

**AGENDA
CITY OF ST. CHARLES
GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE
ALD. TODD BANCROFT, CHAIR
MONDAY, AUGUST 21, 2017
IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING
CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS – 2 EAST MAIN STREET**

1. Call to Order

The meeting was convened by Chairman Bancroft at 7:25 pm

2. Roll Call

Members present: Chairman Bancroft, Ald. Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Gaugel, Vitek, Lewis

Absent: Bessner

3. Omnibus Vote

- a. Recommendation to approve Funds Transfer Resolutions authorizing budgeted transfers in the aggregate amount of \$3,873,739.41 for debt service payments and miscellaneous transfers.
- b. Budget Revisions – July, 2017

Motion by Ald. Turner, second by Lemke to approve the omnibus items as presented.

4. Administrative – Video Gaming Statistics, June 2017, and July 2017. Information only.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous; Nays: None. Chrmn. Bancroft did not vote as Chairman Bancroft did not vote as chair. **Motion Carried.**

5. Police Department

- a. Recommendation to approve a proposal for a new Class B liquor license for Sushi Diva Japanese Restaurant to be located at 2400 E Main Street Unit 107A, St. Charles (former Shima's Sushi location).

Chief Keegan: Recommendation to approve a proposal for a new Class B liquor license for Sushi Diva Japanese Restaurant to be located at 2400 E Main Street Unit 107A, St. Charles (former Shima's Sushi location). This item has been brought over from the Liquor Control Commission with a 3 – 0 recommendation. This is their first go round running and operating a restaurant; it's for a Class B full service restaurant license, spirits, wine and alcohol, and all paperwork is in order. With that I'll ask the petitioner to step forward to answer any questions.

Motion by Ald. Stellato, second by Payleitner to recommend approval of a proposal for a new Class B liquor license for Sushi Diva Japanese Restaurant to be located at 2400 E Main Street Unit 107A, St. Charles (former Shima's Sushi location).

Roll Call: Ayes: Stellato, Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Gaugel, Vitek, Lewis. Nays: None. Chrmn. Bancroft did not vote as Chair. **Motion Carried.**

b. Recommendation to approve a proposal for a new Class B3 liquor license for ABHY, Inc., dba St. Charles Shell, located at 307 W Main Street, St. Charles.

Chief Keegan: Recommendation to approve a proposal for a new Class B3 liquor license for ABHY, Inc., dba St. Charles Shell, located at 307 W Main Street, St. Charles. This item also advanced from the Liquor Control Commission earlier this afternoon with a 2 – 1 recommendation. Several weeks ago we did a liquor modification to approve an A6 license. Currently and prior to this taking place in order to operate a freestanding liquor store you had to be 2000 sq. ft. To incorporate a liquor license into a Walgreens, or CVS you had to be 10,000 sq. ft. with our existing code, with no restrictions on the amount of floor space. To even the playing field we incorporated an A6 license that allows alcohol sales to take place in gas stations having convenience stores so long as the total sq. footage of alcohol sales does not exceed 10% of the floor space. The petitioners are present. We vetted the application, both are Basset certified, they have the appropriate articles of incorporation and training, we did go over the 10% rule, the floor plan is attached, and they are also going to add locks to their coolers. This is a full-service license. I want to remind everyone that this is a 10 am – 10pm license Monday – Sunday.

Heena Patel: 307 W Main St., St. Charles.

Ald. Lewis: When you say full service that's everything.

Chief Keegan: Beer, wine and spirits. Beer and wine will be in the cooler, spirits behind the counter.

Ald. Lewis: What happened at the Liquor Control Commission Meeting?

Ald. Silkaitis: I was the one no vote. I don't think we need to start selling liquor at gas stations. I do have a question. How are you going to enforce the 10%? What is the general procedure?

Chief Keegan: It's two fold. At the application process, we look at the material, visited the site, looked at the floor plan, did some calculations, and then on-going. One of the things we do besides compliance checks and sending in folks that are under age to make sure there are no sales to minors going on, we also do inspections. We just checked every licensed location in St. Charles, there's a check-list our officers go through, and, of course, for a packaged liquor store, such as an A6, we would look at this every time we go in.

Ald. Lemke: You would look at the floor plan to make sure it hasn't expanded?

Chief Keegan: When on an inspection we bring the material with us to make sure they are within the code requirements of the 10%.

Ald. Silkaitis: Once they put the liquor in a certain area, are they restricted to that forever or can they move it anywhere in the store?

Chief Keegan: We visit our licensed establishments pretty frequently and review the material that's both approved initially from Council and on-going as we go in to inspect the location. If there's a change or modification we would ask for compliance from the operator to reach out and let us know in advance. If they did not, and we caught that, we would work with the applicant to make sure we see compliance moving forward.

Ald. Payleitner: I'm in agreement with Ald. Silkaitis. I think our liquor purchasing has become convenient enough without this option. Not that I don't wish you well. I just don't think it's necessary.

Motion by Ald. Turner, second by Gaugel to recommend approval of a proposal for a new Class B3 liquor license for ABHY, Inc., dba St. Charles Shell, located at 307 W Main Street, St. Charles.

Ald. Lewis: I would like to add that I'm in agreement with Ald. Silkaitis and Payleitner. I did vote no for this originally.

Roll Call: Ayes: Lemke, Turner, Gaugel, Vitek, Stellato. Nays: Lewis, Silkaitis, Payleitner. Chrmn. Bancroft did not vote as Chair. **Motion Carried.**

- c. **Recommendation to approve a proposal for a new Class B license for Eden on the River restaurant to be located at 1 Illinois Street, St. Charles (former Charleston on the River location).**

Chief Keegan: Recommendation to approve a proposal for a new Class B license for Eden on the River restaurant to be located at 1 Illinois Street, St. Charles (former Charleston on the River location). This also advanced from the Liquor Control Commission with a 3 -0 advancement. This recently changed hands within the last week. Marwan Taib did an asset sale to the petitioners. Shodeen did the lease appropriately. We quickly vetted this application. These folks owned and operated a similar restaurant for 8 years in DeKalb. It started as a full-service alcohol location, and went to a BYOB. They have a good following. They won't be changing the location with the exception of the décor. They are seeking a 1 am permit.

Rowan Alhalabi: 1127 Yorkshire Drive, North.

Motion by Ald. Turner, second by Silkaitis to recommend approval of a proposal for a new Class B license for Eden on the River restaurant to be located at 1 Illinois Street, St. Charles (former Charleston on the River location).

Roll Call: Ayes: Lemke, Turner, Gaugel, Vitek, Stellato, Lewis, Silkaitis, Payleitner. Nays: None. Chrmn. Bancroft did not vote as Chair. **Motion Carried.**

- d. **Recommendation to approve an Ordinance Amending Title 5, Entitled "Business Licenses and Regulations" Chapter 5.08, "Alcoholic Beverages", 5.08.230, "Licensed Premises – Location Restrictions" of the St. Charles Municipal Code.**

Chief Keegan: Recommendation to approve an Ordinance Amending Title 5, Entitled “Business Licenses and Regulations” Chapter 5.08, “Alcoholic Beverages”, 5.08.230, “Licensed Premises – Location Restrictions” of the St. Charles Municipal Code. This advanced from Liquor Control Commission with a 2 – 1 advancement. Over the last several years we have looked at the 100 ft. rule. We are a home rule community and can be more restrictive, but not less restrictive than state law. It was brought to my attention that the law had changed. Looking in your packets you will see the subsection I’m referring to of the State code. The Illinois liquor code of 1934, Illinois compiled statutes 235, subsection 5, chapter 6-11. What this says is as long as the business primary purpose is not the sale of alcohol, excluding taverns and packaged liquor stores, the location can do business within that 100 ft. rule. Looking at some of our neighbors and talking with the state’s Liquor Control Commission; I conferred with our attorney and talked to Liquor Control Commissioner, Mayor Rogina. I wanted to advance this forward for your consideration.

Specifically with the Amlings location there has been some difficulty with the 100 ft. rule. It’s very hard to understand. I’ve actually used our GIS or Google Maps platform, done measurements, and had to get the opinion of Mr. McGuirk several times. We think this is a cleaner package that doesn’t leave as much wiggle room. It’s my recommendation that we enumerate this into our code.

Ald. Lemke: If I’m not mistaken, the corner of the building is the measurement point for the State. Not the property line.

Chief Keegan: Correct. It’s building point to building point.

Ald. Lemke: Would that leave us with cases where there may be a dispute?

Chief Keegan: Sometimes when petitioners come in they often times point to other locations that are currently owned and operated to inquire why those are in business. A few have actually taken in on their own to do some measurements. I think this language and following the States code may help to eliminate some of those questions.

Ald. Lemke: As I read it, also it’s if the business was pre-existing before someone established a worship center.

Chief Keegan: Yes, that’s always been a clause in our existing code. If the location pre dated the church they would be grand fathered. This is moving forward. We’ve had a couple o of issues in my short tenure here with the City where folks have questioned this particular ordinance and the 100 ft. requirement.

Ald. Lewis: Do you see this as a problem? I’m not sure we need to move forward and change this? What are some other examples, if changed, where we’ll see things happen?

Chief Keegan: We just worked on an application for the Home Brew Shop on W. Main Street, which is close to Lazarus House and the Methodist Church. They want to expand their business, do a tasting room, and produce wine on site. Lazarus House was just before Council and it looks as though they are going to take over the Methodist Church. With this

particular case, we talked this over with the petitioner, GIS, and Economic Development. We looked at what part of the building alcohol sales and consumption would take place on the petitioners side, on the converse we looked at the house of worship to see where the worship takes place, and drew a straight line. It was up to your own interpretation what you thought our ordinance meant. Reviewing this particular case it prompted the discussion to dig a little deeper and look at what the State is doing.

Ald. Lewis: Sometimes there is the spirit of the law and the intention. Maybe the intention is not to have them in the same vicinity. I'm trying to think about where schools are located. Will we have the ability to say no, or does this eliminate the ability to say no?

Chief Keegan: I think you do. Ald. Vitek brought up a late night permit issue.

Ald. Vitek: Right. My concern was that I would hate to hinder a business that's a restaurant with most sales being food, but in my opinion sometimes when a restaurant is open until 2 am, it changes the dynamic. My point was there should be some control over the late night permits not being in those 100 ft. establishments.

Ald. Lewis: He's a brewery, that's not mainly food, how can he go in there?

Chief Keegan: He's seeking an A4 license and would be closed by 10 pm. It's similar to the concept that's coming forward on N 4th Street. It's a carry out facility, not a sit down and the hours of operations won't go past 10 pm.

Ald. Payleitner: I think it's getting fuzzier. I would think that our award winning GIS team should be able to pinpoint that without any questions. I think that should be pretty clear cut. Regarding Amlings, doesn't the church own the building?

Rita Tungare: I don't believe they own the building.

Ald. Payleitner: Once we change we can't go back. I don't have an issue with having a buffer between our churches and homeless shelters and our liquor establishments.

Ald. Silkaitis: I voted no in Liquor Commission for the same reason. I think its fine the way it is. But in thinking about this you need to have the 100 ft. buffer. Let's say there's liquor store and a Church want to come in, what would happen?

Chief Keegan: If the liquor store predates the church it would be grand fathered in.

Ald. Lemke: Adopting the State statute there would be more case law and would resolve some issues without having to rule on them.

Ald. Lewis: Do you have any indication why the State changed.

Chief Keegan: I did reach out to the State, but no I don't. Besides the applicants I'm speaking of, a couple times in my tenure here some folks have asked about restaurants and their proximity to different churches. That's why we're looking for something a bit more concrete.

Ald. Payleitner: It's over 100 ft. The answer is clear. Just because it looks close doesn't mean its 100 ft.

Chief Keegan: Petitioners that come in often times bring up other cases, that's why we are looking for something a bit more concrete to give us better direction.

Motion by Ald. Lemke, second by Vitek to recommend approval of an Ordinance Amending Title 5, Entitled "Business Licenses and Regulations" Chapter 5.08, "Alcoholic Beverages", 5.08.230, "Licensed Premises – Location Restrictions" of the St. Charles Municipal Code.

Chair. Bancroft: Any further discussion?

Ald. Payleitner: What is the difference?

Chair. Bancroft: Aren't we just adopting the IL Statute?

Chief Keegan: We're enumerating the subsection I mentioned earlier in lieu of our ordinance language. The ordinance would read IL CS 235 6-11 of the IL Liquor Code, under location restrictions.

Ald. Payleitner: I heard you say that at the Liquor Commission Meeting, and it's allowed as long as we're under 500,000 population, correct.

Chief Keegan: That was my interpretation, as well as the Liquor Commission.

Rita Payleitner: So, we're eliminating the 100 ft. rule?

Chief Keegan: We're not eliminating it. It's still in place for any business whose primary business is the sale and consumption of alcohol, what it exempts or allows for is a hotel, restaurant, a business where the primary business plan is not the sale of alcohol.

Ald. Lewis: The brewery would be allowed.

Chief Keegan: Under the business plan I've seen, obviously he hasn't been in front of the City Council, but I am taking it forward for your review. He's going to sell products to brew at home, he will have a small component of an onsite tasting room for which he will need a liquor license. Currently he just sells the products for people to make in their homes.

Ald. Lewis: That's the one on the corner.

Chief Keegan: Correct, at 3rd Street and W Main. That will be before the Liquor Control Commission in September.

Ald. Lemke: As I understand it, it's more clear by measuring the 100 ft. to the corner of the building that is a place of worship.

Chief Keegan: Here is where the problem arose with Home Brew; currently the building on W Main St. is divided into 3 sub-sections. When you look at the Methodist Church that's sub-divided into a church and homeless shelter. When you look at the language in the code, draw a line and use GIS, we brought it to legal, Mr. McGuirk, and had a tough time determining exactly what direction to go. We saw the State's statute that allowed for this practice without any questions.

Atty. McGuirk: I think it's accurate. Chief feels and it seems the statute is easier to handle than our ordinance.

Ald. Gaugel: Adopting the state statute removes ambiguity that could potentially subject us to litigation. By removing the ambiguity we make things clear for Chief, staff and applicants as well. I would support changing this; as Chief brought this to our attention and Council has reviewed. This is a much clearer approach, much more defined and it acts in the interest of the City to avoid any potential litigation should someone want to challenge this down the road.

Roll Call: Ayes: Lemke, Turner, Gaugel, Vitek, Stellato. Nays: Lewis, Silkaitis, Payleitner. Chrmn. Bancroft did not vote as Chair. **Motion Carried.**

6. Fire Department

- a. Recommendation to approve closing Parking Lot J and the 100 Block of Riverside Drive from 7 am to 4 pm for Fire Department Open House Events.

Chief Schelstreet: this is a request to approve closing parking lot J on October 14, which is a Saturday, from 7 am – 4pm for our annual open house in conjunction with Fire Prevention Week. We will have the same program as in years past. There will be a number of displays and activities for the kids to participate in. For safety's sake we are requesting to close the 100 block of Riverside right in front of the fire house and parking lot J.

Motion by Ald. Stellato, second by Turner to recommend to approve Closing Parking Lot J and the 100 Block of Riverside Drive from 7 am to 4pm for Fire Department Open House Events.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous; Nays: None. Chrmn. Bancroft did not vote as Chairman Bancroft did not vote as chair. **Motion Carried.**

7. Information Systems

- a. Recommend to approve City staff to execute an agreement with Harris Computer Systems for City View software upgrade services for a not-to-exceed cost of \$158,708.

Larry Gunderson: What we have tonight is a recommendation for an upgrade to our City View software, used for Community Development. I have a few brief slides to show what this upgrade would fit in with the enterprise application strategy. Most of you have seen this before. I'd like to repeat to make sure the concepts we're explaining are consistent with what we're doing with the software.

Enterprise Applications support business processes across departments and add a lot of

functionality between users from one department to another. Some examples are: Asset management, utility billing, financials, human resources, payroll, and tonight we're talking about a community development systems application for plan review, permitting, code enforcement and licensing.

The City provided a core financial system that integrated, financials, accounting, human resources, and payroll systems. Some of the other enterprise application systems haven't kept up-to-date with technology. Our long term plan is to release an RFP 18 – 24 months from now to replace the application. In the meantime we have some challenges. Our current system was implemented in 2004; you can imagine its old technology. It's nearing end of life for support from the vendor, a lot of technology doesn't support the basic needs of Community and Economic Development. Our response is to update our current City View system right now. Even though the long term plan is to replace in 2 – 5 years we need to fix this right now.

We went to the software manufacturer, Harris Computer Systems and asked for a proposal to upgrade the system. They are providing some key services. Data conversion to allow the data that's been maintained for over 13 years to be converted to the new system without any loss of data at all, as well as project management, training, and some additional licensing. If the recommendation is approved we plan on being operational with the new system in April, 2018.

Ald. Lemke: Is there a reason to think the revised system would not interface with the conversion you intend to do a couple years down the road?

Larry Gunderson: The data most likely will, the software, depending on if we go with the current provider or a different vendor, it will depend on what approach we take.

Motion by Ald. Stellato, second by Vitek to recommend approval of City staff to execute an agreement with Harris Computer Systems for City View software upgrade services for a not-to-exceed cost of \$158,708.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous; Nays: None. Chmn. Bancroft did not vote as Chairman Bancroft did not vote as chair. **Motion Carried.**

8. Finance

- a. Recommendation to approve the City Administrator and Finance Director to execute an agreement with Paymentus Corporation to provide customer payment processing services to the City.

Julie Herr: I'm here tonight seeking a recommendation for the approval of a master services agreement with Paymentus Corporation for customer payment processing services for the City. The City currently has an online web application called Utility Billing Online, where customers make payments using their debit or credit cards, or checking account. Currently between the credit cards, debit cards, and e-checks about \$9m dollars revenue is received through our utility billing online program.

The utility online program was actually developed 10 years ago in-house by a former City employee. The program has served us well for many years, current technology and the needs

and demands of our customers have out grown the system. The most significant deficiency is the current program is not able to be used on old device, such as a smart phone or tablet. This the number one complaint we get from utility billing customers not being able to view or make a payment on their phone. We want to try to rectify this. Because we have a home-grown system our option to replace is to look for an outside service to provide this.

The IS Department issued a RFP for customer payment processing. We received 7 responses that were reviewed and scored by City staff. The unanimous choice was Paymentus Corporation. Their proposal addressed all the City's requirements and provided the most cost effective solution.

Per transaction cost is going to be slightly lower than what we pay now using our current system. The functionality we are expecting with Paymentus; Mobile friendly, Pay by phone, text, email, schedule reoccurring payments, can make future payments, as well as quick pay.

There will be notifications and payment confirmation by text and phone. The service will also be offered in Spanish. There are no implementation cost. All of the fees are transaction based and are estimated to be around \$61,000, based on our current transactions. Because we expect the usage will increase those costs will likely go up as more customers us the service. However, similar to how we handle current credit card processing fees, the City is planning on absorbing the fees from Paymentus. It will be very user friendly and easy to navigate. You can do one-time payment without having to create an account, there is also another option where you can register as a user and do multiple things within your account.

We are requesting the approval of a master services agreement with Payments to provide customer payment processing for our utility billing system. This process will not only increase efficiency, it will make our customers happy, and hopefully will result in a lower per transaction cost.

Ald. Lemke: Currently we have an application created in-house. We are going to avoid the maintenance cost of this more difficult and less functional application. These type of applications are the way to go.

Julie Herr: Yes. We've heard from customers we need to move forward with our technology.

Ald. Gaugel: I've used the system for years now. I agree it's time to upgrade and give the functionality of what is expected today. Is the 3-year term driven by Paymentus or is that something we requested?

Julie Herr: We requested.

Jill Martin: It was originally 5 years, and we negotiated 3 years.

Ald. Gaugel: I like that. The transaction fee changes, I see we have a 60 day notice from the vendor prior to those going into effect. Do we have the ability to terminate if the fees are out of line?

Julie Herr: I believe, during the term of the agreement, the only increases that would happen would be from Visa or MasterCard. Paymentus would not increase their fees. It would be directly from Visa or MasterCard, and we would receive advanced notice.

Ald. Gaugel: We have a locked in fee schedule with Paymentus, aside from the MasterCard or Visa fees that could increase.

Julie Herr: Yes, if MasterCard or Visa's fees increased our fee would go up, but only because it was initiated by MasterCard or Visa.

Ald. Gaugel: The \$61,000 is an estimate. I would anticipate the service to be utilized more, and that the number would go up, however, I'm surprised to see the number of people coming up and using the drop box. It would be an enormous cost savings to the city to get people to use this program. I'm sure Lisa in Communications can help with that, it would be a great thing. It would also be interesting to know what the cost savings would be. Maybe that's a discussion for another time. I think this is great, good job.

Julie Herr: There definitely will be a marketing campaign to promote this.

Ald. Lewis: Is this \$75,000 per year, or for 3 years?

Julie Herr: Yes, per year.

Ald. Lewis: Every year for 3 years.

Julie Herr: More and more people are using their credit cards.

Ald. Lewis: We also charge a late fee. Now we expect more will pay on time, will there be a loss with late fees? It would be interesting to see if we get more payments since it will be available to be done this way. But how much will be lost in late fees?

Julie Herr: I want to give credit to Jill Martin and her group they do a good job of tracking how many people come in to the counter, how many times they receive a phone call for payments, they do a lot of tracking as far as statistics and accounts. I'm sure we'll be able to continue to track that information.

Motion by Ald. Turner, second by Silkaitis to Recommend approval of the City Administrator and Finance Director to execute an agreement with Paymentus Corporation to provide customer payment processing services to the City.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous; Nays: None. Chrmn. Bancroft did not vote as Chairman Bancroft did not vote as chair. **Motion Carried.**

9. Inventory Control Division

- a. Recommendation to approve a Resolution authorizing the Mayor and the City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to approve the award of a 2017 Ford F-550 XL 4X4 Chassis

Cab To Currie Motors (SPC Contract), and Truck Equipment Body Modifications to Monroe Truck Equipment (NJPA Contract) and Sell Replaced Vehicle #1740 2003 F-550.

Mike Shortall: On behalf of the Public Services Department I'm seeking approval to purchase a 2017 Ford F-550 XL 4X4 Chassis Cab through the suburban cooperative Currie Motors, and equipment body modification through the National Joint Powers Alliance, Monroe Truck. This vehicle was approved through city budget and fleet committee. Finally I'm seeking approval to sell the replaced vehicle #1740 through an online auction service to the highest bidder.

Motion by Ald. Stellato, second by Turner to recommend approval of a Resolution authorizing the Mayor and the City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to approve the award of a 2017 Ford F-550 XL 4X4 Chassis Cab To Currie Motors (SPC Contract), and Truck Equipment Body Modifications to Monroe Truck Equipment (NJPA Contract) and Sell Replaced Vehicle #1740 2003 F-550.

- b. Recommendation to Approve a Resolution Authorizing the Mayor and the City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to Approve the Award of a 2017 Ford F-350 XL 4X4 Chassis Cab, With Knapheide body Modifications/Tommy Lift Gate, to Zimmerman Ford and Sell Replaced 2009 Ford F-550 4X4 Vehicle #1879

Mike Shortall: On behalf of the Public Services Department I'm seeking approval to purchase A 2017 Ford F-350 XL 4X4 Chassis Cab, With Knapheide body Modifications/Tommy Lift Gate from a local vendor, Zimmerman Ford. This vehicle is a downsized vehicle which is reflected in the change in the budget. This vehicle was approved by the City Fleet Committee and passed through budget as well. Finally, I'm seeking approval to sell the replaced vehicle #1879, through an online auction service to the highest bidder.

Motion by Ald. Stellato second Lemke to Recommend approval of a Resolution Authorizing the Mayor and the City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to Approve the Award of a 2017 Ford F-350 XL 4X4 Chassis Cab, With Knapheide body Modifications/Tommy Lift Gate, to Zimmerman Ford and Sell Replaced 2009 Ford F-550 4X4 Vehicle #1879

Roll Call: Ayes: Lemke, Turner, Gaugel, Vitek, Lewis, Stellato, Payleitner.
Nays: None. Abstain: Silkaitis. Bancroft did not vote as Chair. **Motion Carried.**

9. Executive Session

- Personnel – 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(1)
- Pending Litigation – 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(11)
- Probable or Imminent Litigation – 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(11)
- Property Acquisition – 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(5)
- Collective Bargaining – 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(2)
- Review of Executive Session Minutes – 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(21)

10. Additional Items from Mayor, Council, Staff, or Citizens

11. Adjournment

:tc