## MINUTES CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL PLAN COMMISSION TUESDAY, AUGUST 8, 2023

10ESDA1, AUGUS1 6, 2023

Members Present: Laurel Moad

Karen Hibel Jeffery Funke Colleen Wiese Dave Rosenberg Chris Studebaker

Members Absent: Peter Vargulich

Zachary Ewoldt Gary Gruber

Also Present: Ellen Johnson, Planner

Rachel Hitzemann, Planner

Monica Hawk, Development Engineer

Bruce Sylvester, Assistant Director CD-Planning & Engineering

Court Reporter

## 1. Call to order

Vice Chair Funke called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

### 2. Roll Call

Vice Chair Funke called the roll. A quorum was present.

## 3. Pledge of Allegiance

## 4. Presentation of minutes of the May 16, 2023 meeting of the Plan Commission

Motion was made by Ms. Moad, seconded by Ms. Wiese and unanimously passed by voice vote to approve the minutes of the May 16, 2023 Plan Commission meeting.

## 5. Verizon Cell Tower, 4050 E. Main St. (DRA Properties, LLC)

Application for Special Use

a. Public Hearing

The attached transcript prepared by Planet Depos Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

Motion was made by Ms. Moad to close the public hearing. Seconded by Ms. Wiese.

Plan Commission August 8, 2023 Page 2

**Roll call vote:** 

Ayes: Moad, Hibel, Wiese, Rosenberg, Studebaker, Funke

Nays:

Absent: Vargulich, Ewoldt, Gruber

**Motion carried: 6-0** 

b. Discussion and Recommendation

The attached transcript prepared by Planet Depos Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

Motion was made by Ms. Wiese and seconded by Mr. Studebaker to recommend approval of an Application for Special Use for Verizon Cell Tower, 4050 E. Main St., subject to resolution of all staff comments and signing of the agreement with the Pheasant Run Property Association.

**Roll call vote:** 

Ayes: Moad, Hibel, Wiese, Rosenberg, Studebaker, Funke

Nays:

Absent: Vargulich, Ewoldt, Gruber

**Motion carried: 6-0** 

6. 407 S. 5<sup>th</sup> Street Subdivision (Derrico Builders, LLC)

Application for Minor Subdivision – Final Plat

The attached transcript prepared by Planet Depos Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

Motion was made by Ms. Wiese and seconded by Ms. Moad to recommend approval of an Application for Minor Subdivision-Final Plat for 407 S. 5<sup>th</sup> Street Subdivision, subject to resolution of all staff comments.

**Roll call vote:** 

Ayes: Moad, Hibel, Wiese, Rosenbert, Studebaker, Funke

Nays:

Absent: Vargulich, Ewoldt, Gruber

Motion carried: 6-0

7. **Public Comment - None** 

#### 8. Additional Business from Plan Commission Members or Staff

The attached transcript prepared by Planet Depos Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

Plan Commission August 8, 2023 Page 3

# 9. Weekly Development Report

# 10. Meeting Announcements

- a. Plan Commission
   Tuesday, August 22, 2023 at 7:00pm Council Chambers
   Wednesday, September 6, 2023 at 7:00pm Council Chambers
   Tuesday, September 19, 2023 at 7:00pm Council Chambers
- Planning & Development Committee
   Monday, August 14, 2023 at 7:00pm Council Chambers
   Monday, September 11, 2023 at 7:00pm Council Chambers

# 11. Adjournment at 7:32 p.m.



# Transcript of St. Charles Plan Commission Hearing

**Date:** August 8, 2023

Case: St. Charles Plan Commission

**Planet Depos** 

**Phone:** 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

1	BEFORE THE PLAN COMMISSION
2	OF THE CITY OF ST. CHARLES
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	St. Charles, Illinois
12	Tuesday, August 8, 2023
13	7:00 p.m. CST
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Job No.: 473423
23	Pages: 1 - 33
24	Transcribed by: Wanda Greenlee, CCR

```
Report of proceedings of the St. Charles
1
2
     Plan Commission, held at the location of:
3
4
                ST. CHARLES CITY HALL
5
                2 East Main Street
6
                St. Charles, Illinois 60174
7
                (630) 377-4400
8
9
10
          Pursuant to agreement, before William Pantoja,
11
     Notary Public in and for the State of Illinois.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	PRESENT:
4	DAVID ROSENBERG, Commissioner
5	CHRIS STUDEBAKER, Commissioner
6	LAUREL MOAD, Commissioner
7	JEFFREY FUNKE, Vice Chair
8	KAREN HIBEL, Commissioner
9	COLLEEN WIESE, Commissioner
10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	NESC INDUNI.
12	RACHEL HITZEMANN, Planner
13	ELLEN JOHNSON, Planner
14	MONICA HAWK, Development Engineer
15	BRUCE SYLVESTER, Assistant Director CD, Planning &
16	engineering
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Ī	Conducted on Magast 6, 2025	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	CONTENTS	
2		
3	ITEM NUMBER	PAGE
4	4 - Passed	6
5	5 - Passed	23
6	6 - Passed	29
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Plan commission's called
3	to order. Prepare the roll call.
4	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Peter Vargulich?
5	(No response.)
6	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Karen Hibel?
7	COMMISSIONER HIBEL: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Jeffrey Funke.
9	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Here.
10	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Colleen Wiese?
11	COMMISSIONER WIESE: Here.
12	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Zachary Ewoldt?
13	(No response.)
14	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Dave Rosenberg?
15	COMMISSIONER ROSENBERG: Here.
16	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Gary Gruber?
17	(No response.)
18	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Chris Studebaker?
19	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: Here.
20	COMMISSIONER MOAD: And Laurel Moad here.
21	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Thank you. Number
22	Item No. 3. Please join me in saying the Pledge of
23	Allegiance.
24	(Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

1	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Thank you. Item No. 4.
2	Presentation of the minutes of May 16th, 2023, meeting of
3	the plan commission. Is there a motion to approve.
4	COMMISSIONER MOAD: I move to approve the
5	minutes for May 16th.
6	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: We have a motion. Thank
7	you. All in favor?
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Aye.
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Aye.
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Aye.
11	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Motion passes. Item
13	No. 5, Verizon cell tower, 4050 East Main Street. Item
14	No. 5, Verizon cell tower, 4050 East Main Street. Item
14 15	No. 5, Verizon cell tower, 4050 East Main Street. Item  No. 5 is an application for special use for a
14 15 16	No. 5, Verizon cell tower, 4050 East Main Street. Item  No. 5 is an application for special use for a  communication tower filed by DRA Properties, LLC.
14 15 16 17	No. 5, Verizon cell tower, 4050 East Main Street. Item  No. 5 is an application for special use for a  communication tower filed by DRA Properties, LLC.  A public hearing was previously open for
14 15 16 17	No. 5, Verizon cell tower, 4050 East Main Street. Item  No. 5 is an application for special use for a  communication tower filed by DRA Properties, LLC.  A public hearing was previously open for  this application in March. An issue was noted regarding a
14 15 16 17 18	No. 5, Verizon cell tower, 4050 East Main Street. Item  No. 5 is an application for special use for a  communication tower filed by DRA Properties, LLC.  A public hearing was previously open for  this application in March. An issue was noted regarding a  subdivision covenant that restricted communication towers
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	No. 5, Verizon cell tower, 4050 East Main Street. Item  No. 5 is an application for special use for a  communication tower filed by DRA Properties, LLC.  A public hearing was previously open for  this application in March. An issue was noted regarding a  subdivision covenant that restricted communication towers  from locating on the property. The applicant has returned
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	No. 5, Verizon cell tower, 4050 East Main Street. Item  No. 5 is an application for special use for a  communication tower filed by DRA Properties, LLC.  A public hearing was previously open for  this application in March. An issue was noted regarding a  subdivision covenant that restricted communication towers  from locating on the property. The applicant has returned  with additional information.

1 All testimony and evidence, both for and the City. 2 against an application, shall be given under oath. 3 Regarding procedure, first, the applicant 4 will make a presentation. Then we will take questions 5 from the commission, followed by questions from members of 6 the public. After that, we will take comments from the 7 public and anyone else wishing to present testimony. When 8 the plan commission feels it has gathered enough evidence 9 to make a recommendation to the Planning and Development 10 Committee of the City Council, we will close the public 11 The plan commission will then discuss the 12 evidence gathered relative to the findings of fact and 13 vote on a recommendation. The applications will then go before the Planning and Development Committee of the City 14 15 Council. 16 Before we begin, anyone who wishes to offer 17 any testimony, including asking questions or providing 18 comments for or against the application, shall be sworn in. Witnesses, will you stand? Anybody who's going to be 19 20 giving testimony, please stand. 2.1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have to be sworn 22 in? When it is 23 VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Yes. Yes. 24 your turn to speak, please approach the lectern. State

```
1
    your name, spell your last name and state your address for
2
    the record. I will note that official meeting packet
3
    posted up to the City's website is part of the public
4
    hearing record.
5
                    Is the applicant ready to make the
6
    presentation?
7
                    MR. DOLAN:
                                Yes.
8
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Jeff, I think you
9
    might have skipped the part --
10
                    VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Oh, I'm sorry.
                    Sorry. Do you swear that the testimony you
11
    sorry.
           Yeah.
12
    provide tonight will be the truth? If so, say "I do."
13
                    MR. DOLAN:
                                I do.
14
                    VICE CHAIR FUNKE: All right. Thank you.
15
    Okay. Are you ready to make the presentation?
16
                    MR. DOLAN:
                                Yes.
                                      Members of the
17
    commission, my name is Doug Dolan. I'm with DRA
18
    Properties and Dolan Realty Advisors. We're a commercial
    real estate firm that works with Verizon Wireless. And
19
20
    Verizon, as some of you know, had a cell site across the
2.1
    street from our proposed site at Fox Valley Dealership
22
    where it's -- we were on top of the roof of the Pheasant
2.3
    Run, which caught fire and took out the power and took out
2.4
    our site.
```

1	So we've been operating without a cell
2	site, a permanent cell site. We have since placed a COW,
3	what we call Cell on Wheels, which is known by its acronym
4	as a COW in the parking lot of Pheasant Run. And this is
5	a truck that's diesel fueled, and it's being filled up
6	daily in order to provide some service to the City of
7	St. Charles. But what's needed is a permanent cell site
8	at the appropriate height, which will provide coverage and
9	911 coverage to the community.
10	So the proposal that I'm presenting here
11	tonight is for that site, which is a single monopole in
12	the very rear of the car dealership at Fox Valley.
13	I'm going to learn how to do this. Hit
14	enter?
15	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Yeah.
16	MR. DOLAN: Okay. Let's see. I'm going to
17	go to presentation mode. Thank you for technical
18	assistance. Okay. Awesome. Thank you.
19	So why is this site important to the City
20	of St. Charles? We have a network engineer that has
21	prepared what's called a propagation map, and this shows
22	the existing coverage. There's a lot of ink blots on this
23	paper, but the existing purple are existing Verizon sites

The green and yellow and light blue is considered poorer

2 coverage areas. 3 So we're attempting to replace the site 4 across the street with a new pole. This is the closest 5 site that we could get to the coverage, the center of the 6 problem area due to DuPage County Airport. So we do have 7 FAA approval on this site, and this -- so this is before 8 our site is built, and this is after our site is built. 9 So this will provide the coverage and capacity that we 10 need and also connect to the existing sites in the City of 11 St. Charles. 12 What's sometimes overlooked is that it 13 doesn't just provide coverage to this particular area, but 14 they work in a network. So when you place a new cell 15 site, it strengthens the whole network by being able to

17 St. Charles. So by adding this site, it strengthens the

offload capacity from other sites in the City of

18 whole network and it also enhances the 911 location. So

in other words, if someone calls for an emergency call on

their phone, by having additional site, it pinpoints the

21 location of the caller better.

1

16

20

22

23

2.4

So this is a diagram -- this is notes from the radio frequency engineer saying the proposed site will help fill in a much needed coverage gap. The site will

North Avenue inside the surrounding residential and commercial businesses. In addition, this site will offload capacity to the heavily congested neighboring towers. So that's how we mentioned earlier it works in a network.

2.1

2.4

The primary objective of the site is to fill in coverage gap along North Avenue and provide reliable coverage inside the residential and commercial areas. The network capacity offloaded in the neighboring sectors, reliable coverage for E-911 calls, and improved 4G and 5G data speeds.

This is a site plan of the Fox Valley VW store. Our site is proposed in the very rear of the parking lot. If you look in the solid yellow lines, so sometimes hard to read, but the bolder yellow line in the very rear of the property off the parking lot will be our proposed site.

The site is proposed as a monopole. We had originally looked at a monopine for the original site.

The comments received from both the property owner and the neighboring trustee is that they both agreed that a traditional monopole would be a better use of the site because it's in a parking lot. And we do -- have done

1 plenty of pine trees which work well when you're among 2 other pine trees and you're among other trees. When it's a freestanding site, it's -- a pine tree tends to stick 3 4 out much more. And we have a photo SIM of the tower, too. 5 This is just to show that we did get FAA 6 approval. FAA is an essential part, especially when 7 you're close to an airport. And we did -- because of the 8 FAA approval, we did lower the height of the tower. We 9 were originally over 140. We went down 139. And that's 10 with a 5-foot lightning rod. So our overall tower height 11 is 134 feet. 12 Radio frequency safety, this sometimes comes up from time to time. And this is just a third 13 party called Site Safe. And you can look at them on the 14 15 Internet, and it lists all the websites and OSHA and such 16 that mention and -- to discuss about the safety and how at 17 the base of the tower, it's equivalent to a Wi-Fi system 18 or a baby monitor. That's how safe these systems are. 19 Health, safety and background, again, 20 there's several websites that can be addressed regarding 2.1 health and safety of a cell site. 22 Photosynth, so this is the proposed site. 23 So this is looking from the front of Fox Valley 24 Volkswagen. And again, there are no existing trees in

2.1

2.4

that area. So both the trustee, who owns the property in the rear, who was the original projector to do the site, who we've met with several times, have now reached an agreement. And the owner of the property have agreed that the traditional monopole, which will have no guide wires and will not be lit, won't be any FAA lighting or blinking lights on it. So it'll just be a single pole.

The network, you're feeling it in

St. Charles, as they are in other parts of Chicago and other parts of the country, just the demand for data has exploded. Not just cell phone calls, but the demand for data and data speed. So all carriers are pushing to keep up with the demand, so -- which is part of the reason for 5G. It provides a faster network for all the residents and visitors to the City of St. Charles.

This is additional information. I won't read it, but additional information which will be part of the record showing why the need for the cell site. The big part is schools, wireless connectivity. We're -- we're noticing -- as, you know, as probably in this audience, the number of people who've switched to wireless only. That was kind of a thing -- a few years ago there was about 50/50, but now it's about 80 percent, 20 percent that have terminated their landline and use cellular only.

So it's become an essential service to 1 2 communities. We find, especially with the age, with younger groups especially, they will never install a 3 4 landline again in their homes and their apartments. So 5 cellular service has become an essential use. 6 Property values, we haven't had -- at least 7 in the last item, we didn't have any objectors except for 8 the trustee who owned land in the back of our site and that was for a different matter. But we sometimes go into 9 the value of these sites for property values and the 10 11 importance of these sites for homes in the area and 12 businesses in the area and visitors in the area. 13 So as it says in the first point: percent of single family homebuyers consider an area of 14 15 goods of cellular service somewhat important or very 16 important when buying a new home. So in summary -- I'll 17 be available for any questions after this -- monopole 18 design was chosen as the best structure type for this type of environment. 19 20 Again, for the past two or three months, 2.1 we've been meeting with the trustee, trustee's attorney, 22 and members of the property owners association, which still owns about five or six lots, including the Culver's 2.3 2.4 in the area, the Memory Care. And there's been an

1	agreement. We've reached an agreement. We have a fully
2	negotiated document which is being circulated for approval
3	signature. They've emailed a letter from the property
4	owner association to the City in support of our project.
5	So that was really the only item that I
6	recall, the biggest item from our last presentation. And
7	that concludes my presentation. So I'm happy to address
8	any questions from the commission.
9	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: All right. Thank you.
10	Any questions from the planning commission?
11	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: This might be for
12	staff. The declaration issue, is that solved legally? I
13	think we're good there. Everybody's happy with that.
14	MS. HITZEMANN: Yes. We have they're in
15	the middle of the agreement. The legal was finalized
16	today or yesterday and they just have to sign the
17	paperwork, so that'll be done prior to them receiving.
18	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: So if we motion
19	to approve this, do we have to do it with the caveat that
20	how is that supposed to read? I mean, do we have to
21	put a caveat into that motion or no?
22	MS. HITZEMANN: I think you can make it a
23	condition that they, you know, receive that the
24	agreement is signed between owners.

1	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: Okay.
2	MR. DOLAN: One time another time we
3	made a condition prior to issuance of a building permit,
4	this protection that we have a signed agreement with
5	trustees.
6	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: Just out of
7	curiosity, how much taller is the cell tower going to be
8	than the emergency siren pole that was out there?
9	MR. DOLAN: Oh, yeah, it's a it's a
10	visual thing because it's so much further back.
11	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: Sure.
12	MR. DOLAN: It's smaller. But those poles
13	are probably 40 feet, something like it.
14	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: So you're
15	going
16	MR. DOLAN: Like, 130 at the most.
17	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: 130?
18	MR. DOLAN: 130, right.
19	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: I was just
20	wondering. Thank you.
21	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Any other questions?
22	(No response.)
23	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: I'm going to read off
24	I've got Commissioner Zack Ewoldt could not be here today,

1 so he emailed in his questions, which I'll read off. 2 Number one, while the applicant seeks to lease a 20 foot by 25 foot for the staff report portion of the property, 3 4 will this footprint be allowed to expand without city 5 approval beyond building permits? Example is if they need 6 to increase the leased footprint for additional ground 7 equipment, like, battery -- or, like, backup batteries or 8 generators, et cetera. 9 So, yes, the equipment area MR. DOLAN: 10 that we propose for Verizon Wireless will be the amount 11 needed for any future expansion for Verizon Wireless. It 12 also contains a backup generator, so in case there's a power outage in the area, it will still provide 13 14 communications to the community. 15 And then we also have additional land in 16 addition to Verizon that we've leased for colocation, so 17 we're building it for Verizon. We're going to invite AT&T and T-Mobile to locate on the tower. It's not a second --18 19 part of the idea is not to have a second or third tower, 20 but to have it all located on one tower. So, yes, there's 2.1 room for the equipment at the base. 22 MS. HITZEMANN: And I'll add to that that 23 the special use is specifically for the communication 2.4 tower, not necessarily the other equipment that is needed.

1	So since the tower already received special use, if they
2	needed to increase that lease area for whatever reason,
3	they would need to come back for (indiscernible).
4	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Okay. Thank you.
5	Number two, the staff report states that they are leasing
6	they are leasing a 20 foot by 25 foot space for
7	138-foot tower. The application states a 20 foot by 60
8	foot for 145-foot tower. That was the original
9	application?
10	MR. DOLAN: Right.
11	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Did the applicant reduce
12	what is being proposed since the submittal of an
13	application?
14	MR. DOLAN: Yes. Yes. We reduced it from
15	145 to 139 in order to comply with the FAA.
16	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Okay. Thank you. The
17	last question: The drawings in the packet appeared to no
18	longer show the artificial tree limbs that the original
19	plan showed this spring to the plan commission. It seems
20	that the resubmittal creates a more noticeable cell tower.
21	The applicant originally represented that the artificial
22	tree limbs were proposed to allow it to blend in and
23	reduce any visual impacts on the area.
24	MR. DOLAN: Yes. We had a conversation

1	with the property owner and with the adjacent trustee.
2	Both felt that a monopole, which we move more towards the
3	center of the site, would actually be more appropriate for
4	that type of setting as opposed to a freestanding tree.
5	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Right. Thank you.
6	Appreciate that. Any other questions or comments? I have
7	none. Any questions or comments from members of the
8	public?
9	(No response.)
10	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Okay. If planning
11	commission feels that they have enough information to make
12	a recommendation to the Planning and Development Committee
13	of the City Council, a motion to close the public hearing
14	would be in order.
15	COMMISSIONER MOAD: I move to close the
16	public hearing for the Verizon cell tower at 50 4050
17	East Main.
18	COMMISSIONER WIESE: Second.
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.
20	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: The motion has been
21	moved and second. Any discussion on the motion?
22	(No response.)
23	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: We're going to have a
24	roll call?

1	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Karen Hibel?
2	COMMISSIONER HIBEL: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Jeffrey Funke?
4	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Colleen Wiese?
6	COMMISSIONER WIESE: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Dave Rosenberg?
8	COMMISSIONER ROSENBERG: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Chris Studebaker?
10	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Laurel Moad, yes.
12	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Okay. That motion
13	passes. The public hearing is now closed. We will now
14	move on to the discussion and recommendation section of
15	our agenda. We will not be taking any additional public
16	comment to this item.
17	Item 5(b) is discussion and recommendation.
18	This is the opportunity for the planning commission to
19	discuss the information that has been gathered in relation
20	to the findings of fact and to discuss our thoughts on
21	recommendation. Is there anything additional from the
22	staff before we begin?
23	MS. HITZEMANN: I don't have anything
24	additional.

1	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, what was
2	that?
3	MS. HITZEMANN: I don't have anything
4	additional.
5	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
6	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Thank you. Is there
7	anything the floor is now open for discussion among the
8	planning commission.
9	COMMISSIONER MOAD: My comment would be
10	that it appears that the developer has worked with the
11	Pheasant Run Cross Property Association to accommodate
12	them and modify the plan in order to satisfy their
13	covenants, and I'm satisfied that the developer has done
14	that.
15	COMMISSIONER HIBEL: And I would say
16	aesthetically, it does improve how it looks, the pole. I
17	know Commissioner Rosenberg, last time, brought up the
18	pine and trying to visualize that. So this kind of flows
19	a little nicer (indiscernible).
20	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Any other comments?
21	(No response.)
22	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: I'd just like to say,
23	too, I agree. I think it's you know, the pine tree, I
24	don't think it would have been really in keeping with the

1	area, so I think it was a great adjustment. I appreciate
2	that. Thank you.
3	Okay. So now that that's finished with
4	that, is there a motion on this application?
5	COMMISSIONER WIESE: I will make a motion
6	to approve the Verizon cell tower, 4050 East Main Street,
7	DRA Properties, LLC, subject to completion of all staff
8	comments and conditional on the signing of the lease
9	agreement is that right?
10	MR. DOLAN: Trustee agreement.
11	COMMISSIONER WIESE: Signing of the trustee
12	agreement prior to, what, closing? Correct?
13	MR. DOLAN: Prior to the property owner
14	association agreement the Pheasant Run Property
15	Association agreement.
16	COMMISSIONER WIESE: Okay. So pending the
17	signing of the Pheasant Run property owners' agreement.
18	MR. DOLAN: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: Second.
20	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: We have
21	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, who was
22	second?
23	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: (Indicated.)
24	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

1	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: A motion has been made
2	and seconded to recommend. Any discussion on that motion?
3	Okay. Do we have a roll call vote?
4	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Karen Hibel?
5	COMMISSIONER HIBEL: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Jeffrey Funke?
7	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Karen Wiese or
9	Colleen Wiese? I'm sorry.
10	COMMISSIONER WIESE: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Dave Rosenberg?
12	COMMISSIONER ROSENBERG: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Chris Studebaker?
14	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Laurel Moad, yes.
16	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: All right. That motion
17	passes. Thank you. That concludes Item No. 5.
18	All right. The next item on the agenda is
19	Item No. 6, 407 South Fifth Street subdivision. Item 6 is
20	an application for minor subdivision, final plat submitted
21	by Derrico Builders, LLC.
22	A public hearing is now required for the
23	application. The applicant will be making a presentation.
24	MR. DERRICO: Okay. So Lot 1 is where the

```
1
    existing house is currently at. The garage is in the
2
    corner of Lot 2.
3
                    VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Excuse me. Can you
4
    state your name?
5
                    MR. DERRICO: I'm sorry. Zach Derrico,
6
    D-E-R-R-I-C-O.
7
                    VICE CHAIR FUNKE:
                                       Thank you.
8
                    MR. DERRICO: Uh-huh. So this is a little
9
                  The existing home is on Lot 1. The existing
    bridge home.
10
    garage is on the corner of Lot 2 where the pointer is.
     I'm proposing moving the existing garage closer towards
11
12
    Oak Street, freeing up --
                    MS. HITZEMANN: I'm sorry. Scroll down.
13
14
    think you're in the same place.
15
                    MR. DERRICO: Thank you. That's the front
16
    elevation that we're proposing. That's the right-side
17
    elevation, and that is -- so the garage is currently
18
     sitting -- you can see the broken lines. I'm wanting to
    move it in this general vicinity and freeing up the lot.
19
    This is -- this is how I think the home will sit best on
20
2.1
                    The HPC wanted us to pull the footprint
    the property.
22
    back, which we did, Dan Marshall (phonetic spelling) and
2.3
     I.
2.4
                    There was some concern about the sight line
```

1	between these two properties, so we tried to accommodate
2	them by pulling the proposed footprint back, and they
3	seemed to like that. A shingle style. There's going to
4	be no mix of different architectural styles. HPC was
5	pretty specific on that, so I think we're accommodating
6	and I think it works.
7	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Can you show us the
8	elevations? I think I'm having a hard time if you
9	could zoom out on that a little bit?
10	MR. DERRICO: Do you want to see the front?
11	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Yeah. If you could zoom
12	out maybe zoom out so we can see the entire elevation.
13	MR. DERRICO: All right. So the black
14	portion
15	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: There you go. Perfect.
16	Perfect.
17	MR. DERRICO: So that's the right-side
18	elevation that faces little bridge. It's going to be all
19	shakes. It's all consistently one style, like I said.
20	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Are you
21	saying faces the lilly bridge?
22	MR. DERRICO: The right-side elevation
23	faces the existing little bridge home. It's the front
24	elevation, story-and-a-half style, not superimposing. I

1	think it fits the street well and site well. So I'm happy
2	to answer any questions you guys might have.
3	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Can you go back to the
4	site plan again? Historic District has commented on this.
5	Have you worked with Historic District?
6	MR. DERRICO: Yeah, we've been working with
7	them, and they like the direction it's trending. They're
8	feeling good about everything. They had some we've met
9	with them a few times. They had some comments and we
10	tried to address those.
11	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: What are you going to be
12	doing to the existing house? Are you going to be doing
13	anything to it?
14	MR. DERRICO: Not much. It doesn't need
15	much. It's a clean old house. Just moving the garage
16	closer, like the sink plan.
17	COMMISSIONER MOAD: It's currently for
18	sale.
19	MR. DERRICO: It is, yeah. A portion of
20	the existing driveway to the that would be northwest.
21	That's going to go away. You see it where it kind of
22	breaks through the front corner of the garage. So the
23	existing driveway is just going to die into the side of
24	the garage location.

1	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: I don't see the setback
2	to the to the south of the new house. What's that
3	setback?
4	MR. DERRICO: I believe it's ten.
5	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Is it ten?
6	MR. DERRICO: To the south?
7	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Yeah, the neighboring
8	property.
9	MR. DERRICO: What's the neighbor setback,
10	you're saying?
11	COMMISSIONER MOAD: East.
12	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: No. Is that the east?
13	COMMISSIONER MOAD: I think it's east.
14	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Okay.
15	MR. DERRICO: Oh, I see what you're saying.
16	I believe it's
17	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Yeah, on the left side
18	of the house, yeah.
19	MR. DERRICO: I believe it's let's see,
	30 or 32.
20	
21	MS. HITZEMANN: Are you talking about the
22	interior side?
23	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Yeah, interior side,
24	right.

1	MS. HITZEMANN: For the new house?
2	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: For the new house,
3	correct.
4	MS. HITZEMANN: It's seven and a half, I
5	believe.
6	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Seven and a half. Okay.
7	Any questions or comments from the plan commission?
8	
	(No response.)
9	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Do you have a motion? A
10	motion.
11	COMMISSIONER MOAD: No questions.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No questions.
13	COMMISSIONER WIESE: I make a motion to
14	COMMISSIONER MOAD: I make a motion to
15	approve.
16	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Go ahead.
17	COMMISSIONER WIESE: I make a motion to
18	approve 407 South Fifth Street subdivision, Derrico
19	Builders, LLC, subject to all staff closure of all
20	staff comments or completion of all staff comments.
21	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Second.
22	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: We have a motion and a
23	second. Do you need a roll call?
24	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Sure. Karen Hibel?

1	COMMISSIONER HIBEL: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Jeffrey Funke?
3	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Colleen Wiese?
5	COMMISSIONER WIESE: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Dave Rosenberg?
7	COMMISSIONER ROSENBERG: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Chris Studebaker?
9	COMMISSIONER STUDEBAKER: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER MOAD: Laurel Moad, yes.
11	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Thank you. That motion
12	passed. Thank you. I appreciate it.
13	MR. DERRICO: Thank you.
14	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: That concludes Item No.
15	6. Item No. 7, any public comment?
16	(No response.)
17	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: All right. Number 8,
18	Item No. 8, additional business from the planning
19	commission members or staff?
20	MS. HITZEMANN: Yes. I did want to
21	introduce a new member of the Community Development
22	Department. Bruce Sylvester has joined our team as the
23	assistant director of Community Development for planning
24	and engineering.

1	MR. SYLVESTER: Hi, I'm Bruce Sylvester,
2	and I started in the Community Development Department a
3	couple of weeks ago, and I'm happy to be here, and I'm
4	looking forward to meeting all of you.
5	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Where'd you come from?
6	MR. SYLVESTER: Prior to this, I was in
7	Westmont, and prior to that, I was in Knights.
8	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Very nice.
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Welcome.
10	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Welcome to Cane County.
11	MR. SYLVESTER: Yeah, glad to be here.
12	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Item No. 9, weekly
13	development report. The weekly development report has
14	been provided with the meeting packet. And Item No. 10,
15	meeting announcements. Do you have a meeting
16	MS. JOHNSON: Well, that meeting it's
17	like next meeting we may have on the
18	first (indiscernible).
19	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: Okay. Do we have a
20	motion for adjournment? Adjournment?
21	COMMISSIONER WIESE: Second.
22	VICE CHAIR FUNKE: We have a motion and a
23	second. All in favor?
24	COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

```
VICE CHAIR FUNKE: St. Charles planning
1
2
     commission is closed at 7:32 p.m. Well done.
3
                     UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                              Thank you.
4
                     VICE CHAIR FUNKE: You're welcome.
                     (Proceedings concluded at 7:32 p.m.)
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
I, William Pantoja, the officer before whom
the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that
said proceedings were electronically recorded by me; and
that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
any of the parties to this case and have no interest,
financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
hand and affixed my notarial seal this 11th day of August,
2023.
William Dantoia
William Pantoja
William Pantoja, Notary Public
for the State of Illinois

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
2	I, Wanda Greenlee, do hereby certify that
3	the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of
4	the recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were
5	transcribed to the best of my ability from the audio
6	recording and supporting information; and that I am
7	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of
8	the parties to this case and have no interest, financial
9	or otherwise, in its outcome.
10	The de Come las
11	Wanda Greenlee
12	Wanda Greenlee
13	August 11, 2023
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	