. This project was bid through Kane County; they received two bids with one of the bids being rejected due to non-compliance, which left the remaining bid award with Corrective Asphalt Materials. Their contract with the County was at \$.86 per square yard and we actually negotiated with them to get \$.84 per square yard.

It is my recommendation to approve the contract with Corrective Asphalt Materials in the amount of \$131,247.48.

No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Kristi, please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Turner: Yes

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Lemke. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.o. Recommendation to approve Budget Addition to the 7th Avenue Creek Project.

Karen Young presented. For discussion purposes I'm going to combine items 5.o and 5.p. These items are regarding the real estate purchase of property at 1734 Riverside Avenue, and include a recommendation for a budget amendment and also for the approval for the real estate purchase contract. This property is located within the 7th Avenue Creek project limits. We were approached by the property owner stating they would like to sell their home. The City evaluated to see if it fits within our plan and there is also an opportunity for possible bike plan relocation for the Fox River Trail at that location.

This is not a current budgeted item; therefore, we are making a recommendation to approve a budget addition in the amount of \$165,000 for property acquisition.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.p. Recommendation to approve Real Estate Purchase Agreement for 1734 Riverside Avenue.

Karen Young presented. This is a recommendation to purchase the property at 1734 Riverside Avenue in the amount of \$165,000 with Jack McGinnis with the Public Works Director authorized to execute all appropriate documents.

No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Kristi, please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Turner: Yes

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Bessner, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.q. Recommendation to award the Bid for Water Treatment Salt.

Tim Wilson presented. We went out to bid for water treatment salt on April 12, 2018 and received two bids with Midwest Salt being the low bidder. This is a two year contract with multiple types of delivery; air delivery and dump delivery. Based on the current salt usage, the estimated cost will be \$154,725.

It is my recommendation to award a two year contract to Midwest Salt for water treatment salt based on the unit price provided in the bid.

No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Kristi, please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Turner: Yes
Bancroft: Yes
Gaugel: Yes
Vitek: Yes
Bessner: Yes
Lewis: Yes
Stellato: Yes
Silkaitis: Yes
Lemke: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.r. Recommendation to award Proposal for Environmental Services SCADA Phase #1 Hardware.

Tim Wilson presented. Last month we talked about SCADA software, this month we are talking about SCADA hardware. City Staff and our consulting firm, Concentric Integration obtained proposals through an RFP process in March, 2018. Proposals were received from two firms. Both firms provided equal hardware options.

It is Staff's recommendation for Concentric Integration to move forward with the purchase of Phase 1 Hardware with a five year warranty from Wesco International, with a recommendation to award proposal to Concentric Integration SCADA Phase 1 Hardware purchase in the amount of \$259,965.74.

No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Kristi, please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Turner: Yes

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.s. Recommendation to award the Proposal for Construction Engineering for 7th and Division Avenue Lift Station Replacement.

Tim Wilson presented. This lift station serves approximately 250 services. The service area is generally bound by Moore to the north, Division to the south, 7th to the west and Kirk Road to the east. Funding for this lift station replacement was approved as part of the Phosphorus Digester Construction low interest loan. In March, Committee approved the design phase. For the Construction Engineering phase, Trotter and Associates was the low proposal at \$41,000. In addition to being the lowest proposal, Trotter and Associates has completed similar projects in comparable communities, making them qualified for the project.

It is my recommendation to award the proposal for Construction Engineering Services for the 7th and Division Avenue Lift Station Replacement to Trotter and Associates in a not to exceed amount of \$41,000.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**

6. Executive Session.

None.

7. Additional items from Mayor, Council, Staff or Citizens.

None.

8. Move to Adjourn Government Services Committee Meeting.

Motion by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**