

**MINUTES
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL
GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING
MONDAY, JUNE 26, 2017, 7:00 P.M.**

Members Present: Chairman Payleitner, Aldr. Stellato, Aldr. Silkaitis, Aldr. Lemke, Aldr. Turner, Aldr. Bancroft, Aldr. Gaugel, Aldr. Vitek, Aldr. Bessner, Aldr. Lewis

Members Absent: None

Others Present: Ray Rogina, Mayor; Mark Koenen, City Administrator; Peter Suhr, Director of Public Works; Chris Adesso, Asst. Director of Public Works - Operations; Karen Young, Asst. Director of Public Works – Engineering; AJ Reineking, Public Works Manager; Tom Bruhl, Electric Manager; Tim Wilson, Environmental Services Manager; James Keegan Police Chief; Chris Minick, Director of Finance; Kevin Christensen, Assistant Fire Chief

1. Meeting called to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. Roll Call

K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Present
Silkaitis: Present
Payleitner: Present
Lemke: Present
Turner: Present
Bancroft: Present
Gaugel: Present
Vitek: Present
Bessner: Present
Lewis: Present

3.a. Electric Reliability Report – Information only.

3.b. Active River Project Update – Information only.

3.c. Tree Commission Minutes – Information only.

4.a. Recommendation to approve Amplification Equipment and Parking Lot and Street Closures for the 2017 Arcada Anniversary Parade and Celebration.

Chief Keegan presented. This is the second annual event of the Arcada's 90th Anniversary. I'm happy to answer any questions.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Silkaitis, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. Approved by voice vote.

Motion carried

4.b. Recommendation to approve Use of Amplification Equipment for the 2017 Bob Leonard 5K/10K and 1K Family Color Fun Run/Walk.

Chief Keegan presented. This is the 10th Annual event which starts and finishes at Pottawatomie Park using the pedestrian bridge over the Fox River. It takes place in the Timbers Subdivision and the adjacent Wildrose area.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Silkaitis, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved by voice vote.

Motion carried

4.c. Recommendation to approve Agreement for School Resource Officer for School Year 2017-2018.

Chief Keegan presented. This is an annual renewal for School District 303 for the 2017-2018 school year with no substantive changes. It is important to note there are two years left on our incentive that we signed with the school district many years ago to acquire some of the land for the Red Gate Bridge.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Lemke, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. Approved by roll call vote.

Motion carried

5.a. Presentation of Concepts from the Active River WBK Feasibility Study – Information only.

Chris Adesso presented. I would like to take a moment to remind everyone that this process took place in June and July of last year when there were many discussions at the Government Services Committee meetings surrounding the Active River Project and the 2015 River Corridor Master Plan. There are several members of the Active River Task Force and the River Corridor Foundation here tonight. All of that culminated with an

Intergovernmental Agreement between the City, the Park District and the River Corridor Foundation to conduct this study which Mr. Chismark is here to present.

Greg Chismark: My name is Greg Chismark, I'm the Municipal Practice Principal at WBK Engineering; we are located at 116 W. Main Street, St. Charles. It is my privilege to be here representing this project. As Chris mentioned, this is the culmination of an eight month process to further help define what this project is and what it can do.

Presentation by Greg Chismark.

Aldr. Silkaitis: When you take the dam down, why won't the level drop?

Mr. Chismark: The level will drop. The dam is six feet tall, we expect a normal elevation to drop by roughly six feet, and so that's what is going to allow those edges of the river to become exposed. We included restoration to provide cobble and gravel fill, as well as vegetation.

Aldr. Silkaitis: The six foot drop will extend how far north?

Mr. Chismark: It would extend up to the most up-stream structure.

Aldr. Silkaitis: How will the gate work? What is the purpose?

Mr. Chismark: The gate itself would be operated under certain conditions. In low flow conditions the gate could be up, therefore maintaining the pool. In the spring, when we sometimes have a lot of flow, the gate could be lowered and it wouldn't substantially lower the pool because we've got a significant flow. At that point, the lower end of the gate could create a current that would be attractive to certain paddlers.

Aldr. Silkaitis: So the gate is not really for flood control, like it is up in McHenry?

Mr. Chismark: If the gate was all the way across, if there was a series of gates, there is potential to provide some drop in the flood elevation. This isn't a flood control project, we didn't start off with that as a primary goal, but there is some potential to do that, but again, we didn't study that in detail. We can study it in the next phase to see if there is benefit, and if there is benefit, see if there is funding available.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Back to the gate again; how would the fish continue down south?

Mr. Chismark: So the gates themselves would be 18 inches to two feet, roughly, depending on what the slope between them is created to be. One of the things we need to do is study what the target species are in this area of the Fox River. Based on that, we would need to look at the velocity of the river under certain conditions to make sure those species can get through this area. If we cannot design these elements that are in a manner

that is suitable for fish passage, there might need to be fish ladders built into them to allow the fish to go around.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Could you completely shut off the flow of the river with these gates?

Mr. Chismark: No. The flow will continue. Think of it just to control the elevation. It gives us the ability to articulate the water between channels if there was an interest to do that.

Aldr. Stellato: What do we want to accomplish tonight? Are you looking for feedback on design? Give me some idea of what you want from me tonight.

Chairman Payleitner: That's my responsibility. Greg, I believe you accomplished your goal; you were assigned to see if this project is feasible and we concur that it is. In our packets, we have a couple of next logical steps. The Mayor and I think the next thing to do is to direct staff to organize a staff and Council fieldtrip to a location where this is already happening. Staff has already budgeted for that to take place, so I would like to see us move forward with that.

Secondly, I would like to read the words from your report: "The next logical step is to develop a detailed preliminary design scope of service and to verify funding availability for preliminary engineering. This step would include public participation, regulatory agency coordination and legal review of the project requirements".

I see that being accomplished by directing staff to get a team of our finance department, the Park District Finance Department and get our heads together with perhaps with River Corridor input as well as they have some grass roots connections and get everyone on the same page.

Aldr. Stellato: Are you looking for any input on the design or layout of the three alternatives?

Mr. Chismark: From my standpoint, this is the first time you are seeing it, so I would say you should all mull it over first.

Aldr. Lewis: After they present to the Park District, then I think public comment is appropriate.

Chairman Payleitner: Yes, and then have the conversation between the two organizations.

Aldr. Gaugel: With that said, I have always been an advocate and proponent of this project. I think it would be tremendous for our Downtown and economically. I would like to make two motions: I make a motion to direct Staff to work with the Park District and any other public agencies to pursue the concepts and the next logical steps to develop

a detailed preliminary design scope of service and to verify funding availability for preliminary engineering.

Chairman Payleitner: Please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Turner: No

Motioned by Aldr. Gaugel, seconded by Aldr. Stellato. Approved 8 to 1 by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

Aldr. Gaugel: I would like to make a motion to direct staff to coordinate a trip between Staff as well as Elected Officials to plan a trip to Greenville, SC.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Before I agree to spend any money on a trip, I would like to get an idea of the cost and what grants and funding the City can get before I go to the next step. I don't want to go too quick here. I would rather see the first motion go through first.

Aldr. Gaugel: Do you recall what our budget for the trip is?

Mr. Koenen: It is already budgeted for \$5,000 or \$6,000.

Chairman Payleitner: This is just for like three members of Council and a couple members of staff to look at the impact of this project on the river. Then we can have the meeting with the other agencies in the next 90 days, so the trip wouldn't be until September or so.

Aldr. Turner: I think we are really jumping the gun on both these motions. Before we do anything, I think you should secure your riparian rights between the four agencies that have riparian rights. You should talk to the UP to see if they would even allow you to put this on their trestle and what it's going to cost. We have skipped a lot of steps; we don't know that anyone else is going to agree to this. All these things have to be in place or I'm not going to spend any money on this.

Aldr. Lemke: Further to that, we need to know what the scope of the Greenville project is, what the tourist impact is; how many hotel rooms, and the cost per hotel room. We need to know a lot more about that before we start saying yes.

Aldr. Turner: Since I've been on this Council, we have had two feasibility studies; one to soften our water, and one to switch our electrical distribution over to 138kv. Those were six to eight years ago; just because something is feasible doesn't mean you do it.

Aldr. Lewis: I just want clarification; can we make motions and vote when the item is presented as information only?

Attorney McGuirk: Yes.

Aldr. Bancroft: I agree with all the fiscal responsibility questions that have come up, but I'm not sure that we are out over our skies on these two motions.

Chairman Payleitner: The first motion was to direct staff to organize meetings between the entities. The next motion is the Greenville trip. Please call a roll for the motion regarding the trip to Greenville, SC.

K. Dobbs:

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: No

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: No

Lemke: No

Turner: No

Motioned by Aldr. Gaugel, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. Approved 5 to 4 by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.b. Recommendation to award the Bid for the 2017 Concrete Survey and Cutting Program.

AJ Reineking presented. The City's sidewalk maintenance program is very comprehensive, including concrete lifting, cutting, and replacement operations. Concrete cutting is the process of physically shaving raised sidewalk to the desired grade. In addition to cutting, the scope of this program also includes a survey of the neighborhood and area sidewalks to identify potential hazards that would best be remedied by other means, be it removal and replacement or concrete lifting.

We received two bids to perform the work this year. Hard Rock Concrete Cutters submitted the lowest bid; however they did not meet the bid specifications and after a service demonstration we feel that their equipment and methods are not conducive to working in our residential neighborhoods.

Safe Step has been the City's concrete cutting contractor for the last seven years. Their services are clean and their survey and reporting capabilities are excellent.

It is my recommendation to award the bid for the 2017 Concrete Survey & Cutting Program to Safe Step in the amount of \$27.00 per inch-foot cut, not to exceed the budgeted amount of \$45,000.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Bessner, seconded by Aldr. Gaugel. Approved by voice vote.

Motion carried

5.c. Recommendation to award the Bid for Tree Trimming and Removal Services.

AJ Reineking presented. Tree trimming and removals are a very important component to proper management of the City's urban forest as well as the reliability of the City's electric utility. This has historically been a time and materials contract to perform line clearance trimming for the Electric Division and emergency and high-risk trimming and removals for the Public Services Division.

This year, in addition to the hourly rate component of the contract, the Electric Division requested pricing to complete line clearance trimming on a per circuit basis for four circuits. Hours and equipment will continue to be closely tracked to ensure that the City receives the best deal possible for the services rendered.

As in previous years, to allow for continuous operations of both Divisions, and to provide necessary redundancy in emergency situations, we're requesting that the contract be split between the two lowest responsive, responsible bidders, DeMar Tree Service and Skyline Tree Service

Awarding the work to two contractors creates a diverse operational advantage for both the Electric Utility and Public Services Divisions in normal operations, but especially during emergency weather events.

It is my recommendation to award the bid for Tree Trimming & Removal Services to DeMar Tree Service in the amount not to exceed \$200,000 for electric line clearing and scheduled and emergency tree work, and to Skyline Tree Service in the amount not to exceed \$70,000 for scheduled and emergency tree work.

Aldr. Gaugel: I understand the concept of having a main contractor and back up. How many times do we run into that? The reason I ask is because DeMar is significantly cheaper on their hourly rate. If we went with DeMar with everything, would that really put us in jeopardy in the case of a severe event?

Mr. Reineking: In a severe event, yes; the smaller companies only have one or two crews out at a time, depending on the size of the operation. We did take your advice last year and we began to use DeMar, but on the Public Services side we ran into issues with them getting crews in the middle of the night, or even during the day to be honest.

No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Turner: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Gaugel, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.d. Recommendation to award the Bid for the 2017 Asphalt Patching Program.

AJ Reineking presented. Throughout the spring, the Public Services staff has initiated a windshield survey of the City's streets and alleys, looking for sections of roadway in severe disrepair.

Last year, patching efforts were focused on the west side of the City. This year's program is focusing on the East side of the community, with Phase 1 of the program commencing in the North East quadrant. The survey for Phase 2 of the program is anticipated to be complete this week and will continue in the North East and South East quadrants. After each of our surveys, we compare our notes with those of the Engineering Division to generate our patching list.

We prepared a bid specification for a 2" depth "Class D" patch with an estimated total of up to 7,500 square yards of asphalt replaced. We received four bids to complete this grind and overlay work with Geneva Construction being the lowest responsive,

responsible bidder. Geneva Construction is the City's current MFT Program contractor and has successfully completed other roadway improvement projects for the City in the past.

It is my recommendation to award the bid for the 2017 Asphalt Patching Program to Geneva Construction Company in the amount of \$18.50 per square yard of material replaced, not to exceed \$145,950.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Bancroft, seconded by Aldr. Vitek. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.e. Recommendation to Waive the Formal Bid Procedure and approve Purchase Order with Novinium for Cable Injection Services.

Tom Bruhl presented. The City of St. Charles has been working with a vendor named Novinium since 2009 for this very specialized service. Novinium uses a patented fluid to inject the cable and to date we have done almost 37 miles of residential cable. The fluid doesn't instantly solve all problems; it takes a while to push the water out, but if we do have a failed span, we get a credit for that failed span.

This is about 1/3 the cost of directional boring a pipe and replacing the cable, and it is much less impactful to the residents in terms of digging in their backyards and landscape restoration. Most of the time the crew is in and out of a resident's backyard in a half day with no digging or shovels.

We have been working with Novinium from 2013 to 2016 on a declining block structure, so the more cable we injected, the lower the price they gave us for the three year deal. They have offered us pricing of \$4.35 which takes us to the 2013 timeframe but without the declining block structure. Once we finish this, we will not be using this for the majority of our cable replacement, so there isn't a reason to do another three year contract.

It is my recommendation to waive the bid procedure and approve a Purchase Order to Novinium for Cable Injection Services in an amount not to exceed \$100,000.

Aldr. Gaugel: Can you talk about the warranty again; you said if it fails, they will pay for the replacement cost? They are only going to pay for what we paid for, correct?

Mr. Bruhl: That is correct.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Gaugel. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.f. Recommendation to approve Notice of Intent to Award for the Phosphorus and Digester Construction Project.

Tim Wilson presented. City Staff and Trotter & Associates went out to bid for this construction project in April. The EPA required a 45 day minimum bid opening. Bids were opened on June 13 and we have received and reviewed all bids; attached is a Letter of Recommendation and Bid Summary from Trotter & Associates. There were three bids submitted; the low bid was IHC from Elgin, IL, in the amount of \$13,294,896. Construction costs of \$13,400,000 was budgeted for this project and received pre-authorization on the loan approval.

As part of the IEPA loan process we have to award the Notice of Intent along with the bid package to be submitted for review. Once the documentation has been reviewed and approved by the IEPA, we will bring it back to City Council for a Notice of Award to be issued in the next month or two.

It is my recommendation to approve the Notice of Intent to Award to IHC Construction Companies for the Phosphorus Removal and Digester Improvement Project in the amount of \$13,294,896 and a Resolution authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the same on behalf of the City of St. Charles.

Aldr. Gaugel: Is there anything with the Notice of Intent that we are looking to accomplish with the vendor? Are we negotiating anything after we issue the Notice of Intent?

Mr. Wilson: We are not negotiating anything; this is strictly part of the process.

Aldr. Gaugel: Has this vendor done any work with St. Charles in the past?

Mr. Wilson: Not to my knowledge.

Aldr. Gaugel: Have they worked with Trotter in the past?

Mr. Wilson: Yes.

Aldr. Gaugel: My other question is regarding the additives.

Mr. Wilson: We had five alternatives; while we were going through the design process, we realized the project may have been over-budget, so we pre-selected alternatives that Staff thought were things we could live without. Prior to going out to bid, we selected the order of the alternatives and kept that internal so no one else knew.

Aldr. Gaugel: I read through the alternatives and I think it was a great process. My question is; what if we don't exercise those alternatives right now? We are talking about an extra \$200,000; if they were alternatives and not necessary for the initial bid, are they necessary, or are they luxuries?

Mr. Wilson: They aren't luxuries. Some of them are sound enclosures considering our closeness to neighborhoods; while it may not affect the process and we may not need them right now today, but we would have to come back in future years to ask for approval on that.

Aldr. Lemke: What kind of sounds will be emitted from this building? Is it continuous or just during construction?

Mr. Wilson: It is a continuous sound, so we have sound enclosures are over the top of the motors and mixers. Because of the residential area around our facility, we thought it would be a good alternative to try to be good neighbors.

No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: No

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Turner: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Stellato. Approved 8 to 1 by roll call vote.

Motion carried

5.g. Recommendation to award a Request for Proposal for a Three Year Service Agreement for Valve Exercise and Leak Detection with Associated Technical Services LTD.

Tim Wilson presented. Public Works Staff solicited Request for Proposals for our annual valve exercise and leak detection survey for the water system. The RFP included quotes for the next three years. The RFP includes exercising, locating and documenting the condition of all of our nearly 1,200 valves in the system. One third of the valves will be completed every year during the three year program.

In an effort to reduce unaccounted water loss and ultimately revenue loss, the survey is conducted annually to detect water leaks in the distribution system. The scope of work includes a leak detection study and the initial survey of 220 miles of watermain. On average, the City finds 40-50 leaks per year.

It is my recommendation to award a unit cost service agreement to Associated Technical Services, LTD. for FY 17/18 and a Resolution authorizing staff to approve the same for FY 18/19 and FY 19/20 based upon budget year approvals.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Lemke. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.h. Recommendation to award CMOM Phase II Sewer System Evaluation Survey of SC02 to Engineering Enterprises, Inc.

Tim Wilson presented. The CMOM Communication Plan was presented at the last Government Services Committee. As part of that presentation, we discussed in detail the work to be completed during Phase II.

The proposed Phase 2 contract will include the review of the flow monitoring data conducted last fall and spring. Staff and Engineering Enterprises Inc. will determine infiltration and inflow areas of city blocks that need further investigation. A contractor will be selected to provide the following scope of work: smoke testing, manhole inspections, televising, line surveying, GPS data collection and final reports. All of the data collected will help in the design of Phase 3 rehab work that is planned to occur in the construction season of 2018.

It is my recommendation to award CMOM Phase II Sewer System Evaluation Survey of SCO2 to Engineering Enterprises Inc. for a not to exceed amount of \$117,140.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

5.i. Recommendation to approve Construction Contract for South Tyler Road Watermain Replacement.

Tim Wilson presented. On June 16, 2017, sealed bids for the South Tyler Road Water Main Replacement were publicly opened and read aloud. The City received a total of six bids for this project. Apollo Trenchless was the winning bidder with a bid of \$259,862.

The scope of work will include 722' Linear Ft. of watermain improvements on Tyler Road from Production Drive to Munhall Avenue; this project will include the installation of a 12" water main, by open cut and trenchless methods. Work will also include asphalt patching, sidewalk replacement, and parkway restoration.

Construction is projected to begin in July, with the majority of the project completed by the end of August. Restoration and punch list work will be completed by mid-September. Individual notifications will be provided to the residents and businesses adjacent to the project area, with a press release sent out to the general community.

It is my recommendation to approve a Construction Contract with Apollo Trenchless for the 2017 South Tyler Road Water Main Replacement in the amount of \$259,862.

No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Turner: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.j. Recommendation to approve Construction Contract for the 37th and 38th Avenue Roadway Improvement Project.

Karen Young presented. At the March 27, 2017 GSC meeting staff presented all of the streets that will be constructed in 2017, including 37th and 38th Avenue. These roadways are located within the City's east side industrial park where many of the roadways are in really poor condition. Typically the roadways in this condition would be reconstructed, requiring the roadway to be shut down for long periods of time. Recognizing that this is an industrial park with large volumes of trucks every day, Staff is proposing a full depth reclamation process that would achieve the same goals with reduced impacts to the businesses.

On June 8, 2017, sealed bids for the 37th and 38th Avenue Roadway Improvement Project were publicly opened and read aloud. The City received a total of four bids for this project; the bid for A Lamp was rejected due to not including their addendum and not meeting the qualifications to complete the work for this job. The lowest qualified bid was received by J.A. Johnson Paving in the amount of \$729,209.

Staff has been working extensively with the businesses as this is a very large project and a very heavily travelled area. We have created a great partnership with the businesses out there which will lead to a very successful working relationship and hopefully a successful project. The schedule is slated to begin in July with substantial completion in September with restoration by Mid-October. The roadways will remain open during construction with the exception of the reclamation and paving process. Each roadway will be closed for an extended weekend, basically a Thursday evening through Monday morning to complete the work. The businesses have those pre-defined dates so they know how to accommodate their work schedules in those areas.

This project has been added to the City's website and notifications will be sent out to remind all the businesses of the work and the timing and appropriate Press Releases will be sent out as well.

It is my recommendation to approve a construction project with J.A. Johnson Paving for the 37th and 38th Avenue Roadway Improvement Project in the amount of \$729,209.

Aldr. Vitek: So the budget on items 5.j and 5.k is the same budget, and that's it; we are under budget for the whole project?

Mrs. Young: Yes, that is correct.

Aldr. Silkaitis: What is going to be different when they redo this road compared to South Tyler?

Mrs. Young: On South Tyler we completely pulled out the roadway. In this particular case, all the curb is going to remain in place and we are going to repair the curbs as necessary. When we start milling out the pavement, we will be taking off about five inches of asphalt. After we take out the asphalt, they will pulverize the existing material and stone and inject a cement material that creates a new stabilized base. Over the long weekend, that product will cure and we will begin paving on Sunday. It achieves the same goal as a reconstruction, it's just a different process. This is a new process, but as we start our next budget season, you'll be hearing a lot more about our pavement management program.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Is there a cost difference?

Mrs. Young: There is a savings because we aren't hauling away material, there are no dump fees and we aren't bringing in new materials. We are hoping this will be the trend in the Industrial area moving forward so we can extend our budget further.

Aldr. Silkaitis: Is this a proven technology?

Mrs. Young: The Village of Algonquin does it significantly in their residential areas, so that is something else we are going to look at in the future. We have been talking to several different communities to make sure this is a tried and true method and found it has been very successful for these other agencies.

Chairman Payleitner: Karen, thank you to you and your team for partnering with the businesses; I'm sure that will be very helpful.

No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Turner: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved unanimously by Roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.k. Recommendation to approve a Construction Engineering Agreement for the 37th and 38th Avenue Roadway Improvement Project.

Karen Young presented. The 37th & 38th Improvement Project includes consultant services for Construction Engineering due to the scope of work in the industrial park area and the number of other construction projects taking place this summer. Qualifications and proposals were requested from six firms with two firms submitting: HR Green and Strand for a fixed fee, not to exceed contract.

After reviewing the qualifications and reference checks, staff selected HR Green to do the work. Their scope of work, number of hours and hourly rates are consistent with similar previous projects and meets the project timeline.

I would like to make a recommendation to approve a construction engineering agreement with HR Green for the 37th and 38th Avenue Roadway Improvement Project in the amount of \$66,668.06.

No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Turner: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.I. Recommendation to approve Construction Contract for Pavement Rejuvenation.

Karen Young presented. In order to maintain the longevity of our pavements and to leverage the funding available it is important to have a holistic pavement management approach that includes a combination of pavement maintenance, rehabilitation and reconstruction. Roadway pavements that are repaired when they are in good condition will cost less over their lifetime than streets that are allowed to deteriorate to a poor condition, which require resurfacing or reconstruction.

Moving forward the City is beginning to expand the types of pavement strategies used to maintain our roadway pavements to help extend the life of the pavement. We are proposing to start the rejuvenation process on the roads that were resurfaced in 2014 and 2015. This treatment is a spray on petroleum based project that absorbs into the asphalt pavement to restore the asphalt components that have lost due to oxidation due to the sun and weather. The product dries clear and ends with a sand application to let the product dry for 45 minutes and then the sand is swept off after 24-48 hours.

You may have noticed that Kane County has done this in the past. We are working through Kane County's bid. They received bids from two bidders with the unit prices for Corrective Asphalt Materials coming in at \$0.82/sy and the other bidder at \$0.84/sy.

The works is anticipated in July and early August when the other Kane County projects are being completed. This information will be added to the City's website and appropriate Press Releases will be done as well.

It is my recommendation to approve a construction project with Corrective Asphalt Materials for the 2017 Pavement Rejuvenation project in the amount of \$123,028.

Aldr. Silkaitis: How are we going to keep it from getting on the curbs? I don't want to see that black stuff on the curbs.

Mrs. Young: It's not black; it ends up being clear when it dries.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Gaugel. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.m. Recommendation to approve Budget Addition to the 7th Avenue Creek Project.

Karen Young presented. As part of the budget process, we initially had a much higher dollar amount in our budget but we were unsure of the course of action and the timeline for purchases, so we made a conscious choice as part of the budget process to reduce the dollar amount just in case we didn't move forward. We were very fortunate to have been able to negotiate and move forward with several properties in the project area.

The City's Finance Department is in agreement with the budget addition and has indicated that these items will be included in one overall bond issue. The current available funding includes \$1.2 million with the total cost of purchases at about \$1.8 million.

It is my recommendation to approve a budget addition for the 7th Avenue Creek Project in the amount of \$705,300 for property acquisition.

No further discussion.

Chairman Payleitner: Please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Turner: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried**

5.n. Recommendation to approve Real Estate Purchase Agreement for 801 Illinois Avenue.

Karen Young presented. For the next several items, I won't go into detail on each one; these are the properties we have had previous conversations about.

Chairman Payleitner: We all agree; let's just go down the line and approve each one.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.o. Recommendation to approve Real Estate Purchase Agreement for 116 S. 8th Avenue.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Silkaitis, seconded by Aldr. Lemke. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.p. Recommendation to approve Real Estate Purchase Agreement for 107 S. 10th Avenue.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Lemke, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.q. Recommendation to approve Real Estate Purchase Agreement for 904 Fern Avenue.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.r. Recommendation to approve Real Estate Purchase Agreement for 15 S. 9th Avenue.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Bancroft, seconded by Aldr. Gaugel. Approved by voice vote.

Motion carried

5.s. Recommendation to approve Real Estate Purchase Agreement for 304 S. 7th Avenue.

Karen Young presented.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Gaugel, seconded by Aldr. Lewis. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.t. Recommendation to approve Real Estate Purchase Agreement for 718 Indiana Avenue.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Vitek, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.u. Recommendation to approve Real Estate Purchase Agreement for 804 Indiana Avenue.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Bessner, seconded by Aldr. Lewis. Approved by voice vote. **Motion carried**

5.v. Recommendation to approve Ordinance Updates to the City of St. Charles Code 15.101.150 B.7(i) – Permit and Inspection Fees.

Karen Young presented. This is a housekeeping item. Several City Staff members have been working on this code change requesting change to Title 15 Administrative Enforcement Fees and Penalties. They are being changed to reflect the current practice followed by the City relating to permit and inspection fees. The details of the Ordinance are included in your packet.

It is my recommendation to approve Ordinance updates to the City of St. Charles Code 15.101.150 .7(i) Permit and Inspection Fees.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Bancroft, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved by voice vote.
Motion carried

6. Executive Session.

Mr. Koenen: Yes, regarding Land Acquisition.

7. Additional items from Mayor, Council, Staff or Citizens.

None.

8. Move to go into Executive Session.

Chairman Payleitner: Please call a roll.

K. Dobbs:

Bancroft: Yes

Gaugel: Yes

Vitek: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Yes

Stellato: Yes

Silkaitis: Yes

Lemke: Yes

Turner: Yes

Motion by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bancroft. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by roll call vote. **Motion carried.**

9. Move to Adjourn Executive Session.

Motion by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Lemke. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

10. Move to Adjourn Government Services Committee Meeting.

Motion by Aldr. Gaugel, seconded by Aldr. Turner. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**