

**MINUTES  
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL  
LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION MEETING  
MONDAY, JULY 18, 2016**

**1. Opening of Meeting**

The meeting was convened by Liquor Commissioner Rogina at 4:30 p.m.

**2. Roll Call**

**Members Present:** Liquor Commissioner Rogina, Robert Gehm, Ald. Payleitner, and Chuck Amenta

**Absent:** Ald. Lewis

**Others Present:** Police Chief Keegan, Mark Koenen, Atty. John McGuirk, Tina Nilles

**3. Recommendation to approve a proposal contingent on manager background being completed for a Class B Liquor License for Noodles & Company to be located at 3875 E Main Street, St. Charles (former TGIF Restaurant).**

**Chief Keegan:** This is a recommendation to approve a new liquor license. There is a representative from Noodles & Company present. This is a new business that will occupy the former TGIF on the east gateway. It's a multi-tenant building. They are asking for a B liquor license consistent with their business plan in various other Illinois municipalities. We have initiated a background, fingerprints are still forth coming; we don't anticipate any problems. There is some outdoor dining contingent upon the license being passed. Alcohol cannot pass by the fenced in the area and will be contained in the seating area.

**Anna King:** I'm the area manager for Noodles and Company, 3875 E Main Street.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** I'm happy you're coming to St. Charles. Does the Geneva location have a liquor license?

**Anna King:** Yes

**Ald. Payleitner:** The manager from the Geneva location is moving to the St. Charles location?

**Anna King:** Yes. The general manger that was at the Geneva location will be taking over the St. Charles location.

**Ald. Payleitner:** You're aware, similar rules, and are on top of it?

**Anna King:** Yes.

**Ald. Payleitner:** Thank you. Welcome

Motion by Ald. Payleitner, second by Mr. Amenta to move this application to the July 18, 2016 Government Operations Committee meeting.

**Roll Call:** Ayes: Ghem, Payleitner, Amenta. Absent: Lewis. Nays: None. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

4. **Recommendation to approve a proposal of a Class C liquor license for Dawn's VooDoo Room to be located at 214 W. Main Street, St. Charles (former Acquaviva Winery).**

**Chief Keegan:** Dawn Humer is present. This is the former Acquaviva Winery located at 214 W Main Street. Dawn is looking to expand another business here in St. Charles. Dawn and I have talked a number of times. I went out to the site and visited the patio in particular. Dawn is asking for a late night permit to accompany a Class C liquor license. We talked about what Dawn would like to do with the business model. You'll see in your packet there is a floor plan. Food is an item of concern. Dawn is contemplating a menu, what form and fashion is still being determined. She's going to work in conjunction with the Kane County Health department. There is not a commercial kitchen in the establishment.

**Dawn Humer:** 12890 Rock Creek Circle, Huntly, Illinois.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** You are taking over a vacated spot on our Main Street. It's a small tavern/bar, some minor food. During my tenure here, you as a licensee in our community, hold one of the finer, if not one of the finest records in terms of ability to maintain a peaceful, professional business establishment. The Chief attested to that in the comments made in his summary.

**Bob:** It's great to see that space being reused on Main St. I know you don't have a patio at Dawn's Beach Hut. What will be the security to protect that area outside.

**Dawn Humer:** It's actually bordered on both sides by the wall of Alley 64, and fence and shrubbery by Pub 222 and there is a gate that will be locked. I have had four previous businesses and one of them had quite a large patio; much larger than the space at 214 Main Street. I didn't have any problems with that.

**Ald. Payleitner:** I'm glad business is good. I think the last time you were before us you were having some issues.

**Dawn Humer:** I'm opening the other spot to fill in the lack of income from the Beach Hut.

**Ald. Payleitner:** Your honor, 85 capacity, that's a good sized establishment, and seasoned, correct?

**Dawn Humer:** There are only 37 seats inside. That's very seasonal.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** If memory recalls, Acquaviva had the same capacity back there. I never saw it full.

**Ald. Payleitner:** I thought he served appetizers there?

**Dawn Humer:** He had food in there and was doing it illegally. He was not supposed to be serving food. Eventually, the health department did catch up to him and shut him down. I think a lot of the food was being transferred from the location in Maple Park. He was doing some cooking on premises that was not legal.

**Chuck:** The only question/concern I have is the food menu and it looks like it's to be determined.

**Dawn Humer:** I was basically going to have snacks available all the time. Peanuts, pretzels, popcorn, that type of thing.

**Chuck:** I know that sugary drinks do metabolize faster and you get intoxicated quicker. My concern is if you are serving that type of menu to have something to help soak that up. Larger soft pretzels, as it's being addressed I don't see it being a long term issue.

**Chief Keegan:** We've had some conversations, Dawn and I, with the patio she does know that I as the Chief would like her to have some sort of food element. She's going to research that and work with the health department and expand that as necessary. I would like her to expand and have some food offerings.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** I think, should something in your professional opinion go awry it will come out pretty clearly, and you made the appropriate recommendations. Dawn's smart enough, given her track record running a business in this community, to go along with that same concept. To me, I'm not that concerned.

**Chuck:** My concern is minimal. You know how to handle those things.

**Dawn Humer:** You're worried about sugary drinks. In my menu there is a big portion of desert drinks, I want to appeal to the people going to restaurants and come to my place after, those have a huge percent of ice cream in them. That ice cream is in place of solid liquor that the other places around me are serving.

**Chuck:** That's a different type of concoction from a Hurricane or something like that.

**Dawn Humer:** Hurricanes have a lot of juice in them in place of a lot of liquor you'd find in other drinks. Really in all actuality they aren't getting intoxicated more quickly because they aren't consuming as much liquor.

**Ald. Payleitner:** Do you have kitchen at your current place?

**Dawn Humer:** I wouldn't call it a kitchen. We have a limited menu and serve pizza. It's like a toaster oven type of thing.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** This will go before the Government Operations Meeting this evening.

Motion by Mr. Gehm, second by Mr. Amenta to move this application to the July 18, 2016 Government Operations Committee meeting.

**Roll Call:** Ayes: Ghem, Payleitner, Amenta. Absent: Lewis. Nays: None. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

**5. Recommendation to approve a proposal of a Massage Establishment for St. Charles Park District to be located at 1050 Dunham road, St. Charles.**

**Chief Keegan:** This is the Norris Center. It's a joint venture. The St. Charles Park District is assuming operations of the Norris Center from the school district. I don't see Ms. Masoncup who's the general manager, but there has been some correspondence. In you packet is the site and business plan. They want to offer massage as a complimentary service in conjunction with the fitness center. A detective did meet with the massage therapist, vetted her background, unfortunately neither are in attendance today. We can pass and see if we can get a hold of them or depending on the pleasure of the commission I'll act accordingly.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** I'll let the rest of the Commission guide this, but I would say that this is a fellow government body and I have faith in the Park District, and as you indicated you've done the background check.

**Chief Keegan:** We'll make every attempt to make contact with somebody and get them to the Committee meeting this evening.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** This is a lot different from any of our others. It's non-for-profit.

**Ald. Payleitner:** I have no problem with the Park District. How many massage therapists are they planning on having on site?

**Chief Keegan:** To the best of my knowledge, just one. That's all we have vetted thus far. To remind the Commission we license the establishment, not the therapist, that's done by the Regulatory Board through the State of Illinois Department of Professional Regulation. We would look at the host site management which in this case is the Park District, it's an ancillary service in conjunction with the fitness center. I don't have any concerns. Ms. Masoncup is well known to the police department. I believe her husband is an educator for the school district. I feel comfortable advancing it, but I'll stand at your direction.

**Ald. Payleitner:** She is the massage therapist, she's the manager?

**Chief Keegan:** She's the manager of the Norris Center, but she's not the massage therapist.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** You've vetted the massage therapist.

**Chief Keegan:** Investigations has.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** This concept is a lot like what we've had with other establishments by appointment only, or would it be as needed? In a place like this there is plenty of supervision.

Motion by Ald. Payleitner, second by Mr. Ghem to move this application to the July 18, 2016 Government Operations Committee meeting.

**Roll Call:** Ayes: Ghem, Payleitner, Amenta. Absent: Lewis. Nays: None. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

#### 6. Discussion of a proposal of a new liquor classification for mani/pedi salons.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** This would be a D9 license. After your preliminary remarks I see our friends from salon Agape are here who triggered this conversation. They aren't the only ones who have had conversations with me, and I think you've had some conversations other places as well. Based upon this discussion, depending upon how it goes, we would bring back to this body some formal ordinance.

**Chief Keegan:** Correct. Typically when we do a rewrite or look at expanding into another classification that accompanies an application coming forward. In this particular case we decided to change the plans midstream. When I started working on this concept and looking at other municipalities, I discovered that there is a broad range of what is done. What I've prepared for discussion is you are allowed to sell alcohol in conjunction with services, but not product. That's a state law. You can't have alcohol consumption taking place where there is sales occurring. When I drafted the language I looked at a few different things. If you allow this it has to be in conjunction with a service, manicure, pedicure, haircut, etc. We have a massage ordinance that clearly states alcohol is not allowed in massage establishments. Some of the salons/boutiques will offer massage as an ancillary service. I codified in some of the remarks that alcohol will not be allowed in massage rooms.

I want to talk about the hours. We try to be friendly to businesses and work with them. I saw it all over the board. Some ordinances specified only a certain number of drinks are allowed to be consumed. I decided to look at more of an hour restriction. For the sake of discussion I listed 10:00am – 10:00pm as the allowable hours for consumption, and banning it from 10:00pm – 10:00am. I included, just for discussion purposes, salons, pedicures, manicures, haircuts, spas. We've had some inquiries from bridal shops that do trunk sales, or private events where they aren't selling products, but bridal parties are coming in to try on for fittings and things, not to purchase. For now we've looked at language from municipalities reaching from Batavia, Glen Ellyn, Hinsdale, Lincolnshire, Park Ridge, there are number of communities that allows this.

Agape is here to answer some questions, but really some of the things I'd like to discuss and get some answers on before I come back are hours and how far we would expand this or allow it at all. I'd be happy to answer any questions, this is strictly a topic for discussion, and Agape can also step forward.

**Ald. Payleitner:** As I see it, you can upsell services. You come in for at \$15 pedicure and the next thing you know you have a \$60 pedicure. I'm just wondering if in the context of the state statute if they say it's okay with services.

**Chief Keegan:** There are a number of communities that are doing this. I reached out for the State Liquor Control Commission and read their bylaws online, and talked to an agent that I deal with from time-to-time, it's allowed in other municipalities and the State has given them licenses. One of the things that Agape would have to understand is although it would probably be an ancillary part of their business practices they still would have to go get BASSET training, dram shop insurance and all their liquor would have to be purchased from a licensed distributor because you can't tax people twice. Illinois mandates that you go through a licensed distributor.

**Ald. Payleitner:** Where does the BYOB come in? Is that already allowed for salons?

**Chief Keegan:** We have 2 different classifications for BYOB in St. Charles. One is in conjunction with food sales; the other is a social club setting. We didn't look at this concept because what we were asked of was to present a full blown liquor license for retail and on-site consumption. That's an alternative we can look at if that's the pleasure of the Commission.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** If we had someone come in suggesting they want a BYOB then we would address that at the time. It wouldn't fall into our current BYOB license.

**Chief Keegan:** It wouldn't. We'd have to expand the F licenses to include an F3.

**Ald. Payleitner:** Prior to our having an F license was it allowed. I thought it was and barber shops could have a mini fridge for customers to keep beers, correct?

**Chief Keegan:** It was. We never regulated it, we were silent on it.

**Bob:** All the things we do with a normal liquor license would apply in this case as well so there would be some control over making sure it's done correctly if it were to be allowed?

**Chief Keegan:** You need to get licensed locally first before you go down to the State Building. We know this is an ancillary service to complement their business practice. The cost I'm proposing is a \$500.00 a year license which mirrors, for instance, the Harley Davidson license, which is an E5, also the Steel Beam Theater. Someone can't come in and ask for a martini or a glass of wine, it has to be purchased in conjunction with a service. I stress in the language I presented that it's not to be done in conjunction with product.

**Bob:** The idea being you're there for a service and the buying of beer or wine is ancillary.

**Chief Keegan:** Correct.

**Chuck:** What we brought up with the service and product issues are concerns. I see other industries coming to us with this kind of thing down the road. It seems as a municipality we're taking it on an individual basis. It's not appropriate to bring up my concerns on where it could go. As it is here I see a lot of work has gone into this and I think it makes sense.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** The fact that one of our sister cities, Batavia, already has one. I'd like to put the people in our business community to at least to be competitive with the sister cities. That would be my comment. You mentioned haircuts so theoretically, manicure, pedicure. If somebody comes in and they're scheduled for a massage, they're waiting and have a cocktail while their waiting. They can't go into the massage therapy room with a drink. Can they be served while waiting? I would be against that, personally. If you shape the ordinance, how might that be addressed from your perspective as Chief?

**Chief Keegan:** For right now in the language itself, I indicated that alcohol wouldn't be allowed in the rooms, but I think as we draft this moving forward and if you want me to come back with a more concrete description, I'll do that. The other concept I thought of; a wife is getting a pedicure or manicure and the husband is waiting, that's something I talked with Mr. Koenen about and said we would foster some discussion on and get feedback.

**Ald. Payleitner:** You'll get wedding parties where the bride is having services done and the bridesmaids are there not getting anything. If it was a BYOB they might all share a bottle of wine.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** That would be if we decided to go to a BYOB concept. I like the idea of focusing on the service itself and not on the sale of products. I think it has to be in conjunction with a service.

**Chuck:** There would also have to be some thought into how long is too long to stay afterwards and have another 2 or 3 drinks. At what point does the establishment say enough?

**Chief Keegan:** A couple of ordinances that I looked at specified that a patron couldn't consume more than 3 or 4 drinks. None of the licenses had hour restrictions. I included the hour restriction to foster some discussion, because we stipulate hours here in St. Charles. I don't know of any liquor classification that says how many drinks you can consume. I saw that in other ordinances and I wanted to get some feedback from the Liquor Commission.

**Chuck:** As the proprietor would that be an easier enforcement? If I come in get a manicure and have 6 drinks, then I go out and get hit by a car, my family is going to come back to you. How do we make it easier for you?

**Aaron Perez – Salon Agape:** It would probably be easier to do an hour's restriction rather than a drink restriction. If you have wedding party come in at 12:00 pm can they have 4 drinks over the span of 4 hours, you can limit them easily.

**Ald. Payleitner:** Would you ever consider BYOB or do you want to be on the liquor sales end?

**Aaron Perez:** The liquor sales end. I think the BYOB would limit who you can sell to. Not everybody would just want beer or wine or would want to bring that in.

**Ald. Payleitner:** Chief did you read the Batavia ordinance?

**Chief Keegan:** I did.

**Ald. Payleitner:** It's not a BYOB?

**Chief Keegan:** It's retail.

**Ald. Payleitner:** Same with Glen Ellyn? I know there is a spot in Glen Ellyn that is a BYOB.

**Chief Keegan:** Most of the restrictions I included I didn't see in some of those. For instance, I didn't see the hour restrictions. The only ones that come to mind were Park Ridge and Hinsdale that had no more than 3 or 4 drinks. The 10:00 am restriction, we use 7:00 am Monday – Saturday for most restrictions for our package stores, but for onsite consumption 10:00 am on Sunday's. The 10:00 am was probably more stringent on my part. I don't know what time bridal parties come in. That's something you may want to think about, rolling back towards 8:00 am. That's something we can talk about.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** I've had more than these here come into my office to talk about that. It hasn't happen yet but my suspicion would be that once the conversation begins, or at least once the Chief and staff bring back an ordinance to the Liquor Commission and ultimately the City Council we'll see some finality to the issue one way or the other.

**Ald. Payleitner:** What about a cooler with beer available or little bottles of wine? I don't envy you taking on a bartender roll in addition to your other responsibilities.

**David A. Mulder:** My daughter runs Salon Agape. This idea was born out of a need to bring more sales into the place. I agree with the 10:00 am – 10:00 pm, that's a good time. We had a discussion before we got here to see if we were all on the same page. I called in some other business experts to get the input on doing this. Would you consider a wine tasting room such as in Napa or Sonoma and have four wines represented there and pour the wines for the tasting and if patrons wanted to order that wine, we need to find out about tax ramifications, but we could set up the order right there and have it shipped to their house; or maybe we have 4 or 5 different bottle beers.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** You did mention that in my office and I mentioned you would have to have a conversation with staff; so I'm not sure about having a city ordinance that allows for sales, but then also tastings, orders, etc. It's an evolving process and at the end of the day the staff has to come back here at some point forward and put something on the table for Liquor Commission, then Committee and ultimately City Council for final approval.

**Mr. Mulder:** We don't have a massage therapist there so we can certainly circumvent that.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** But we suspect there might be places that this could be the case and we have an ordinance in place. We want to do whatever we can to make your business successful and competitive and at the same time protect our flight from any liability, safety standpoint, and just provide a good business practice. I believe you have some good information to move along with – no action required on this item.

Could I have motion to direct staff to bring back to the Liquor Commission a model ordinance for a liquor classification for mani/pedi salons? There's no rush on this – say a couple of months or by September Liquor Commission.

Motion by Gehm, second by Amenta to direct staff to bring back to the Liquor Commission a model ordinance for a liquor classification for mani/pedi salons?

**Roll Call:** Ayes: Gehm, Payleitner, Amenta; Nays: None; Absent: Lewis. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote at Chair. **Motion Carried.**

**7. Discussion and review of the new liquor license application and requirements of old business plan guidelines.**

**Chrmn. Rogina:** I would like to throw out some kudos to Chief, along with Sara Cass, and Tina Nilles who all put together a pretty solid and extremely well-done new application that hopefully addresses Commission's issues, all the staff issues as it relates to putting a liquor license in place, and obviously City Council's concerns and issues. Procedurally if you were to approve this document tonight, it would go to the August 1 Government Operations Committee for review. It's not going anywhere tonight. Lastly, I like the fact that all of us were somewhat culpable and let it get away from us a bit, only because people started putting things in their business plans about market, finances, etc. and all of sudden it grew a little too big. I was happy to see a statement in the packet that we are not asking anyone to put together a marketing plan or financials in here. That's up to the business – they can sink or swim on their own merits on that basis. I like that in there a lot. I found this to be a pretty solid document.

**Ald. Payleitner:** To add on to what the Mayor just said, I had questioned when someone puts in a lot of extra information, it's kind of for lack of a better term, laziness. This is the packet they gave to the bank so this is the packet they gave to the Chief. Is Tina happy with this application format.

**Tina:** Yes, I feel it's very comprehensive and captures everything thus far. We are also taking it to another level that all approved signatories on the application will receive a copy of this application in tandem to make any noted comments of concern through the approval process.

**Ald. Payleitner:** It looks very clear with no fuzziness to the applicant.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** If they come in and some commissioner of council member blisters them on some issue, it's because they didn't provide what was asked of them on the application.

**Chief Keegan:** Ms. Cass sent out a survey to the Chief's Association and got 30 responses back. We looked at the application material from 30 municipalities, met with all the key stakeholders here in the City; it was a labor of love, it's going to be circulated to all signatories, contained in a database so there can be access to it off-hours if needed; and the onus is on the applicant. They need to jump through some hoops to complete this so that we don't have questions at the podium.

**Tina:** This is also very user-friendly where the applicant can fill it out on-line and print it out.

Motion by Payleitner, second by Gehm to send the proposed new liquor application to Government Operations on August 1.

**Roll Call:** Ayes: Gehm, Payleitner, Amenta; Nays: None; Absent: Lewis. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote at Chair. **Motion Carried.**

**8. Recommendation to approve an Ordinance Amending Title 5 “Business License and Regulations”, Chapter 5.08 “Alcoholic Beverages”, Section 5.08.100 – “License Fees; Late Night Permit Fees; Fees Established”, and Section 5.08.130 “License – Hours of Sale” of the St. Charles Municipal Code.**

**Chrmn. Rogina:** This is cleanup work plus some requests have been made by some of our licensees on the flip of giving some people leeway on certain nights of the year and about certain mornings of the year.

**Chief Keegan:** In the packet there is one typo that talks about some dispensation that was allowed on Christmas Eve and New Year's Eve; it should say Christmas Eve and Christmas Day. How this started is we were approached by a couple of establishments that do breakfasts and brunches and obviously the holidays are a key component of their business if not the busiest brunches of the year. All of our B establishments that are restaurants or D establishments that are banquet halls or Pheasant Run allows consumption on site from 7:00 am forward depending on their license classification. Some go to midnight or later with a late night permit to 1:00 a.m., 2:00 a.m., and in Pheasant Run's case 3:00 a.m. Monday through Saturdays. On Sundays package and all B and D, etc. started at 10:00 a.m. We gave some dispensation through the years to package in sliding it back to 8:00 a.m. on Christmas Eve and Christmas Day; so when I looked at the code and had staff and business owner conversations, I put a proposal together looking at some key or highly attended Sunday type brunches and that would be New Year's Eve, New Year's Day, Easter, Mother's Day, Father's Day, Christmas Eve, and Christmas to allow them the same hour accommodations that they get Monday through Saturday. We would scale back consumption from 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. for the mimosas, bloody Mary's, some of the things that are historically ordered on holidays with larger brunches. To be fair and level the playing field, I also looked at the rest of the code under hour of sale and looked at package liquor and afforded them the same opportunity because a lot of times folks who are hosting at their homes

oftentimes forgets something and is running out to the store. If you are having a family party, 10:00 a.m. restrictions hurts us a little bit on holidays. To be candid, we did not get any requests from the package stores to scale it back but when I looked at some of the requests from the sit-down establishments, I thought it only fair to look at the code and make a recommendation accordingly.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** Your point is nondiscriminatory and fair to all our liquor licensees. It's twofold about the fact that people do have to go out last minute on those days and it's fair across the board to all our licensees. We have allowed the earlier openings on Christmas Eve and Christmas Day, so really we're only talking here about three traditional Sunday days: Easter, Mother's Day, and Father's Day because if Christmas falls on any other day than a Sunday, it falls on the old rule anyways of 7:00 a.m. – 10:00 p.m.

**Chief Keegan:** We're only talking about five Sundays, so there are 47 other Sundays in the year that this wouldn't apply to.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** So with the Commission's recommendation, we would send this to August 1 Government Operations Committee.

Motion by Mr. Gehm, second by Ald. Payleitner to recommend approval of an Ordinance Amending Title 5 "Business License and Regulations", Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages", Section 5.08.100 – "License Fees; Late Night Permit Fees; Fees Established", and Section 5.08.130 "License – Hours of Sale" of the St. Charles Municipal Code.

**Chrmn. Rogina:** Chief could you talk very briefly about the cleanup on licensing schedule?

**Chief Keegan:** Tina and I went through this with a fine tooth comb and because we have a pretty comprehensive liquor code here; you'll see C2 is a classification alone, B3 is the Cooper Hawks model, combo sit-down with a winery and retail component. That's classified at a higher rate because there are two licenses in one and copies Francesca's and Pizzeria Neo because they are allowed to take wine out with takeout orders. The changes the Mayor indicated were part of the hours of sale 5.08.130 and when we did that Tina and I made sure the classification in the table of the fee schedule was according to our code.

**Ald. Payleitner:** You said we have a comprehensive liquor code; my question is to Tina – does this make your job easier or more complicated?

**Tina:** Yes this helps as the application captures everything.

**Roll Call:** Ayes: Gehm, Payleitner, Amenta; Nays: None; Absent: Lewis. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote at Chair. **Motion Carried.**

## 9. Other Business

## 10. Public Comment

**Ald. Payleitner:** When Dawn came in for her second license, is there a limit to how many licenses a signal party can have in town? I know Marwan has three now.

**Tina:** It is not stated in our code.

**Chief Keegan:** I also had some conversation with Dawn about fees, and she is well aware of our stances as a City going forward on some of the things we collect on.

**11. Executive Session (5 ILCS 120/2 (c)(4)).**

**12. Adjournment**

Motion to adjourn by Mr. Gehm, second Ald. Payleitner at 5:30 p.m.

**Voice Vote:** Ayes: Unanimous; Nays: none. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

:tt