# CITY OF St. Charles 

TWO EAST MAIN STREET
ST. CHARLES, ILLINOIS 60174-1984 PHONE: (630)377-4443 EMAIL: cd@stcharlesil.gov

## Zoning Map Amendment Application

| CITYVIEW |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: | Minhall Glen |
| Project Number: | 2020 -PR-004 |
| Cityview Project Number: | pima 202000641 |

## Instructions:

To request a zoning map amendment (rezoning) for a property, complete this application and submit it with all required attachments to the Planning Division.
City staff will review submittals for completeness and for compliance with applicable requirements prior to establishing a Plan Commission public hearing or meeting date.

The information you provide must be complete and accurate. If you have a question please call the Planning Division and we will be happy to assist you.


## Zoning and Use Information:

Comprehensive Plan Land Use Designation of the property: $\frac{\text { Single Family Detached Residential (eastern }}{\text { parcels) and Industrial/Business Park (rear parcel }}$ Current zoning of the property: M-2 Limited Manufacturing

Is the property a designated Landmark or in a Historic District? No
Current use of the property: Residential and farming
Proposed zoning of the property: RS-4 under a PUD
Proposed use of the property: Residential
If the proposed Map Amendment is approved, what improvements or construction are planned? (An accurate site plan may be required to establish that the proposed improvement can meet the minimum zoning requirements)

Full development of the site for 50 residential lots under RS-4 PUD zoning with earth moving, tree removal, road construction, detention, sewer, water, gas, electric, communication and landscape installation ready for home construction.

## Attachment Checklist:

If multiple zoning or subdivision applications are being submitted concurrently, do not submit duplicate checklist items or plans. Fee must be paid for each application.

## - APPLICATION FEE:

Application fee in accordance with Appendix B of the Zoning Ordinance. (\$500)

- REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AGREEMENT:

An original, executed Reimbursement of Fees Agreement and deposit of funds in escrow with the City, as provided by Appendix B of the Zoning Ordinance.

- REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES INITIAL DEPOSIT:

Deposit of funds in escrow with the City. Required deposit is based on review items (number of applications filed) and the size of the site:

| Number of <br> Review Items | Under 5 Acres | 5-15 Acres | 16-75 Acres | Over 75 Acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 4,000$ |
| 2 or 3 | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 7,000$ |
| 4 or more | $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |

## - PROOF OF OWNERSHIP and DISCLOSURE:

a) A current title policy report; or
b) A deed and a current title search.

If the owner is not the applicant, an original letter of authorization from the owner permitting the applicant to act on his/her behalf is required. If the owner or applicant is a Trust, a disclosure of all beneficiaries; if the owner or applicant is a Partnership, a disclosure of all partners; if the owner or applicant is a Corporation, a disclosure of all owners with an interest of at least ten percent ( $10 \%$ ).
NOTE: Private covenants and deed restrictions can limit private property rights with respect to the use of land even though the City's Zoning Ordinance may authorize the use or a less restrictive use. We strongly advise that you perform a title search on the property to determine if there any private covenants containing use restrictions or other deed restrictions. As those private covenants and deed restrictions may conflict with the City's Zoning Ordinance, it is further recommended that you consult with an attorney to obtain an opinion with respect to whether your intended use is compatible with those restrictions.

- LEGAL DESCRIPTION: For entire subject property, on $8 \frac{1}{2} \times 11$ inch paper
- PLAT OF SURVEY:

A current plat of survey for the Subject Realty showing all existing improvements on the property, prepared by a registered Illinois Professional Land Surveyor.

- SITE PLAN:

Simple site plan drawn to scale to demonstrate that the property can meet the requirements of the proposed zoning district (parking requirements, setbacks, landscaping, etc.)

- FINDINGS OF FACT:

Fill out the attached form or submit responses on a separate sheet.

- LIST OF PROPERTy OWNERS WITHIN 250 FT.

Fill out the attached form or submit on a separate sheet. The form or the list must be signed and notarized.

- SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT APPLICATION:

Copy of completed Land Use Opinion application as required by state law, as submitted to The Kane-Dupage Soil and Water Conservation District. http://www.kanedupageswcd.org/
Submit the application form and fee directly to the Kane-DuPage Soil and Water Conservation District. Provide a copy with this application.

## - ENDANGERED SPECIES REPORT:

Copy of Endangered Species Consultation Agency Action to be filed with the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. http://dnrecocat.state.il.us/ecopublic/
Fill out the online form, print the report and submit with this application.

I (we) certify that this application and the documents submitted with it are true and correct to the best of my (our) knowledge and belief.

Applicant or Authorized Agent
$8 / 10 / 2020$
Date

# Legal Description 

## PARCEL 1:

THAT PART OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 8, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 88 DEGREES 50 MINUTES 28 SECONDS WEST ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SOUTH WEST QUARTER, 215.25 FEET TO THE CENTER LINE OF TYLER ROAD; THENCE NORTH 08 DEGREES 41 MINUTES 50 SECONDS EAST ALONG SAID CENTER LINE, 73.7 FEET FOR A POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 23 MINUTES 00 SECONDS WEST 222.0 FEET; THENCE NORTH 08 DEGREES 41 MINUTES 50 SECONDS EAST PARALLEL WITH THE CENTER LINE OF SAID TYLER ROAD, 132.77 FEET; THENCE NORTH 85 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 00 SECONDS EAST 224.97 FEET TO THE CENTER LINE OF SAID TYLER ROAD; THENCE SOUTH 08 DEGREES 41 MINUTES 50 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID CENTER LINE, 148.01 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN THE CITY OF SAINT CHARLES, KANE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

## PARCEL 2:

THAT PART OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 8 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE RIGHT OF WAY OF THE CHICAGO AND GREAT WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY AT A POINT 615.9 FEET WESTERLY FROM THE CENTER LINE OF A NORTH AND SOUTH ROAD IN SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER, KNOWN AS TYLER'S ROAD, MEASURED ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY; THENCE SOUTH 11 DEGREES 16 MINUTES EAST 895.5 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER FOR A POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE NORTH 11 DEGREES 16 MINUTES WEST 895.5 FEET TO the south line of said railroad right of way; thence westerly along the southerly line OF SAID RIGHT OF WAY 482 FEET; THENCE SOUTHERLY 914.3 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER 1059.9 FEET WEST OF THE CENTER LINE OF SAID TYLER ROAD; THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE 669 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN THE CITY OF SAINT CHARLES, KANE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

## PARCEL 3:

THAT PART OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 8, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 88 DEGREES 50 MINUTES 28 SECONDS WEST ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID QUARTER 215.25 FEET TO THE ORIGINAL CENTER LINE OF MUNHALL AVENUE (FORMERLY TYLER ROAD); THENCE NORTH 8 DEGREES 41 MINUTES 50 SECONDS EAST ALONG SAID ORIGINAL CENTER LINE 221.71 FEET FOR A POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 8 DEGREES 41 MINUTES 50 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID ORIGINAL CENTER LINE 95.20 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG A CURVE TO THE RIGHT HAVING A RADIUS OF 233.0 FEET TANGENT TO A LINE DRAWN NORTH 39 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 44 SECONDS EAST FROM THE LAST DESCRIBED POINT 89.15 FEET TO A LINE

DRAWN CONCENTRIC WITH AND 40.0 FEET SOUTHWESTERLY OF THE PRESENT CENTER LINE OF TYLER ROAD; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID CONCENTRIC LINE, BEING A CURVE TO THE RIGHT HAVE A RADIUS OF 1081.0 FEET; 43.34 FEET TO A LINE DRAWN NORTH 85 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 0 SECONDS EAST FROM THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 85 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 0 SECONDS WEST 39.68 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN THE CITY OF SAINT CHARLES, KANE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

PARCEL 4:
THAT PART OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 26 AND PART OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 35, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 8, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 88 DEGREES 50 MINUTES 28 SECONDS WEST ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER, 215.25 FEET TO THE CENTER LINE OF TYLER ROAD FOR THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE NORTH 08 DEGREES 41 MINUTES 50 SECONDS EAST ALONG SAID CENTER LINE, 73.7 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 23 MINUTES 00 SECONDS WEST 222.0 FEET; THENCE NORTH 08 DEGREES 41 MINUTES 50 SECONDS EAST PARALLEL WITH THE CENTER LINE OF SAID TYLER ROAD, 132.77 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 85 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 00 SECONDS WEST 237.82 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 10 DEGREES 39 MINUTES 00 SECONDS EAST 194.53 FEET TO THE NORTH EAST CORNER OF PHASE NO. 1 CAMBRIDGE, SAINT CHARLES, KANE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; THENCE SOUTH 17 DEGREES 28 MINUTES 03 SECONDS EAST ALONG A NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID PHASE NO. 1, 253.08 FEET; THENCE NORTH 72 DEGREES 44 MINUTES 11 SECONDS EAST 305.44 FEET TO THE CENTER LINE OF SAID TYLER ROAD; THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG SAID CENTER LINE 160.54. FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING IN THE CITY OF SAINT CHARLES, KANE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

## PARCEL 5:

THAT PART OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 8, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID QUARTER; THENCE SOUTH 88 DEGREES 50 MINUTES 28 SECONDS WEST ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID QUARTER 215.25 FEET TO THE ORIGINAL CENTER LINE OF MUNHALL AVENUE (FORMERLY TYLER ROAD); THENCE NORTH 8 DEGREES 41 MINUTES 50 SECONDS EAST ALONG SAID ORIGINAL CENTER LINE 221.71 FEET FOR A POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 08 DEGREES 41 MINUTES 50 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID ORIGINAL CENTER LINE 95.20 FEET; THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG A CURVE TO THE LEFT HAVING A RADIUS OF 233.00 FEET TANGENT TO A LINE DRAWN SOUTH 39 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 44 SECONDS WEST FROM THE LAST DESCRIBED POINT 52.64 FEET; THENCE NORTH 08 DEGREES 48 MINUTES 33 SECONDS EAST 138.04 FEET TO A LINE DRAWN SOUTH 85 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 00 SECONDS WEST FROM THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE NORTH 85 DEGREES 33 MINUTES 00 SECONDS EAST 22.0 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN THE CITY OF SAINT CHARLES, KANE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

## Findings of Fact - MapAmendment

The St. Charles Zoning Ordinance requires the Plan Commission to consider factors listed below in making a recommendation to the City Council.

As an applicant, the "burden of proof" is on you to show why the proposed zoning is more appropriate than the existing zoning. Therefore, you need to "make your case" by


ST. CHARLES आMCETMT explaining how the following factors support your proposal. If a factor does not apply to the property in question, indicate "not applicable" and explain why it does not apply.

Munhall Glen
Project Name or Address

8/10/2020
$\overline{\text { Date }}$

## From the Charles Zoning Ordinance, Section 17.04.320.D:

In making its recommendation to grant or deny an application for a Zoning Map Amendment, including changes to Zoning District and Overlay boundaries, the Plan Commission shall consider:

1. The existing uses and zoning of nearby property. (Relate the proposed land use and zoning to the land use and zoning of other properties in the area)

The property is currently zoned a mix of RS-4 and M-2. The property to the south is zoned RS-4 and so this property melds well to the existing residential. The property to the east is zoned $\mathrm{M}-2$ and used as office space. The building have a residential feel and will work well with this property. The properties to the north and west are M-2 and St. Charles owned properties. The zoning change to this property will be a positive for this area and act well as a transition between the residential to the south and more commercial uses to the north.
2. The extent to which property values are diminished by the existing zoning restrictions. (Compare the value of the subject property and nearby properties under the current zoning to their potential value under the proposed zoning.)

The highest and best use of this property is residential under the RS-4 classification with a PUD. This property will fill a niche of unmet need of first floor master bedroom housing and is a much better use than the current M-2 zoning. The M-2 Zoning in this location is unneeded due to the properties to the east of this property toward the DuPage Airport and the route 64 corridor. The fact that this property has not been developed under M-2 and left as a field while every property around it has been developed is a testament to that fact. This property has been underperforming on the tax rolls as farm land and one residential property. The change in zoning will be a great benefit to the tax rolls and improve its value to the City of St. Charles.
3. The extent to which the reduction of the property's value under the existing zoning restrictions promotes the health, safety, morals or general welfare of the public. (If the existing zoning decreases the value of the subject realty, does it also produce any perceptible public benefits?

This is not applicable. The current zoning has no benefit to the health, safety, morals or general welfare of the public. In fact, the change in zoning will be a great benefit to the health, safety, morals and general welfare of the public by meeting housing needs and creating a much more orderly procession of development.
4. The suitability of the property for the purposes for which it is presently zoned, i.e. the feasibility of developing the property for one or more of the uses permitted under the existing zoning classification. (Can the subject property reasonably be used for any of the uses currently permitted? Physical and market conditions may be considered.)

The property is not suitable for the purpose for which it is presently zoned. The traffic patterns into residential area make commercial traffic an issue as well as the location being into a residential neighborhood. The fact that it has sat for so long underutilized while every property around it many, many years ago had been developed is testament to the fact that the value of the property is not M-2. There are many much better options to the east for commercial usages and the best use of this property is rezoning to RS-4 under a PUD.
5. The length of time that the property has been vacant, as presently zoned, considered in the context of the land development in the area where the property is located. (If a property has been vacant longer than other similar properties in the area, it may be an indicator that the existing zoning is inappropriate.)

This property is the last property in this area for development. It has been many, many years since all the properties around it have been developed and this property has sat underutilized. Due to the inadequacies for development as $\mathrm{M}-2$ is the reason it has been left behind as other properties have been developed. The change in zoning to RS-4 PUD residential usage makes much more sense in this area.
6. The evidence, or lack of evidence, of the community's need for the uses permitted under the proposed district. (Development trends, market forces, and the Comprehensive Plan may be considered.) The rezoning of the property to RS-4 PUD will meet a substantial need of single level and first floor master bedroom homes in St. Charles. The demographics show that this is a substantial void in the market. The change in zoning allowing housing to be built on this property will allow those individuals who need first floor master bedrooms in St. Charles to stay in St. Charles rather than moving away from their community. It will create better mix of housing in St. Charles meeting the needs of more residents and creating a greater mix of housing in the community.
7. The consistency of the proposed amendment with the City's Comprehensive Plan.

The change in zoning to RS-4 PUD conforms to the purposes and intent of the Comprehensive Plan
by promoting development within the current boundaries of the City. It focuses development on an underutilized property thereby enhancing the tax base, utilizing surrounding infrastructure instead of needlessly extending infrastructure past undeveloped properties. It provides housing close to shopping districts and the downtown areas as well as promoting development in an area with significant road and transportation corridors promoting orderly and efficient development.
8. Whether the proposed amendment corrects an error or omission in the Zoning Map.

Not Applicable - There is not an error or omission in the Zoning Map.
9. The extent to which the proposed amendment creates nonconformities. (Generally it is not appropriate to rezone a property unless it can comply with the requirements of the new zoning.)

The rezoning of this property will not create any nonconformities.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
10. The trend of development, if any, in the general area of the property in question. (New development, redevelopment, changes in use, or other changes in the area may help to justify a change in zoning.)

The trend in development for M-2 is to be in better transportation corridors specializing in ease of commercial traffic flow for large trucks. This property does not meet that need. The need is for residential in this area and this change in zoning will allow housing for those looking for single level living or first floor master bedrooms. Changing to RS-4 PUD allows for housing and will be a much better use for the property.

Plan Commission recommendation shall be based upon the preponderance of the evidence presented and the Commission shall not be required to find each Finding of Fact in the affirmative to recommend approval of an application for Map Amendment.

# City of St. Charles 

TWO EAST MAIN STREET
ST. CHARLES, ILLINOIS 60174-1984
COMMUNTY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION
pHoNE: (630) 377-4443 Emal:: cd@stcharlesil.gov

## Special Use Application

(To request a Special Use or Amendment, or a Special Use for PUD or Amendment)

| For City Use <br> Project Name: | Munhall Gen |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Number: | 2020 | -PR-004 |
| Cityview Project Number: | PLS4202000034 |  |



To request a Special Use for a property, or to request to amend an existing Special Use Ordinance for a property, complete this application and submit it with all required attachments to the Planning Division.

City staff will review submittals for completeness and for compliance with applicable requirements prior to establishing a public hearing date for an application.

The information you provide must be complete and accurate. If you have a question please call the Planning Division and we will be happy to assist you.


## Please check the type of application:

X Special Use for Planned Unit Development - PUD Name:
Munhall Glen
New PUD
ロ
Amendment to existing PUD- Ordinance \#:
【 PUD Preliminary Plan filed concurrently
$\square$ Other Special Use (from list in the Zoning Ordinance):
$\square$ Newly established Special Use
$\square$ Amendment to an existing Special Use Ordinance \#:

## Information Regarding Special Use:

Comprehensive Plan designation of the property: Industrial/Business Park $+\frac{\text { Single-Family }}{\text { Detached }}$ Is the property a designated Landmark or in a Historic District? NO

What is the property's current zoning? RS-4 \& M2
What is the property currently used for? Residential and farming
If the proposed Special Use is approved, what improvements or construction are planned?
51 new single family homes along with construction of detention areas, streets, sidewalks, sanitary sewer, water, and storm sewer

## For Special Use Amendments only:

Why is the proposed change necessary?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
What are the proposed amendments? (Attach proposed language if necessary)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Note for existing buildings:

If your project involves using an existing building, whether you plan to alter it or not, please contact the St. Charles Fire Department ( $630-377-4458$ ) and the Building and Code Enforcement Division (630-377-4406) for information on building, life safety and other code requirements. Depending on the proposed use, size of structure and type of construction, these requirements can result in substantial costs.

## Attachment Checklist:

If multiple zoning or subdivision applications will be submitted concurrently, do not submit duplicate checklist items or plans. Fee must be paid for each application.

## I APPLICATION FEE:

Application fee in accordance with Appendix B of the Zoning Ordinance. (Special Use for PUD $\$ 1,000$; all other Special Use requests $\$ 750$ )

## REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AGREEMENT:

An original, executed Reimbursement of Fees Agreement and deposit of funds in escrow with the City, as provided by Appendix B of the Zoning Ordinance.

## I REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES INITLAL DEPOSIT:

Deposit of funds in escrow with the City. Required deposit is based on review items (number of applications filed) and the size of the site:

| Number of <br> Review Items | Under 5 Acres | 5-15 Acres | $16-75$ Acres | Over 75 Acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 4,000$ |
| 2 or 3 | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 7,000$ |
| 4 or more | $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |

## PTROOF OF OWNERSEIP and DISCLOSURE:

a) A current title policy report; or
b) A deed and a current title search.

If the owner is not the applicant, an original letter of authorization from the owner permitting the applicant to act on his/her behalf is required. If the owner or applicant is a Trust, a disclosure of all beneficiaries; if the owner or applicant is a Partnership, a disclosure of all partners; if the owner or applicant is a Corporation, a disclosure of all owners with an interest of at least ten percent ( $10 \%$ ).

NOTE: Private covenants and deed restrictions can limit private property rights with respect to the use of land even though the City's Zoning Ordinance may authorize the use or a less restrictive use. We strongly advise that you perform a title search on the property to determine if there any private covenants containing use restrictions or other deed restrictions. As those private covenants and deed restrictions may conflict with the City's Zoning Ordinance, it is further recommended that you consult with an attorney to obtain an opinion with respect to whether your intended use is compatible with those restrictions.
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: For entire subject property, on $81 / 2 \times 11$ inch paper

## PLAT OF SURVEY:

A current plat of survey for the Subject Realty showing all existing improvements on the property, prepared by a registered Illinois Professional Land Surveyor.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Fill out the attached forms or submit responses on a separate sheet (Submit "Criteria for PUD" for any PUD application; "Findings for Special Use" for all other Special Use applications.)

- LIST OF PROPERTY OWNERS WITHIN 250 FT.:

Fill out the attached form or submit on a separate sheet. The form or the list must be signed and notarized.

## SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT APPLICATION:

Copy of completed Land Use Opinion application as required by state law, as submitted to The Kane-Dupage Soil and Water Conservation District. http://www.kanedupageswcd.org/

Submit the application form and fee directly to the Kane-DuPage Soil and Water Conservation District. Provide a copy with this application.

## ENDANGERED SPECIES REPORT:

Copy of Endangered Species Consultation Agency Action to be filed with the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. http://dnr.illinois.gov/EcoPublic/

Fill out the online form, print the report and submit with this application.
TRAFFIC STUDY: If requested by the Director of Community Development.
Staff will advise you whether a traffic study is recommended based on the project. Regardless, the Plan Commission or City Council may request a traffic study as a part of the review process.
d PLANS:
All required plans shall be drawn on sheets no larger than $24^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime}$, unless the Director of Community Development permits a larger size when necessary to show a more comprehensive view of the project. All required plans shall show north arrow and scale, and shall be drawn at the same scale (except that a different scale may be used to show details or specific features). All plans shall include the name of the project, developer or owner of site, person or firm preparing the plan, and the date of plan preparation and all revisions.

## Copies of Plans:

Initial Submittal - Ten (10) full size copies, Three (3) 11 " by $17{ }^{\prime \prime}$, and a PDF electronic file (On a CD-ROM or may be emailed to the Project Manager). For subsequent submittals, please contact the Project Manager to determine how many copies are required.

## SITE PLAN (Note: For a Special Use for PUD, submit PUD Preliminary Plan Application in lieu of Site Plan)

A plan or plans showing the following information:

1. Accurate boundary lines with dimensions
2. Streets on and adjacent to the tract: Name and right-of-way width
3. Location, size, shape, height, and use of existing and proposed structures
4. Location and description of streets, sidewalks, and fences
5. Surrounding land uses
6. Date, north point, and scale
7. Ground elevation contour lines
8. Building/use setback lines
9. Location of any significant natural features
10. Location of any 100 -year recurrence interval floodplain and floodway boundaries
11. Location and classification of wetland areas as delineated in the National Wetlands Inventory
12. Existing zoning classification of property
13. Existing and proposed land use
14. Area of property in square feet and acres
15. Proposed off-street parking and loading areas
16. Number of parking spaces provided, and number required by ordinance
17. Angle of parking spaces
18. Parking space dimensions and aisle widths
19. Driveway radii at the street curb line
20. Width of driveways at sidewalk and street curb line
21. Provision of handicapped parking spaces
22. Dimensions of handicapped parking spaces
23. Depressed ramps available to handicapped parking spaces
24. Location, dimensions and elevations of freestanding signs
25. Location and elevations of trash enclosures
26. Provision for required screening, if applicable
27. Exterior lighting plans showing:
a. Location, height, intensity and fixture type of all proposed exterior lighting
b. Photometric information pertaining to locations of proposed lighting fixtures

I (we) certify that this appleation and the documents submitted with it are true and correct to the best of my (our)


Applicant or Authorized Agent

Date

July $10^{\text {th }}, 2020$

Russell Colby
Assistant Director
Community \& Economic Development
City of St. Charles
2 E. Main Street
St. Charles, IL 60174

Re: Special Use Application - Munhall Glen

Dear Russell,

I am the managing member of DKIDS, LLC, now known as D. Four, $L \underline{C} C$. We own the property listed below. I give my permission to Court Airhart, Airhart Construction Corp. and members of their team to act on our behalf and file the documents, speak at hearings, or whatever is needed to move the development of this property forward. The property is a combination of 5 properties with PINS:

PIN \#: $\quad \begin{array}{ll}09-26-376-001-11.96 ~ a c r e s ~ \\ & 09-26-376-003-0.66 \text { acres } \\ & 09-26-376-004-0.12 \text { acres } \\ & 09-26-376-005-1.25 \text { acres } \\ & 09-35-126-010-1.47 \text { acres }\end{array}$

Please contact me if you have any further questions at (630) 879-3680.


OWNERSHIP DISCLOSURE FORM Limited Liability Company (L.L.C.)

State of Illinois )
Kan ) SS.
I, AUStin m. Dempsey, being first duly sworn on oath depose and say that I am
Manager of D Four LLC , an Illinois Limited Liability
Company (L.L.C.), and that the following persons are all of the members of the said L.L.C.:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

By:

$\qquad$

Subscribed and Sworn before me this $2^{\text {nd }}$ day of
$\qquad$


# Criteria for Planned Unit Developments (PUDs) 

*For Special Use for PUD or PUD Amendment applications. *
The St. Charles Zoning Ordinance requires the Plan Commission to consider the
criteria listed below in making a recommendation to the City Council on whether a
proposed Planned Unit Development is in the public interest.


ST. CMARLES St $\because C E=3$

As the applicant, the "burden of proof" is on you to provide information that addresses the criteria below in order to demonstrate that the project is in the public interest.
(You may utilize this form or provide the responses on another sheet.)

Munhall Glen<br>PUD Name

6/24/2020
Date

From the St. Charles Zoning Ordinance, Section 17.04.410.3:
The Plan Commission shall not favorably recommend, and the City Council shall not approve, a Special Use for a PUD or an amendment to a Special Use for a PUD unless they each make findings of fact based on the application and the evidence presented at the public hearing that the PUD is in the public interest, based on the following criteria:
i. The proposed PUD advances one or more of the purposes of the Planned Unit Development procedure stated in Section 17.04.400.A:

1. To promote a creative approach to site improvements and building design that results in a distinctive, attractive development that has a strong sense of place, yet becomes an integral part of the community.
2. To create places oriented to the pedestrian that promote physical activity and social interaction, including but not limited to walkable neighborhoods, usable open space and recreational facilities for the enjoyment of all.
3. To encourage a harmonious mix of land uses and a variety of housing types and prices.
4. To preserve native vegetation, topographic and geological features, and environmentally sensitive areas.
5. To promote the economical development and efficient use of land, utilities, street improvements, drainage facilities, structures and other facilities.
6. To encourage redevelopment of sites containing obsolete or inappropriate buildings or uses.
7. To encourage a collaborative process among developers, neighboring property owners and residents, governmental bodies and the community

The proposed PUD promotes a creative solution to an unmet growing housing need of single story and first floor master bedroom housing. This housing will allow for longtime community residents, business people and leaders in St. Charles to remain in St. Charles as their housing needs change. The PUD zoning promotes creative housing and provides attractive streetscapes that incentivizes porches and pedestrian friendly neighborhoods. It promotes social interaction by providing sidewalks, paths, neighborhood connection areas as well as connection to a potential linear park to the north of the property. The PUD provides a harmonious usage of the property by changing a potential heavy commercial use of the property to residential use more in scale with the residential use to the south and the less intense commercial use to the east. The development of this property will promote higher levels of landscaping and higher quality trees than currently exist on the property as uncontrolled Buckthorn,

Honeysuckle, Mulberry, Box Elder, etc. and other invasive landscaping is allowed to multiply. The installation of detention areas with natural landscaping will enhance water quality and native plants. Munhall Glen will be a benefit to future residents, the surrounding neighbors, local businesses and the City of St. Charles.
ii. The proposed PUD and PUD Preliminary Plans conform to the requirements of the underlying zoning district or districts in which the PUD is located and to the applicable Design Review Standards contained in Chapter 17.06, except where:
A. Conforming to the requirements would inhibit creative design that serves community goals, or
B. Conforming to the requirements would be impractical and the proposed PUD will provide benefits that outweigh those that would have been realized by conforming to the applicable requirements.

## Factors listed in Section 17.04 .400. B shall be used to justify the relief from requirements:

1. The PUD will provide community amenities beyond those required by ordinance, such as recreational facilities, public plazas, gardens, public areas, pedestrian and transit facilities.
2. The PUD will preserve open space, natural beauty and critical environmental areas in excess of what is required by ordinance or other regulation.
3. The PUD will provide superior landscaping, buffering or screening.
4. The buildings within the PUD offer high quality architectural design.
5. The PUD provides for energy efficient building and site design.
6. The PUD provides for the use of innovative stormwater management techniques.
7. The PUD provides accessible dwelling units in numbers or with features beyond what is required by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) or other applicable codes.
8. The PUD provides affordable dwelling units in conformance with, or in excess of, City policies and ordinances.
9. The PUD preserves historic buildings, sites or neighborhoods.

The proposed RS4-PUD and PUD Preliminary Plans provide a significantly more harmonious usage of the property than the current more intrusive usage and negative impact on the surrounding properties of the existing M-2 (industrial usage) zoning classification currently in place. By allowing for the PUD the property will be able to meet a significant housing need in the community of single level and first floor master bedroom housing. This housing would not be allowed in the M-2 zoning classification. By changing the zoning and allowing the PUD, storm water facilities, open space, and sidewalks and paths will allow for residents to enjoy the open space and property as well as provide for a pedestrian connection to the potential linear park to the north. The planned landscaping will be a significant improvement to the invasive species currently on the property and the trees planted as a part of the development will be a significant improvement. Due to the size and shape of the property by downzoning the property to an RS4-PUD it allows for implementation of a variety of lot sizes which provides for varied architecture, improved rear yard setbacks and a unique streetscape that incentivizes porches and neighbor interaction than the current M-2 zoning classification allows. The development will provide stormwater facilities with native species enhancing the water quality where currently no storm water facilities exist. The RS4-PUD enhance the opportunity for single level living while not infringing on the size of the homes for those requiring single level living. The PUD promotes quality residential development and provides good transitional zoning to benefit those properties that currently surround it. The development will be a benefit to the City of St. Charles housing and significant increase in tax base.

## iii. The proposed PUD conforms with the standards applicable to Special Uses (section 17.04.330.C.2):

A. Public Convenience: The Special Use will serve the public convenience at the proposed location.

The Special Use will serve the public convenience at Munhall Glen by filling a housing void in the market of single story and first floor master bedroom homes. This void in the market is causing those needing this type of housing to look outside of St. Charles even though they have been long time residents. In addition, by providing housing in this location it helps support area businesses and supports good planning putting residential housing close to both public and private amenities.
B. Sufficient Infrastructure: That adequate utilities, access roads, drainage and/or necessary facilities have been, or are being, provided.

There is sufficient infrastructure and utilities in this area to support the development. There is a major sanitary sewer main on the north end of the property installed for the future development of this property. The utility infrastructure installed on this property will help with the connectivity of utilities, specifically water main, which will help "loop" the water system in the area and provide for better servicing and water circulation. The installation of storm water controls and Best Management Practices on this property will provide storm water detention where no storm water controls currently exist. The traffic pattern will provide excellent vehicular movement because Munhall Glen exits onto a Major Collector, Tyler Road, which links to Principal Arterials of E. Main Street and Kirk Road providing for safe and efficient vehicular movement.
C. Effect on Nearby Property: That the Special Use will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.

Munhall Glen will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of surrounding properties and it will act as an excellent transition from the commercial to the east and west and the residential to the south. By approving this Special Use, the downzoning of this property from M-2, Limited Manufacturing to RS4PUD will ensure a more harmonious residential usage of the property and ensure Munhall Ave. stays primarily a residential street rather than negatively impacted by commercial heavy trucking transportation uses.
D. Effect on Development of Surrounding Property: That the establishment of the Special Use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district.

The establishment of the Special Use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding properties due to the fact that all surrounding properties are currently developed. As the last piece of property in this area for development the approval of the special use will in fact promote a harmonious interconnecting and buffer for the surrounding properties.
E. Effect on General Welfare: That the establishment, maintenance or operation of the Special Use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, comfort or general welfare.

The approval of the Special Use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, comfort or general welfare. As planned, the properties development will provide buffering between different property usages, will extend and improve municipal infrastructure, and will provide
housing needed in the city of St. Charles.
F. Conformance with Codes: That the proposed Special Use conforms to all applicable provisions of the St. Charles Municipal Code and meets or exceeds all applicable provisions of this Title, except as may be varied pursuant to a Special Use for Planned Unit Development.

The proposed Special Use conforms to all applicable provisions of the St. Charles Municipal Code and meets or exceeds all applicable provisions of this Title, except as varied pursuant to the Special Use for the Planned Unit Development. The Special Use and PUD zoning allows for a more inventive design, the average lot sizes are significantly larger than the minimum requirements, and the housing will be constructed at or above current codes and energy requirements. The Special Use and minor changes to the zoning requirements allows for an inventive solution for meeting a needed housing niche within the St. Charles housing stock.
iv. The proposed PUD will be beneficial to the physical development, diversity, tax base and economic well-being of the City.

The proposed PUD will be beneficial to the physical development, diversity, tax base and economic well-being of the City. The development of this property will improve connections of the water and sewer systems and provide storm water management facilities where none currently exist. It will provide a diversity of housing by providing single story and first floor master bedroom housing providing solutions for current St. Charles residents whose housing needs have changed over time and want to stay in the City due to civic, cultural, social and religious activities that they have long time connections. The PUD will substantially increase the tax base for the City, School District, Park District, etc. over the current use in perpetuity benefiting many taxing bodies. In addition, it provides housing close to many commercial districts benefiting many surrounding businesses and the economic wellbeing of the City
v. The proposed PUD conforms to the purposes and intent of the Comprehensive Plan.

The proposed PUD conforms to the purposes and intent of the Comprehensive Plan by promoting development within the current boundaries of the City. It focuses development on an underutilized property thereby enhancing the tax base, utilizing surrounding infrastructure instead of needlessly extending infrastructure past undeveloped properties. It provides housing close to shopping districts and the downtown area as well as promoting development in an area with significant road and transportation corridors promoting orderly and efficient development.

# City of St. Charles 

TWO EAST MAIN STREET
ST. CHARLES, ILLINOIS 60174-1984

## PUD Preliminary Plan Application

| For City Use <br> Project Name: | MUQhall Glen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Number: <br> Cityview Project Number: | PLPOO-PR- 004 |

To request approval of a PUD Preliminary Plan, complete this application and submit it with all required plans and attachments to the Planning Division. Normally this application will track with an application for Special Use for a PUD, unless a Special Use for a PUD has previously been granted and no amendment is necessary.
When the application is complete staff will distribute the plans to other City departments for review. When the staff has determined that the plans are ready for Plan Commission review, we will place the PUD Preliminary Plan on a Plan Commission meeting agenda.
The information you provide must be complete and accurate. If you have a question please call the Planning Division and we will be happy to assist you.

| 1. Property Information: | Location: Munhall Ave./Tyler Road, St. Charles House on property has address 872 Munhall Ave |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Parcel Number }(\mathrm{s}): 5 \text { parcels: 09-26-377-004, 09-26-376-003, 09-26-376-005 } \\ 09-35-126-010 \& 09-26-376-001 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Proposed PUD Name: Munhall Glen |  |
| 2. Applicant Information: | Name <br> Airhart Construction Corp. - Court Airhart President | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phone } \\ & 630-293-3000 \text { ext. } 145 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Address Fax <br> 500 E. Roosevelt Road $630-293-3021$ <br> West Chicago, IL 60185 Email <br>  court@airhartconstruction.com |  |
|  |  |  |
| 3. Record Owner Information: | Name D. Four | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phone } \\ & 630-879-3680 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Address140 First StreetBatavia, IL 60510 | Fax |
|  |  | Email austin@bataviaenterprises.dom |

## Please check the type of application:

## X New proposed PUD- Planned Unit Development (Special Use Application filed concurrently)

Existing PUD-Planned Unit Development
$\square \quad$ PUD Amendment Required for proposed plan (Special Use Application filed concurrently)

## Subdivision of land:

Proposed lot has already been platted and a new subdivision is not required.$\square$ New subdivision of property required:
Final Plat of Subdivision Application filed concurrently
$\square$ Final Plat of Subdivision Application to be filed later

## Attachment Checklist:

If multiple zoning or subdivision applications are being submitted concurrently, do not submit duplicate checklist items or plans. Fee must be paid for each application.

Note: The City Staff, Plan Commission, or City Council, may request other pertinent information during the review process.

APPLICATION FEE: Application fee in accordance with Appendix B of the Zoning Ordinance. (\$500) a REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AGREEMENT:

An original, executed Reimbursement of Fees Agreement and deposit of funds in escrow with the City, as provided by Appendix B of the Zoning Ordinance.

## - REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES INITIAL DEPOSIT:

Deposit of funds in escrow with the City. Required deposit is based on review items (number of applications filed) and the size of the site:

| Number of <br> Review Items | Under 5 Acres | 5-15 Acres | 16-75 Acres | Over 75 Acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 4,000$ |
| 2 or 3 | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 7,000$ |
| 4 or more | $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |

## PROOF OF OWNERSHIP and DISCLOSURE:

a) a current title policy report; or
b) a deed and a current title search.

If the owner is not the applicant, an original letter of authorization from the owner permitting the applicant to act on his/her behalf is required. If the owner or applicant is a Trust, a disclosure of all beneficiaries; if the owner or applicant is a Partnership, a disclosure of all partners; if the owner or applicant is a Corporation, a disclosure of all owners with an interest of at least ten percent ( $10 \%$ ).

NOTE: Private covenants and deed restrictions can limit private property rights with respect to the use of land even though the City's Zoning Ordinance may authorize the use or a less restrictive use. We strongly advise that you perform a title search on the property to determine if there any private covenants containing use restrictions or other deed restrictions. As those private covenants and deed restrictions may conflict with the City's Zoning Ordinance, it is further recommended that you consult with an attorney to obtain an opinion with respect to whether your intended use is compatible with those restrictions.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: For entire subject property, on $8 \frac{1}{2} \times 11$ inch paper - PLAT OF SURVEY:

A current plat of survey for the Subject Realty showing all existing improvements on the property, prepared by a registered Illinois Professional Land Surveyor.

## (1) SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT APPLICATION:

Copy of completed Land Use Opinion application as required by state law, as submitted to The Kane-Dupage Soil and Water Conservation District. http://www.kanedupageswed.org/

Submit the application form and fee directly to the Kane-DuPage Soil and Water Conservation District. Provide a copy with this application.

## Q ENDANGERED SPECIES REPORT:

Copy of Endangered Species Consultation Agency Action to be filed with the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. http://dnr.illinois.gov/EcoPublic/
Fill out the online form, print the report and submit with this application.

All required plans shall be drawn on sheets no larger than $24^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime}$, unless the Director of Community Development permits a larger size when necessary to show a more comprehensive view of the project. All required plans shall show north arrow and scale, and shall be drawn at the same scale (except that a different scale may be used to show details or specific features). All plans shall include the name of the project, developer or owner of site, person or firm preparing the plan, and the date of plan preparation and all revisions.

## Copies of Plans:

Initial Submittal - Ten (10) full size copies for non-residential projects OR Twelve (12) full size copies for residential projects; Three (3) 11" by 17"; and a PDF electronic file (On a CD-ROM or may be emailed to the Project Manager). For subsequent submittals, please contact the Project Manager to determine how many copies are required.
(9) SITE/ENGINEERING PLAN:

## PRELIMINARY ENGINNERING PLANS - DRAWING REQUIREMENTS/CHECKLIST:

Complete the attached checklist and ensure that all required information is included on the Preliminary Engineering Plans:

1. Accurate boundary lines with dimensions
2. Existing and proposed easements: location, width, purpose
3. Streets on and adjacent to the tract: Name and right-of-way width, center line elevation, and culverts
4. Location, size, shape, height, and use of existing and proposed structures
5. Location and description of streets, sidewalks, and fences
6. Surrounding land uses
7. Legal and common description
8. Date, north point, and scale
9. Existing and proposed topography
10. All parcels of land intended to be dedicated for public use or reserved for the use of all property owners with
the proposal indicated
11. Location of utilities
12. Building/use setback lines
13. Location of any significant natural features
14. Location of any 100 -year recurrence interval floodplain and floodway boundaries
15. Location and classification of wetland areas as delineated in the National Wetlands Inventory
16. Existing zoning classification of property
17. Existing and proposed land use
18. Area of property in square feet and acres
19. Proposed off-street parking and loading areas
20. Number of parking spaces provided, and number required by ordinance
21. Angle of parking spaces
22. Parking space dimensions and aisle widths
23. Driveway radii at the street curb line
24. Width of driveways at sidewalk and street curb line
25. Provision of handicapped parking spaces
26. Dimensions of handicapped parking spaces
27. Depressed ramps available to handicapped parking spaces
28. Location, dimensions and elevations of freestanding signs
29. Location and elevations of trash enclosures
30. Provision for required screening, if applicable
31. Provision for required public sidewalks
32. Certification of site plan by a registered land surveyor or professional engineer
33. Geometric plan showing all necessary geometric data required for accurate layout of the site
34. Grading plans showing paving design, all storm sewers, and detention/retention facilities including detention/retention calculations) and erosion control measures
35. Utility plans showing all storm sewers, sanitary sewers, watermains, and appropriate appurtenant structures
36. Exterior lighting plans showing:

- Location, height, intensity and fixture type of all proposed exterior lighting
- Photometric information pertaining to locations of proposed lighting fixtures

37. Typical construction details and specifications
38. Certification of site engineering plans by a registered professional engineer
39. Proof of application for Stormwater Management Permit

## $N / A$ - SKETCH PLAN FOR LATER PHASES OF PUD:

For phased PUD's, where a sketch plan is permitted, it shall include, at minimum, the following:

- General location of arterial and collector streets
- Location of any required landscape buffers
- Location of proposed access to the site from public streets
- Maximum number of square feet of floor area for nonresidential development
- Maximum number of dwelling units for residential development
- Open space and storm water management land


## - ARCHITECTURAL PLANS:

Architectural plans and data for all principal buildings shall be submitted in sufficient detail to permit an understanding of the exterior appearance and architectural style of the proposed buildings, the number, size and type of dwelling units, the proposed uses of nonresidential and mixed use buildings, total floor area and total building coverage of each building.
$\square$ TREE PRESERVATION PLAN:
Tree Preservation Plan when required in accordance with Chapter 8.30 of the St. Charles Municipal Code. The information required for this plan may be included as part of the Landscape Plan set. See attachment, "Tree Preservation Requirements for Preliminary Plans".

## Q LANDSCAPE PLAN:

Landscape Plan showing the following information:

1. Delineation of the buildings, structures, and paved surfaces situated on the site and/or contemplated to be built thereon
2. Delineation of all areas to be graded and limits of land disturbance, including proposed contours as shown on the Site/Engineering Plan.
3. Accurate property boundary lines
4. Accurate location of proposed structures and other improvements, including paved areas, berms, lights, retention and detention areas, and landscaping
5. Site area proposed to be landscaped in square feet and as a percentage of the total site area
6. Percent of landscaped area provided as per code requirement
7. Dimensions of landscape islands
8. Setbacks of proposed impervious surfaces from property lines, street rights-of-way, and private drives
9. Location and identification of all planting beds and plant materials
10. Planting list including species of all plants, installation size (caliper, height, or spread as appropriate) and quantity of plants by species
11. Landscaping of ground signs and screening of dumpsters and other equipment

## T. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT:

Written information (reports, calculations, etc.) as described in the Stormwater Management Requirements for Preliminary Plans (attached)

## G SUBDIVISION PLAT DRAWING REQUIREMENTS/CHECKLIST:

If the PUD Preliminary Plan involves the subdivision of land, a completed Subdivision Plat Drawing Requirements Checklist must be submitted.

## a PUBLIC BENEFITS, DEPARTURES FROM CODE:

A description of how the PUD meets the purposes and requirements set out in Section 17.04.400 of the Zoning Ordinance. Any requests for departures from the requirements of Title 16, "Subdivisions and Land Improvement," and Title 17, "Zoning," shall be listed and reasons for requesting each departure shall be given.
a SCHEDULE: Construction schedule indicating:
a. Phases in which the project will be built with emphasis on area, density, use and public facilities, such as open space, to be developed with each phase. Overall design of each phase shall be shown on the plat and through supporting material.
b. Approximate dates for beginning and completion of each phase.
c. If different land use types are to be included within the PUD, the schedule must include the mix of uses to be built in each phase.

## $\checkmark$ PARK AND SCHOOL LAND/CASH WORKSHEETS

For residential developments, Park and School land/cash worksheets in accordance with Title 16 of the St. Charles Municipal Code with population projections establishing anticipated population and student yields.

## (1NCLUSIONARY HOUSING SUMMARY

For residential developments, submit information describing how the development will comply with the requirements of Title 19, "Inclusionary Housing" of the St. Charles Municipal Code.

I (we) certify that this application and the documents submitted with it are true and correct to the best of my (our)


## MUNHALL GELN - PUBLIC BENEFITS, DEPARTURE FROM CODE

## PROJECT CONCEPT

The development of this site will include 50 residential single family residences as well as open space and stormwater detention. The location of the property is excellent for residential construction. The property is located off a main collector road with great access from Tyler to the North Ave. shopping corridor and downtown St. Charles. It is excellent for commuting as well with its east side location.

Munhall Glen is designed with smaller lots and extremely livable low maintenance homes for downsizers and those looking for "right sized" homes. Due to the shape of the property we are creating a mix of lot widths and depths. This will allow us to create larger back yards in strategic locations that we believe will add to the character of the development and provides a great solution for the layout of this property.

The home designs are primarily focused on single level living with most homes having first floor master bedrooms with secondary bedrooms or loft space on the second floor. There will be some homes with second floor master bedrooms.

Open space is designed to the north of the project which connects to what will hopefully be a future linear park. This will create great access to the park system in St. Charles and beyond for walkers, runners and bikers. Additional open area will be at the entry which includes open space and a second detention basin. The neighborhood will have sidewalks and three pocket parks for social interaction designed into the neighborhood. The first will be at the entry and the other two will be overlooking the natural basin to the north. These areas allow walkers a place to rest or gather with friends.

In addition, the near town location creates easy access to the many parks and the Fox River path system which gives its residents amazing outdoor opportunities.

## Architecture:

The homes include a variety of exterior styles that blend together to create a unique and interesting street scape from traditional to midcentury modern. Homes may feature a front porch to increase neighbor interaction as well as stone or brick accents mixed with siding. The garage faces will be set back from the front of the homes to lessen the impact of the garage doors.

These homes will primarily have first floor master bedrooms designed for owners looking for single level living. The interiors will focus on open concept living with dual use spaces for efficient living. These homes have smaller private yards and include patios or decks on the rear of the homes for outdoor enjoyment.

The finished living space will range from 1,300 to 3,000 square feet, 2-4 bedrooms and 2-3 $1 / 2$ baths and include a two car attached garage with 2 additional parking spaces. The exteriors will be a combination of low maintenance materials including architectural grade shingles, fiber cement siding, aluminum soffits and fascia, concrete porches and options to include cultured stone or brick accents.

While the homes are not attached, they draw buyers looking for the low maintenance of attached homes, without the drawback of having attached walls.

## Departures from Code

Because of our focus on single level living and first floor master bedroom homes the straight RS4 zoning negatively impacts the pursuit of supplying that housing. In order to meet the stated goals above we are requesting a few departures from code to provide this housing. Not all are departures from code, in fact some are more restrictive, the items are listed together to be comprehensive in review.

Due to the shape of the property 2 lot sizes will be utilized to promote a variety of housing and create better opportunities for expanded rear yards. Following is minimum lot size, setbacks and lot coverage in each category.

## 53 foot wide lots (Premier Homes)

Minimum Lot Area:
Minimum Lot Width:
Maximum Building Coverage:
Maximum Building Height:
Setbacks:
Front yard:
Front Porch:
Living space:
Garage
Interior Side yard:
Between Homes:
Exterior Side Yard:
Rear yard:

6,307 square feet
53 feet
37.5\%

34 feet or 2 stories
(whichever is less)

14 feet
20 feet (Enclosed \& heated)
25 feet
6 feet
Minimum 12 feet
15 feet
30 feet

Departure from Code
Departure from Code
Departure from Code
Equal to Code

More Restrictive than Code
Equal to Code
More Restrictive than Code
More Restrictive than Code
Departure from Code
Equal to Code
Equal to Code

## 74 foot wide lots (Garden Homes)

Minimum Lot Area:
Minimum Lot Width:
Maximum Building Coverage:
Maximum Building Height:

7,030 square feet
74 feet
37.5\%

34 feet or 2 stories
(whichever is less)

More Restrictive than Code
More Restrictive than Code
Departure from Code
Equal to Code

Setbacks:
Front yard:

| Front Porch: | 14 feet | More Restrictive than Code |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Living space: | 20 feet (Enclosed \& heated) | Equal to Code <br> Garage |
| Interior Side yard: | 6 feet | More Restrictive than Code |

## Munhall Glen Construction Schedule:

## Upon Municipal Approval and weather permitting:

Site Development will take approximately 6 months and will include:

1) Site Mobilization
2) Tree Removal
3) Silt fence and BMP protections
4) Mass Grading
a. Structural grading of house sites
b. Structural grading of roads
c. Grading of storm water basins
5) Utility installation
a. Sanitary Sewer
b. Storm Sewer
c. Water main
d. Conduits for electric mains and structures
6) Road Construction
7) Landscape installation
a. Installation of storm basin landscaping
b. Installation of paths and pocket park amenities
c. Tree installation
8) Model Home and spec home construction will occur during Site Development, but occupancy will not be allowed until utility and emergency access for vehicles are complete.

## Home Construction:

Once site development is complete home construction will begin and we estimate the buildout for the site to take approximately 48-60 months.

## PARK LAND/CASH WORKSHEET

City of St. Charles, Illinois

Name of Development Date Submitted: | Munhall Glen |
| :---: |
| July 27, 2020 |
| Court Airhart |

Prepared by
stretiss
Total Dwelling Units: 50

A credit for existing residential lots within the proposed subdivision shall be granted. Deduct one (1) unit per existing lot.
Total Dwelling Units w/ Deduction: 49
*If the proposed subdivision contains an existing residential dwelling unit, a credit calculated as a reduction of the estimated population for the dwelling is available. Please request a worksheet from the City.

Estimated Population Yield:


## Park Site Requirements:

Estimated Total Population $\qquad$ x .010 Acres per capita $=$ 1.3764 Acres

Cash in lieu of requirements:
Total Site Acres $\qquad$ 3764 x $\$ 240,500$ (Fair Market Value per Improved Land)
$=\$ 331,026.61$

## SCHOOL LAND/CASH WORKSHEET

Citv of St. Charles. Illinois

Name of Development Date Submitted:
Prepared by:

Munhall Glen July 27th, 2020
Court Airhart

Total Dwelling Units: 50
A credit for existing residential lots within the proposed subdivision shall be granted. Deduct one (1) unit per existing lot.
Total Dwelling Units w/ Deduction: 49
*If the proposed subdivision contains an existing dwelling unit, a credit is available calculated as a reduction of the estimated population for the dwelling. Please request a worksheet from the City.

| Estimated Student Yield by Grades: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Dwelling | \# of dwelling Units (DU) | Elementary (Grades K to 5) |  | Middle (Grades 6 to 8) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { High } \\ \text { (Grades } 9 \text { to 12) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Detached Single Family 2 Bedroom: 5 units |  | DU $\times 136$ | $=.68$ | DU $\times .048$ | $=.24$ | DU $\times .020$ | $=.1$ |
| $>3$ Bedroom | 44 | DUx. 369 | $=16.236$ | DUx. 173 | $=7.612$ | DUx. 184 | $=8.096$ |
| $>4$ Bedroom |  | DUx. 530 | $=$ | DU $\times .298$ | $=$ | DUx. 360 | $=$ |
| $>5$ Bedroom |  | DUx. 345 | $=$ | DU $\times .248$ | $=$ | DU $\times 300$ | $=$ |
| Attached Single Family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| > 1 Bedroom |  | DUx. 000 | = | DUx. 000 | $=$ | DUx. 000 | $=$ |
| $>2$ Bedroom |  | DUx. 088 | $=$ | DU $\times .048$ | $=$ | DUx. 038 | $=$ |
| $>3$ Bedroom |  | DU $\times .234$ | = | DU $\times .058$ | $=$ | DUx. 059 | $=$ |
| $>4$ Bedroom |  | DUx. 322 | $=$ | DU x. 154 | $=$ | DU x. 173 | $=$ |
| Apartments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $>$ Efficiency |  | DUx. 000 | $=$ | DU x. 000 | $=$ | DU $\times .000$ | $=$ |
| $>1$ Bedroom |  | DU $\times .002$ | $=$ | DUx. 001 | $=$ | DUx.001 | $=$ |
| $>2$ Bedroom |  | DU X 086 | $=$ | DU $\times .042$ | = | DU x .046 | $=$ |
| $>3$ Bedroom |  | DUx. 234 | $=$ | DUx. 123 | $=$ | DUx. 118 | $=$ |

Totals $\quad$ (with deduction, if applicable)
School Site Requirements:

| Type | \# of students | Acres per student | Site Acres |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary (TE) | 16.916 | x.025 | $=.4229$ |
| Middle(TM) | 7.852 | $\times .0389$ | $=.3054$ |
| High (TH) | 8.196 | $\times .072$ | $=.5901$ |
|  |  | Total Site Acres |  |
|  |  |  | 1.3184 |

Cash in lieu of requirements:
$\qquad$ (Total Site Acres)
x
\$240,500 (Fair Market Value per Improved Land)
$=\$ 317,075.20$

## Inclusionary Housing Worksheet

| Name of Development | Munhall Glen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date Submitted: <br> Prepared by: | July 27,2020 |

Use this worksheet to determine the affordable unit requirement for the proposed development and to propose how the development will meet the Inclusionary Housing requirements of Title 19.

## Calculate the number of affordable units required:

| Unit Count Range | \# of Units Proposed <br> in Development | \% of Affordable <br> Units Required | \# of Affordable <br> Units Required |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ to 15 Units |  | X | $5 \%$ | $=$ |  |
| More than 15 Units | 49 | X | $10 \%$ | $=$ | 4.9 |

How will the Inclusionary Housing requirement be met?
ㅁ Provide on-site affordable units
© Pay a fee in-lieu of providing affordable units (calculate fee in-lieu below)
$\square$ Provide a mixture of affordable units and fee in-lieu

- \# of affordable units to be provided: $\qquad$
- Amount of fee in-lieu to be paid (calculate below): $\qquad$

Fee In-Lieu Payment Calculation

| \# of Affordable <br> Units Required | \# of Affordable <br> Pnits Proposed to <br> Pay the Fee-In- <br> Lieu |  | Fee-In-Lieu Amount <br> Per Unit | Total Fee-In-Lieu <br> Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.9 | X | $\$ 39,665.75$ | $=$ | $\$ 194,362.18$ |

## Hampton, Lenzini and Renwick, Inc.

September 4, 2020
Attn: Ellen Johnson
City of St. Charles
2 E. Main Street
St. Charles, IL 60174

## Re: Munhall Glen Residential Development <br> St. Charles, IL <br> Traffic Impact Study

Dear Ms. Johnson:
Per your request we reviewed the revised Traffic Study and response letter submitted by Gewalt Hamilton Associates, Inc. (GHA) on September 2, 2020 for the referenced project. We concur with the findings of the study with one minor comment below.

1. On Exhibit 6B (2027 Total Traffic), show the traffic volume at the open access of the site at South Avenue.

If you have any questions or need additional information please contact HLR at 847-697-6700.
Yours truly,
HAMPTON, LENZINI AND RENWICK, INC.
By:


Callie Allbright, PE, PTOE
Traffic Engineer
Amy McSwane, PE, PTOE Preliminary/Traffic Engineering Manager

## Memorandum

To: Mr. Court Airhart<br>Airhart Construction<br>Senior Transportation Engineer<br>Date: July 10, 2020<br>Updated September 2, 2020<br>Subject: Munhall Glen Residential Development<br>Munhall Avenue and Tyler Road<br>St. Charles, Illinois

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL 60061
Tel 847.478.9700 ■ Fax 847.478.9701
www.gha-engineers.com

## Part I. Introduction and Project Context

Gewalt Hamilton Associates, Inc. (GHA) has conducted a Traffic Summary for the proposed Munhall Glen residential development. The site is located on the northwest side of Munhall Avenue, west of Tyler Road, in St. Charles, Illinois. It currently contains a single-family residence and vacant land, accessed via two driveways on Munhall Avenue. As currently proposed, the project includes redeveloping the site to provide 50 age-targeted (not-restricted), single-family homes. Access to the site is proposed via one access on Munhall Avenue. Secondary, emergency access, is also planned via a connection to South Avenue. This also provides an opportunity to permit an open (full access) connection to South Avenue.

The following provides a summary of site traffic characteristics and the analysis conducted, which includes an analysis of the development's impact on the surrounding roadway network. Exhibits and Appendices referenced are in the Technical Addendum at the end of this document.

## Part II. Background Information

## Site Location Map and Roadway Inventory

Exhibit 1 provides a site location map. Exhibit 2 depicts traffic operations on the roadways serving the site, including the lane geometry, traffic control (traffic signal and stop control) and posted speed limits. All roadways within the study area are under local, City of St. Charles jurisdiction except Main Street (IL Route 64) is under the jurisdiction of the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT). Appendix A provides a photo inventory of current traffic operations.

## Area Land Uses

- The site currently contains a single-family residence with two driveways on Munhall Avenue.
- The site is bound by Tyler Ridge Business Park to the north and east, commercial/industrial uses (including Tanglewood Marine, Bluegrass, CrossFit) to the west and single family residential to the south.


## Pedestrian Facilities

A sidewalk is generally provided along both sides of the study area roadways. There are a few areas of disconnect along the east side of $7^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, primarily adjacent to vacant parcels. Pedestrian signals are provided at the Main Street intersections with $7^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Tyler Road. Crosswalks are also provided on all approaches of these signalized intersections, as well as several of the minor street approaches (see Exhibit 2).

## Existing Traffic

Exhibit 3 summarizes the existing weekday morning and evening peak hour traffic volumes. Peak period count data along Tyler Road, Madison Avenue, Main Street and $7^{\text {th }}$ Street was obtained from IDOT's Traffic Count Database System from year 2018, as well as along Main Street from year 2019. The IDOT data was supplemented with turning movement count conducted by GHA on Tuesday, August 25, 2020 from 7:00 to 9:00 AM and 4:00 to 6:00 PM at the intersections of Tyler Road at Munhall Avenue and Indiana Avenue at $13^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

The observed weekday morning and evening peak hours generally occurred from 7:00 to 8:00 AM and 5:00 to 6:00 PM, respectively. The peak hour for each individual intersection / road segment was used in the analysis to provide a conservative analysis scenario.

Exhibit 3 also provides the Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) along Main Street (year 2019) and Tyler Road, Madison Avenue and $7^{\text {th }}$ Street (year 2018) obtained from IDOT's website: www.gettingaroundillinois.com. Summaries of the IDOT traffic counts can be found in Appendix B and the intersection traffic counts in Appendix C.

Notes: 1. The IDOT traffic volumes presented in Appendix B represent "raw", unadjusted data. These volumes are adjusted based on day of week and month of year factors, resulting in an AADT which is lower than the total.
2. The Tyler Road and Munhall Avenue intersection volumes were balanced with the IDOT segment volumes to account for abnormal traffic conditions within the study area associated with school and business closures due to COVID-19.
3. The 2020 Tyler Road and Munhall Avenue intersection volumes were approximately 15 to 25 percent lower than the IDOT roadway segment peak hour volumes. Accordingly, to provide a conservative analysis scenario, the 2020 peak hour volumes at the intersection of Indiana Avenue and $13^{\text {th }}$ Avenue were increased by 25 percent.

## Crash Analysis

Crash data was obtained from the IDOT Division of Transportation and Safety for the last five calendar years, 2014 through 2018i. A summary of the crash data is provided in Table 1 with the locations mapped on the exhibit contained in Appendix D. There were no reported fatalities or crashes within the five-year analysis period that involved a bicyclist or pedestrian.

As shown in Table 1, the intersection of Tyler Road at Madison Avenue and Wallace Avenue has experienced the highest number of crashes within the study area over the five-year analyses period, with an average of approximately 1.5 crashes per year. Approximately 86 percent ( 6 of 7 ) of the crashes involved property damage only and approximately 71 percent ( 5 of 7 ) were cross-movement/angle type collisions.

[^0]Table 1: Crash Summary (2014-2018)

| Location | No. of Crashes | Severity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | Crash Type ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Percent During Wet/lcy Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PD | $\mathrm{PI}^{\text {B }}$ |  |  | F | CM | RE | HO | FO | Ped | Bike |  |
|  |  |  | A | B | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersections ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tyler Rd \& Munhall Ave | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 67\% |
| Tyler Rd \& Madison Ave/Wallace Ave | 7 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 0\% |
| Madison Ave \& Independence Ave | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 0\% |
| Madison Ave \& 7th Ave | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0\% |
| 7th Ave \& Indiana Ave | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 0\% |
| Indiana Ave \& 14th Ave | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 0\% |
| Segments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tyler Rd: Munhall Ave and Main St | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 0\% |
| Madison Ave: Tyler Rd and 7th Ave | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 0\% |
| 7th Ave: Madison Ave and Indiana Ave | 4 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 25\% |
| Indiana Ave: 7th Ave and 14th Ave | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 33\% |
| Total (2014-18) | 33 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 9\% |

A PD = property damage only; $\mathrm{PI}=$ personal injury; $\mathrm{F}=$ fatality.
${ }^{B}$ Type A (incapacitating injury); Type B (non-incapacitating injury); Type C (possible injury).
${ }^{\text {C }} \mathrm{CM}=$ cross movement/angle; $\mathrm{RE}=$ rear end; $\mathrm{HO}=$ head on; $\mathrm{FO}=$ fixed object; $P$ ed $=$ pedestrian.
${ }^{D}$ Crashes within 200 feet of an intersection.

## No-Build Traffic

Exhibit 4 summarizes the 2027 No-Build weekday morning and evening peak hour traffic volumes. Traffic growth in the area is a function of expected land development in the region. Future traffic volume conditions were developed for the year 2027, build-out year of the development plus five years. Based on a review of historical traffic volumes and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) 2050 projections (see Appendix E), traffic volumes within the study area are assumed to experience a compounded growth rate of approximately 0.2 to 1.4 percent per year. However, to provide a conservative analysis scenario, a 0.5 to 1.5 percent per year compounded growth rate was applied ( $0.5 \%$ along $7^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Indiana Avenue, $13^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Tyler Road between Main Street and Madison Avenue; 1\% along Main Street; and 1.5\% along Madison Avenue and Tyler Road between Madison Avenue and Kirk Road).

## Part III. Project Traffic Characteristics

## Proposed Development

The development consists of redeveloping the site to include 50 age-targeted (not-restricted), single-family homes. Access to the site is proposed via one access on Munhall Avenue. Secondary, emergency access, is also planned via a connection to South Avenue. This also provides an opportunity to permit an open (full access) connection to South Avenue.

## Trip Generation and Directional Distribution

Trip generation rates published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in the $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition of the Manual Trip Generation were used to determine the anticipated traffic from the proposed residential use (see Appendix F). The number of vehicle trips anticipated during the weekday morning peak hour (one hour between 7:00 and 9:00 AM) and weekday evening peak hour (one hour between 4:00 and 6:00 PM), as well as on weekday daily (24-hour) basis is displayed in Table 2 and includes all traffic attributed to the proposed residential development (residents, visitors, deliveries, etc.).

Table 2 also presents a comparison between trip generation estimates based on traditional single-family homes and senior housing rates.

Table 2: Estimated Trip Generation

| Land Use | Size | ITE Land Use Code | AM Peak Hour ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | PM Peak Hour ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Weekday Daily (24-hr) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single Family Homes | 50 Units | 210 | 10 | 30 | 40 | 33 | 19 | 52 | 275 | 275 | 550 |
| Senior Adult Housing |  | 251 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 17 | 11 | 28 | 153 | 153 | 306 |
| Trip Generation Comparison ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | -2 | -14 | -16 | -16 | -8 | -24 | -122 | -122 | -244 |

${ }^{1}$ One hour between 7:00 and 9:00 AM. ${ }^{2}$ One hour between 4:00 and 6:00 PM.
${ }^{3}$ Senior housing (age-restricted) trip generation estimates less traditional (non-restricted), single-family homes.
As shown in Table 2, it is expected that the proposed residential development will generate between 306 and 550 total trips on a typical weekday for senior housing and traditional (non, age-restricted) single family uses, respectively. During the peak hours, the development is expected to generate between 24 and 40 vehicle trips during the weekday AM and between 28 and 52 trips during the weekday PM, again, for senior housing (lower value) and traditional single-family housing (higher value).

Notes: 1. The existing site formerly contained a single-family residence.
2. The development is anticipated to be age-targeted (not restricted). As shown in Table 2, the trip generation estimates based on senior housing use (ITE LUC 251) are approximately 40 to 45 percent lower during the weekday morning and evening peak hours, respectively, as well as approximately 45 percent lower on a daily basis.
3. Accordingly, the new trips as presented in Table 2 (highlighted in green and assumed in this study) provides a conservative analysis scenario.

The anticipated trip distribution of site traffic is summarized in Table 3. This was based on current travel patterns, the operational characteristics of the street system and site access.

Table 3: Trip Distribution

| Route \& Direction |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Percent Route <br> To/From Site |  |
| North Avenue |  |
| West of 7th Avenue | $20 \%$ |
| East of Tyler Road | $35 \%$ |
| Tyler Road |  |
| South of Madison Avenue | $25 \%$ |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Avenue |  |
| South of Madison Avenue | $20 \%$ |
| Totals = |  |

## Site and Total Traffic Assignments

Exhibit 5A illustrates the site traffic assignment for the proposed development's trips, which is based on the traffic characteristics summarized in Tables 2 and 3 (traffic generation and trip distribution) and assigned to the area roadways, assuming a gated, emergency access at South Avenue. Exhibit 5B illustrates the site traffic assignment, assuming an open (full access) connection to South Avenue. The site traffic (Exhibit 5) and 2027 No-Build traffic (Exhibit 4) were combined to produce the 2027 Total traffic, which is illustrated on Exhibits 6A and $6 B$, with a gated connection to South Avenue and an open connection, respectively.

## Traffic Increases

As shown on Exhibit 5, the total (including both entering and exiting traffic) weekday AM and PM peak hour between 8 and 17 vehicle trips are expected on the roadways leading beyond the study area, or one additional vehicle every 3 to 7 minutes. Accordingly, the amount of site-generated traffic is expected to have minimal effects on the operations of the external street network. The gated or open connection to South Avenue has minimal impact on roadway operations.

## Part IV. Traffic Evaluation

## Capacity Analysis

Capacity analyses are a standard measurement that identifies how an intersection operates. They are measured in terms of Level of Service (LOS). The concept of LOS is defined as a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream and their perception by motorists and/or passengers. A level-of-service definition provides an index to quality of traffic flow in terms of such factors as speed, travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort, convenience, and safety.

Six Levels of Service are defined for each type of facility. They are given letter designations from A to F, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F the worst. LOS C is often considered acceptable for design purposes and LOS D is usually considered as providing the lower threshold of acceptable operations. Since the level of service is a function of the traffic flows placed upon it, the facility may operate at a wide range of levels of service, depending on the time of day, day of week or period of year. A description of the operating condition under each level of service, based on the analysis parameters as published in the Transportation Research Board's (TRB) Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), Sixth Edition, is provided in Table 4.

Table 4: Level of Service (LOS) Summary

| LOS | Description | Delay (sec/veh) |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traffic Signal | Stop Sign |  |
| A | Describes conditions with little to no delay to motorists. | $<10$ | $<10$ |
| B | Represents a desirable level with relatively low delay to motorists. | $>10$ and $<20$ | $>10$ and $<15$ |
| C | Describes conditions with average delays to motorists. | $>20$ and $<35$ | $>15$ and $<25$ |
| D | Describes operations where the influence of congestion becomes more <br> noticeable. Delays are still within an acceptable range. | $>35$ and $<55$ | $>25$ and $<35$ |
| E | Represents operating conditions with high delay values. This level is often <br> considered within urban settings or for minor streets intersecting major <br> arterial roadways to be the limit of acceptable delay. | $>55$ and $<80$ | $>35$ and $<50$ |
| F | Is unacceptable to most drivers with high delay values that often occur, when <br> arrival flow rates exceed the capacity of the intersection. | $>80$ | $>50$ |

Capacity analyses were performed for four scenarios:

- Existing Traffic - Existing traffic,
- No-Build Traffic - Future (non-site, year 2027) traffic with background growth (assumed at 0.5 to 1.5 percent compounded per year) and
- Total Traffic, Gated Access - Future No-Build traffic volumes (year 2027) plus the addition of site generated traffic, with a gate at South Avenue.
- Total Traffic, Open Access - Total Traffic with open site access at South Avenue.

Table 5 summarizes the intersection capacity and queue analysis results. Capacity analysis summary printouts are provided in Appendix G.

Table 5: Level-of-Service Summary


Table 5: Level-of-Service Summary (cont.)

| Intersection / Timeframe |  | Roadway Conditions | Movement Group By Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | > = Shared Lane - = Non Critical or not Allowed Movement |
|  |  | Eastbound | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| 2. Indiana Ave at 13th Ave (N Leg) |  |  | TWSC - NB Stops | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |
| AM <br> Peak | A.Existing (See Exhibit 3) |  | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (t) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | > | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 7.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  | - | - <br> - <br> - |  | - | - |  | > | A 9.2 5 $A(9.2)$ | $<$ |
|  | B. 2027 No-Build (See Exhibit 4) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (ft) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | > | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 7.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | > | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 9.3 \\ 5 \\ \mathbf{A}(9.3) \end{gathered}$ | $<$ |
|  | C. 2027 Total - Gated (See Exhibit 6A) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (ft) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | > | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | > | A 9.3 5 $A(9.3)$ | $<$ |
|  | D. 2027 Total - Open (See Exhibit 6B) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (t) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | > | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 7.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  | - | - - - |  | - | - | - | > | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 9.3 \\ 5 \\ \mathrm{~A}(9.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $<$ |
| PM <br> Peak | A.Existing (See Exhibit 3) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (ft) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | $>$ - - | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 7.4 \\ - \end{gathered}$ |  | - | - <br> - <br> - |  | - | - | - | > | $A$ 9.3 8 $A(9.3)$ | < |
|  | B. 2027 No-Build (See Exhibit 4) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (t) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | > | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 7.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | - | - <br> - <br> - |  | - | - | - | > | A 9.3 8 $A(9.3)$ | $<$ |
|  | C. 2027 Total - Gated (See Exhibit 6A) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (f) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | > | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 7.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | - | - <br> - <br> - | - | - | - | - | > | A 9.3 8 $A(9.3)$ | $<$ |
|  | D. 2027 Total - Open (See Exhibit 6B) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (ft) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | > | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ 7.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | - | - |  | - | - <br> - <br> - | - | $>$ <br> - | A 9.3 8 $\mathrm{~A}(9.3)$ | < |

Table 5: Level-of-Service Summary (cont.)

| Intersection / Timeframe |  | Roadway Conditions | Movement Group By Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | > = Shared Lane - = Non Critical or not Allowed Movement |
|  |  | Eastbound | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| 3. Indiana Ave at 13th Ave (S Leg) |  |  | TWSC - NB Stops | LT | TH | RT | L | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |
| AM <br> Peak | A.Existing (See Exhibit 3) |  | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (t) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | - | - <br> - <br> - |  | - | A 7.3 |  |  | A 9.1 3 A (9.1) | $<$ |  |  |  |
|  | B. 2027 No-Build (See Exhibit 4) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (t) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | - | - <br> - <br> - |  | > | A 7.3 |  |  | A 9.1 3 $A(9.1)$ |  | - | - |  |
|  | C. 2027 Total - Gated (See Exhibit 6A) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (f) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | - | - |  | > | A 7.3 |  | - | A 9.1 3 $A(9.1)$ |  | - |  |  |
|  | D. 2027 Total - Open (See Exhibit 6B) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (ft) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | - | - <br> - <br> - |  | - | A 7.3 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 9.2 \\ 3 \\ \mathbf{A}(9.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | - |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PM } \\ \text { Peak } \end{gathered}$ | A.Existing (See Exhibit 3) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (t) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | - | - <br> - <br> - | - | - | A 7.4 |  |  | A 9.1 3 A $(9.1)$ |  | - | - | - |
|  | B. 2027 No-Build (See Exhibit 4) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (f) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | - | - <br> - <br> - |  | > | A 7.4 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 9.2 \\ 3 \\ \mathbf{A}(9.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | - | - | - |
|  | C. 2027 Total - Gated (See Exhibit 6A) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (ft) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | - | - |  | - | A 7.4 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 9.2 \\ 3 \\ \mathbf{A}(9.2) \end{gathered}$ |  | - | - | - |
|  | D. 2027 Total - Open (See Exhibit 6B) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (f) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | - | - <br> - <br> - | - |  | A 7.4 |  |  | A 9.2 3 A $(9.2)$ |  | - | - | - |

Table 5: Level-of-Service Summary (cont.)

| Intersection / Timeframe |  | Roadway Conditions | Movement Group By Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | > = Shared Lane - = Non Critical or not Allowed Movement |
|  |  | Eastbound | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| 4. Munhall Ave at Site Access |  |  | TWSC - EB Stops | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |
| AM | C. 2027 Total - Gated (See Exhibit 6A) |  | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (ft) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | > | A 8.7 3 A(8.7) |  | - | - |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{A} \\ 7.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - <br> - <br> - | - | - | - - - - | - |
| Peak | D. 2027 Total - Open (See Exhibit 6B) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (ft) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | > | A 8.7 3 A(8.7) |  | - | - <br> - <br> - |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { A } \\ 7.2 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | - <br> - <br> - | - | - | - |  |
| PM <br> Peak | C. 2027 Total - Gated (See Exhibit 6A) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (t) \#REF! | > | $A$ 9 3 A(9.0) |  | - | - |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ 7.4 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - - - |
|  | D. 2027 Total - Open (See Exhibit 6B) | - LOS <br> - Delay <br> - 95th Queue Length (f) <br> - Approach LOS (Delay) | > | A <br> 8.9 <br> 3 <br> $\mathrm{~A}(8.9)$ |  | - | - | - | A 7.3 - | - | - | - | - | - - - |

As shown in Table 5, under both existing and future conditions (no-build and total, with and without gated access at South Avenue), all movements at the study area intersections operate at desirable Levels of Service (at LOS " $B$ " or better) during both peak hours studied. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths for exiting movements, as well as left-turn entering movements are not anticipated to exceed one vehicle, which will not impact operations onor off-site. The gated or open connection to South Avenue has minimal impact on intersection operations.

## Part V. Recommendations and Conclusions

Analyses have been conducted to determine the impact from the proposed residential development on the adjacent roadway network. Overall, the development is anticipated to have a minimal effect on the existing traffic operations of the area street network.

In addition, the following recommendations should be considered to facilitate traffic both on and off site:

- The site access driveway should provide one inbound lane and one outbound lane, operating under Stop sign control.
- Secondary, emergency access should be provided via a connection to South Avenue. This access could permit for a future, full (open) access to South Avenue.


## Part VI. Technical Addendum

The following Exhibits and Appendices were previously referenced. They provide technical support for our observations, findings and recommendations discussed in the text.

Exhibits

1. Location Map
2. Existing Operations
3. Existing Traffic
4. 2027 No-Build Traffic
5. Site Traffic
a. Gated Access at South Avenue
b. Open Access at South Avenue
6. 2027 Total Traffic
a. Gated Access at South Avenue
b. Open Access at South Avenue

## Appendices

A. Photo Inventory
B. IDOT Traffic Count Summaries
C. Intersection Traffic Count Summaries
D. Crash Summary Map
E. CMAP Traffic Volume Projections
F. ITE Trip Generation Excerpts
G. Capacity Analysis Worksheets

## Technical Addendum



## Exhibits



Exhibit 1 - Location Map
Proposed Residential Development
St. Charles, IL

## Legend:

| $\rightarrow$ - Existing Travel Lane |
| :--- |
| (s) - Existing Stop Sign |
| ( - Existing Traffic Signal |
|  |
| - Pedestrian Crosswalk |
| 30 <br> MPN |

Wallace Ave







## Appendices

# Appendix A <br> Photo Inventory 



Looking east along Munhall Ave at Tyler Rd


Looking north along Tyler Rd at Munhall Ave


Looking south along Tyler Rd at Munhall Ave


Looking south along Munhall Ave at Site Access


Looking north along Munhall Ave at Site Access


Looking south along Tyler Rd at Madison Ave/Wallace Ave


Looking east along Madison Ave at Tyler Rd


Looking east along Wallace Ave at Tyler Rd


Looking north along Tyler Rd at Madison Ave/Wallace Ave


Looking east along South Ave at Site Access


Looking west along Madison Ave at $7^{\text {th }}$ St


Looking west along South Ave from Site Access

# Appendix B <br> IDOT Traffic Count Summaries 

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 045 0011 |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 3 |
| Located On | Main St |
| From Road | 7TH AVE |
| To Road | Kirk Rd |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Wed 8/28/2019 |
| End Date | Thu 8/29/2019 |
| Start Time | $12: 00: 00$ PM |
| End Time | $12: 00: 00$ PM |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| Notes |  |
| Station | IL 64 |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Time | Hourly <br> Count |
| $\mathbf{0 : 0 0 - 1 : 0 0}$ | 134 |
| $\mathbf{1 : 0 0 - 2 : 0 0}$ | 97 |
| $\mathbf{2 : 0 0 - 3 : 0 0}$ | 84 |
| $\mathbf{3 : 0 0 - 4 : 0 0}$ | 93 |
| $\mathbf{4 : 0 0 - 5 : 0 0}$ | 260 |
| $\mathbf{5 : 0 0 - 6 : 0 0}$ | 688 |
| $\mathbf{6 : 0 0 - 7 : 0 0}$ | 1,557 |
| $\mathbf{7 : 0 0 - 8 : 0 0}$ | 2,404 |
| $\mathbf{8 : 0 0 - 9 : 0 0}$ | 2,259 |
| $9: 00-10: 00$ | 1,768 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 : 0 0 - 1 1 : 0 0}$ | 1,742 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 : 0 0 - 1 2 : 0 0}$ | 2,048 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 : 0 0 - 1 3 : 0 0}$ | 2,281 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 : 0 0 - 1 4 : 0 0}$ | 2,137 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 : 0 0 - 1 5 : 0 0}$ | 2,256 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 0 0 - 1 6 : 0 0}$ | 2,428 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 : 0 0 - 1 7 : 0 0}$ | 2,744 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 : 0 0 - 1 8 : 0 0}$ | 2,898 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 : 0 0 - 1 9 : 0 0}$ | 2,348 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 : 0 0 - 2 0 : 0 0}$ | 1,657 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 : 0 0 - 2 1 : 0 0}$ | 1,411 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 : 0 0 - 2 2 : 0 0}$ | 865 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 : 0 0 - 2 3 : 0 0}$ | 495 |
| $\mathbf{2 3 : 0 0 - 2 4 : 0 0}$ | 277 |
| Total | 34,931 |
| PM Peak | $17: 00-18: 00$ |
| 2,898 |  |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | O45 0011_EB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 3 |
| Located On | Main St |
| From Road | 7TH AVE |
| To Road | Kirk Rd |
| Direction | EB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Wed 8/28/2019 |
| End Date | Thu 8/29/2019 |
| Start Time | $12: 00: 00$ PM |
| End Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Direction | EB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | IL 64 |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIN |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Time | Hourly <br> Count |
| $\mathbf{0 : 0 0 - 1 : 0 0}$ | 47 |
| $\mathbf{1 : 0 0 - 2 : 0 0}$ | 39 |
| $\mathbf{2 : 0 0 - 3 : 0 0}$ | 46 |
| $\mathbf{3 : 0 0 - 4 : 0 0}$ | 64 |
| $\mathbf{4 : 0 0 - 5 : 0 0}$ | 175 |
| $\mathbf{5 : 0 0 - 6 : 0 0}$ | 495 |
| $\mathbf{6 : 0 0 - 7 : 0 0}$ | 1,076 |
| $\mathbf{7 : 0 0 - 8 : 0 0}$ | 1,597 |
| $\mathbf{8 : 0 0 - 9 : 0 0}$ | 1,369 |
| $\mathbf{9 : 0 0 - 1 0 : 0 0}$ | 917 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 : 0 0 - 1 1 : 0 0}$ | 947 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 : 0 0 - 1 2 : 0 0}$ | 1,047 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 : 0 0 - 1 3 : 0 0}$ | 1,144 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 : 0 0 - 1 4 : 0 0}$ | 1,036 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 : 0 0 - 1 5 : 0 0}$ | 1,057 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 0 0 - 1 6 : 0 0}$ | 1,082 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 : 0 0 - 1 7 : 0 0}$ | 1,106 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 : 0 0 - 1 8 : 0 0}$ | 1,180 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 : 0 0 - 1 9 : 0 0}$ | 958 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 : 0 0 - 2 0 : 0 0}$ | 742 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 : 0 0 - 2 1 : 0 0}$ | 673 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 : 0 0 - 2 2 : 0 0}$ | 382 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 : 0 0 - 2 3 : 0 0}$ | 226 |
| $\mathbf{2 3 : 0 0 - 2 4 : 0 0}$ | 121 |
| Total | 17,526 |
| $\mathbf{A M}$ Peak | $07: 00-08: 00$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 5 9 7}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{P M ~ P e a k}$ | $17: 00-18: 00$ |
| 1,180 |  |
|  |  |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 045 0011_WB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 3 |
| Located On | Main St |
| From Road | 7TH AVE |
| To Road | Kirk Rd |
| Direction | WB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Wed 8/28/2019 |
| End Date | Thu 8/29/2019 |
| Start Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| End Time | $12: 00: 00$ PM |
| Direction | WB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | IL 64 |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIN |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Time | Hourly <br> Count |
| $\mathbf{0 : 0 0 - 1 : 0 0}$ | 87 |
| $\mathbf{1 : 0 0 - 2 : 0 0}$ | 58 |
| $\mathbf{2 : 0 0 - 3 : 0 0}$ | 38 |
| $\mathbf{3 : 0 0 - 4 : 0 0}$ | 29 |
| $\mathbf{4 : 0 0 - 5 : 0 0}$ | 85 |
| $\mathbf{5 : 0 0 - 6 : 0 0}$ | 193 |
| $\mathbf{6 : 0 0 - 7 : 0 0}$ | 481 |
| $\mathbf{7 : 0 0 - 8 : 0 0}$ | 807 |
| $\mathbf{8 : 0 0 - 9 : 0 0}$ | 890 |
| $\mathbf{9 : 0 0 - 1 0 : 0 0}$ | 851 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 : 0 0 - 1 1 : 0 0}$ | 795 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 : 0 0 - 1 2 : 0 0}$ | 1,001 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 : 0 0 - 1 3 : 0 0}$ | 1,137 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 : 0 0 - 1 4 : 0 0}$ | 1,101 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 : 0 0 - 1 5 : 0 0}$ | 1,199 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 : 0 0 - 1 6 : 0 0}$ | 1,346 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 : 0 0 - 1 7 : 0 0}$ | 1,638 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 : 0 0 - 1 8 : 0 0}$ | 1,718 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 : 0 0 - 1 9 : 0 0}$ | 1,390 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 : 0 0 - 2 0 : 0 0}$ | 915 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 : 0 0 - 2 1 : 0 0}$ | 738 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 : 0 0 - 2 2 : 0 0}$ | 483 |
| $\mathbf{2 2 : 0 0 - 2 3 : 0 0}$ | 269 |
| $\mathbf{2 3 : 0 0 - 2 4 : 0 0}$ | 156 |
| Total | 17,405 |
| $\mathbf{A M}$ Peak | $11: 00-12: 00$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 1}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{P M ~ P e a k}$ | $17: 00-18: 00$ |
| 1,718 |  |
|  |  |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 0453792 |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | Tyler Rd |
| From Road | Main St |
| To Road | MADISON AVE |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Tue 6/26/2018 |
| End Date | Wed 6/27/2018 |
| Start Time | $2: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| End Time | $2: 00: 00$ PM |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| Notes |  |
| Station | TYLER RD |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| 0:00-1:00 | 45 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 20 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 13 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 15 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 36 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 230 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 407 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 637 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 604 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 473 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 490 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 537 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 605 |
| 13:00-14:00 © | 581 |
| (1) 14:00-15:00 | 602 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 615 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 799 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 712 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 532 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 362 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 240 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 199 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 127 |
| 23:00-24:00 | 59 |
| Total | 8,940 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 07: 00-08: 00 \\ 637 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16: 00-17: 00 \\ 799 \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 045 3792_NB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | Tyler Rd |
| From Road | Main St |
| To Road | MADISON AVE |
| Direction | NB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Tue 6/26/2018 |
| End Date | Wed 6/27/2018 |
| Start Time | $2: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| End Time | $2: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Direction | NB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | TYLER RD |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| 0:00-1:00 | 21 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 15 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 7 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 6 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 17 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 113 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 191 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 367 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 316 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 222 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 246 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 261 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 310 |
| 13:00-14:00 () | 311 |
| (1) 14:00-15:00 | 292 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 279 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 423 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 364 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 291 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 194 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 133 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 105 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 70 |
| 23:00-24:00 | 34 |
| Total | 4,588 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 07: 00-08: 00 \\ 367 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16: 00-17: 00 \\ 423 \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | O45 3792_SB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | Tyler Rd |
| From Road | Main St |
| To Road | MADISON AVE |
| Direction | SB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Tue 6/26/2018 |
| End Date | Wed 6/27/2018 |
| Start Time | $2: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| End Time | $2: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Direction | SB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | TYLER RD |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-IMIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| 0:00-1:00 | 24 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 5 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 6 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 9 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 19 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 117 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 216 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 270 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 288 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 251 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 244 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 276 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 295 |
| 13:00-14:00 ( | 270 |
| (1) 14:00-15:00 | 310 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 336 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 376 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 348 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 241 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 168 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 107 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 94 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 57 |
| 23:00-24:00 | 25 |
| Total | 4,352 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 08: 00-09: 00 \\ 288 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16: 00-17: 00 \\ 376 \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 0453793 |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | Tyler Rd |
| From Road | MADISON AVE |
| To Road | Kirk Rd |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Thu 7/19/2018 |
| End Date | Fri 7/20/2018 |
| Start Time | $12: 00: 00$ AM |
| End Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| Notes |  |
| Station | TYLER RD |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| (1) 0:00-1:00 | 35 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 13 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 8 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 10 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 43 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 167 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 319 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 528 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 491 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 339 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 355 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 316 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 370 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 334 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 397 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 442 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 532 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 568 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 350 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 272 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 221 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 189 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 138 |
| 23:00-24:00 | 74 |
| Total | 6,511 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 07: 00-08: 00 \\ 528 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} 17: 00-18: 00 \\ 568 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | O45 3793_NB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | Tyler Rd |
| From Road | MADISON AVE |
| To Road | Kirk Rd |
| Direction | NB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Thu 7/19/2018 |
| End Date | Fri 7/20/2018 |
| Start Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| End Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Direction | NB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | TYLER RD |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| (1) 0:00-1:00 | 14 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 9 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 1 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 4 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 10 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 68 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 132 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 219 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 220 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 184 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 187 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 176 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 200 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 188 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 228 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 261 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 327 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 326 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 198 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 157 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 123 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 109 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 86 |
| 23:00-24:00 © | 42 |
| Total | 3,469 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 08: 00-09: 00 \\ 220 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16: 00-17: 00 \\ 327 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | O45 3793_SB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | Tyler Rd |
| From Road | MADISON AVE |
| To Road | Kirk Rd |
| Direction | SB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Thu 7/19/2018 |
| End Date | Fri 7/20/2018 |
| Start Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| End Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Direction | SB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | TYLER RD |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| (1) 0:00-1:00 | 21 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 4 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 7 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 6 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 33 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 99 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 187 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 309 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 271 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 155 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 168 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 140 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 170 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 146 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 169 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 181 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 205 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 242 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 152 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 115 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 98 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 80 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 52 |
| 23:00-24:00 - | 32 |
| Total | 3,042 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 07: 00-08: 00 \\ 309 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 17: 00-18: 00 \\ 242 \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 0453640 |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | MADISON AVE |
| From Road | 7TH AVE |
| To Road | Tyler Rd |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Thu 7/19/2018 |
| End Date | Fri 7/20/2018 |
| Start Time | $12: 00: 00$ AM |
| End Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| Notes |  |
| Station | MADISON AVE |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| (1) 0:00-1:00 | 14 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 10 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 7 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 11 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 17 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 55 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 129 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 207 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 210 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 126 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 150 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 181 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 218 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 160 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 178 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 230 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 275 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 367 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 231 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 138 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 109 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 103 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 69 |
| 23:00-24:00 © | 39 |
| Total | 3,234 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 08: 00-09: 00 \\ 210 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 17: 00-18: 00 \\ 367 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 045 3640_EB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | MADISON AVE |
| From Road | 7TH AVE |
| To Road | Tyler Rd |
| Direction | EB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency |  |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Thu 7/19/2018 |
| End Date | Fri 7/20/2018 |
| Start Time | $12: 00: 00$ AM |
| End Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Direction | EB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | MADISON AVE |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| (1) 0:00-1:00 | 5 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 2 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 6 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 5 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 15 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 50 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 101 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 166 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 151 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 78 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 76 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 78 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 100 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 83 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 76 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 68 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 77 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 115 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 100 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 68 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 46 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 40 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 28 |
| 23:00-24:00 © | 7 |
| Total | 1,541 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 07: 00-08: 00 \\ 166 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 17: 00-18: 00 \\ 115 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | O45 3640_WB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | MADISON AVE |
| From Road | 7TH AVE |
| To Road | Tyler Rd |
| Direction | WB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Thu 7/19/2018 |
| End Date | Fri 7/20/2018 |
| Start Time | $12: 00: 00$ AM |
| End Time | $12: 00: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Direction | WB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | MADISON AVE |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| (1) 0:00-1:00 | 9 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 8 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 1 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 6 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 2 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 5 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 28 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 41 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 59 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 48 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 74 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 103 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 118 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 77 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 102 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 162 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 198 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 252 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 131 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 70 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 63 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 63 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 41 |
| 23:00-24:00 © | 32 |
| Total | 1,693 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11: 00-12: 00 \\ 103 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 17: 00-18: 00 \\ 252 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 0453794 |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | 7TH AVE |
| From Road | Main St |
| To Road | MADISON AVE |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Tue 6/26/2018 |
| End Date | Wed 6/27/2018 |
| Start Time | $2: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| End Time | $2: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| Notes |  |
| Station | 7TH AVE |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| 0:00-1:00 | 17 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 11 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 8 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 10 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 14 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 57 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 148 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 257 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 255 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 257 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 279 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 287 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 292 |
| 13:00-14:00 © | 286 |
| (1) 14:00-15:00 | 263 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 320 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 395 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 417 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 296 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 194 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 116 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 89 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 62 |
| 23:00-24:00 | 33 |
| Total | 4,363 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11: 00-12: 00 \\ 287 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 17: 00-18: 00 \\ 417 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 045 3794_NB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | 7TH AVE |
| From Road | Main St |
| To Road | MADISON AVE |
| Direction | NB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Tue 6/26/2018 |
| End Date | Wed 6/27/2018 |
| Start Time | $2: 00: 00$ PM |
| End Time | $2: 00: 00$ PM |
| Direction | NB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | 7TH AVE |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| 0:00-1:00 | 6 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 3 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 4 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 5 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 9 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 37 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 89 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 167 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 152 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 125 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 146 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 146 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 140 |
| 13:00-14:00 ( | 126 |
| (1) 14:00-15:00 | 115 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 146 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 162 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 190 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 118 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 71 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 39 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 25 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 24 |
| 23:00-24:00 | 13 |
| Total | 2,058 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 07: 00-08: 00 \\ 167 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 17: 00-18: 00 \\ 190 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | O45 3794_SB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | 7TH AVE |
| From Road | Main St |
| To Road | MADISON AVE |
| Direction | SB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Tue 6/26/2018 |
| End Date | Wed 6/27/2018 |
| Start Time | $2: 00: 00$ PM |
| End Time | $2: 00: 00$ PM |
| Direction | SB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | 7TH AVE |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| 0:00-1:00 | 11 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 8 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 4 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 5 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 5 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 20 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 59 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 90 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 103 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 132 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 133 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 141 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 152 |
| 13:00-14:00 ( | 160 |
| (1) 14:00-15:00 | 148 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 174 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 233 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 227 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 178 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 123 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 77 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 64 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 38 |
| 23:00-24:00 | 20 |
| Total | 2,305 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11: 00-12: 00 \\ 141 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16: 00-17: 00 \\ 233 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | 0453795 |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | 7TH AVE |
| From Road | MADISON AVE |
| To Road | State St |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Tue 6/26/2018 |
| End Date | Wed 6/27/2018 |
| Start Time | $2: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| End Time | $2: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Direction | 2-WAY |
| Notes |  |
| Station | 7TH AVE |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| 0:00-1:00 | 17 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 12 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 8 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 17 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 15 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 69 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 158 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 269 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 277 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 238 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 266 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 304 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 315 |
| 13:00-14:00 ( | 311 |
| (1) 14:00-15:00 | 265 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 349 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 438 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 429 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 318 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 208 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 124 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 107 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 65 |
| 23:00-24:00 | 48 |
| Total | 4,627 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11: 00-12: 00 \\ 304 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16: 00-17: 00 \\ 438 \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | O45 3795_NB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | 7TH AVE |
| From Road | MADISON AVE |
| To Road | State St |
| Direction | NB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Tue 6/26/2018 |
| End Date | Wed 6/27/2018 |
| Start Time | $2: 00: 00$ PM |
| End Time | $2: 00: 00$ PM |
| Direction | NB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | 7TH AVE |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| 0:00-1:00 | 12 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 7 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 6 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 12 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 7 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 39 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 89 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 146 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 162 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 117 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 123 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 170 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 173 |
| 13:00-14:00 ( | 168 |
| (1) 14:00-15:00 | 133 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 183 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 221 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 236 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 163 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 105 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 72 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 49 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 35 |
| 23:00-24:00 | 25 |
| Total | 2,453 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11: 00-12: 00 \\ 170 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 17: 00-18: 00 \\ 236 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## Volume Count Report

| LOCATION INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Location ID | O45 3795_SB |
| Type | LINK |
| Fnct'I Class | 5 |
| Located On | 7TH AVE |
| From Road | MADISON AVE |
| To Road | State St |
| Direction | SB |
| County | Kane |
| Community | ST CHARLES |
| MPO ID |  |
| HPMS ID |  |
| Agency | Illinois DOT |


| COUNT DATA INFO |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Count Status | Accepted |
| Start Date | Tue 6/26/2018 |
| End Date | Wed 6/27/2018 |
| Start Time | $2: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| End Time | $2: 00: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Direction | SB |
| Notes |  |
| Station | 7TH AVE |
| Study |  |
| Speed Limit |  |
| Description |  |
| Sensor Type |  |
| Source | CombineVolumeCountsIncremental |
| Latitude,Longitude |  |


| INTERVAL:60-MIIN |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time | Hourly Count |
| 0:00-1:00 | 5 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 5 |
| 2:00-3:00 | 2 |
| 3:00-4:00 | 5 |
| 4:00-5:00 | 8 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 30 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 69 |
| 7:00-8:00 | 123 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 115 |
| 9:00-10:00 | 121 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 143 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 134 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 142 |
| 13:00-14:00 © | 143 |
| (1) 14:00-15:00 | 132 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 166 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 217 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 193 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 155 |
| 19:00-20:00 | 103 |
| 20:00-21:00 | 52 |
| 21:00-22:00 | 58 |
| 22:00-23:00 | 30 |
| 23:00-24:00 | 23 |
| Total | 2,174 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 10: 00-11: 00 \\ 143 \end{array}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16: 00-17: 00 \\ 217 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

# Appendix C <br> Intersection Traffic Count Summaries 

Tue Aug 25, 2020
Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks, Pedestrians)
All Movements

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

ID: 776044, Location: 41.913573, -88.291841

| Leg Direction | Munhall Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Tyler <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tyler <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | U | App | Ped* | T | R | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2020-08-25 7:00AM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 105 |
| 7:15AM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 122 |
| 7:30 AM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 126 |
| 7:45AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 168 |
| Hourly Total | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 0 | 235 | 0 | 256 | 9 | 0 | 265 | 0 | 521 |
| 8:00 AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 1 | 70 | 0 | 139 |
| 8:15AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 129 |
| 8:30 AM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 60 | 4 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 122 |
| 8:45AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 63 | 1 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 139 |
| Hourly Total | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 268 | 7 | 1 | 276 | 0 | 529 |
| 4:00PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 77 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 91 | 10 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 183 |
| 4:15PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 72 | 6 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 141 |
| 4:30PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 74 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 76 | 10 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 162 |
| 4:45PM | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 1 | 87 | 7 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 151 |
| Hourly Total | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 264 | 0 | 266 | 1 | 326 | 33 | 0 | 359 | 0 | 637 |
| 5:00PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 84 | 7 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 168 |
| 5:15PM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 89 | 8 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 176 |
| 5:30PM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 56 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 79 | 8 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 150 |
| 5:45PM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 54 | 6 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 125 |
| Hourly Total | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 261 | 0 | 262 | 0 | 306 | 29 | 0 | 335 | 0 | 619 |
| Total | 66 | 1 | 0 | 67 | 1 | 3 | 1001 | 0 | 1004 | 1 | 1156 | 78 | 1 | 1235 | 0 | 2306 |
| \% Approach | 98.5\% | 1.5\% | 0\% | - | - | 0.3\% | 99.7\% | 0\% | - |  | 93.6\% | 6.3\% | 0.1\% | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 2.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.9 \% | - | 0.1\% | 43.4\% | 0\% | 43.5 \% | - | 50.1\% | 3.4\% | 0\% | 53.6\% | - | - |
| Lights | 65 | 1 | 0 | 66 | - | 3 | 982 | 0 | 985 | - | 1122 | 77 | 1 | 1200 |  | 2251 |
| \% Lights | 98.5\% | 100\% | 0\% | 98.5\% | - | 100\% | 98.1\% | 0\% | 98.1\% | - | 97.1\% | 98.7\% | 100\% | 97.2\% |  | 97.6\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | - | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | - | 8 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0.2 \% | - | 0.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.5 \% | - | 0.3\% |
| Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | - | 28 | 1 | 0 | 29 | - | 47 |
| \% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 1.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.5 \% | - | 0\% | 1.7\% | 0\% | 1.7 \% | - | 2.4\% | 1.3\% | 0\% | 2.3 \% |  | 2.0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - |  | - | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^1]Tue Aug 25, 2020
AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks, Pedestrians)

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

ID: 776044, Location: 41.913573, -88.291841

| Leg <br> Direction | Munhall <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Tyler <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tyler <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | U | App | Ped* | T | R | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2020-08-25 7:30AM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 126 |
| 7:45AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 168 |
| 8:00AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 1 | 70 | 0 | 139 |
| 8:15AM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 129 |
| Total | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 290 | 6 | 1 | 297 | 0 | 562 |
| \% Approach | 100\% | 0\% |  | - | - | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | - | - | 97.6\% | 2.0\% | 0.3\% | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 2.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.3 \% | - | 0\% | 44.8\% | 0\% | 44.8 \% | - | 51.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 52.8\% | - | - |
| PHF | 0.542 | - | - | 0.542 | - | - | 0.750 | - | 0.750 | - | 0.873 | 0.375 | 0.250 | 0.895 | - | 0.836 |
| Lights | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | - | 0 | 245 | 0 | 245 | - | 280 | 6 | 1 | 287 | - | 544 |
| \% Lights | 92.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92.3\% | - | 0\% | 97.2\% | 0\% | 97.2\% | - | 96.6\% | 100\% | 100\% | 96.6\% | - | 96.8\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 3 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 1.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.0 \% | - | 0.5\% |
| Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | - | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | - | 15 |
| \% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 7.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7.7 \% | - | 0\% | 2.8\% | 0\% | 2.8 \% | - | 2.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.4 \% | - | 2.7\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^2]Tue Aug 25, 2020
PM Peak (4:30 PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks, Pedestrians)

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

All Movements
ID: 776044, Location: 41.913573, -88.291841


[^3]Tue Aug 25, 2020
Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks, Pedestrians)

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

ID: 776045, Location: 41.914851, -88.300412

| Leg <br> Direction | Indiana Ave Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Indiana Ave Westbound |  |  |  |  | 13th Ave Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | U | App | Ped* | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | R | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2020-08-25 7:00AM | 6 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 20 |
| 7:15AM | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 22 |
| 7:30 AM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 26 |
| 7:45AM | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 33 |
| Hourly Total | 21 | 12 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 17 | 16 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 101 |
| 8:00 AM | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 20 |
| 8:15AM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| 8:30 AM | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 |
| 8:45AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 |
| Hourly Total | 11 | 9 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 56 |
| 4:00PM | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 34 |
| 4:15PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 24 |
| 4:30PM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 26 |
| 4:45PM | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 39 |
| Hourly Total | 10 | 15 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 20 | 18 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 33 | 27 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 123 |
| 5:00PM | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 40 |
| 5:15PM | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 34 |
| 5:30PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 20 |
| 5:45PM | 6 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 30 |
| Hourly Total | 13 | 16 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 28 | 20 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 19 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 124 |
| Total | 55 | 52 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 83 | 62 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 89 | 63 | 0 | 152 | 0 | 404 |
| \% Approach | 51.4\% | 48.6\% | 0\% | - | - | 57.2\% | 42.8\% | 0\% | - |  | 58.6\% | 41.4\% |  | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 13.6\% | 12.9\% | 0\% | 26.5 \% | - | 20.5\% | 15.3\% | 0\% | 35.9 \% | - | 22.0\% | 15.6\% | 0\% | 37.6 \% | - | - |
| Lights | 54 | 48 | 0 | 102 | - | 75 | 59 | 0 | 134 | - | 87 | 62 | 0 | 149 | - | 385 |
| \% Lights | 98.2\% | 92.3\% | 0\% | 95.3\% | - | 90.4\% | 95.2\% | 0\% | 92.4 \% | - | 97.8\% | 98.4\% | 0\% | 98.0\% | - | 95.3\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 0\% | 1.9 \% | - | 3.6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.1\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 1.2\% |
| Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | - | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | - | 14 |
| \% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 0\% | 5.8\% | 0\% | 2.8 \% | - | 6.0\% | 4.8\% | 0\% | 5.5 \% | - | 2.2\% | 1.6\% | 0\% | 2.0\% | - | 3.5\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^4]Tue Aug 25, 2020
AM Peak (7 AM - 8 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks,

ID: 776045, Location: 41.914851, -88.300412

| Leg <br> Direction | Indiana Ave Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Indiana Ave We stbound |  |  |  |  | 13th Ave <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | U | App | Ped* | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | R | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2020-08-25 7:00AM | 6 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 20 |
| 7:15AM | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 22 |
| 7:30 AM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 26 |
| 7:45AM | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 33 |
| Total | 21 | 12 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 17 | 16 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 101 |
| \% Approach | 63.6\% | 36.4\% | 0\% | - | - | 60.0\% | 40.0\% | 0\% | - | - | 51.5\% | 48.5\% | 0\% | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 20.8\% | 11.9\% | 0\% | 32.7\% | - | 20.8\% | 13.9\% | 0\% | 34.7 \% | - | 16.8\% | 15.8\% | 0\% | 32.7\% | - | - |
| PHF | 0.750 | 0.750 | - | 0.825 | - | 0.656 | 0.583 | - | 0.729 | - | 0.708 | 0.500 | - | 0.589 | - | 0.765 |
| Lights | 20 | 10 | 0 | 30 | - | 17 | 11 | 0 | 28 | - | 16 | 15 | 0 | 31 | - | 89 |
| \% Lights | 95.2\% | 83.3\% | 0\% | 90.9\% | - | 81.0\% | 78.6\% | 0\% | 80.0\% | - | 94.1\% | 93.8\% | 0\% | 93.9\% | - | 88.1\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 4.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3.0 \% | - | 4.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.9 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 2.0\% |
| Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | - | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | - | 10 |
| \% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 0\% | 16.7\% | 0\% | 6.1\% | - | 14.3\% | 21.4\% | 0\% | 17.1\% | - | 5.9\% | 6.3\% | 0\% | 6.1\% | - | 9.9\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^5]Tue Aug 25, 2020
PM Peak (4:30 PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks,

ID: 776045, Location: 41.914851, -88.300412

| Leg <br> Direction | Indiana Ave Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Indiana Ave Westbound |  |  |  |  | 13th Ave Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | U | App | Ped* | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | R | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2020-08-25 4:30PM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 26 |
| 4:45PM | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 39 |
| 5:00PM | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 40 |
| 5:15PM | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 34 |
| Total | 12 | 16 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 36 | 25 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 139 |
| \% Approach | 42.9\% | 57.1\% | 0\% | - | - | 60.0\% | 40.0\% | 0\% | - | - | 59.0\% | 41.0\% | 0\% | - |  | - |
| \% Total | 8.6\% | 11.5\% | 0\% | 20.1\% | - | 21.6\% | 14.4\% | 0\% | 36.0\% | - | 25.9\% | 18.0\% | 0\% | 43.9 \% | - | - |
| PHF | 0.750 | 0.800 | - | 0.778 | - | 0.577 | 0.714 | - | 0.625 | - | 0.750 | 0.625 | - | 0.953 | - | 0.869 |
| Lights | 12 | 15 | 0 | 27 | - | 30 | 20 | 0 | 50 | - | 35 | 25 | 0 | 60 |  | 137 |
| \% Lights | 100\% | 93.8\% | 0\% | 96.4 \% | - | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | $100 \%$ | - | 97.2\% | 100\% | 0\% | 98.4 \% | - | 98.6\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 6.3\% | 0\% | 3.6 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0.7\% |
| Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 |
| \% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 2.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.6 \% | - | 0.7\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^6]Tue Aug 25, 2020
Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks, Pedestrians)

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

ID: 776046, Location: 41.914947, -88.30001

| Leg <br> Direction | Indiana <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Indiana <br> We stbound |  |  |  |  | 13th <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | U | App | Ped* | L | R | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2020-08-25 7:00AM | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 |
| 7:15AM | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 |
| 7:30AM | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 18 |
| 7:45AM | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 18 |
| Hourly Total | 23 | 6 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 66 |
| 8:00AM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 15 |
| 8:15AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| 8:30AM | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 |
| 8:45AM | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 |
| Hourly Total | 15 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 44 |
| 4:00PM | 12 | 4 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 |
| 4:15PM | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 |
| 4:30PM | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| 4:45PM | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 32 |
| Hourly Total | 37 | 11 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 87 |
| 5:00PM | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 31 |
| 5:15PM | 11 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 28 |
| 5:30PM | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 |
| 5:45PM | 9 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 21 |
| Hourly Total | 33 | 11 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 94 |
| Total | 108 | 33 | 1 | 142 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 44 | 3 | 0 | 47 | 3 | 291 |
| \% Approach | 76.1\% | 23.2\% | 0.7\% | - | - | 2.0\% | 98.0\% | 0\% | - | - | 93.6\% | 6.4\% | 0\% | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 37.1\% | 11.3\% | 0.3\% | 48.8 \% | - | 0.7\% | 34.4\% | 0\% | 35.1\% | - | 15.1\% | 1.0\% | 0\% | 16.2\% | - | - |
| Lights | 103 | 32 | 1 | 136 | - | 2 | 93 | 0 | 95 | - | 41 | 3 | 0 | 44 | - | 275 |
| \% Lights | 95.4\% | 97.0\% | 100\% | 95.8 \% | - | 100\% | 93.0\% | 0\% | 93.1\% | - | 93.2\% | 100\% | 0\% | 93.6\% | - | 94.5\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 4 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.7 \% | - | 0\% | 3.0\% | 0\% | 2.9 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 1.4\% |
| Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | - | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 12 |
| \% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 3.7\% | 3.0\% | 0\% | 3.5 \% | - | 0\% | 4.0\% | 0\% | 3.9 \% | - | 6.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6.4 \% | - | 4.1\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 3 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Tue Aug 25, 2020
AM Peak (7:15 AM - 8:15 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks, Pedestrians)

Provided by: Gewalt Hamilton Associates Inc. 625 Forest Edge Drive, Vernon Hills, IL, 60061, US

All Movements
ID: 776046, Location: 41.914947, -88.30001

| Leg <br> Direction | Indiana Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Indiana Westbound |  |  |  |  | 13th <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | U | App | Ped* | L | R | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2020-08-25 7:15AM | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 |
| 7:30AM | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 18 |
| 7:45AM | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 18 |
| 8:00 AM | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 15 |
| Total | 19 | 7 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 68 |
| \% Approach | 73.1\% | 26.9\% | 0\% | - | - | 3.8\% | 96.2\% | 0\% | - | - | 93.8\% | 6.3\% |  | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 27.9\% | 10.3\% | 0\% | 38.2\% | - | 1.5\% | 36.8\% | 0\% | 38.2 \% | - | 22.1\% | 1.5\% | 0\% | 23.5 \% | - | - |
| PHF | 0.792 | 0.583 | - | 0.722 | - | 0.250 | 0.625 | - | 0.650 | - | 0.750 | 0.250 | - | 0.800 | - | 0.944 |
| Lights | 17 | 6 | 0 | 23 | - | 1 | 20 | 0 | 21 | - | 12 | 1 | 0 | 13 | - | 57 |
| \% Lights | 89.5\% | 85.7\% | 0\% | 88.5\% | - | 100\% | 80.0\% | 0\% | 80.8\% | - | 80.0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 81.3\% | - | 83.8\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 8.0\% | 0\% | 7.7 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 2.9\% |
| Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | - | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 9 |
| \% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 10.5\% | 14.3\% | 0\% | 11.5\% | - | 0\% | 12.0\% | 0\% | 11.5\% | - | 20.0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 18.8\% | - | 13.2\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 3 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - |

[^7]Tue Aug 25, 2020
PM Peak (4:30 PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks,

ID: 776046, Location: 41.914947, -88.30001

| Leg <br> Direction | Indiana Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Indiana We stbound |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13th } \\ & \text { Northbound } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | U | App | Ped* | L | R | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2020-08-25 4:30PM | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| 4:45PM | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 32 |
| 5:00PM | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 31 |
| 5:15PM | 11 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 28 |
| Total | 42 | 10 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 105 |
| \% Approach | 80.8\% | 19.2\% | 0\% | - | - | 2.3\% | 97.7\% | 0\% | - | - | 80.0\% | 20.0\% | 0\% | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 40.0\% | 9.5\% | 0\% | 49.5 \% | - | 1.0\% | 40.0\% | 0\% | 41.0 \% | - | 7.6\% | 1.9\% | 0\% | 9.5\% | - | - |
| PHF | 0.700 | 0.417 | - | 0.765 | - | 0.250 | 0.618 | - | 0.632 | - | 0.667 | 0.250 | - | 0.625 | - | 0.820 |
| Lights | 40 | 10 | 0 | 50 | - | 1 | 42 | 0 | 43 | - | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | - | 103 |
| \% Lights | 95.2\% | 100\% | 0\% | 96.2\% | - | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100 \% | - | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | - | 98.1\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 2.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.9\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 1.0\% |
| Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 |
| \% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 2.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.9\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 1.0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^8]
# Appendix D <br> Crash Summary Map 



IDOT Crash Data (2014-2018)
Proposed Residential Development
St. Charles, IL

## Appendix E CMAP Traffic Projections

# Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning 

Chicago, Illinois 60606
3124540400
www.cmap.illinois.gov
June 19, 2020

Lynn M. Means, P.E., PTOE
Senior Transportation Engineer
Gewalt Hamilton Associates
625 Forest Edge Drive
Vernon Hills, IL 60061

## Subject: Tyler Road - Madison Avenue - 7th Avenue - Main Street IDOT

Dear Ms. Mean:
In response to a request made on your behalf and dated June 18, 2020, we have developed year 2050 average daily traffic (ADT) projections for the subject location.
ROAD SEGMENT Current Volumes $\quad$ Year 2050 ADT

Traffic projections are developed using existing ADT data provided in the request letter and the results from the March 2020 CMAP Travel Demand Analysis. The regional travel model uses CMAP 2050 socioeconomic projections and assumes the implementation of the ON TO 2050 Comprehensive Regional Plan for the Northeastern Illinois area. The provision of this data in support of your request does not constitute a CMAP endorsement of the proposed development or any subsequent developments.

If you have any questions, please call me at (312) 386-8806.
Sincerely,


Jose Rodriguez, PTP, AICP
Senior Planner, Research \& Analysis

[^9]
# Appendix F <br> ITE Trip Generation Excerpts 



# Single-Family Detached Housing (210) 

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban <br> Number of Studies: 159 <br> Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 264 <br> Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.44 | $4.81-19.39$ | 2.10 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Setting/Location:
Number of Studies:
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units:
Directional Distribution:

General Urban/Suburban
173

25\% entering, 75\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.74 | $0.33-2.27$ | 0.27 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: <br> General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 190
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 242
Directional Distribution: 63\% entering, 37\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.99 | $0.44-2.98$ | 0.31 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Senior Adult Housing - Detached (251) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: <br> General Urban/Suburban <br> Number of Studies: 1 <br> Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 655 <br> Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.27 | $2.90-6.66$ | 1.11 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Senior Adult Housing - Detached (251)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m

## Setting/Location: <br> General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies:
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 583
Directional Distribution: 33\% entering, 67\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.24 | $0.13-0.84$ | 0.10 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Senior Adult Housing - Detached (251)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>On a: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.<br>\section*{Setting/Location:}<br>Number of Studies: 3<br>Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 582<br>Directional Distribution: 61\% entering, 39\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.30 | $0.17-0.95$ | 0.13 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Appendix G <br> Capacity Analysis Worksheets 

GHA

## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave / Tyler Rd |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2020 | East/West Street | Munhall Ave |
| Analysis Year | Existing AM | North/South Street | Tyler Rd |
| Time Analyzed | North-South | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 18 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 349 |  |  |  | 290 | 6 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 8 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.96 |  | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.58 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay, Quen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave / Tyler Rd |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Munhall Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2020 | North/South Street | Tyler Rd |
| Time Analyzed | Existing PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 24 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 400 |  |  |  | 343 | 33 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.80 | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.50 | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Indiana/13th (N. Leg) |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2020 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | Existing AM | North/South Street | 13th Ave (N Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.76 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.46 |  | 6.26 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.55 |  | 3.35 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


Copyright © 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.
HCS Tina TWSC Version 7.8.5
Generated: 9/2/2020 7:03:46 PM

| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | LMM |  |  |
| Analyst | GHA | Intersection | Information |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2020 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | Existing PM | North/South Street | 13 th Ave (N Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 15 | 20 |  |  |  | 38 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  | 31 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.43 |  | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.30 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


Copyright © 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.
HCSTIN TWSC Version 7.8.5
Generated: 9/2/2020 7:15:24 PM
EX_Indiana.13.NLeg_PM.xtw

| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Informatio |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Indiana/13th (S Leg) |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 9/2/2020 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2020 | North/South Street | 13th Stree (S Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | Existing AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.60 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.68 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | LMM |  |  |
| Analyst | GHA | Intersection | Information |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2020 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | Existing PM | North/South Street | 13 th Stree (S Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  | 53 | 12 |  | 1 | 53 |  |  | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave / Tyler Rd |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Munhall Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | Tyler Rd |
| Time Analyzed | NoBuild AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 18 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 366 |  |  |  | 304 | 6 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 8 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \\| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.96 |  | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.58 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay, Quen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave / Tyler Rd |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Munhall Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | Tyler Rd |
| Time Analyzed | NoBuild PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 24 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 418 |  |  |  | 360 | 33 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \\| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.80 |  | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Indiana/13th (N. Leg) |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | 13 th Ave (N Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | NoBuild AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.76 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 27 | 15 |  |  |  | 31 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  | 21 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.46 |  | 6.26 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.55 |  | 3.35 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


Copyright © 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.
HCS Tiw TWSC Version 7.8.5

## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Indiana/13th (N. Leg) |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | 13th Ave (N Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | NoBuild PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 15 | 21 |  |  |  | 39 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 46 |  | 32 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \\| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.43 |  | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.30 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


Copyright © 2020 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved.
HCS Tin TWSC Version 7.8.5

| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Informatio |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Indiana/13th (S Leg) |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 9/2/2020 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | 13th Stree (S Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | NoBuild AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach |  |  |  |  |  | We | und |  |  | Nor | und |  |  | Sout | und |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  | 27 | 10 |  | 1 | 32 |  |  | 20 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 20 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.60 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.68 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | LMM |  |  |
| Analyst | GHA | Intersection | Information |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2027 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | NoBuild PM | North/South Street | 13 th Stree (S Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave / Tyler Rd |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Munhall Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | Tyler Rd |
| Time Analyzed | Total (Gate) AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.96 |  | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.58 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay, Quen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave / Tyler Rd |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Munhall Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | Tyler Rd |
| Time Analyzed | Total (Gate) PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  | 6.80 |  | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay, Quen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Indiana/13th (N. Leg) |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2027 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | Total (Gate) AM | North/South Street | 13th Ave (N Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.76 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 27 | 15 |  |  |  | 31 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  | 21 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.46 |  | 6.26 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.55 |  | 3.35 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | LMM |  |  |
| Analyst | GHA | Intersection | Information |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2027 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | Total (Gate) PM | North/South Street | 13 th Ave (N Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 15 | 21 |  |  |  | 39 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 46 |  | 32 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 0 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.43 |  | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.30 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Indiana/13th (S Leg) |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2027 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | Total (Gate) AM | North/South Street | 13th Stree (S Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | TR |  | LT |  |  |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  | 27 | 10 |  | 1 | 32 |  |  | 20 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 20 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.60 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.68 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | LMM |  |  |
| Analyst | GHA | Intersection | Information |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2027 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | Total (Gate) PM | North/South Street | 13 th Stree (S Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave/Site |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Site |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | Munhall Ave |
| Time Analyzed | Total (Gated) AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.52 | 3.32 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



## HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave/Site |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Site |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | Munhall Ave |
| Time Analyzed | Total (Gated) PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 14 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 25 |  |  |  | 34 | 25 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.52 | 3.32 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave / Tyler Rd |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Munhall Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | Tyler Rd |
| Time Analyzed | Total (Open) AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.96 | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.58 | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave / Tyler Rd |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Munhall Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | Tyler Rd |
| Time Analyzed | Total (Open) PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT | T |  |  |  | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 31 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 418 |  |  |  | 360 | 47 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.80 | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.50 | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | LMM |  |  |
| Analyst | GHA | Intersection | Information |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2027 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | Total (Open) AM | North/South Street | 13 th Ave (N Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.76 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 27 | 16 |  |  |  | 33 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  | 21 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.46 |  | 6.26 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.55 |  | 3.35 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | LMM |  |  |
| Analyst | GHA | Intersection | Information |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2027 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | Total (Open) PM | North/South Street | 13 th Ave (N Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.43 |  | 6.20 |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 |  | 3.30 |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service


| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Indiana/13th (S Leg) |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 9/2/2020 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | 13th Stree (S Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | Total (Open) AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |
| Intersection Orientation | East-West | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.60 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.68 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| HCS7 Two-Way Stop-Control Report |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | LMM |  |  |
| Analyst | GHA | Intersection | Information |
| Agency/Co. | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | 2027 | East/West Street | Indiana Ave |
| Analysis Year | Total (Open) PM | North/South Street | 13 th Stree (S Leg) |
| Time Analyzed | East-West | Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 |
| Intersection Orientation | 5718.900 | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description |  |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  | 6.40 |  | 6.20 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) |  |  |  |  |  | 2.20 |  |  |  | 3.50 |  | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave/Site |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Site |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | Munhall Ave |
| Time Analyzed | Total (Open) AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.52 | 3.32 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Information | Site Information |  |  |
| Analyst | LMM | Intersection | Munhall Ave/Site |
| Agency/Co. | GHA | Jurisdiction | Local |
| Date Performed | $9 / 2 / 2020$ | East/West Street | Site |
| Analysis Year | 2027 | North/South Street | Munhall Ave |
| Time Analyzed | Total (Open) PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5718.900 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LR |  |  |  |  |  |  | LT |  |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 11 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 25 |  |  |  | 34 | 22 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \| Storage | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways

| Base Critical Headway (sec) | 7.1 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Headway (sec) | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway (sec) | 3.52 | 3.32 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service




[^0]:    i Complete year 2019, as well as year 2020 crash data was not available from IDOT at the time of this study.

[^1]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^2]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^3]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^4]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^5]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^6]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^7]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^8]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^9]:    cc: Quigley (IDOT)
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