

**MINUTES
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL
GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2019, 7:00 P.M.**

Members Present: Aldr. Payleitner, Acting Chairman Lemke, Aldr. Bancroft, Aldr. Vitek, Aldr. Pietryla, Aldr. Bessner

Members Absent: Aldr. Stellato, Aldr. Silkaitis, Aldr. Turner, Aldr. Lewis

Others Present: Mayor Raymond Rogina; City Administrator Mark Koenen; Peter Suhr, Director of Public Works; Chris Adesso, Assistant Director of Public Works; Tom Bruhl, Electric Services Manager; AJ Reineking, Public Works Manager – Public Services; Tim Wilson, Public Works Manager - Environmental Services; Ken Jay, Public Works Manager - Engineering; Jim Keegan, Police Chief; Rich Clark, Commander of Special Services; Kevin Christensen, Assistant Fire Chief

1. Meeting called to order at 7:00 p.m. Aldr. Lemke presiding as Acting Chairman.

2. Roll Call

K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Absent

Silkaitis: Absent

Payleitner: Present

Lemke: Present

Turner: Absent

Bancroft: Present

Vitek: Present

Pietryla: Present

Bessner: Present

Lewis: Absent

3.a. Electric Reliability Report – Information only.

3.b. Natural Resources Commission Minutes – Information only.

4. **OMNIBUS VOTE** – **Items with an asterisk (*)** are considered to be routine matters and will be enacted by one motion.

Items *5.c, *5.d

No further discussion.

Acting Chairman Lemke: Kristi, please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Absent

Silkaitis: Absent

Payleitner: Yes

Lemke: Acting Chair – No vote

Turner: Absent

Bancroft: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Pietryla: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Absent

No further discussion.

Motion by Aldr. Bancroft, seconded by Aldr. Payleitner. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried.**

5.a. Presentation of the Kane County Bike Share System.

Chris Adesso presented. I'm here with an informational presentation of the Kane County Bike Share System, which is an initiative of Kane Kendall Council of Mayors and the Kane County Department of Transportation.

Presentation by Chris Adesso.

Aldr. Payleitner: The Divvy bikes in Chicago are not for recreational use; you are allowed at most an hour at a time and then you start getting charged. This Bike Share System sounds like it may be more geared toward recreation, so is this different?

Mr. Adesso: That was certainly one of the county's initiatives that they put forward as one of the things they thought they could leverage and the pricing format for the vendor hasn't been determined yet, so I don't have a great answer, but I do know that recreation was cited as a reason to get it.

Aldr. Vitek: I think this is great and there are opportunities for sponsorship from corporate.

Aldr. Bessner: Is Koloni somewhat self-supportive? There will be revenues that come back through by the use of these bikes, so my question is, how self-supportive are some of these bike companies overall?

Mr. Adesso: I think what you are asking is how will the program be self-supported financially, or will Koloni support the City's initiative in participating?

Aldr. Bessner: It seems like we are allowing them to have the service here to some degree, where there will be revenue that I would assume Koloni will make. Why do we need to support it? Is it self-supportive?

Mr. Adesso: We haven't determined exactly what the financial structure looks like, but the way its set up tentatively is that the City will bear the cost of the capital infrastructure and for that cost Koloni will provide will provide essentially a service to the City. They will provide the bikes, the stations and the pick-up and balancing of the system should it be needed. The City would then be the owners, so Koloni won't actually own the infrastructure or the bikes, therefore, the other member communities can leverage the marketing opportunities. So any marketing revenue that is associated with it won't go to Koloni, it will go to the member community.

Aldr. Bessner: All revenues from the bikes themselves will go to Koloni?

Mr. Adesso: Yes; that is the model as I understand it.

Aldr. Pietryla: Tourism is listed as a "pro"; are there any estimates in terms of users who may be attracted to the area?

Mr. Adesso: The county hasn't shared any estimated users with us as of yet, but I imagine that there is a market. That question would definitely need to be answered to figure out how many stations we have to set up. We are hoping if we get the ok to keep going that the discussions with Koloni might help us understand that. We can add stations, so maybe we take a conservative approach to get started.

Aldr. Pietryla: I support this; I think it's a great idea.

Aldr. Payleitner: I think it's a great idea, I'm just wondering about the practicality for us. How many stations do we need and what other use would we have besides recreation.

Mr. Adesso: Those are good questions; the reason I wanted to make this presentation is because we are not committed at this point; we aren't asking for a Resolution to commit to the program. I think the next step would be to get planners from the County here in front of the Committee and perhaps even the vendor to answer some more of these

detailed questions to see what they have in mind. Before doing that, we felt it would be wise to solicit your feedback. If it was an overwhelming majority that it's not for us, then we would give that feedback to the county. But it sounds like we want to learn more, so we can make that opportunity happen.

Aldr. Bancroft: The reality is how they are used in Chicago is practical, business oriented. If that's one of the objectives here, then one of the things we need to think about is that it doesn't have the same versatility if Geneva didn't commit to having one at the Geneva train station and if Elgin didn't commit to having one at their train station.

Mr. Adesso: Part of the discussion we had with the county was that in order for us to make informed decisions and in order for us to give you the information to make informed decisions, we need to know who else is participating which is why they've asked us to have these meetings so they can get a feel for that.

Aldr. Lemke: Does anyone in the audience want to speak about the Kane County Bike Share System? If not, do you have all the information you need to have?

Mr. Adesso: Yes.

No further discussion.

5.b. Presentation of 7th Avenue Creek Project Update.

Ken Jay presented. It was one year ago when City Council approved the final engineering for Phase 1 of the 7th Avenue Creek Project, so this is an update on the project and a glimpse of our preliminary plan.

Presentation by Ken Jay.

Aldr. Payleitner: I understand we are 100% out to eliminate the floodplain by eliminating the troubled properties, correct?

Mr. Jay: That eliminated many that are frequent fliers, yes.

Aldr. Payleitner: I understand culvert replacement and re-engineering the creek. However, I was under the understanding that all that was going to be done by phases and the beautification part was going to be done all together later down the road. Now I'm hearing you say that the beautification is happening phase by phase.

Mr. Jay: Phase 1 is the primary section of beautification and will be the park-like amenity. Phase 2 is primary engineered solutions; phase 2 is almost all culvert replacements so that won't have any beautification. In Phase 3, there will be options where we could just move forward and replace culverts and solve stabilization issues or

continue forward and do bigger beautification closer to Tyler Road between the railroad tracks, behind the commercial section.

Aldr. Payleitner: I'm not looking for more beautification. I understand Public Works doing the remedy for flooding. However, I have wondered all along if the beautification portion – adding walking parks and the plantings, is our burden to bear; should it be a neighborhood improvement, or the Park District, or as I mentioned before, maybe an SSA? I'm wondering how the budget amount is laid out.

Mr. Jay: The grant is specifically for the water quality improvement portion, so the grant money goes towards the water quality improvements. When the master plan was developed, at that point, the direction was a blended result where we didn't just want to buy all the properties which was the most expensive and leave a blighted area, but also try to improve the area beyond just the flood improvements. That's where the grant opportunities come in for the water quality improvements.

Aldr. Lemke: Unless anyone has other questions, it sounds like this is for information only and you will come back to us with any other plans.

No further discussion.

***5.c. Presentation of Proposed 2020 Street Rehabilitation Program.**

Approved by Omnibus vote.

***5.d. Recommendation to approve an Ordinance Accepting a Jurisdictional Transfer Agreement for Dunham Road.**

Approved by Omnibus vote.

5.e. Recommendation to approve Professional Service Agreement for the IL Route 31 and Roosevelt Sewer Main Replacement.

Tim Wilson presented. This is for design engineering only for a sanitary sewer main that is reaching its end of life that has had several failures, and at the same time we will be designing it for future growth. This trunk main takes a lot of growth from the subdivisions to the west all the way to Randall Road.

The design team will be working on several items such as the sewer main location and will be in the State right-of-way. Once it starts, the overall construction will have a fairly large impact on the City, so we will talk about those items after we are done with the design. The construction bid is not anticipated to go out until the end of 2020. Prior to bid, we will be back to provide you an update on how the project is going to move forward.

On October 21, we received 8 RFQ submittals. Our staff processed the RFQ's and selected and negotiated a fee with Engineering Enterprises. The fees associated with this project are based on a cumulative hourly rate not to exceed the total of the project costs. Based on the complexity and size of the project, the proposed rates are comparable to other sized projects we've done.

It is my recommendation to approve a Professional Services Agreement for the Route 31 and Roosevelt Sewer Main Design to Engineering Enterprises for a cost of \$239,618.

Aldr. Lemke: Please call a roll:

K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Absent

Silkaitis: Absent

Payleitner: Yes

Lemke: Acting Chair – No vote

Turner: Absent

Bancroft: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Pietryla: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Absent

No further discussion.

Motion by Aldr. Bessner, seconded by Aldr. Vitek. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

5.f. Recommendation to approve a Budget Addition for Purchase of Bulk Road Salt for Winter Operations.

AJ Reineking presented. The City recently participated in the State of Illinois Joint Purchase Program for our bulk road salt for winter operations. Compass Minerals America of Overland Park, KS was the low bidder for the City's request of 5,000 tons of salt.

Their bid at \$81.25 per ton is 29% higher than we paid in 2018/2019, which is \$55,750 over our budgeted amount. Like any commodity, the price of salt fluctuates based on the availability. Last year our region had a large volume of icing and freezing rain events which required a lot of salt use throughout the Chicagoland area. The 29% is much larger than we would like to see from year to year, but \$81.25 isn't entirely out of left field, either given the winter we had last year.

It is my recommendation to approve a budget addition for the purchase of bulk road salt in the amount of \$55,750.

K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Absent

Silkaitis: Absent

Payleitner: Yes

Lemke: Acting Chair – No vote

Turner: Absent

Bancroft: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Pietryla: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Absent

No further discussion.

Motion by Aldr. Pietryla, seconded by Aldr. Payleitner. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

5.g. Recommendation to authorize the Purchase of Untreated Rock Salt through the State of Illinois Joint Purchasing Program.

Aldr. Lemke: Now that we approved the money, I don't believe we need to have discussion about purchasing the salt. Does anyone have any questions?

K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Absent

Silkaitis: Absent

Payleitner: Yes

Lemke: Acting Chair – No vote

Turner: Absent

Bancroft: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Pietryla: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Absent

No discussion.

Motion by Aldr. Pietryla, seconded by Aldr. Payleitner. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried.**

6. Executive Session

None.

7. Additional items from Mayor, Council, Staff or Citizens.

None.

8. Move to Adjourn Government Services Committee Meeting at 7:32 p.m.

Motion by Aldr. Bessner, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. No additional discussion.
Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**