MINUTES CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL ST. CHARLES PLAN COMMISSION TUESDAY, JULY 19, 2011 – 7:00 P.M.

Members Present: Todd Wallace, Chairman

Tim Kessler, Vice Chairman/Secretary

Sue Amatangelo Brian Doyle Curt Henningson Thomas Pretz Tom Schuetz

Members Absent: None

Also Present: Rita Tungare, Community Development Director

Russell Colby, Planning Division Manager

Matthew O'Rourke, Planner

Chris Aiston, Economic Development Director

Michael Mertes, Economic Development Coordinator

Sonntag Court Reporter

1. Call to order

A meeting of the St. Charles Plan Commission was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Chairman Wallace.

2. Roll Call

3. Presentation of Minutes

A motion was made, seconded and unanimously passed by voice vote to accept the minutes of the July 5, 2011 meeting.

CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING

4. General Amendment (City of St. Charles)

Application for General Amendment to Chapter 17.28 "Signs" and Chapter 17.30 "Definitions" pertaining to advertising on bus shelters.

The attached transcript prepared by Sonntag Reporting Service, Ltd., is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

A motion was made and seconded to continue the public hearing to Tuesday, August 2, 2011 at 7:00pm in the Council Chambers.

Minutes – St. Charles Plan Commission Tuesday, July 19, 2011 Page 2

Voice Vote:

Ayes: Amatangelo, Schuetz, Henningson, Wallace, Kessler, Doyle, Pretz

Nays: None Absent: None Motion Carried.

PUBLIC HEARING

5. 220 N. Randall Rd. and 300 N. Randall Rd. (North Randall Road Partners)

Application for Special Use to allow for a Transportation Operations Facility for a School Bus Company

-Site Plan dated 7/14/11

The attached transcript prepared by Sonntag Reporting Service, Ltd., is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

A motion was made and seconded to continue the public hearing to Tuesday, August 2, 2011 at 7:00pm in the Council Chambers.

Voice Vote:

Ayes: Schuetz, Kessler, Amatangelo, Pretz, Doyle, Henningson

Nays: Wallace Absent: None Motion Carried.

MEETING

6. Culvers Restaurant – East Main Retail Subdivision (Midwest Construction of Northern Illinois, Inc)

Application for Special Use to permit a Drive-Through Restaurant Facility

The attached transcript prepared by Sonntag Reporting Service, Ltd., is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

A motion was made and seconded to recommend approval to City Council for an Application for Special Use for a Drive-Through Restaurant Facility for a Culvers Restaurant in the East Main Retail Subdivision per the Findings of Fact and, in accordance with Section 17.24.100.C "Reduction in Required Spaces", that the number of required of stacking-spaces be reduced from 15 to 7 (with a total of 9 stacking-spaces including the two shown south of or after the point of service).

Voice Vote:

Minutes – St. Charles Plan Commission Tuesday, July 19, 2011 Page 3

Ayes: Wallace, Schuetz, Kessler, Amatangelo, Pretz, Doyle, Henningson

Nays: None Absent: None Motion Carried.

7. 220 N. Randall Rd. and 300 N. Randall Rd. (North Randall Road Partners)

Application for Special Use to allow for a Transportation Operations Facility for a School Bus Company

A motion was made and seconded to table this item.

Voice Vote:

Ayes: Wallace, Schuetz, Kessler, Amatangelo, Pretz, Doyle, Henningson

Nays: None

8. Meeting Announcements:

Plan Commission Tuesday, August 2, 2011 at 7:00pm in the Council Chambers **Plan Commission** Tuesday, August 16, 2011 at 7:00pm in the Council Chambers **Plan Commission** Tuesday, September 6, 2011 7:00pm at Century Station

9. Additional Business

1	S59992C GBRTFIED 1
2	
	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
3) SS.
	COUNTY OF KANE)
4	
5	BEFORE THE CITY OF ST. CHARLES PLAN COMMISSION
6	
	In Re the Matter of:
7)
	Regular Meeting)
8	negutat mee cring ,
9	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the hearing of
10	-
11	the above-entitled matter, before the City of
	St. Charles Plan Commission, taken in the offices
12	of the City of St. Charles, 2 East Main Street,
13	St. Charles, Illinois, on July 19, 2011, at the
14	hour of 7:00 p.m.
15	
16	
17	RECEIVED
18	St. Charles, IL
19	JUL 2 9 2011
20	CDD
21	Planning Division
22	
23	
24	

	3
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: This meeting of
2	the St. Charles Plan Commission will come to
3	order.
4	Tim, roll call.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Amatangelo?
6	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Here.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Schuetz?
8	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Here.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Doyle?
10	MEMBER DOYLE: Here.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz?
12	MEMBER PRETZ: Yes.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Henningson?
14	MEMBER HENNINGSON: Here.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Wallace?
16	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Here.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler,
18	here.
19	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
20	Item No. 3 is presentation of the minutes of the
21	July 5th, 2011, meeting.
22	Is there a motion to approve?
23	MEMBER AMATANGELO: So moved.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Second.

	4
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: It's been moved
2	and seconded.
3	All in favor?
4	(The ayes were thereupon heard.)
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: The motion passes.
8	MEMBER PRETZ: I'm going to abstain.
9	I wasn't at the last meeting.
10	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Then
11	six in favor.
12	MEMBER DOYLE: I also abstained.
13	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. So we have
14	five in favor and none against and two abstains?
15	MEMBER DOYLE: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. That motion
17	passes.
18	All right. Next on the written agenda is
19	the continued public hearing; however, prior to
20	that, staff has suggested that we consider Item 6
21	on the agenda first, which is Culver's
22	Restaurant, East Main Retail Subdivision, Midwest
23	Construction Northern Illinois, Inc., Application
24	for a Special Use to Permit a Drive-Through

	5
1	Restaurant Facility.
2	Is there a motion to amend the agenda
3	accordingly?
4	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: So moved.
5	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: It's been moved
7	and seconded.
8	All in favor?
9	(The ayes were thereupon heard.)
10	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: That motion
13	passes.
14	Next on the agenda is Item No. 6, Culver's
15	Restaurant.
16	All right. Go ahead.
17	MR. O'ROURKE: As you said,
18	Mr. Chairman, this is an application for a
19	special use to permit a drive-through facility in
20	relation to a Culver's located at the northwest
21	corner of Route 64 and the light at Pheasant Run
22	Resort.
23	Essentially this is a 4,297-square-foot
24	Culver's with a total of 55 parking stalls.

6 1 Staff does want to point out that, in 2 addition to the special use, they are requesting 3 a reduction in the amount of drive-through stacking spaces which is allowed through the 5 Zoning Ordinance. The Ordinance would require that they have 15 stacking spaces. The plan shows that there 8 are 9 total stacking spaces, 7 of which are shown 9 kind of in the traditional layout, which would be 10 from the point of service and before, and then 11 there's two which are unique to the Culver's 12 facility, which are kind of after the point of 13 service, but it's for customers waiting to pick 14 up their orders. 15 Staff has detailed in their report the 16 various findings in relation to if this proposal 17 conforms with the Zoning Ordinance, and we've 18 submitted details in our analysis there, and we 19 feel that it does. 20 With that, staff is proposing or 21 recommending approval of the drive-through 22 application for a special use, and also 23 recommending approval of the stacking space 24 reduction, and we have provided findings of fact

	7
1	that go along with that recommendation.
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. Any
3	discussion on this item or a motion?
4	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I would
5	make a motion to recommend approval to the
6	City Council for the application for special use
7	to permit a drive-through restaurant facility,
8	and for the reduction in the number of stacking
9	spaces in the drive-through.
10	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Incorporating
11	staff's findings of fact?
12	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Incorporating
13	staff's findings of fact.
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
15	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: It's been moved
17	and seconded.
18	Discussion on the motion?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: None.
21	Tim, roll call.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Amatangelo?
23	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Yes.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Schuetz?

	
	8
1	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Yes.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Doyle?
3	MEMBER DOYLE: Yes.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz?
5	MEMBER PRETZ: Yes.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Henningson?
7	MEMBER HENNINGSON: Yes.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Wallace?
9	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, yes.
11	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. That
12	passes unanimously and that concludes Item No. 6
13	on your agenda.
14	* * * *
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Item No. 7 is
16	220 North Randall Road and 300 North Randall
17	Road. We dealt with this last time.
18	Are we going to handle this by continuing
19	this agenda item or tabling the agenda item?
20	MR. COLBY: You can just table it.
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. All right.
22	Is there a motion to table this item?
23	MEMBER AMATANGELO: So moved.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Second.

	9
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: It's been moved
2	and seconded.
3	Any discussion on the motion?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Tim,
6	roll call.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Amatangelo?
8	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Yes.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Schuetz?
10	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Yes.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Doyle?
12	MEMBER DOYLE: Yes.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz?
14	MEMBER PRETZ: Yes.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Henningson?
16	MEMBER HENNINGSON: Yes.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Wallace?
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, yes.
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. That
21	motion passes unanimously, and that concludes
22	Item No. 7 on your agendas.
23	Item 8, meeting announcements.
24	Our next meeting, as previously discussed,

	10
1	is August 2nd, seven o'clock, here, and we have
2	August 16th at seven o'clock, and September 6th,
3	seven o'clock, at Century Station.
4	Staff, do you anticipate not having
5	sufficient business for any of the next
6	three meetings, or are we going forward as
7	scheduled?
8	MR. COLBY: I think at this time we
9	can't say. We haven't noticed anything new for
10	August 16th or September 6th, but it is still
11	possible.
12	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. All right.
13	So we probably we'll know that by the next
14	meeting.
15	Okay. All right. Any additional business
16	from Plan Commission members?
17	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Mr. Chairman,
18	just a reminder that I will not be attending the
19	August 2nd meeting.
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
21	MEMBER AMATANGELO: A question.
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.
23	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Maybe more for
24	staff, in the event that this is on the agenda

	11
1	and I'm not here, is there an opportunity to
2	voice my thoughts?
3	MR. COLBY: Yes. You can submit your
4	comments in writing as a part of the hearing.
5	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: There you go.
7	All right. Any additional business from
8	the Plan Commission members?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Staff?
11	MS. TUNGARE: Nothing.
12	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Members of the
13	public?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. That
16	concludes Item 9.
17	Item 10 is adjournment.
18	Is there a motion?
19	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Move to
20	adjourn.
21	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: It's been moved
23	and seconded.
24	All in favor?

	12
1	(The ayes were thereupon heard.)
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Opposed?
3	(No response.)
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: This meeting of
5	the St. Charles Plan Commission is adjourned at
6	9:19 p.m.
7	Thank you, everyone.
8	(Which were all of the
9	proceedings had in the
10	above-entitled matter at
11	9:19 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	13
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS.
2	COUNTY OF K A N E)
3	
4	I, Glenn L. Sonntag, Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter No. 084-002034, Registered Diplomate
6	Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
7	shorthand the proceedings had in the
8	above-entitled matter, and that the foregoing is
9	a true, correct, and complete transcript of my
10	shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.
11	In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my
12	hand on this 29th day of July, 2011.
13	called
14	
	Glen L. Santay
15	Certified Shorthand Reporter
	Registered Diplomate Reporter
16	Certified Legal Video Specialist
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	S59992A
2	
	The second relative states and the second se
) SS.
3	COUNTY OF KANE)
4	
_	BEFORE THE CITY OF ST. CHARLES PLAN COMMISSION
5	
6	In Re the Matter of:)
)
7	General Amendment (City of)
	St. Charles) Application for)
8	General Amendment to)
	Chapter 17.28 "Signs" and)
9	Chapter 17.30 "Definitions")
	Pertaining to Advertising on)
10	Bus Shelters.)
11	CONTINUED REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the
12	hearing of the above-entitled matter, before the
13	City of St. Charles Plan Commission, taken in the
14	offices of the City of St. Charles, 2 East Main
15	Street, St. Charles, Illinois, on July 19, 2011,
16	at the hour of 7:04 p.m.
17	
18	TO ET I'T ET ET ET
19	RECEIVED St. Charles, IL
20	
21	JUL 2 9 2011
22	CDD Planning Division
23	
24	

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS -- 07/19/2011 GENERAL AMENDMENT (CITY OF ST. CHARLES)

	33
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Next we have a
2	continued public hearing.
3	First, we have an Item 4, which is General
4	Amendment, City of St. Charles, Application for
5	General Amendment to Chapter 17.28, "Signs," and
6	Chapter 17.30, "Definitions," Pertaining to
7	Advertising on Bus Shelters.
8	Russ.
9	MR. COLBY: Yes.
10	The Plan Commission held a hearing on this
11	item on July 5th and requested some additional
12	information.
13	Staff is in the process of gathering that
14	information and is asking that the hearing be
15	continued to August 2nd.
16	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Is
17	there a motion to continue the public hearing
18	accordingly?
19	MEMBER AMATANGELO: So moved.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. It's
22	been moved and seconded.
23	Discussion on the motion?
24	(No response.)

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS -- 07/19/2011 GENERAL AMENDMENT (CITY OF ST. CHARLES)

	34
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Tim, roll call.
2	I'm sorry.
3	Brian, did you have something?
4	MEMBER DOYLE: No.
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I thought you were
6	about to start talking.
7	MEMBER DOYLE: No.
8	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Sorry.
9	Okay. Tim, roll call.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Amatangelo?
11	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Yes.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Schuetz?
13	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Yes.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Doyle?
15	MEMBER DOYLE: Yes.
16	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz?
17	MEMBER PRETZ: Yes.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Henningson?
19	MEMBER HENNINGSON: Yes.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Wallace?
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, yes.
23	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. That
24	concludes Item No. 4 on your agendas.

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS -- 07/19/2011 GENERAL AMENDMENT (CITY OF ST. CHARLES)

	35
1	(Whereupon, at 7:05 p.m., the
2	above-entitled matter was
3	continued to Tuesday,
4	August 2, 2011, at 7:00 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	36
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS.
2	COUNTY OF K A N E)
3	
4	I, Glenn L. Sonntag, Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter No. 084-002034, Registered Diplomate
6	Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
7	shorthand the proceedings had in the
8	above-entitled matter, and that the foregoing is
9	a true, correct, and complete transcript of my
10	shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.
11	In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my
12	hand on this 29th day of July, 2011.
13	ealLeg
14	
	Glen L. Sontay
15	Certified Shorthand Reporter
	Registered Diplomate Reporter
16	Certified Legal Video Specialist
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	S59992B
2	
	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
3) ss.
	COUNTY OF KANE)
4	
5	BEFORE THE CITY OF ST. CHARLES PLAN COMMISSION
6	
	In Re the Matter of:)
7)
	220 North Randall Road and)
8	300 North Randall Road)
	(North Randall Road Partners))
9	Application for Special Use to)
	Allow for a Transportation)
10	Operations Facility for a)
	School Bus Company.)
11	
12	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the hearing of
13	the above-entitled matter, before the City of
14	St. Charles Plan Commission, taken in the offices
15	of the City of St. Charles, 2 East Main Street,
16	St. Charles, Illinois, on July 19, 2011, at the
17	hour of 7:05 p.m.
18	RECEIVED
19	St. Charles, IL
20 21	JUL 2 9 2011
21	CDD
23	Planning Division
24	
24	

3
CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Next on the agenda
is Item No. 5, which is a new public hearing,
220 North Randall Road and 300 North Randall
Road, North Randall Road Partners Application for
Special Use to Allow for a Transportation
Operations Facility for a School Bus Company.
For those of you who are not familiar with
our procedures, the Plan Commission is
commissioned by the City Council to hold public
hearings for applications that are presented for
certain things. In this case, it's an
application for a special use.
Procedurally, what the Plan Commission will
do is, we will gather evidence in the form of
testimony and other evidence from the Applicant,
questions and comments from members of the
public, as well as questions and comments from
Plan Commission and staff.
The order of things will be: The Applicant
will present first, the Plan Commission will ask
questions of the Applicant, and then any members
of the audience who wish to will also be able to
ask questions of Applicant.
After that, anyone else who wishes to

ſ r	
	4
1	present testimony or evidence may do so, and
2	then, also, be subject to questions.
3	Following that, if the Plan Commission or
4	I'm sorry if the Applicant has any type of
5	rebuttal testimony, they can do that; and we'll
6	finish up with any Plan Commission questions or
7	comments.
8	At the end of Item No. 4, the appropriate
9	motion would be either to continue or to close
10	the public hearing.
11	If the Plan Commission feels that they have
12	enough evidence in order to make a decision on
13	this application, then there will be a motion to
14	close the public hearing, and Item No. 7 on the
15	agenda is action on this item, so the Plan
16	Commission will be able to take action tonight
17	that would be to recommend either approval or
18	denial of this application to the City Council.
19	Following our recommendation, this item
20	would go to the City Council Planning and
21	Development Committee, at which time the
22	City Council will decide what to do with this
23	application.
24	Any questions with procedure?

	5
1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. The
3	and if the Plan Commission decides that they have
4	not received enough testimony or evidence to make
5	a decision, we can continue the public hearing,
6	at which time we would also continue Item No. 7,
7	since we wouldn't able to take action on it,
8	there being an open public hearing, so if that
9	were the case, we would continue it until
10	August 2nd to take action at that time.
11	Anyone who is planning on giving testimony
12	or asking any questions or making any comments on
13	this item needs to be sworn in since this is a
14	public hearing.
15	So at this time anyone who plans to do so,
16	I would ask that you stand and raise your right
17	hand.
18	(10 witnesses were thereupon duly
19	sworn.)
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. You
21	may be seated.
22	And when you come up to give testimony or
23	ask any questions, first of all, I ask that you
24	not speak unless you're recognized by me. The

	6
1	reason for that is, we have a Court Reporter in
2	the room, and he can only take one person's words
3	at a time, so for his sake I will direct when
4	people are to speak, but everyone will be given a
5	chance to ask whatever questions or give whatever
6	comments they wish.
7	Also, when you do speak, I would ask that
8	you approach the lectern up here and speak into
9	the microphone so that everyone can hear.
10	Prior to asking questions or giving any
11	comments, please state your full name and your
12	address and spell your last name for the record.
13	Any questions on anything?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. We
16	have let's see. We have exhibits. We have
17	exhibits for this application which I will read
18	into the record.
19	Plan Commission Exhibit A is dated
20	June 29th, 2011. It's a Special Use Application
21	with Findings of Fact attached.
22	Plan Commission Exhibit B is dated
23	July 15th, 2011, a staff memorandum to the
24	Plan Commission from Russell Colby.

	7
1	Plan Commission Exhibit C is dated
2	July 15th, 2011, an HLR Technical Memorandum.
3	Plan Commission Exhibit D is dated
4	July 5th, 2011, a letter from Illinois Central
5	School Bus to St. Charles City Officials.
6	Plan Commission Exhibit E is dated
7	November 14th, 2006, an intergovernmental
8	agreement between the City of St. Charles and the
9	County of Kane regarding access and improvements
10	to Randall Road from Illinois 64 to Dean Street.
11	Plan Commission Exhibit F is dated
12	July 19, 2011, a supplement to staff memo from
13	Russell Colby.
14	Plan Commission Exhibit G is a phasing
15	narrative and phasing plan.
16	Plan Commission Exhibit H is an e-mail from
17	Kurt Nika, Kane County Division of
18	Transportation, dated July 19, 2011.
19	Any questions or objections to any of the
20	exhibits?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. For
23	the benefit of Russ, do you have any
24	information to give us before we go to the

	8
1	Applicant?
2	MR. COLBY: I do not.
3	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. All right.
4	Is the Applicant ready?
5	MR. CORCORAN: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: You can go ahead
7	and give the presentation and use whatever you
8	have up here, and then we'll go to the questions
9	right after that.
10	MR. CORCORAN: Okay. My name is
11	Ryan Corcoran. My address is 409 Illinois Avenue,
12	Suite 1-D, in St. Charles 60174. My last name is
13	spelled C-o-r-c-o-r-a-n.
14	Thanks for being with us tonight and taking
15	time out of your evening.
16	Do we have the ability to get the site plan
17	on the overhead there?
18	MR. COLBY: Yes.
19	MR. CORCORAN: Thank you.
20	So we're very excited to present this
21	special use tonight.
22	It has taken it has taken a lot of
23	effort, a lot of phone calls, a lot of cold calls
24	on my behalf and others to go and find a retail

9 1 or a tenant that would fit into both sites. 2 What we have is two -- two sites along 3 Randall Road that have become vacant in the recent history here, and we've found somebody to actually occupy this -- one of the sites and bring us through this interim time of difficulty 7 to -- to tenant these spaces, so we feel that 8 this is the best opportunity to -- to take 9 through this -- through this site, and we're --10 we're excited to present it. We feel that it 11 will be good for both the City and for the 12 partnership. 13 Ownership is North Randall Road 14 Partnership. They consist of Phil Corcoran, 15 Chuck Wolande, and Gerard Keating. They have 16 been integral to the development of this -- this 17 area of Randall and -- Randall and 64 in regards 18 to the redevelopment at the old General Mills 19 site into the retail parcel of West Towne Market, 20 which -- which, as a retail parcel, is doing 21 fairly well. There is still a vacancy in there 22 that we've been actively trying to fill. 23 In any case, the other component to this is 24 Illinois Central School Bus. They are the tenant

	10
1	that would like to come into this site.
2	They are a fairly new well, they're
3	about a seven-year-old company that has obtained
4	contracts to do these to to do school buses
5	for different school systems in the area
6	West Chicago, Batavia, some of Geneva, and
7	recently they've I was able to find this
8	tenant and they expressed their interest in
9	the site which we are very thankful for.
10	My company, Corcoran Commercial Real Estate,
11	has marketed this property over 2 1/2 years. We
12	started out trying to market to big-box retail,
13	whether that be a Best Buy type of a business,
14	any and at the time that we started this,
15	development was still kind of underway. There
16	was still money out there to be able to do these
17	kind of developments.
18	We've progressed from big-box retail,
19	calling everybody that was expanding, to car
20	dealerships. Every type of car dealership that
21	you that you've got out there to try and
22	fulfill this.
23	Unfortunately, we've run into difficulty
24	a difficult time, and there doesn't seem to be

	11
1	the desire to go out there and expand right now.
2	So historically over those couple of years
3	of trying to market this property, we've only had
4	some successes with industrial-type businesses,
5	given the type of building that we're looking at.
6	This is the 300 North Randall Road
7	property, which used to be Siegle's, Stock
8	Lumber. It's basically a building supplies site,
9	which I'm sure we're all familiar with.
10	The building itself has a lot of columns.
11	It doesn't really lend too much of a retail
12	atmosphere as it sits today, and, so, therefore,
13	we haven't my firm, as well as another
14	brokerage firm, has not been able to secure that
15	type of a tenant.
16	The building, as it sits today we've
17	brought a couple of pictures in here for you, and
18	what what we're finding and what you see here,
19	the weeds are growing up through through the
20	pavement. The roof needs to be replaced. We're
21	getting waterfall coming through through the
22	roof, and we're just finding the entropy is
23	starting to break down this site.
24	Given that it's such an old site, we've

	12
1	got we've got eyesore outbuildings that and
2	fence lines and other such items, as you can see
3	from these pictures, that it truly has created an
4	eyesore as you come into the St. Charles area,
5	and most people are out there there's quite a
6	few people that route down and through and to
7	this arterial route of Route 64 and into our
8	town.
9	So one of the one of the major items
10	that that we feel this use is good for, this
11	provides a platform for the partnership to get a
12	tenant in there that will bring them through this
13	economic time until there is a driving force in
14	development in the area.
15	It will also allow the partnership to
16	update this site, clean up clean up the
17	building, clean up the parking, make this a
18	and do a do a stepping stone into what our
19	master plan is for this site and this area for
20	for St. Charles.
21	We hope that this stepping stone will bring
22	us from Point A to Point B Point A being
23	right now it's a very rough time to occupy
24	to to occupy this building to get a tenant

13 1 for this building. 2 The bigger sites right now, we're finding 3 that they -- they aren't expanding very much. Point B is a market turnaround, and we look 5 forward to working with the City of St. Charles 6 in determining when and how things have turned 7 around, housing starts are moving forward, 8 developers are out there, and there's money to be 9 spent to create an environment and there's 10 tenants to occupy these spaces. With the tenant Illinois Central in this 11 12 space, just by receiving the rent and having 13 somebody in there to take us through this time, 14 ownership will be able to make improvements with 15 this site, do the -- the roadway that's internal 16 to the site, make steps towards completing that 17 master plan. 18 Illinois Central should create somewhere 19 around 150 jobs plus in the area. Those jobs 20 are -- people are going to be spending money in 21 our retail businesses and in our town hopefully, 22 and with that -- with that said, we're able to 23 occupy a building that has been very difficult to 24 do, as well as leave a secondary site, which is

	14
1	220 North Randall Road, which which was the
2	old Mercedes dealership before they built across
3	the street.
4	This leaves us room to further bring in
5	retail tenants to the area; possibly a car
6	dealership, we hope. It's it's a
7	plug-and-play tenant. It's something that
8	somebody could come in and occupy that next week.
9	With that being said, I'd like to I'd
10	like to hand it over to Tony Malone, who can
11	explain historically Tony, by the way, is the
12	engineer on the project that has been with us for
13	many years, with both the City, of working out
14	their agreements, and and on this site, and he
15	can explain what that master plan was, the the
16	easement and the agreements with the property
17	owners and the City of St. Charles and Kane
18	County.
19	Thank you.
20	MR. MALONE: Thank you.
21	My name is Anthony Malone. I am a vice
22	president and project manager of this project for
23	ESI Consultants, Ltd., and we're located at
24	1900 North Mill Street in in in Naperville.

15 1 The zip code there is 60563. 2 The project at the bottom of the sheet that 3 you see on your sheets has "Randall Road Properties." That was the original master plan back in 2005, when -- when those of you that were 6 on the Commission at that time may remember us 7 coming in with this drawing and incorporated --8 as Ryan said, incorporated -- the 300 North 9 Randall Road site incorporated the XSport 10 Fitness; it incorporated what is now the former 11 dealership, the Mercedes dealership, and the --12 and the parking lot/car wash that XSport has to 13 the east of that facility; and it also 14 incorporated West Towne Market, which was a 15 project that had just come in and been developed 16 during that time. 17 The importance of having all of these 18 members -- I'm sorry. Also included is the old 19 former General Mills site, as well, and the 20 reason why it was important to have all of these 21 individuals and companies come aboard was because 22 we needed to have as many access points -- which 23 are designated by the -- by the symbol with the 24 circle and the cross in it -- as many access

	16
1	points out of this facility this area as we
2	could, and so everybody was trying to get into
3	XSport, they're trying to get onto onto
4	Route 64.
5	You may remember that the Randall Road
6	improvements were being made, you know, we talked
7	about that time, and they were going to be the
8	lack of curb cuts in the median there, so the
9	difficulty of trying to get on 64 was was an
10	issue that everybody wanted to try and take
11	care of.
12	So what was coming up at that time was that
13	they had an access easement between all of those
14	communities all of those all of those
15	companies that I just talked to you about, so we
16	had on on the car wash on
17	on the car dealership side, there was an easement
18	that goes across the south part of that parcel,
19	there's an easement that continues on across the
20	north side of the West Towne Market parcel,
21	and and that comes out to 17th Street, where
22	it gets out onto 64 at that point.
23	It also included a north/south road that
24	was behind these lots, between the between the

	17
1	former General Mills site and the others along
2	Randall Road to get up to the vicinity of where a
3	road was going to be, was going to be looked at,
4	a tie-in to Randall Road, where there could be
5	maybe a future signal here and we'll get into
6	that, where there's an agreement between the
7	City and the County for that to happen so it
8	would line-up with where Woodward Drive would
9	come across from the west and then continue on
10	from that point. We can go on and tie into
11	Dean Street.
12	So that gave the area a pretty good diverse
13	way of getting out, but not putting too much
14	traffic in any one single spot.
15	The the so that gave us the easements
16	for the internal, but there was the external that
17	the City has with with the County, where there
18	were where agreements, also, that took it a
19	little bit further, and they weren't just
20	verbal verbal between a developer
21	developers and the City, it was more constituted
22	into an agreement between the County so that
23	these could could be more visualized as coming
24	up in the future, so that the intersection right

	18
1	here, the the traffic signal here on on
2	Randall, was was brought into that agreement,
3	as was the the access onto Dean Street.
4	The one onto Randall was a lot more defined
5	as to the location because of where it has to tie
6	into Woodward and one over here on Dean, so the
7	location of the street onto Dean has not really
8	been totally defined as to where it's going to
9	be yet.
10	With with that being said, I'm going to
11	go ahead and turn your attention back to Ryan,
12	who is going to go into the more of the
13	improvements or whatever else he was talking
14	about on this site.
15	MR. CORCORAN: Just before we get
16	into the improvements that are going that we'd
17	like to occur at the at the site there, I
18	believe I should say that that the partnership
19	understands and I believe they understand what
20	the what the City ultimately wants here and
21	that's that's somewhat of a retail corridor
22	that the people can come and visit St. Charles at
23	that at Randall and 64.
24	With that being said, we we need to get

19 1 there first, and this -- this is truly what's 2 going to help us do that. 3 Internal to -- internal to the site we're building a road that's part of what Tony had 5 described there, and -- and furthering the project of that -- of that intergovernmental 6 7 agreement, as well as easements that are there. 8 It doesn't get us all the way there. 9 wish that it could. We wish we could complete 10 those roads, but, unfortunately, a use such as this doesn't -- is prohibitive costwise to get 11 12 all the way there, but it does get us partway 13 there. 14 At the end of the lease -- lease term which 15 we have out there with Illinois Central of five 16 years, the partnership is more than willing to 17 sit down and discuss with the City what exactly 18 are the driving forces out there and what's --19 making changes that are going to be driving the 20 demand to this area and -- and further -- further 21 retail. 22 In regards to the proposed improvements, as 23 I described, the road currently stops right 24 behind XSport Fitness, and what we will be doing

	20
1	and what we propose to do is is complete this
2	roadway into the site, which is a 40-foot road
3	with curbs, and buses will be able to access this
4	roadway from an asphalted lot. There's
5	currently there's there's concrete in here.
6	This is currently asphalted but we are looking to
7	finish up the asphalt of this lot.
8	Unfortunately, at first it looked like a
9	perfect parking lot; that is, as you would see at
10	the Kane County fairground. It's gravel. You
11	can park on it, but we've come to understand
12	that, by code, you have to asphalt it and make
13	this an impervious roadway.
14	Besides besides constructing that,
15	which which includes a lot of grading, a lot
16	of gravel, a lot of asphalt, we're looking at
17	restoration of that existing building.
18	I think I think, with with redoing
19	this building, we're going to avoid having kids
20	graffiti tag the building, having my maintenance
21	guy go out there and constantly rolling over the
22	graffiti.
23	We're going to replace windows, take siding
24	that's bad take that down, repaint the

	21
1	building, restripe the parking lot.
2	Internal to and how that building would
3	be used by Illinois Central is, there will be
4	two drive-in doors on the back side of the
5	property so you won't see it from Randall Road or
6	the sides, and they will do minor maintenance
7	work, so maybe an oil change or a tire change.
8	Anything beyond that, if it gets too involved,
9	they're going to take the business off-site to
10	another location within the Chicago area to do
11	that.
12	So we'll be constructing within the site,
13	by code, the the the correct fire code
14	to to separate out where the maintenance is
15	done compared to where those offices are at, and
16	the building will really act as a dispatch office
17	for the people that are coordinating the school
18	buses out there.
19	The parking should be for about 150 cars up
20	front, 150 buses in back. These are both long
21	and short buses, and on the back side there.
22	They'll need a 1,000-gallon fuel island to to
23	refuel the buses.
24	We we plan on a detention facility that

is already existing, make changes to that for -for rainwater, and we'll add a second detention
facility located back by Dean Street to
accommodate that asphalt surface that we're
putting in there.

We plan to remove the existing outbuildings that they stored all of the wood in. That's what you see when you're looking at the site beyond the fence, and -- and, really, that -- that major obstacle is -- is -- is a bad viewpoint of this property, so we -- we plan to take that down. That will be internal parking there, as well.

There will be fencing up there, and I believe that we can put, you know, slats to hide anything back there, make it look really seamless and nice.

Besides the removal of the existing buildings, we should be removing the railroad spur which has been abandoned that's on our site, and the unloading dock that that spur used to use, as well.

In regards to more of the -- the grading and the dirt pile that's back there, ultimately, we'll be removing some of that excess spoil pile

	23
1	that that is behind the site, as well.
2	Now, I'd like to Tony, our engineer, has
3	drawn out the phasing plan of how our interim
4	construction would operate in regards to
5	temporarily utilizing the vacant Mercedes
6	building as the dispatch office and the partial
7	parking lot for their cars, and where where we
8	would park the buses on the current existing
9	asphalt and concrete at the 300 site.
10	So the evolution is, we would go from
11	utilizing that 220 building in a very temporary
12	basis as construction of the roadway begins and
13	ends and ultimately would roll with over into
14	that 300 North Randall Road site there
15	completely, leaving the 220 building open to
16	retail.
17	I'll turn it over to Tony.
18	MR. MALONE: The next next slide.
19	As you can imagine, with school starting
20	very shortly and the need to bring 150 buses in
21	as soon as possible, construction could be a
22	challenge because the the challenge is is that
23	there needs to be 150 buses on here during
24	construction.

So with that being said, as -- as Ryan had pointed out, we have the opportunity to have 106 parking spaces down at the 220 site. That allows us to have a little more room in the -- at the 300 site to put some buses out here where there ultimately will be parking for cars.

We also -- what we've done is -- you know, the track will be gone. These buildings will be gone for -- before construction will happen for what I'm talking about here, so with that happening, this -- this whole area to the north will be available for us to park buses.

In order to -- in order to allow the additional, we have to have a temporary aggregate-based area on the east side of the existing aggregate that's out there to allow us to get all 150 on this site while we're constructing the area that's hatched.

So we would be constructing the road under Phase I, beginning the construction. We would have about half of it done. The first phase would be about three to four weeks, so we'd have about half the road construction done and we would have all the area to the south within

25 1 this -- this limit, which would be from the 2 existing concrete that's out there on the west side of this area, over to where the ultimate 3 would be for the area for our parking lot. 5 During the second phase, we would be 6 finishing up the road, the north-south road. 7 I should have mentioned that while we'll be 8 working on the detention during the first phase, 9 finishing up the second phase at the same time, 10 we'll be using the asphalt that we've just put 11 down on the south side of the site. We will have 12 to, once again, extend that temporarily with some 13 aggregate in order to get additional buses to 14 park further to the -- to the east. 15 would continue to park the buses in the -- in the 16 parking lot that -- that we talked about under 17 Phase I, as well. 18 Under Phase III, the road will be done. 19 The parking lot for both the two phases that we 20 just talked about will be done. The aggregate 21 will be all gone, as you see in the area that was 22 used for temporary -- to expand the parking lot, 23 and we'll be working on -- on the area putting 24 the fuel island in, which is a little square you

26 1 can see on your drawing right above where the 2 hand is, and -- and doing a little regrading in 3 this area. The intent is to leave the concrete as is as much as possible as the hard surface for 5 permanent use. The buildings, as Ryan said, would also be 6 gone, and so -- so during the fourth phase we'll 8 be able to have all the parking lot pretty much 9 done and just clean up whatever little area 10 that -- where those building -- where those buildings stand to get it to final, then restripe 11 12 the parking for cars that we had originally shown 13 for buses for the first three phases, and the 14 project would be done. 15 Schedulewise, each of the phases --16 Phases I through Phase -- Phase II would be about 17 three to four weeks apiece, so we anticipate --18 we're anticipating -- maybe a little 19 optimistically, but that's obviously what we try 20 to do -- is starting August 19th. That would 21 mean the first phase would be done mid-September. 22 We would then start immediately on the second 23 phase, so that when we start -- you know, 24 starting mid-September, being done either late

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27 September or early October, the third phase would be one to two weeks, as would the fourth phase, and so we're looking at -- at everything being done very near the end of October. If you take it -- if you take the extremes of each of the -- each of the phases, if you were to use four for the first two and two for the second two, you could take it to -- to the first part of November. We're really thinking, with any luck at all, we can be done by the end of October. A very important part I want to go over with you now is, how would we do the bus -- bus routes during these phases, so I'm going to turn this back over to Phase I and just kind of talk to you about that a little bit. As we talked about under Phase I, the buses would be up front here, as well as all up here to the north. This road -- the north/south road would not be completed at that time, so all traffic would have to leave onto Randall Road.

They would take a right on Randall Road, go up to the light on Dean Street, and take a right on Dean Street, go down to 15th Street, where

28 there's a light, and take 15th Street back down 1 2 to 64. 3 They would then take -- most of them would 4 take a right on 64 to get down -- back down to 5 the intersection of 64 and Randall, where they 6 would -- most of them will be taking a left to go 7 down to where they have their contracts in -- in Geneva and Batavia. 9 Under the second phase, the same route 10 would have to take place because, once again, 11 this -- this road to the south would not be 12 taken -- would not be there yet, and so for both 13 that six- to eight-week period we were talking 14 about, that would be the route that most of 15 the -- most of the trucks -- most of the buses 16 would be taking. 17 We have a representative here from ICSB 18 that can go into how the dispatchers cycle the 19 buses so not everybody is coming out at the same 20 time, so you don't have 150 buses and a train 21 going through this area, so at the end of this 22 we'll -- we'll bring her up for that. 23 During the third phase, thankfully, we'll 24 all see that the road is open, and so what

	29
1	happens then is is that buses will be going out
2	this route and they will be going down to the
3	intersection of this internal road system, going
4	out to 17th Street, where the majority of them
5	would be taking a right, and then taking a left
6	on onto Randall Road.
7	There will be a few buses that will want
8	to take a right on 17th Street, but those are
9	very few.
10	And then during the fourth phase,
11	basically, we're just following the same phase
12	for Phase III, so that would be the final route
13	that day in and day out, used as the parking
14	area, that that would be the route that they take
15	here.
16	So the real change for the temporary route
17	that we talked about going up to Dean Street and
18	down is really for that six- to eight-week period
19	during Phases I and II so this north/south route
20	can be can be constructed.
21	Okay. With that, I'll turn it back over to
22	you unless there's any questions.
23	With that, we'd like to open it up for
24	questions.

	30
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
2	Thank you.
3	Questions from members of the Plan
4	Commission?
5	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I have a
6	couple.
7	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Go ahead.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Tony, can I
9	ask you how you did I hear you say that is
10	it going to be a light at that future road, the
11	proposed light at that future road that would
12	connect to where Woodward crosses?
13	MR. MALONE: Someday, with respect to
14	the intergovernmental agreement between the
15	County and the City, all the intent is that, if
16	at all possible, there would be a light at that
17	intersection, that is correct.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay.
19	MR. MALONE: Unfortunately, right now
20	we're not at that stage.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay. The
22	parking.
23	So when it's all finally done, you're only
24	proposing that much of the site being used for

	31
1	parking because, obviously, there's still more
2	site there?
3	MR. MALONE: The intent was to try to
4	get all of the parking on the existing impervious
5	surface to to, obviously, be more economical.
6	So all the for as much as possible park
7	parking there.
8	Yes, there is some room for growth, but
9	that's not in the plan today. That would have to
10	be talked about.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Does the bus
12	company know that this is just a five-year lease
13	and this is just an interim thing?
14	MR. MALONE: They signed it.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay.
16	MR. MALONE: I wasn't involved in
17	that but they apparently signed it.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: The fuel,
19	will that be above a below ground?
20	MR. MALONE: It's planned to be above
21	ground. That's why it's limited to 1,000 gallons.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: You know, I'm
23	bringing it up so you don't run into any
24	surprises.

	32
1	Is there any issue with doing, you know,
2	light mechanical work in the building? Is there
3	anything that has to be done infrastructurewise
4	with gas traps or anything like that?
5	MR. COLBY: There would be some
6	improvements required to the building for that
7	use to take place in the building. It would not
8	meet the building code requirements that apply
9	for that use and they would be required to do
10	that.
11	
12	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay. All
13	right. And then, finally, just confirmation,
	and I know that the big issue is using
14	Dean Street, Dean Street can't handle all that
15	traffic, and I'm telling you it can't.
16	That's just a temporary measure for the
17	proposed six to eight weeks six- to eight-week
18	construction period?
19	MR. MALONE: That is correct.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: All right.
21	And you said something else and I want to make
22	sure I understand.
23	Coming out on 17th Street, the routing
24	this is the ultimate goal the routing would

	33
1	be those vehicles would probably be making a
2	right turn to go to Randall.
3	Are left turns going to be allowed there?
4	Somebody mentioned a right-in/right-out.
5	If there's a right-in/right-out, it
6	wouldn't have that?
7	MR. MALONE: At this location right
8	here on 17th, right now it's a full.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Right.
10	There is no right-in/right-out, but is
11	there going to be one? Did I hear that?
12	MR. COLBY: No. That is not correct.
13	That intersection would remain as
14	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: They could
15	make left turns out of there?
16	MR. COLBY: Yes.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay. All
18	right. That's all I have.
19	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any
20	other questions?
21	MEMBER SCHUETZ: I have a couple of
22	questions.
23	Kind of a takeoff on Tim's, as far as the
24	circulation.

	34
1	When you're done with the final phase, they
2	will always enter and exit out of 17th Street
3	onto 64; is that correct?
4	MR. MALONE: I'd like to have Bonnie
5	talk about that. She's with the ICSB and has a
6	lot better idea of this. I don't want to put
7	words in her mouth, if that's okay.
8	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Were you sworn at
10	the beginning?
11	MS. ECHELBARGER: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. If you can
13	just pull the microphone down towards you. There
14	you go.
15	MS. ECHELBARGER: Bonnie Echelbarger.
16	THE COURT REPORTER: Could you spell
17	that, please.
18	MS. ECHELBARGER: E-c-h-e-1-
19	b-a-r-g-e-r, Illinois Central School Bus.
20	What was I answering? I don't know.
21	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Enter and exit out
22	of 17th Street when the final phase is finished,
23	you know, in the morning and the afternoon, et
24	cetera.

	35
1	MS. ECHELBARGER: Well, we're trying
2	not to, you know, go out onto Randall any more
3	than we have to. We may have some coming in
4	there at the end of the day in the afternoon,
5	but, of course, in the morning there's no left
6	turn out of there so we won't.
7	And it won't be a stream of buses at any
8	one time trying to come in or not. They all have
9	different routes and different pickup times and
10	different school drop-offs, so they all leave at
11	different times so
12	MEMBER SCHUETZ: But it will be a
13	two-hour time frame in the morning and two hours
14	in the afternoon; is that correct?
15	MS. ECHELBARGER: That's correct.
16	But for a lot of those the two hours in the
17	morning and two hours in the afternoon they're
18	out on routes. They're, you know, picking up
19	children and taking them home or taking them to
20	school, taking them home at the end of day so
21	MEMBER SCHUETZ: So Tim had asked, if
22	you take a right out of 17th Street and you're
23	going west on 64, they will be able to take
24	they will be taking a left on 64, as well?

	36
1	MS. ECHELBARGER: Yes, you can. Some
2	of them the majority of them are going to
3	actually go back out.
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Hold on. Hold on.
5	Go ahead.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: No. The
7	light is at 15th Street, two blocks east.
8	MEMBER SCHUETZ: So how would they
9	take a left out of there?
10	MS. ECHELBARGER: You can go left or
11	right from that intersection.
12	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: It's not a
13	signalized intersection. They're just saying
14	that they will make a left turn.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Lefts are
16	okay.
17	MEMBER SCHUETZ: That concerns me.
18	MR. CORCORAN: I have something else.
19	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Sure. Go ahead.
20	MR. CORCORAN: There there
21	there may be some buses not obviously, not
22	a majority traveling east going or
23	trying to go east on 64.
24	Another possible option is to route those

	37
1	out of 220 because at 220, the old Mercedes
2	building, there's three lanes. It's easy for
3	them to take a right out of there, route to
4	Dean Street, and then to the light, as well.
5	What Bonnie communicated to me was, this is
6	all a staggered dispatch and they all have to be
7	at a certain place at a certain time, so
8	congestion is a major issue for them, as well, so
9	her her what they had envisioned was that
10	this was going to be a flow-through process, that
11	they would have to ensure that's part of
12	their their dispatch office goals, in in
13	as far as that routing out and into the site are
14	concerned.
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: What what time
16	of day I'm sorry. I know this is in the staff
17	report but what time of day would a majority
18	of the buses be leaving?
19	MR. CORCORAN: Leaving is before
20	6:00 a.m. There's some that are leaving before
21	6:00 a.m., and then it goes from six o'clock to
22	8:30, I believe.
23	MS. ECHELBARGER: Yeah, at the
24	latest.

	38
1	MR. CORCORAN: At the latest, very
2	latest, so a majority of these buses are hitting
3	the high-traffic times, as the traffic report
4	had had indicated, so that there would
5	did did not the the traffic report had
6	indicated that they didn't foresee any congestion
7	in that regard.
8	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Just one question
9	that I had on the traffic report.
10	Is there someone from HLR that is here?
11	Actually, it's not really so much a question for
12	HLR as it is for the Applicant.
13	One of the things that the traffic report
14	stated that the buses during the during the
15	Stage 1 period would need to turn, come come
16	north on Randall Road, turn right into the
17	220 building, turn right out of the 220 and into
18	the 300.
19	Are buses going to be routed by the
20	220 building and then out and then down to the
21	300 building?
22	MR. CORCORAN: That's kind of the
23	purpose of this internal roadway.
24	In regards to turning right into the site,

	39
1	coming back to the site, I think that it's safer,
2	in the sense that we're looking at three lanes of
3	traffic.
4	Everybody that's taken a a left or a
5	right going on Randall north knows that that
6	third lane is really the safest lane, and if
7	you're taking a right, you've got that third lane
8	there. There are markers around and everybody
9	can see if it's behind them, and they can make
10	that that right-hand turn smoothly into the
11	site.
12	Now, when you're when you when you
13	take that right into the site, you have this
14	internal roadway that the purpose of this plan
15	was was to provide the ability to go right
16	down through and to right into the parking lot
17	effortlessly, smoothly.
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: But during
19	during the initial stage that's Stage 1 is
20	the turn going to be down
21	MR. CORCORAN: Down here.
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: down here?
23	They're not during that initial stage,
24	they aren't going to be turning in one parking

I	
	40
1	lot, coming out, and then turning into the other
2	parking lot?
3	MR. CORCORAN: No. That roadway
4	wouldn't be there quite yet.
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. That's
6	that's the way that the traffic study read, which
7	didn't make much sense to me, but I was just
8	curious as to whether there was a reason for
9	that.
10	MR. CORCORAN: I believe we can speak
11	to speak to that.
12	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Do you want to
13	do you want to talk to that?
14	MR. GARBE: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Were you sworn in
16	at the beginning?
17	MR. GARBE: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay.
19	MR. GARBE: Alexander Garbe,
20	G-a-r-b-e, with Hampton, Lenzini and Renwick,
21	380 Shepard Drive S-h-e-p-a-r-d in Elgin.
22	Just to clarify what what you're looking
23	at, Mr. Wallace. What we were indicating was
24	that, for the few buses that would be using the

	41
1 dispatch the temporary dispatch and	
2 maintenance area well, temporary mainte	nance
3 at the old dealership, those few buses wou	ıld be
4 making that right in in front of the deale	ership,
5 eventually having to make the right out to	o go
6 north up to the storage facility.	
7 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay.	
8 MR. GARBE: That's all I had.	
9 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: If 150 buses	go
10 in	
MR. GARBE: The majority	
12 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: They're comi	.ng
13 back out and going in.	
14 MR. GARBE: The majority are go	ing
15 directly to the storage.	
16 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. Got i	t.
17 All right.	
18 Sue?	
19 MEMBER AMATANGELO: Ryan, I thi	nk
20 this is for you.	
21 Can you tell me where these buses ar	e being
22 housed right now?	
23 MR. CORCORAN: They are they	are
24 off-site. I actually would have to refer	to

	42
1	Illinois Central to find out. I don't know
2	exactly where they're coming from right now or
3	where they're at right now.
4	MS. ECHELBARGER: Right now, all of
5	the buses are being housed in Channahon and
6	Wilmington waiting until we can know where we can
7	park them.
8	MEMBER AMATANGELO: And what is
9	the a couple years back we had right on is
10	it Peck Road over there? There was a new
11	facility that was built.
12	This is not a part of that or is this
13	tell me the difference.
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I think it's just
15	a different company.
16	MR. COLBY: The facility that's on
17	Peck Road is a School District 303 facility that
18	they operate, and this would be a private company
19	operating separately.
20	MR. CORCORAN: To it's actually
21	Sue, it's the same it's the same district that
22	used to park right here across the across the
23	street.
24	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Sure.

·	
	43
1	MR. CORCORAN: They just built a new
2	facility at at Peck and 38 there.
3	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Thank you.
4	The proposed road I mean, I know time is
5	of the essence here, but is there a reason why
6	we're trying to forego the infrastructure of
7	putting together the road first before trying to
8	put the parking lot in place and trying to
9	jury-rig it so that you're here and there?
10	Is there a reason why you can't go with the
11	road first before everything else?
12	MR. CORCORAN: Tony, you might better
13	speak to this.
14	MR. MALONE: If I remember correctly,
15	I talked a little bit to Russ to see what could
16	be done before this before this facility
17	and, Russ, tell me if I'm wrong okay? but
18	there are certain things that we can do and we're
19	trying to expedite, and that is tear down those
20	outbuildings that that Russ talked Ryan
21	talked about, get the track out of there, take
22	the take the unloading facility out and get
23	the site as clean as we possibly can before
24	construction.

	4 4
1	There is no other work on the site itself,
2	per se, that can happen until the special use has
3	been been approved.
4	The roadway itself because that's really
5	not you can say that roadway is for anything.
6	It's an extension of the existing system.
7	If we had the plans ready and there was a
8	contract aboard, I believe we could start that
9	tomorrow. It's just that we don't we're not
10	to that stage yet.
11	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Okay.
12	MR. MALONE: Is that correct, Russ?
13	MR. COLBY: Yes.
14	MEMBER AMATANGELO: So we have
15	150 buses being housed here and it's six o'clock
16	in the morning, first day of school.
17	How many buses are actually going to pull
18	out of the parking lot and make a right-hand turn
19	and make a right-hand turn onto Dean and then
20	make a right-hand turn onto 15th?
21	MS. ECHELBARGER: Okay. That that
22	will vary. They won't all pull out at
23	six o'clock. They'll put out at varied times
24	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Uh-huh.

	45
1	MS. ECHELBARGER: beginning a
2	little bit before six o'clock and basically
3	pulling out until 8:30.
4	The majority of the buses will head towards
5	the West Chicago, Batavia area. Some will go
6	other directions for special education students,
7	so and and out of that 150, we have a
8	10 percent spare factor, so there will also be a
9	certain amount of buses in the lot in the event
10	of a breakdown.
11	MEMBER AMATANGELO: And those buses
12	will leave in the morning, then come back again;
13	right? And then they'll leave again around noon
14	for, say, a kindergarten
15	MS. ECHELBARGER: Very few.
16	MEMBER AMATANGELO: and then come
17	back again, and then leave again in the
18	afternoon
19	MS. ECHELBARGER: Yes.
20	MEMBER AMATANGELO: and then come
21	back again, and then, perhaps, for sporting
22	events beginning with the evening, and come back
23	again, or leave and then come back in the evening
24	again?

	46
1	MS. ECHELBARGER: Usually they have
2	an afternoon route, do their sporting or their
3	extracurricular with the kids, and just come and
4	return later.
5	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Okay. So during
6	the course of the day, how many buses would you
7	say would actually go one way or the other down
8	15th?
9	MS. ECHELBARGER: In the morning I
10	would say 125 would have to pull out, and out of
11	that 125, the lion's share probably 90 of
12	them would have to get around to get down
13	Randall.
14	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Okay. All right.
15	Thank you.
16	MS. ECHELBARGER: Okay.
17	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Let me just
18	double-check to make sure I don't have any more
19	questions here.
20	Okay. Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Curt.
22	MEMBER HENNINGSON: When I took a
23	look at the proposed road coming south from your
24	site down to the road that goes east between

	47
1	Oberweis and the logistics building, who owns
2	are those City roads? Who owns those?
3	MR. MALONE: They're all private.
4	MEMBER HENNINGSON: They're all
5	private?
6	MR. MALONE: That's why there's
7	easements in there between each of the different
8	parties so that they have access to use that road
9	system.
10	MEMBER HENNINGSON: So they're able
11	to let anyone use those roads?
12	MR. MALONE: That is correct. But
13	they're all private. They're all maintained by
14	the you know, North Randall Road Partners.
15	They are all private road.
16	MEMBER HENNINGSON: And who maintains
17	those?
18	MR. MALONE: The the North
19	Randall Road Partners maintains them. They own
20	them.
21	MEMBER HENNINGSON: They own all of
22	the roads going all the way from 17th?
23	MR. MALONE: All the roads that we've
24	talked about here, yes. All these all these

	48
1	roads are are currently, correct.
2	MEMBER HENNINGSON: So the road
3	between the North Avenue Logistics and West Towne
4	Market parcel, that road
5	MR. MALONE: This is an easement
6	here that that that West Towne Market gave
7	to allow anybody that needs to use this ultimate
8	roadway system can leave that to go out to
9	17th Street.
10	MEMBER HENNINGSON: Do they need to
11	get any special approval to have that or is
12	that
13	MR. MALONE: The the easement has
14	been recorded. It is in place and it is for
15	the for the specific purposes for anyone to be
16	able to use it. That's about all I can I can
17	say about it.
18	MS. TUNGARE: That is correct.
19	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Anything else?
20	MEMBER HENNINGSON: No.
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes, Brian.
22	MEMBER DOYLE: I I want to follow
23	up on Sue's questions about the timing of the
24	commencement of the lease. This is both for the

	49
1	bus company as well as for you, Mr. Corcoran.
2	What what would be the implications if
3	you were not able to commence the lease at the
4	beginning of the school year? And, you know, I
5	mean, it seems like you're looking to put in
6	place a lease to kind of phase this in.
7	Could you explain again why you can't do
8	the work to to skip Phase I, in terms of the
9	impact on on local traffic circulation?
10	MR. CORCORAN: In terms of in
11	terms of the lease, it's obviously, it's going
12	to be contingent upon the use being put in place.
13	In terms of the roadway and we will
14	absolutely be trying to construct that roadway as
15	soon as we get approval to go ahead I believe
16	timing and, Russ, you can correct me
17	is somewhere around August 19th is the City
18	Council meeting, should it go that far, and so as
19	soon as that that occurs, we plan to have
20	final engineering per our you know, in for
21	permits, ready to go, constructing the the
22	roadway as soon as possible to relieve any
23	issues.
24	I should say that we are going to you

50 1 know, the bus company plans to, you know, route 2 according to -- you know, to get through any 3 areas that -- we don't foresee a congestion, but to get through, there are other options of roads 5 besides just 15th with the light, so whatever 6 makes the most sense and has the least effect on 7 anybody during that interim and temporary time 8 period. 9 MEMBER DOYLE: Let me put a question 10 to you a slightly different way. 11 If the Commission had a fatal objection to 12 elements of the Phase I plan and said we --13 Phases II and III are acceptable to us and we are 14 inclined to recommend them, but to include a 15 recommendation that Phase I not -- not be part of 16 the Commission recommendation, would that scuttle the whole project? 17 18 MR. CORCORAN: In terms of just --19 MEMBER DOYLE: If we said that you 20 can't -- you can't route buses past -- you know, 21 because -- because the railroad tracks are a 22 significant feature here that, according to State 23 law, is an impediment to the circulation here, so 24 you have to go out, right turn onto Randall.

	51
1	You've got the railroad track. You have to stop,
2	make a right turn onto Dean. You make you
3	stop at the track. You have to stop and then you
4	go to 15th and then around.
5	And there are and I have another
6	concern, and the first question is more of a top
7	level.
8	If you weren't able to proceed with this
9	Phase I in time for the school year, would that
10	scuttle the whole lease proposal?
11	MR. CORCORAN: We would have to have
12	that discussion with Illinois Central to see
13	where they will be at with their with their
14	project.
15	My feeling is that that it severely
16	hinders their their initial school year. You
17	know, perhaps they could route more of their
18	buses wherever they're currently at I don't
19	know where they're at, so I don't know the
20	logistics of it.
21	I do know that these tracks are abandoned
22	on our site. They are in the process of they
23	are there's nobody pushing forward, but
24	they're they're abandoned track. There's

	52
1	nobody using them.
2	According to the Illinois Department of
3	Transportation, if there is signage posted at the
4	roads saying that these are abandoned tracks,
5	buses are exempt from stopping, so in terms of
6	in terms of backing up and congestion, we
7	wouldn't have that because buses wouldn't have to
8	stop.
9	MEMBER DOYLE: Is that true?
10	MR. KLING: I can probably speak to
11	that.
12	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Were you sworn in?
13	MR. KLING: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. If you can
15	just state your name, spell your last name and,
16	also, state your address for the record.
17	MR. KLING: Sure.
18	I'm Brian Kling, that's K-l-i-n-g. I'm
19	with Colliers International. I'm out of
20	Rosemont, Illinois. I'm Illinois Central's
21	representative real estate agent.
22	And just, I guess, the simplest way to
23	answer a question would be, if we don't get
24	somewhat of a warm fuzzy tonight and we had

	53
1	brought this up in our meeting and you guys
2	were kind enough to give us some direction in our
3	initial meeting with you but if we're given
4	some indication that we can't house buses on
5	there by by the start of the school year,
6	we're going to have to find another site.
7	MEMBER DOYLE: Okay.
8	MR. KLING: If that's direct enough.
9	MEMBER DOYLE: It helps.
10	MR. KLING: Okay.
11	MEMBER DOYLE: Okay.
12	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: What about
13	the question of buses being exempt from stopping
14	at abandoned tracks?
15	Does anyone have an answer on that?
16	MS. ECHELBARGER: I do.
17	With State law, if it's not clearly marked
18	"Exempt," buses must stop. They don't stop
19	anybody around them, just behind them because
20	they have to stop, turn on their hazard lights,
21	look and listen before they cross.
22	If it is clearly marked "Exempt," they do
23	not have to stop.
24	MEMBER DOYLE: And it is not marked,

	54
1	right, right now?
2	MR. CORCORAN: Currently it is not
3	marked, but it is in the process of being
4	abandoned by the Union Pacific, so to push
5	forward, you just need a sign up there to say
6	"This is an abandoned track."
7	MEMBER DOYLE: That would be the UPRR
8	that would post the sign; correct?
9	MR. CORCORAN: I I I'm not sure
10	who posts the signage.
11	MEMBER DOYLE: I have a question
12	for I'm sorry for the traffic consultant.
13	On page 3 of your report for the future
14	traffic circulation, you write, "The last
15	area" "An area of concern is the additional
16	amount of buses possibly significantly impacting
17	the westbound approach to the intersection at
18	Route 64 and Randall, "that's left-turn lanes at
19	that intersection.
20	"They will not occur at the heavier peak
21	traffic period for that intersection," and you
22	say "A further in-depth study would be required
23	to determine the extent of the impact on the
24	intersection."

	55
1	I wonder if you can elaborate what you mean
2	by a "significant impact" and how this Commission
3	should regard that, that finding.
4	MR. GARBE: What we're looking at
5	what we're expecting there, with the number of
6	buses being added, there's a possibility
7	particularly in the morning that the delay on
8	that approach the westbound approach
9	particularly the westbound left from 64 onto
10	Randall would be increased quite a bit.
11	The more important concern that we're
12	interested in is also the possibility that
13	queuing vehicles might queue out the left-turn
14	lane and through lanes.
15	The data that we have right now that we're
16	basing this on is old.
17	MEMBER DOYLE: Is what?
18	MR. GARBE: Is old.
19	MEMBER DOYLE: Okay.
20	MR. GARBE: And that's why we
21	recommend further study, to do additional counts
22	there and update the traffic volumes to a new
23	capacity analysis there to make sure that's not
24	the case.

	56
1	There's a potential that we could address
2	it with signal timing, if there is a problem in
3	the first place, also.
4	MEMBER DOYLE: Would it be fair to
5	say right now that you can or cannot vouch for
6	the capacity of that intersection to support the
7	traffic without a without a more intensive
8	study?
9	MR. GARBE: Right now, we can't. We
10	cannot.
11	MEMBER DOYLE: You cannot?
12	MR. GARBE: Right.
13	MEMBER DOYLE: Okay. I have a couple
14	more questions about the long-term strategy for
15	this site and area regarding the access roads and
16	also Woodward.
17	So there are there are there's an
18	access road that is contemplated to extend to
19	Dean Street, and, also, there is a a new road,
20	signalized road that would connect to Woodward
21	Drive.
22	My first question is and this is for
23	you, Mr. Corcoran and/or for City staff you
24	said that earlier in your presentation that

	57
1	this plan doesn't get us all the way there in
2	terms of access roads, and you also sort of
3	eluded to your long-term strategy. You know, you
4	presented this as interim, interim plan.
5	MR. CORCORAN: A stepping stone.
6	MEMBER DOYLE: Right.
7	So what what will it require to warrant
8	for the County the establishment of the
9	signalized intersection at Woodward and Randall?
10	What what what things have to happen
11	in order for that signalized intersection to
12	become a reality?
13	MR. CORCORAN: Brian, in regards to
14	that signalized intersection, the light itself,
15	the construction of it, the extension of the
16	road, it all is going to take a a drive
17	retail drive in that area, and I say "retail"
18	because when we're considering tenants, you know,
19	tenants such as Illinois Central don't pay and
20	their rent is not at the level of a retail user,
21	so retail tends to be a little bit more
22	expensive.
23	The reasoning for this is because of the
24	costs that are associated with putting a

7	
	58
1	signalized light in place. It's a driving factor
2	for for any property owner to be able to see
3	the light at the end of the tunnel with regards
4	to the amount of money that could be brought in
5	to support the project.
6	So in regards to Illinois Central, you
7	know, they're not they're not exactly a retail
8	user for us. It's about survival of getting to
9	that point when demand comes.
10	We are partway there already. We have
11	completed part of that road. We have it set so
12	that it's ready to complete into Dean and at
13	at Randall, when the when the rest of the area
14	and the demand occurs and is there.
15	MEMBER DOYLE: So the first first
16	question, follow-up question.
17	The as the developer does the
18	developer bear the cost or responsibility of
19	of making the investments to make that signalized
20	intersection occur, as well as the extension of
21	the access road to Dean, or is that cost that is
22	shared by the City, the landowner, and the
23	MR. CORCORAN: Rita, do you
24	MS. TUNGARE: Well, at this point

	59
1	there is no specific determination on that, in
2	terms of what kind of cost sharing will be
3	involved with completion of these improvements
4	for that signalization.
5	The intergovernmental agreement is based on
6	the premise, basically, that the property owners
7	and the City have an obligation to complete those
8	improvements.
9	There's no specific timing associated with
10	that. Neither is there a specific plan for
11	cost-sharing at this point.
12	And with that, Chris, did you want to add
13	something, in terms of the inter-governmental
14	agreement to answer this question?
15	MR. TIEDT: Chris Tiedt, City of
16	St. Charles.
17	I guess, to also answer your question, the
18	intersection of what will soon be or someday will
19	be Woodward and Randall Road, a signalized
20	intersection, that's contemplated per the IGA to
21	be completed most likely when the remaining
22	outlot on that side of the property, the west
23	side of Randall Road, is developed.
24	At such a time when the resident Mercedes

	60
1	dealership was subdivided, when the right of way
2	was dedicated to Woodward Drive, at that time
3	and it's kind of contemplated that when future
4	development occurs in that area, that that
5	roadway connection those remaining
6	improvements shown on the subdivision plans or
7	shown on the preliminary engineering plans for
8	the subdivision of that area would be completed.
9	MEMBER DOYLE: Okay. One more
10	question for you, Mr. Corcoran.
11	So this is in the interim plan, but this
12	stepping stone plan doesn't necessarily end at a
13	five-year lease.
14	MR. CORCORAN: It doesn't what?
15	MEMBER DOYLE: At the end of a
16	five-year lease, doesn't necessarily get your
17	company any closer to being able I mean,
18	this this this plan doesn't get us closer
19	to that larger strategy of the of the all
20	of the access roads being built or that
21	signalized intersection being built because there
22	are other environmental factors at play.
23	Is that
24	MR. CORCORAN: Actually, we are we

·	
	61
1	are further improving the site. There is the
2	the interim roadway for for the property, so
3	it is completing more of the plan, and at the end
4	of, you know, that that term, there there
5	is the ability to look at where things are at at
6	that point.
7	MEMBER DOYLE: Okay. Fair enough.
8	Fair enough.
9	Thank you.
10	MEMBER HENNINGSON: I have a
11	question.
12	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Go ahead.
13	MEMBER HENNINGSON: In the memo dated
14	July 19th, it gives a pretty good description of
15	what's going on there, an access drive from
16	Woodward/Randall intersection to Dean Street, and
17	in that it indicates that the that the
18	extension the extension of the access drive to
19	Woodward/Randall largely falls in the Randall
20	Square south storage property. The Applicant
21	does not have ownership or control of this
22	property. The access road is aligned with the
23	future extension of Woodward Drive. That is
24	something we just received tonight, I believe.

	62
1	MEMBER DOYLE: Thank you. I didn't
2	have a chance to read it prior to the meeting.
3	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Tom.
4	MEMBER SCHUETZ: All right. I have
5	two questions, one of them the lease.
6	Ryan, you mentioned it's a five-year lease.
7	Say, this were to pass and you would go
8	through Phase I through IV, finish, the buses
9	would be going on for a year or so, but, say
10	from a traffic standpoint, congestion, whatever
11	might happen say it's a disaster.
12	What happens to the lease? Is there an out
13	when it becomes dangerous you know, if it
14	becomes just a nightmare on 64 for the residents
15	of St. Charles?
16	What what are your plans?
17	MR. CORCORAN: If it was to become a
18	nightmare for anybody heading west at 6:00 to
19	7:00 or so in the morning when the heaviest
20	traffic may occur, we which we don't foresee
21	at all, or Illinois Central has who has the
22	most experience in this feels confident that it
23	wouldn't happen; but at that point we will have a
24	lease I'm not sure what the procedure would be

	63
1	if if there was a danger in place, and I think
2	that's outside of of my purview, but I really
3	don't know what we what would happen
4	procedurally.
5	MEMBER SCHUETZ: It's probably unfair
6	to ask you, but maybe they could answer if
7	they've ever run into something like this. I
8	mean, I'm just wondering what could happen.
9	MR. CORCORAN: Perhaps Bonnie could
10	speak to you historically how how other sites
11	have been.
12	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Right.
13	MS. ECHELBARGER: I can't say that we
14	have ever run into anything like that before, but
15	if it did become an issue, Illinois Central
16	School Bus definitely wants to be good community
17	partners. We would reroute. We would do
18	something different to improve it.
19	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Do you normally have
20	your bus depots in a more rural area or in an
21	urban setting or
22	MS. ECHELBARGER: Both. It depends
23	where it depends where we are, where we try
24	to be.

	64
1	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Okay. My second
2	question is more of a on the north parking lot
3	where most of the phase thank you.
4	On I'm not sure what where you're
5	going to park the buses initially.
6	MR. MALONE: For first phase?
7	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Pardon me?
8	MR. MALONE: First phase?
9	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Phase I, and in
10	II probably.
11	You don't park the buses in the final
12	location until Phase III or IV; correct?
13	MR. MALONE: You say "final
14	location."
15	I mean, we're parking on the existing
16	aggregate, so it's most of it is in the
17	location that's that's covered now.
18	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Right.
19	MR. MALONE: The only other one we
20	will be expanding would be this area right here,
21	would which be gravel during this phase.
22	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Okay. Southwest
23	that southwest corner. No, further west.
24	MR. MALONE: Here?

	65
1	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Right there.
2	You're going to park buses there initially;
3	correct?
4	MR. MALONE: For the first
5	two phases, yes.
6	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Right. Okay.
7	Then it will be the cars of bus drivers; is
8	that correct?
9	MR. MALONE: That's correct.
10	MEMBER SCHUETZ: And there will be
11	100-plus cars there and there will be 100-plus
12	buses behind that?
13	MR. MALONE: Ultimately, there would
14	be 150 of each.
15	MEMBER DOYLE: Right. 300.
16	So will there be any consideration of
17	screening of view of the buses? I'm just
18	wondering how you if we'll be able to see the
19	buses from Randall.
20	MR. MALONE: Right now, the way the
21	plan is, the buses that would be in this
22	temporary location would be would be seen.
23	There would be
24	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Right. That's

F	
	66
1	obvious.
2	MR. MALONE: The fence would be
3	behind them. Right now is what we'll have
4	MEMBER SCHUETZ: You said you were
5	going to take those buildings down.
6	MR. MALONE: These three buildings
7	that are there now, yes.
8	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Right.
9	And then the buses will be parked behind?
10	MR. MALONE: We'll move everything
11	behind that.
12	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Will you be able to
13	see them from Randall?
14	MR. MALONE: Ryan has talked about we
15	would try to put some slats in there to try to
16	cover them up, screening.
17	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Will there be any
18	landscaping concern? You won't be considering
19	anything?
20	MR. CORCORAN: No.
21	MR. MALONE: By the by the codes,
22	it's not required right now.
23	MEMBER SCHUETZ: I know.
24	MR. MALONE: We weren't looking to

	67
1	doing any landscaping.
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Sue.
3	MEMBER AMATANGELO: I just have a
4	quick question.
5	So I can wrap myself around this, the bus
6	comes out onto Randall Road, makes a right-hand
7	turn and has to stop because for right now, until
8	it's identified as a nonfunctional, it has to
9	stop for that railroad track.
10	MR. MALONE: Yes.
11	MEMBER AMATANGELO: And we have the
12	potential for 150 buses that come out and have to
13	do that?
14	MR. MALONE: Correct.
15	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Do we see that as
16	being a traffic potential traffic issue? With
17	rush hour traffic going up and down Randall Road,
18	that is a very big concern of mine.
19	Where where are these buses going? You
20	said Batavia, West Chicago.
21	MR. MALONE: And Geneva special
22	special schools.
23	MEMBER AMATANGELO: And Geneva
24	special schools.

	68
1	Okay. So all right. Okay. Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Tim? No. I'm
3	sorry. Tom.
4	MEMBER PRETZ: This question is for
5	the representative from Illinois Central, more
6	from a clarification because I don't think I
7	understood part of this.
8	During the morning run, 125 dispatches will
9	take place; is that was that what you're
10	saying?
11	MS. ECHELBARGER: On an average
12	morning, yes.
13	MEMBER PRETZ: And then, if I
14	understood, that during the course of the day,
15	they will do their run, they will come back and
16	then they'll go back for the afternoon and then
17	some special activities.
18	MS. ECHELBARGER: Right.
19	MEMBER PRETZ: On a single day, a
20	Monday through Friday, approximately how many
21	dispatches do you envision?
22	MS. ECHELBARGER: Well, all of the
23	schools that we serve, some of them have
24	different calendars because they are special

	69
1	needs schools, so everybody doesn't go at the
2	same time every day.
3	The majority, like I said, about 125 buses
4	will leave in the morning and they'll be
5	staggered from a little before 6:00 until about
6	8:30. The majority of those will leave between
7	6:30 and 7:30.
8	They go do routes. They pick up children;
9	deliver them to school. Some of those buses come
10	back and some will continue to work.
11	They'll do early middays or kindergartens,
12	things like that, so they don't all necessarily
13	come back in the morning; they go on into the
14	midday. Some do come back and then the process
15	is repeated at the end of the day.
16	MEMBER PRETZ: So is it safe to say
17	that it would be in excess of 200 per day?
18	MS. ECHELBARGER: Yes.
19	MEMBER PRETZ: Thank you.
20	The other thing is, I have a concern as it
21	relates to and just for clarification, again,
22	17th Street has no signal; correct?
23	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Correct.
24	MR. COLBY: That is correct.

	70
1	MEMBER PRETZ: And Phase IV is the
2	use of 17th Street, which means that in in
3	and there will be left turns going out onto North
4	Avenue; correct?
5	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Uh-huh.
6	MR. COLBY: Yes. That's my
7	understanding.
8	MEMBER PRETZ: That's all I have.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Were you
10	about to say something?
11	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Do you have a
12	couple more questions?
13	MEMBER DOYLE: I do have a couple of
14	additional questions.
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. Go ahead.
16	MEMBER DOYLE: Regarding the
17	extension of Woodward Drive to Dean I'm sorry.
18	I don't remember, Mr. Malone.
19	You indicated that the the location of
20	the connection is not specific.
21	I'm looking at Exhibit B-2 of the IGA,
22	which has a depiction of Woodward Drive
23	connecting with 17th Street, which is the the
24	Sportsplex frontage road.

	71
1	Your project plan shows the future road
2	connecting with Dean Street on the other side of
3	the railroad tracks but not not aligned with
4	that frontage road.
5	So one question I have for you, there is a
6	little space here between I'm sorry. I can't
7	quite read the the caption here for this.
8	Is it a retention area?
9	MR. MALONE: Yes.
10	Right here?
11	MEMBER DOYLE: Yes.
12	Is it conceivable, is it viable for the
13	future road to with your site plan to go
14	north to Dean Street on the west side of that
15	retention area, east of the park of the
16	parking lot that's impacted, so that it would
17	still connect Dean Street that at 17th Street?
18	MR. MALONE: There's two concerns
19	that the developer group has with with having
20	that location here, and the Sportsplex, we'll
21	call it I don't know what the exact name is
22	and that is is that right now it couldn't be done
23	because the railroad tracks that we've talked
24	about haven't been made exempt and you can't park

	72
1	on the tracks, and there's too much room
2	between between the intersection of Dean and
3	the railroad tracks.
4	Secondly, as you have heard, economically
5	right now, no one really knows what's going to
6	happen to this site overall, so the developers
7	don't want to give up a right to have a you
8	know, to have to put a road down through the
9	center of this what they consider to be the
10	center of the site and have all the room onto
11	the onto the east side be be an unknown.
12	It wouldn't be large enough to put a building
13	there, and so it may just be wasted wasted
14	land, and and that's the concern that they
15	have.
16	So although the the City has has
17	talked about having the road lined up and
18	everybody agrees that that may be great, the
19	developer has some concerns with that and there
20	hasn't really been an acknowledgement, to my
21	knowledge, with the developers group that they
22	have accepted that location.
23	MEMBER DOYLE: Okay. So there's a
24	point here on the memo from Russ Colby, dated

	73
1	today, which was brought to my attention earlier.
2	It mentions that the access drive to Dean
3	Street falls within the subject property, and it
4	says that during the hearing the Applicants can
5	address whether or not this access can be
6	constructed at this time and/or if an access
7	easement can be reserved over the property to
8	enable the access drive to be constructed later.
9	So you're maintaining an easement for
10	the for the location where you specify on the
11	project plan; is that correct?
12	MR. MALONE: We haven't maintained an
13	easement there hasn't been a a there
14	hasn't be an easement for the road, per se.
15	There has been a an agreement between
16	the different parties that this roadway system
17	will be constructed. The actual location, as you
18	point out and I may be wrong. It's been seven
19	years I don't think that location was ever
20	was ever given.
21	MEMBER DOYLE: It is has the
22	Applicant I'm sorry. Maybe Mr. Corcoran,
23	maybe you have an answer to this.
24	Are you willing to reserve, whether it's an

	74
1	easement or whether it's an intergovernmental
2	agreement or a you know, are you willing to
3	reserve space on the parcel for this future road
4	to Dean Street?
5	MR. CORCORAN: Yes, we are.
6	MEMBER DOYLE: Okay. The second
7	question.
8	Did you consider, as an alternative
9	circulation route, constructing that future road
10	earlier, perhaps in lieu of the the back road
11	that you have specified, and routing buses out
12	onto Dean Street, either left onto Dean Street to
13	Randall and left on Randall, which, again,
14	crosses crosses the tracks, or out onto
15	Dean Street, right to 15th, you know, and then
16	around that that circuit?
17	MR. CORCORAN: Yes. We we have.
18	We've considered most of the routes there.
19	One of the things that has been a driving
20	factor is putting both in and has been cost
21	prohibitive.
22	As it stands, the owners aren't are
23	looking to put in excess of a million dollars to
24	construct this as it is, and that's quite a chunk

	75
1	to bite off right there.
2	So after our discussions with City
3	officials and such, it was decided that the
4	17th exit would be fairly easy, considering the
5	staggered buses taking a right onto 64, and the
6	minimal amount of buses that end up going
7	going east on 64.
8	In the sense of routing, we've been
9	trying it's it's been an evolving concept
10	of where we're willing to work with everybody on.
11	MEMBER DOYLE: So is the access road
12	between the two subject properties necessary for
13	the business purpose? I mean, like if you didn't
14	have that access road behind behind the in
15	between 220 and 300 those are the addresses?
16	MR. CORCORAN: For the business
17	purpose, it's not they they need access
18	to to route out of out of the site,
19	obviously.
20	The the access we have provided as a
21	so that so that we can alleviate any
22	congestion that would that may occur, if if
23	we had if we had access out there.
24	So that that's that's purely the

	76
1	consideration that that we want to provide
2	there, was a road in between and give that can
3	alleviate anything to Dean Street and the
4	neighborhoods that are back there.
5	MEMBER DOYLE: So if you can't do
6	both roads, why did you rule out doing the road
7	north of Dean Street as the alternate
8	alternate future circulation route?
9	MR. CORCORAN: I think it was on the
10	basis of cost.
11	MEMBER DOYLE: So that the road
12	south
13	MR. CORCORAN: The road the road
14	that goes behind XSport there, constructing that
15	was a was a cheaper means of providing the
16	exit, as well.
17	MEMBER DOYLE: Okay. That's all.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Is it
19	observations, Brian. I'd like to make the point.
20	The fact that you're using Dean Street as
21	an interim route is painful, but I think to
22	consider that as the ultimate circulation route
23	out of there should be prohibited because
24	Dean Street can't handle that traffic either way,

	77
1	either through 15th or to Randall.
2	MEMBER DOYLE: Well
3	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: The backup
4	there now is almost a quarter of a mile, and so
5	if you were going to route these out there, the
6	street couldn't handle it.
7	But that being said, I have a couple of
8	questions.
9	You know, we talked Tom made the
10	comment, "What happens if, you know, this turns
11	into a nightmare?"
12	And I guess my question would be for, you
13	know, Illinois Central. You know, you're, I'm
14	sure, going to do anything you can to be a good
15	neighbor.
16	Has anybody considered the route, a right
17	turn out of the site and a left turn onto Dean at
18	the Dean Street light, to Peck, and back onto
19	Randall or back onto 64 and then Randall? It's
20	not that far, number one.
21	Number two, would you ever consider if
22	it was too much traffic, if it was congested at
23	that 64, would you consider sending buses out
24	earlier than they need to go so perhaps they have

	78
1	to queue up, you know, by a school or something
2	like that so they leave earlier? I mean, these
3	are the sort of things that you would do to
4	alleviate any
5	MS. ECHELBARGER: That's exactly what
6	we would do in the event that we would cause a
7	lot of problems.
8	We'd get them out of there a little
9	earlier, miss the traffic. We would hold them up
10	before the first stop in the morning someplace.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Well, I think
12	where I'm headed with this, particularly in the
13	left turn onto Dean Street out to Peck and I
14	mean, that's a lot of bus traffic there now.
15	It's not as heavily traveled at that time of
16	morning that's going away from the traffic
17	because at that time of the day the traffic is
18	going it would be a reverse commute.
19	And, secondly, I like the plan keeping
20	the traffic on the site. I don't want the
21	traffic going on Dean. I think you could make
22	left turns by the way, the left turns at
23	17th Street are not difficult because you're
24	two blocks from the light, and once that light

T	
	79
1	goes red, you don't have any traffic. There
2	there's large gaps, at times there's no traffic,
3	so left turns won't be difficult there, but
4	keeping this bus traffic out of town as much as
5	possible.
6	My only hang-up would be, as you said, in
7	the in the traffic study, we're not sure
8	what's going to happen at 64 and Randall, but,
9	then again, that that begs the question: Why
10	can't you take Dean out to Peck and back around?
11	You can actually take Peck all the way to 38 and
12	get back on if you're heading to Batavia, so you
13	wouldn't you could avoid St. Charles
14	altogether.
15	So I think that there are, you know, other
16	alternatives for your routing, and I like the
17	fact that you're keeping it on-site. I'm not
18	thrilled about the idea of putting a connection
19	road there and routing it onto Dean Street.
20	Dean Street is difficult, at best, right now.
21	And, finally, I realize that this is an
22	interim plan. I mean you know, with the
23	owners of the property, that they want to do
24	something to improve the property, I mean,

	80
1	anything is going to look better than that.
2	It saddens me. I worked there 20 years
3	ago. It never looked like that.
4	But, anyway, if that's what it's going to
5	take to get it cleaned up and get some more of
6	these internal roads, I'd like you to consider
7	those alternate routes away from.
8	MS. ECHELBARGER: Yes, sir.
9	MEMBER SCHUETZ: I just have a quick
10	comment, if I may. I have a quick comment.
11	Tim brings up an idea.
12	Have you considered multiple routes? It
13	seems like you're only exiting 17th Street and
14	then coming onto 64 and taking a left and going
15	south on Randall.
16	Could you some of the buses go west,
17	some go down 17th, and, you know, split it up.
18	Has any consideration been given to do
19	that?
20	MS. ECHELBARGER: Yes. But what we
21	routed so far just shows that most of them will
22	be heading that way, but certainly we we will
23	split them up for not only for, you know, the
24	city itself, but for ourselves to be able to get

	81
1	where we want to need to go, and if we did need
2	to leave earlier and stage before we started
3	picking kids up or or go to the schools
4	earlier in the afternoon, we would do that.
5	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Are you familiar
6	with Peck Road?
7	MS. ECHELBARGER: Yes. I bring it
8	into work every day.
9	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Oh.
10	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Curt?
11	MEMBER HENNINGSON: I did have
12	another question.
13	The the employees that you have, when
14	they come in the morning, do they stay there all
15	day or do they come and go for their routes?
16	MS. ECHELBARGER: Well, I'm not
17	familiar with our new employees yet because the
18	school year hasn't started, but, historically,
19	they come in, they do their route; they go home;
20	they shop in the area stores; they do get
21	groceries; they do all those things. They have
22	the middle of the day for that.
23	And then we have a certain percent not
24	so big that stay and they play cards; they

	82
1	visit with one another. It's sort of their
2	social time, as well as their job.
3	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. All
4	right. At this point I'd like to go to Curt,
5	you had one other thing?
6	MEMBER HENNINGSON: Yes. I had one
7	other question, and probably for the staff.
8	This plan will undoubtedly cause a lot of
9	traffic on Dean Street initially, perhaps, for a
10	long time.
11	Have the residents on Dean and the
12	residents on 15th Street been notified?
13	MR. COLBY: The notices for the
14	hearing were sent to all the property owners
15	within 250 feet, so there wouldn't have been a
16	number of of properties along Dean Street,
17	residential property that would have received the
18	notice, but the the notice area only went as
19	far as 250 feet from the property line, so it
20	would not have included any property along
21	15th Street.
22	MEMBER HENNINGSON: I think it's
23	important for the owners of those properties to
24	know that this is happening.

	83
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. At
2	this point I'm going to we're going to come
3	back to the Plan Commission for comments, but I
4	want to go to the public for any questions, just
5	questions of what's been presented so far, and
6	after we get done with the questions and then, if
7	you want to give any comment, we can do it at
8	that point, but I just ask that you leave your
9	reserve this first part for questions of the
10	Applicant on anything that's been presented.
11	Does anyone have any questions? Sir.
12	MR. TITINER: Here or
13	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.
14	Step up to microphone.
15	Were you sworn this evening?
16	MR. TITINER: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. If you
18	could state your name and spell your last name
19	and state your address.
20	MR. TITINER: Gary Titiner,
21	T-i-t-i-n-e-r, GT Flooring, address, 2015 Dean
22	Street, Unit 1.
23	Just a couple of questions for
24	clarification in no specific corridor.

	. 84
1	1,000 gallons of gas is going where?
2	Unfortunately, this diagram is clipped right
3	where our building starts.
4	MR. MALONE: It's very hard to see,
5	but we need to put it right there.
6	MR. TITINER: Okay. Will there be
7	any security or like a safety because there's
8	the tracks? And I'm about I don't know
9	about 2 centimeters over that way up there so, I
10	mean, I'm concerned of the safety of a thousand
11	gallons of gas near the building.
12	MR. MALONE: It's a double-walled
13	tank. It meets all of the requirements by the
14	fire marshal, State Fire Marshal. It has, you
15	know, monitors it has to have on it, so it's as
16	safe as they can be. You know, it's
17	state-of-the-art, stuff like that.
18	MR. TITINER: Question 2. In the
19	lease, is there any right of first refusal for
20	Illinois Central to buy the property if they want
21	to stay there forever?
22	MR. CORCORAN: No. We don't have a
23	purchase agreement in place.
24	MR. TITINER: This one is a little

	85
1	confusing, but I keep hearing different numbers,
2	so I as ugly as Phase I and Phase II and
3	Phase III could be, I'm concerned about when it's
4	done, and I've heard three things. I'm not sure
5	which one is right.
6	Are they Phase IV is done. The buses
7	leave. They're going behind and all the way down
8	towards 64? Or some are actually going on
9	Randall? I'm I just keep hearing different
10	things. I want to make sure I'm clear.
11	When everything is done, is every single
12	bus going behind that XSport and down and taking
13	a right or a left God help them onto 64?
14	MR. CORCORAN: The goal here, as
15	Bonnie had mentioned, they're willing to
16	they're their their scheduling and their
17	dispatch, their goal will be to have, you know,
18	multiple options, route options, and to exploit
19	those to minimize any issues that that would
20	occur.
21	MR. TITINER: Okay. And they keep
22	talking about the window in the morning for
23	125 cars and buses I forget however many it
24	is between 6:00 and 8:30, and the majority

	86
1	between 6:30 and 7:30.
2	I'm more concerned with what the afternoon
3	schedule is. If it's a similar schedule, by my
4	simple math, it's a bus every 48 seconds, and
5	there's no way that doesn't get stacked up.
6	So what is the schedule in the afternoon as
7	far as concerning me?
8	MS. ECHELBARGER: Again, it depends
9	on the school dismissal time.
10	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Please pull the
11	microphone down. Thank you.
12	MS. ECHELBARGER: It depends on
13	school dismissal time.
14	If the school dismissal is at, say,
15	two o'clock, then we leave at the appropriate
16	time to be there and stage at the schools.
17	Then we you know, of course, we pick
18	kids up and take them home, and in most cases
19	they do two schools in the afternoon, so they
20	return to the lot between four o'clock and
21	five o'clock, depending how long the route is.
22	MR. TITINER: I'm not not a huge
23	deal, but I don't know if there's any concern
24	over the amount of pollution and smoke that's

[
	87
1	going to be given out of this area from this many
2	buses, and I think that's it for for my
3	questions.
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay.
5	MR. TITINER: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any
7	further questions from members of the audience?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. If
10	there aren't any other questions, I will take any
11	comments from from members of the audience
12	first, and then we'll go to Plan Commission.
13	Sir, were you sworn at the beginning?
14	MR. BRANDT: Yes, sir.
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay.
16	MR. BRANDT: Greg Brandt, Brandt
17	Builders. I'm helping the Illinois Central
18	School Bus on their land and property
19	acquisitions and rentals.
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: How do you spell
21	your last name?
22	MR. BRANDT: I'm sorry.
23	Brandt, B-r-a-n-d-t, Morris, Illinois.
24	They have approximately 58 sites across

	88
1	Illinois and a little bit in Minnesota, a little
2	bit in St. Louis.
3	A lot of the areas we get up in the suburbs
4	up there, the very new suburbs there in their
5	zoning book has places to put school buses, and I
6	believe there's four Bonnie, four school
7	districts here?
8	MS. ECHELBARGER: Yes.
9	MR. BRANDT: It's tough to find a
10	spot to park 150 actually, 10 percent stay
11	sitting as 10 percent spares there, and there
12	won't be 150 cars coming in because historically
13	you've got husband-and-wife teams and you've got
14	neighbors and you've got friends that pick each
15	other up, and we still try to accommodate a car
16	for every school bus.
17	The short of it, this ain't our ideal spot,
18	neither, but you've got a contract here, I
19	believe, in June, very short time to find a place
20	to park these buses.
21	You know, we've got several spots that are
22	ideally a lot better than, you know, some spots.
23	We've got specifically spots I deal with that are
24	a lot worse than this.

	89
1	It's going to take Bonnie if you grant
2	us this it may take her 30 days into school to
3	get these buses going the right direction,
4	safest, quickest possible way because they don't
5	want their buses sitting in traffic, neither.
6	So, I mean, the first day on and every day
7	after that, she will be consistently working with
8	the buses and, you know, there will be people
9	that Illinois Central has their own safety
10	people, and they're very safety conscious, will
11	be out trying to get these buses and the roads
12	that you did talk about going up, going left and
13	around, if they've got to go around three or
14	four blocks and swing to line these buses up,
15	then they'll do that.
16	And that's all I want to say, you know,
17	about Illinois Central.
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
19	Thank you.
20	Any other comments? Sir, did you have
21	something?
22	MR. TITINER: Again, Gary Titiner,
23	speaking for myself and for Ron Vohs from
24	BestVac.

	90
1	We are both retailers on the corner of
2	Randall and Dean, and we're torn because I hate
3	to get in the way of anybody making a buck, but
4	here are just our concerns for what they're
5	worth.
6	The obvious one would have been first was
7	bus congestion, which I think has been dealt with
8	pretty pretty well. We're we're
9	hearing things that if, in action, they happen as
10	they've been said, a lot of our concern goes
11	away.
12	If it doesn't, I just want to I want to
13	make sure our viewpoint is seen, which is now, in
14	a down economic down or whatever, it's
15	important for people to see us, and our concern
16	is that, if you were driving south on Randall and
17	buses are stacked up coming north, frankly,
18	you're not going to see our building, and, again,
19	I'm not concerned about 6:30 in the morning. I'm
20	still in bed and my customers probably are, too.
21	If you were going north on Randall, you
22	are coming right by us, and you can't cut in,
23	you've got to take Dean. If you're stacked
24	behind 20 buses, 10 buses, I don't know that you

91 are or not going to decide, "I had a bad day" --1 2 the kids are probably in the back of the car --3 "I'm not buying carpet or a vacuum today," so both Ron and I just lost, and that's a concern for us. You've got to be able to see the store. You've got to be able to look at the store. 7 We already had a "strike one" by not having 8 a cut, and "strike two," you can't take the turn 9 into Dean. 10 I'm not going to spend my time telling you 11 we should have all retail property because that's 12 what they want, and I wish that someone walked in 13 a built a Best Buy. That would be the best 14 thing. 15 I quess my concern is if -- and I'm not 16 concerned about the fact that, in theory, our 17 building and Tom Anderson's building on the 18 corner is the gateway into the city, and then the 19 next thing you see is a bunch of buses. 20 I know when our building was developed 21 before my time a lot of -- a lot of landscaping, 22 a bunch of it maybe not quite necessary, was made 23 to happen because it was the entrance to the 24 city, and even that doesn't bother us as much as

	92
1	the fact that we just need to make sure if I
2	guess the concern is the unknown.
3	The unknown is right now this would make
4	the only retail between 64 and Dean us. You had
5	others. They've left. Not your fault; it's not
6	the City's fault. The economy is what it is, but
7	it certainly is important to us, as I think it is
8	to them, to get retail in there. They will
9	certainly make more money, I would assume, than
10	renting for buses.
11	Our concern is getting lost in an island of
12	retail, where no one sees you, and then being
13	blocked by buses would be a problem.
14	So, again, we're not saying no. We're not
15	against this, in principle, we just want to make
16	sure we want to ensure that that congestion
17	does not happen in front of our building during
18	business hours. Again, what happens somewhere
19	else, I don't care.
20	Thank you very much.
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
22	Thank you. Hold on one second.
23	Any more comments?
24	Sir?

93 MR. VOHS: My name is Ron Vohs, 1 V-o-h-s. My address is 2015 Dean Street. 2 I'm also, along with Gary, own part of 3 BestVac. the building on the corner, and I just want to concur with what Gary said, and also bring up 5 some about, also, safety in bringing buses around 6 our shopping center, because our entrance is on 7 Dean Street and our clientele are turning left 8 and right, and the increase in buses and traffic 9 coming down there during the temporary period and 10 also afterwards could cause some problems and 11 make it difficult for our customers not only to 12 13 get in, but to get out. And then Mr. Pretz said -- you know, talked 14 about -- or Mr. Kessler -- I can't remember 15 which -- about possibly routing buses left on 16 Dean Street, and I think, either way, if they're 17 heading north on Randall, they're blocking our 18 strip mall and causing congestion headaches which 19 people will avoid. In my opinion, it will hurt 20 21 our business. So as long as I'm here, I just wanted to 22 23 talk about that aspect of customers entering our shopping center and exiting on that point. 24

	94
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. Thank you.
2	All right. Did you have a comment?
3	MR. CORCORAN: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Go ahead.
5	MR. CORCORAN: I appreciate these
6	concerns. I think that Illinois Central does, as
7	well.
8	I just wanted to state that that that
9	that northbound Randall traffic that would be in
10	front of our building would be very interim.
11	We're talking a couple of months, you know,
12	alongside the you know, the other 30-some-
13	thousand cars that are on Randall Road per day.
14	And and in regards to having Illinois
15	Central here, it's not about making money here
16	because obviously the rental cost and compared to
17	the cost of improvements to the site don't
18	don't quite match up for us. It's about survival
19	and getting to the point where this area is is
20	ready to get redeveloped and to that next stage
21	so thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
23	Thank you.
24	Any further comments from any members of

F	
	95
1	the public?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. Anything
4	from Plan Commission comments?
5	MEMBER DOYLE: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Brian and then
7	Curt.
8	MEMBER DOYLE: Well, first of all,
9	I'd like to thank the Applicant and the
10	prospective tenant for all of the testimony they
11	have offered tonight. I'm impressed by the
12	discussion of all the factors here.
13	I'm looking at the findings of fact
14	document, which is our guideline, and I'm sort of
15	stuck on No. 2, Sufficient Infrastructure," and
16	the finding from the preliminary traffic analysis
17	about the potential impact on the westbound
18	approach to the intersection of 64 and Randall,
19	and I'm really wishing that we had more
20	definitive findings about what that impact will
21	be because I think it's premature to to me, to
22	be Item B, "Sufficient Infrastructure," is a
23	question mark. I'm not prepared to say this
24	parcel is that that this usage is not

96 1 appropriate for this parcel or that the proposal is not viable; I simply am not a hundred percent 2 3 certain. So one implication of this one question I 5 have is -- and this is why I asked the question 6 about your timing, in terms of the start of the lease and whether or not -- well, actually, my 7 question there had to do with Phase I, but it has 8 9 to do with Phase IV and the long term -- the 10 long-term plan because that -- that, to me, is 11 the big concern. 12 I'm -- I'm persuaded that an eight-week 13 phase or six to eight weeks for Phase I may be 14 painful, but we can sort of slog through it. 15 I also -- I think that the basic challenge 16 of this parcel and the whole area is ingress and 17 egress in terms of -- of attracting a big-box 18 store. 19 If we approve this land use and you find 20 your tenant to be a good tenant, I think it's 21 with -- it would be within your right, then, to 22 say, "Well, we want to extend the lease not just 23 5 years, but 10 or 15 years. We're saying this 24 is an appropriate land use, " and so I don't think

	97
1	we, the Plan Commission, can just regard this
2	as "Is this appropriate for the next five years?"
3	we have to consider it as a potentially
4	indefinite land use, and so I'm thinking about
5	this long-term. I'm thinking about this in terms
6	of how this connects with Woodward Road to the
7	west and long-term plans for this site, and the
8	one thing that I'm sticking on is that that
9	issue with the intersection.
10	I guess my one question I have about that,
11	if you know, if that is the deal breaker
12	and we've heard from the bus company that they
13	would find ways around it, they would route buses
14	different different directions to make certain
15	that capacity isn't overtaxed Rita, is there
16	some kind of memorandum of agreement that can be
17	attached to a special use with a particular
18	tenant? is this even within the realm of
19	possibility? that would stipulate that, if
20	capacity at a particular intersection is is
21	overburdened by the traffic caused by the
22	dispatch, that it is incumbent on the tenant to
23	find alternate routing strategies?
24	Does the City have any means by which to

T	
	98
1	by which we could substantiate that finding of
2	fact and say that that there are sufficient
3	resources, or if there are not, resources will be
4	distributed accordingly by the by the by
5	the by the tenant so that resources are not
6	overly taxed?
7	MS. TUNGARE: Yes. The Plan
8	Commission and the City Council can impose
9	conditions on the special use, and I think these
10	are very good questions that you're raising.
11	Let me address your questions one at a
12	time.
13	I think the first question here or the
14	comment that you raised was with relation to the
15	permanency, the permanent nature of the special
16	use that is being granted.
17	I want the Plan Commission to be aware that
18	you do have the right to establish a sunset or
19	expiration date on the special use, so if there
20	are concerns about this use in the long term and,
21	based on the testimony that has been provided by
22	the Applicant, that there's a lease being signed
23	for five years, if the Plan Commission feels
24	it's appropriate to grant the special use for

99 five years and after five years the special use 1 2 will expire or the Applicant is required to come back before the Plan Commission, through a 3 hearing process, to request an extension, that is a very valid condition that the Plan Commission 5 can impose as part of the recommendation. 6 Now, the second question that you raised 7 was regarding if, in the future, the City deems 8 9 that there is a significant impact or there's an increase in traffic, I believe we would probably 10 11 need to quantify that in some way. You know, it needs to be a little more substantive and 12 quantifiable; otherwise, it leaves room for some 13 interpretation and ambiguity. 14 15 Having said that -- having said that, yes, the Plan Commission could, again, impose a 16 17 condition about alternate routes, about the Applicant using alternate routes or making 18 19 certain improvements. 20 If due consideration in the master plan has Woodward Road and the future roadway connection 21 with Randall all the way to Dean Street, maybe 22 23 this continued use may not necessitate

construction of that complete roadway network or

24

	100
1	that roadway system based on this use, itself, or
2	the temporary nature of this use.
3	But having said that, again, it is within
4	the purview of the Plan Commission to think about
5	the access the north/south access drive or the
6	access easement behind all of the abutting
7	property. XSport Fitness what else do we have
8	there?
9	MR. COLBY: XSport car wash.
10	MS. TUNGARE: The XSport car wash.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Storage.
12	MS. TUNGARE: The storage facility,
13	that north/south access driveway, and that leg
14	that connects out north/south to Dean Street, may
15	be possibly looking at whether that's a
16	possibility, if that's something that the
17	Applicant would be willing to construct to
18	alleviate any traffic concerns.
19	I know there has been some some a lot
20	of discussion related to bus routing and timing,
21	and in the spirit of due consideration to the
22	intergovernmental agreement that exists, the
23	master plan that exists, and some comments from
24	the bus company and the Applicant regarding their

r:	
	101
1	openness to look at alternate routes, maybe
2	that's something to throw out there, as well.
3	So, yes, the Plan Commission could impose
4	conditions, could ask for alternate routing.
5	You do have some options available, but within
6	the confines to the findings of fact, but these
7	are some examples of what the Plan Commission
8	could do.
9	MEMBER DOYLE: How how would such
10	an agreement work? I mean, suppose suppose a
11	year and a half from now, my you know, my
12	concern is that we don't know. My concern is
13	that we don't have a more thorough traffic
14	analysis about that, about that intersection, and
15	it's the absence of that of that conclusion
16	that is giving me pause here in saying, "Yes.
17	Our findings of fact are all in the affirmative"
18	and we can move forward with this, so that's a
19	concern of mine just on procedural grounds.
20	That being said, if we were to proceed and,
21	a year from now, we found that there is there's
22	a problematic impact on that intersection, you
23	know, a verbal agreement here that says we would
24	do that is all well and fine, but a bus company

	102
1	is under other pressures, you know?
2	If if they say, "Well, we'll route half
3	of our buses straight through Randall Road to
4	Peck Road and then south"
5	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: That's not
6	what I'm suggesting.
7	MEMBER DOYLE: But you were suggesting
8	Dean Street.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: You know, a
10	big difference.
11	MEMBER DOYLE: Okay. That's just an
12	example.
13	But let's say we would we would find
14	different routes and that that delays the
15	dispatch. If that delays arrival of the buses at
16	the at the school, then the the bus company
17	may have its hands tied in terms of what it can
18	do and what other pressures its business is
19	under, and so I'm just thinking out loud here.
20	I'm not drawing any conclusions at this
21	point; I'm just sort of making comments here.
22	MS. TUNGARE: Mr. Chairman, can I
23	respond to that?
24	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.

	103
1	MS. TUNGARE: Okay. I was just
2	informed that, based on the comment you just
3	offered, that if the Plan Commission feels
4	additional information is is is warranted
5	and and you would like to see further analysis
6	on the impact of that intersection, HLR could
7	could deliver such an analysis within a short
8	period of time that possibly would not impact the
9	project schedule, and I will let Alex speak to
10	that, if if I misrepresented anything
11	inaccurately.
12	MR. GARBE: No. That's entirely
13	correct.
14	We we could take a look at that very
15	quickly. All it would take is for us to do a
16	traffic count and some analysis. We could have
17	it done in probably a week or week and a half,
18	something like that.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Would you be
20	able to include the intersection at Dean and
21	Randall, as well?
22	MR. GARBE: We could that
23	intersection, yes. That would add a little bit
24	of extra time, but we could still make the time

	104
1	frame to the procedure, yes.
2	How would that affect the project?
3	MS. TUNGARE: They're supposed to be
4	coming back with a recommendation to the Plan
5	Commission in two weeks, which would be
6	August 3rd?
7	MR. COLBY: August 2nd.
8	MS. TUNGARE: August 2nd.
9	And you may be able to deliver?
10	MR. GARBE: We could be ready before
11	that.
12	MEMBER HENNINGSON: Which intersections
13	are you looking at?
14	MR. GARBE: I think so far we're
15	talking about 64 and Randall and Randall and
16	Dean.
17	MEMBER DOYLE: Tim, what's what's
18	the interest in Randall and Dean? Is that for
19	the short-term one, the first phase?
20	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: That's for
21	the long term.
22	I think that that is the I think that
23	that is the alternate route to the 17th right
24	onto 64, to go left on Randall.

	105
1	I think, instead of routing it from 17th in
2	town, the alternate could be to go north on
3	Randall Road and go left and come around on Peck
4	and to come back and make to head south.
5	I think it's moving it out of town and it's
6	moving it away from that intersection, but my
7	purpose in asking to have it included is to make
8	sure that it doesn't have an adverse impact on
9	that intersection, as well.
10	MEMBER DOYLE: And my one question
11	about that is, does it cross the train tracks on
12	Randall before you get to Dean?
13	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Which is
14	something that I think needs to be addressed in
15	any case. Somehow, somebody has to find out how
16	you get that exempt sign up there. I don't know.
17	Who is that? Anybody out there?
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. And
19	just a question for staff.
20	If we were to continue the public
21	hearing to the next meeting, would that have any
22	impact on when our recommendation would go to
23	City Council?
24	MS. TUNGARE: No, it would not. It

	106
1	would not.
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay.
3	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Mr. Chairman?
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Sue.
5	MEMBER AMATANGELO: You know, part of
6	what we've just been discussing here today is the
7	need for speed. We want obviously, you want
8	to have this done as quickly as possible so that
9	you can have everything in place for the
10	beginning of the school year, as much as you can
11	as possible for the beginning of the school year,
12	and you are now going to push it back another
13	two more weeks.
14	How does that affect your project?
15	MR. CORCORAN: Well, we'd like we
16	would like for you guys to be able to decide
17	tonight.
18	Obviously, there's there's still
19	questions, but I think it would be we would be
20	open to possible stipulations or suggestions for
21	placing those those factors in your
22	in your ruling should should HLR come up with
23	"Okay. At this point we can handle this much
24	traffic. Beyond this, we have to find alternate

	107
1	routes." If we stipulate that we would do
2	that obviously, we would we would rather
3	have a ruling tonight it pushes the project
4	forward faster on our end to be able to get final
5	engineering done, get some of the internal
6	specifics and logistics for creating the
7	the the and doing the work, so if we can do
8	that, we would like to.
9	MS. TUNGARE: If I can supplement
10	Mr. Corcoran's comments.
11	From a scheduling standpoint purely from
12	a scheduling standpoint regardless of whether
13	the Plan Commission makes a recommendation
14	tonight or two weeks from tonight, this project
15	will be on the same meeting for the Planning and
16	Development Committee, which would be August 8th;
17	is that correct?
18	MR. COLBY: Yes.
19	MS. TUNGARE: That is the first
20	committee meeting that the project can be
21	scheduled.
22	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Okay.
23	MS. TUNGARE: So it does not impact
24	the project schedule from that standpoint.

	108
1	I can appreciate the Applicant's desire to
2	maybe get a recommendation tonight, but purely
3	from a scheduling standpoint, they still end up
4	at the same Planning and Development Committee
5	meeting and the same Council meeting.
6	MEMBER AMATANGELO: And that's what
7	day, again?
8	MS. TUNGARE: August 8th.
9	MEMBER AMATANGELO: August 8th?
10	MS. TUNGARE: August 8th.
11	So coming back to Plan Commission on
12	August 2nd keeps them on the same schedule for
13	the August 8th Planning and Development Committee
14	meeting, and from staff's perspective, I think,
15	given the discussion that has occurred tonight,
16	I believe that having that additional analysis
17	done by HLR will assist staff and the Plan
18	Commission in preparing substantive findings of
19	fact and, also, substantiating any conditions
20	that the Plan Commission may choose to impose.
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
22	MEMBER SCHUETZ: If I could just make
23	one last comment.
24	Tim mentioned regarding that exempt on the

	109
1	railroad.
2	Obviously, it might behoove you to
3	somebody to try to find out and move that
4	forward because, if that happens and the traffic
5	is a good report, then, you know, maybe we have
6	something to work with, but I think that's still
7	kind of a stumbling block.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Well, I'd
9	like to I'm sorry. Go ahead.
10	MEMBER HENNINGSON: I just have a
11	brief comment.
12	I think it's important for the Applicant
13	and for the public to know that the first time
14	any of us saw this information was Friday
15	afternoon. We get it the same time the public
16	gets it, so we've you know, we've seen it for
17	about three days, so it is important for you guys
18	to know that.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I I just
20	want to comment.
21	I mean, this is this is my neighborhood.
22	This is where I work. This is where I live.
23	This is where I travel, and I don't believe in
24	fact, I'm that's my Starbucks. I'm there

	110
1	almost well, at least twice a day, so I know
2	this infra road structure. I've worked in the
3	lumbar yard there.
4	I think the fact that the that the
5	developer, the owner of the property owner is
6	attempting to collect the traffic from that site
7	internally is the best thing we can do.
8	I think keeping that traffic, if there was
9	some way we could put in so that it wouldn't be
10	traveling on Dean Street at all, that would be a
11	good move.
12	I think for the retailers, making a right
13	turn onto Dean Street, it's going to be
14	troublesome for eight weeks, I'll tell you right
15	now, but if we could avoid it after that, keep
16	the buses off of that street completely, that
17	would be the thing to do.
18	I can tell you, also, that the traffic
19	here it wasn't that long ago we had a bus
20	terminal right across the roadway. Randall Road
21	can handle this and west can handle this.
22	I do believe that perhaps there is some
23	concern at 64 and Randall, but there are
24	alternate routes that they can take so that they

	111
1	don't have to move all of that traffic there.
2	And I can also tell you from experience
3	that making a left turn onto 17th Street, as is
4	necessary, I don't even believe there's going to
5	be that much difference, but if there is a
6	problem, I live there. I live on Main Street.
7	I can tell you it's not a problem.
8	If you wait two minutes, mark your watch
9	and wait two minutes, traffic clears every
10	two minutes.
11	MEMBER AMATANGELO: That's in a car.
12	A bus is a little bit different.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Even in a
14	bus, the traffic clears. They can only get
15	one out at a time, but there is an alternative to
16	that, too, and that's my point.
17	The alternative to eastbound is to route
18	them out Peck and west. I mean, it's not that
19	far and, believe me, when you live around there
20	during flea market that's where you go. That's
21	where we go, out west. We don't try and travel
22	in that area.
23	So I think there are alternatives to this,
24	and, frankly, I've been driving by that site

·	
	112
1	every day for years, and in the past few months
2	I'm wondering, "Who the heck is going to clean
3	that place up? That place is a dump."
4	And you asked, "Will you see buses there?"
5	Yeah, but you saw lumber there for 30 years and
6	now what do you see? You're always going to see
7	something there because it's down the hill.
8	If it is a temporary use, I'd like the fact
9	that we could put some kind of a limit on the
10	special use. Fine. I mean, everybody is
11	agreeing they need it for about five years. It's
12	going to help the developer to continue to
13	develop it inside. It also helps the bus company
14	with their current contract.
15	Let's put an end to it all. Set it where
16	they have to come back. Maybe we'll decide
17	they're great neighbors and we'll want them to
18	stay. Who knows? But I'd like to see the
19	additional traffic studies. I like the I like
20	the plan. I like this plan. I really do.
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: One comment that I
22	have regarding the temporary use or the sunset
23	provision.
24	I mean, I think, to a certain extent, for a

	113
1	use that we're contemplating to be temporary, we
2	don't want you don't want to beat it to death
3	with studies of how it's to affect traffic. I
4	mean, it's going to affect traffic.
5	Is it going to affect traffic to the point
6	where Route 64 and Randall is not usable? No.
7	I mean, we're talking about two major roads, and
8	we're not talking about an influx of 10,000 cars
9	a day or something like that.
10	I mean, my thought is, why don't we put in
11	a provision that it's a five-year sunset on the
12	special use, and then at that point in time these
13	things can be revisited?
14	I mean, this is a bus company that is
15	it's a for-profit enterprise. They're going to
16	do things as efficiently as they possibly can
17	because, if they don't do it that way, they're
18	not going to be making money.
19	So I I don't know. It's it's my view
20	that micromanaging things and trying to figure
21	out every turn of every bus is just it's
22	it's not within that's not our role, not the
23	City's role.
24	So I don't know. That's my take on it.

<u> </u>	
	114
1	Any other comments?
2	MEMBER DOYLE: Yes. I had one
3	additional comment.
4	I know I said this earlier, but given
5	the I'm sorry. I forget your name. You spoke
6	earlier. You're a Realtor for the
7	MR. CORCORAN: Brian.
8	MEMBER DOYLE: Brian.
9	Your comment about getting warm and fuzzy.
10	I want to say that the testimony provided
11	by the prospective tenant was very, very helpful
12	tonight, and I'm particularly sympathetic to the
13	challenges, the fine insight that can meet the
14	needs of of this use, and I have no doubt that
15	this company would be an asset to this community
16	and that we would welcome them.
17	I just would like to make certain that
18	we've done our due diligence and crossed all our
19	t's and dotted all our i's before we make a
20	recommendation to the City Council that says our
21	findings of fact are "X," and if the if we're
22	receiving more information from our traffic
23	consultant that does not delay the project, then
24	I hope that that will not deter you from from

	115
1	coming back to us in two weeks and receiving a
2	final approval recommendation.
3	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
4	MEMBER PRETZ: I had one last thing.
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Go ahead.
6	MEMBER PRETZ: 15th Street is going
7	to be impacted. It was brought up earlier about
8	notification to those people. I think it is
9	important that, even if it's a short duration,
10	that they are fully informed because regardless
11	of the time frame, it's going to be quite an
12	impact to them.
13	MS. TUNGARE: I can appreciate that
14	comment, but I would like to run that by legal
15	counsel.
16	In the City's processes and procedures, we
17	follow State law and State statutory obligations
18	the City has. The State law is notifying
19	property owners within 250 feet.
20	I'm not saying we cannot notify other
21	property owners we could do so but, again,
22	are we treating this project any differently than
23	others? That's something that I have to take
24	into consideration, and are we setting a

	116
1	precedence here by going overboard in notifying a
2	group of property owners here that we may not be
3	doing that for other properties because we
4	strictly follow State law for all other public
5	properties which come to public hearing, which is
6	250 feet?
7	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: And following up,
8	you know, on that comment, I think that you're
9	exactly right, and I think that it's one thing
10	for the for the City to take the step of doing
11	that on our own and potentially I mean,
12	potentially giving the Applicant a constitutional
13	challenge to whatever decision we may make, but
14	if the Applicant wanted to voluntarily do that,
15	I mean, I think it's certainly something the
16	Plan Commission could consider.
17	MEMBER PRETZ: That sounds fair.
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Or, of course,
19	news media. I don't know if any of them are
20	here.
21	Sue?
22	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Just a general
23	comment.
24	I still believe that some of the findings

	117
1	of fact are lacking.
2	One in particular that that I brought up
3	fairly early dealt with the infrastructure, and I
4	feel like all I'm hearing tonight is that we have
5	to hurry up and do this, and we're having to
6	hurry up and do that before everything is in
7	place, and, you know, I wouldn't we wouldn't
8	build a subdivision until the roads were in,
9	until, you know, the you know, everything else
10	you needed to have in place was in place before
11	building those homes, and I just feel that
12	personally that this is you know, we're
13	still trying to put the cart before the horse
14	here and trying to rush this through.
15	There's the buses are being housed
16	somewhere right now; isn't that correct? So I
17	guess I'm not understanding completely the the
18	urgency to have to try to push this through so
19	quickly without everything being in place, you
20	know, as we would with any other anyone else.
21	So those are just my comments. I'll leave
22	it at that.
23	Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any

	118
1	other comments?
2	Well I'm sorry.
3	Did you have a comment?
4	MS. ECHELBARGER: I do.
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Sure. Go ahead.
6	MS. ECHELBARGER: The buses are
7	currently being stored in Channahon and
8	Wilmington, which is really too far away to to
9	do routes from.
10	That was my only comment. I'm
11	MEMBER AMATANGELO: But they do have
12	a home right now; correct?
13	MS. ECHELBARGER: That's correct.
14	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any
16	other comments?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
19	Anything else further from staff; anything
20	to add?
21	MS. TUNGARE: Nope.
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: No.
23	All right. Then, in that case, a motion
24	would be in order either to close or to continue

	119
1	the public hearing.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Well, I would
3	make a motion to continue the public hearing to
4	the August 8th meeting.
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: 2nd.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I'm sorry.
7	August 2nd.
8	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. It's
9	been moved to continue the public hearing to
10	August 2nd
11	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: at seven o'clock
13	in this room.
14	And it's seconded by Sue?
15	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
17	Discussion on the motion?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I'll just ask a
20	question of staff.
21	Do you think that prior to that time, based
22	on the discussion we've had tonight, you would be
23	ready to make a recommendation?
24	MR. COLBY: Yes.

	120
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. And all
2	right. That's fine.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Can I ask
4	just
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: If you get
7	that traffic information prior to the weekend
8	before, could we get it? All right. I won't ask.
9	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: If we can get any
10	of the information that that is received from
11	the traffic study as soon as possible
12	MS. TUNGARE: We we will we
13	will try to get the packets out publicly as as
14	early as we can.
15	If we can get it out a few days sooner, we
16	will do that, but we will have to make that
17	information public, as well.
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Oh, yeah,
19	certainly. I'm not asking that anything be given
20	the Plan Commission prior to the public. I mean,
21	it can be published as soon as possible.
22	MS. TUNGARE: We would do our best.
23	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay.
24	Thank you.

	121
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any
2	other comments? questions? discussion on the
3	motion?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
6	Tim, roll call.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Amatangelo?
8	MEMBER AMATANGELO: Yes.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Schuetz?
10	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Yes.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Doyle?
12	MEMBER DOYLE: Yes.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz?
14	MEMBER PRETZ: Yes.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Henningson?
16	MEMBER HENNINGSON: Yes.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Wallace?
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: No.
19	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, yes.
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
21	That motion passes six to one, and the public
22	hearing is continued to August 2nd, 2011, at
23	seven o'clock p.m. in this room, and that
24	concludes Item No. 5 on the agenda today.

	122
1	Item and it also concludes our public
2	hearing.
3	(Whereupon, at 9:15 p.m., the
4	above-entitled matter was
5	continued to Tuesday,
6	August 2, 2011, at 7:00 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	123
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS.
2	COUNTY OF K A N E)
3	
4	I, Glenn L. Sonntag, Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter No. 084-002034, Registered Diplomate
6	Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
7	shorthand the proceedings had in the
8	above-entitled matter, and that the foregoing is
9	a true, correct, and complete transcript of my
10	shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.
11	In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my
12	hand on this 29th day of July, 2011.
13	call-eg.
14	
	Den 2. Santay
15	Certified Shorthand Reporter
	Registered Diplomate Reporter
16	Certified Legal Video Specialist
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	