#### MINUTES CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL PLAN COMMISSION TUESDAY, JULY 3, 2012

Members Present: Todd Wallace, Chairman

Tim Kessler, Vice Chairman

Tom Schuetz Brian Doyle

Members Absent: Sue Amatangelo

**Curt Henningson** 

Tom Pretz

Also Present: Matthew O'Rourke, Planner

Sonntag Court Reporter

#### 1. Call to order

The meeting was called to order at 7:04 p.m. by Chairman Wallace.

#### 2. Roll Call

Vice Chairman Kessler called the roll. A quorum was present.

3. Presentation of minutes of the June 19, 2012 meeting.

A motion was made, seconded and unanimously passed by voice vote to accept the minutes of the June 19, 2012 meeting.

#### 4. 2900 Dukane Drive (RA Seaton Contractor Services, LLC)

Application for Special Use for Manufacturing, Heavy (Temporary Concrete Batch Plant) Supporting Documents:

- Site Plan received 5/30/12
- Stormwater Prevention Pollution Control Plan; received 6/26/2012.
- Stormwater Prevention and Site Restoration Bullet Point Summary; received 6/26/2012.
- Flexstorm IPP Inlet Filters Cover Spec. Sheet; received 6/26/2012.
- Draft Road Maintenance Agreement; received 6/21/2012.
- Draft Dust Control Plan; received 6/21/2012.

The attached transcript prepared by Sonntag Reporting Service, Ltd., is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

Mr. Doyle made a motion to recommend approval of the Special Use for Manufacturing, Heavy (Temporary Concrete Batch Plant) contingent upon the staff conditions on page 7 of the staff report dated June 29, 2012:

Minutes – St. Charles Plan Commission Tuesday, July 3, 2012 Page 2

- 1. The Special Use shall terminate on December 31, 2013 and equipment shall be removed by December 31, 2013. Full restoration of the site shall be completed by April 30, 2014.
- 2. The Special Use shall be limited to fifteen (15) days of concrete batch plant operation in the calendar years 2012 and 2013 respectively.
- 3. Submittal of a detailed Final Stormwater Prevention Pollution Control Plan.
- 4. That all traffic related to the Special Use shall be limited to Stone and Dukane Drives.
- 5. The applicant shall enter into a maintenance agreement for repair of Stone and Dukane Drives.
- 6. The applicant shall enter into an agreement with the City to monitor dust, soil erosion, and cleaning.
- 7. The Special Use shall only be utilized to supply the construction/reconstruction of Rt. 64 between 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Rt. 59.

Mr. Kessler seconded the motion.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Scheutz, Doyle, Wallace, Kessler

Nays: None

Absent: Pretz, Amatangelo, Henningson

Motion carried.

#### 5. Meeting Announcements

Tuesday, July 17, 2012 at 7:00pm in the Council Chambers Tuesday, August 7, 2012 at 7:00pm in the Council Chambers Tuesday, August 21, 2012 at 7:00pm in Council Chambers

- 6. Additional Business from Plan Commission Members, Staff, or Citizens.
- 7. Adjournment at 7:25PM

	3
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: This meeting of
2	the St. Charles Plan Commission will come to order.
3	Tim, call the roll.
4	MEMBER KESSLER: Schuetz.
5	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Here.
6	MEMBER KESSLER: Doyle.
7	MEMBER DOYLE: Here.
8	MEMBER KESSLER: Kessler is here.
9	Wallace.
10	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Here.
11	All right. Presentation of the minutes of
12	the June 19, 2012, meeting.
13	MEMBER KESSLER: Move to approve.
14	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Moved and seconded.
16	All in favor.
17	(The ayes were thereupon heard.)
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Opposed.
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Motion passes
21	unanimously.
22	Item No. 4 on your agenda, 2900 Dukane Drive,
23	RA Seaton Contractor Services, LLC, Application
24	for Special Use for Manufacturing, Heavy

	4
1	(Temporary Concrete Batch Plant) Supporting
2	Documents:
3	Site plan, received 5/30/12; stormwater
4	prevention pollution control plan, received
5	6/26/2012; stormwater prevention and site bullet
6	point summary, received 6/26/2012; Flexstorm IPP
7	inlet filters cover spec sheet, received
8	6/26/2012; draft road maintenance agreement,
9	received 6/21/2012; and draft dust control plan,
10	received 6/21/2012.
11	All right. Now, as I recall, the public
12	hearing on this matter was closed the last time
13	that we were
14	MR. O'ROURKE: That's correct.
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: So this item is on
16	the agenda for action tonight and I don't
17	know. Matt, do you want to go ahead and report
18	on what has been presented since the last
19	MR. O'ROURKE: Yeah.
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Go ahead.
21	MR. O'ROURKE: This item is before
22	the Commission for special use for what's called
23	manufacturing, heavy, which is a special use
24	listed in the M2 district, which this property

	5
1	is zoned.
2	There were some items brought up in staff
3	comments last time at the public hearing to which
4	the Applicant has provided us more information.
5	They provided some preliminary plans and
6	agreements to address those comments.
7	Specifically, with regard to the street
8	network, they have submitted a draft maintenance
9	agreement that has the majority of items in
10	there. At this point we've sent that to the
11	City's legal counsel to draft up something formal
12	so that the City Council can approve and sign it,
13	but in principle, we have everything in there
14	that we feel staff feels we've addressed those
15	issues.
16	In terms of the stormwater and the
17	pollution control plan, they have submitted a
18	preliminary plan. Staff has looked at that and
19	looked at the bullet point summary. All the
20	items that were there staff feels we're just
21	needing to get that to a final plan once we get
22	through the special use process.
23	Essentially, what that means is before a
24	stormwater permit is issued, as part of that

	6
1	permit they have to give us that final plan to
2	make sure they address all those items that staff
3	has called out here in the staff report.
4	With that, staff is recommending approval
5	of the special use, but we have also listed a
6	series of conditions, mostly dealing with the
7	temporary nature of the proposed concrete batch
8	plant, and we have included them in our request
9	for action.
10	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Is this typical?
11	I know when I read the staff report, I was
12	curious because I don't believe that this has
13	ever come before us during my tenure.
14	Is it typical for a plan such as a dust
15	control plan to be a condition of approval from
16	the Plan Commission?
17	MR. O'ROURKE: It's not something that
18	I've seen a whole lot of, but it's something that
19	certainly City Council can make a condition that
20	basically guarantees THAT you submit that plan.
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
22	MR. O'ROURKE: So that would be in
23	your purview to recommend if you want to forward
24	that recommendation on as part of your approval.

	7
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: From a logistical
2	standpoint it would be the Plan Commission
3	would recommend approval contingent upon the
4	parties entering into that control plan; correct?
5	MR. O'ROURKE: Correct.
6	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.
7	MEMBER DOYLE: Do you mean No. 3, the
8	stormwater prevention pollution control plan?
9	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Actually, what I'm
10	talking about is the draft dust control plan,
11	which was submitted 6/21.
12	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Under "staff
13	comments"?
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah.
15	MEMBER DOYLE: Because I don't see a
16	dust plan as being one of the seven conditions
17	listed on page 7.
18	MEMBER KESSLER: It's No. 6, Brian.
19	It says, "the Applicant shall enter into an
20	agreement with the City to monitor dust, soil
21	erosion, and cleaning."
22	MEMBER DOYLE: Correct.
23	MR. O'ROURKE: And there is a draft
24	version of that that the Applicant has supplied.

		8
	1	That's part of what the City's legal counsel is
	2	going to be formalizing into a more City-oriented
	3	document.
	4	MEMBER DOYLE: Right.
		<u>-</u>
	5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Matt, are you
	6	satisfied as staff with what the Applicant has
	7	provided to you based on our discussion at the
	8	last meeting?
	9	MR. O'ROURKE: Yes. And we have also
	10	asked the development review engineer and also
:	11	the public works engineer to review those
	12	documents. Basically, what their comments to me
	13	were, "Everything is there. We just need to make
	14	sure it gets put on the formal plan that's to
:	15	scale once we move forward with the actual permit."
:	16	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: And that would
:	17	have to be provided prior to the P & D meeting or
	18	prior to permit?
	19	MR. O'ROURKE: They would have to
:	20	submit that at the latest with the permit. It
:	21	would be preferable to get it earlier so we can
	22	make sure it's on there, but that's when we
:	23	need it.
2	24	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I just want to

	9
1	make sure that the Applicant is clear on what
2	they need to provide at this point. If it's
3	something that they need to provide before it
4	goes to P & D I know there was one P & D meeting
5	that was canceled.
6	MR. O'ROURKE: This item has actually
7	been placed on the government ops agenda, which
8	would be on July 16th. So timewise I'm not sure
9	if they'll be able to have that document drawn
10	up, so we would present it to that committee in a
11	similar fashion as staff comments.
12	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Just so the
13	Applicant is clear on what they need to provide.
14	I'll just explain to the Applicant, the
15	last time this was before us, I was very I
16	mean, I understand the urgent nature of the
17	application, and I was trying to do everything
18	that I could to get this going and to get this
19	approved by the City Council, which is why we're
20	here on July 3rd, a meeting that we had
21	previously canceled.
22	But I just want to make sure that the next
23	time this is before City Council that everything
24	is there for City Council to provide if they

	10
1	wish, to provide an approval.
2	Granted, we haven't yet voted on what we're
3	recommending, but just as an explanation to you.
4	MS. SEATON: And what day is that,
5	the 16th or the full City Council?
6	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: This is before
7	now, from what I understand, this is not on the
8	P & D agenda; it's actually on the governmental
9	operations.
10	MR. O'ROURKE: It's called the
11	government operations committee. They're both
12	committees of the whole. They meet on a
13	different night and have a different chairman.
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: And that's on the
15	16th you said?
16	MR. O'ROURKE: 16th.
17	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: So at the City
18	Council meeting if they voted on it that
19	night, would the City Council be on the 23rd?
20	MR. O'ROURKE: No. The next
21	City Council meeting after that is August 6th, I
22	believe.
23	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: August 6th would
24	be the date that they're actually going to vote

	11
1	on whatever is voted on at committee meeting.
2	MS. SEATON: So it's the same group
3	of people?
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Oh, yeah. It's a
5	committee of the whole. I believe that there are
6	just two committees; there's governmental
7	operations and there's planning and development.
8	MR. O'ROURKE: And there's government
9	services.
10	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Government
11	services. Okay. And all three of those
12	committees, although they have different chairmen,
13	all of the members of those committees are all of
14	the aldermen of the City Council.
15	So they will from a logistical
16	standpoint, at the time of the committee meeting,
17	they'll vote on that, but it won't be delivered
18	to the full City Council until the next City
19	Council meeting.
20	So what Matt is telling us is that even
21	though it's going to be voted on at the
22	July 16th meeting, it will not be before the full
23	City Council until August.
24	MR. O'ROURKE: Staff had discussed

	12
1	with the Applicant that typically whatever that
2	committee recommends is how they vote because
3	they're making a recommendation to themselves.
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.
5	MR. O'ROURKE: So you'll have a very
6	good understanding of where they are at that
7	July 16th meeting.
8	MEMBER SCHUETZ: I just have a few
9	little questions.
10	On page 5 of the staff report, it's right
11	above No. 3 I'm not sure if it's under the
12	"Recommendation/Suggested Action," but it talks
13	about off-street parking that will be provided by
14	the Applicant.
15	MR. O'ROURKE: That's actually part
16	of the subsection before it, "Zoning Review."
17	Essentially, since there's no internal or
18	gross floor area to base the parking calculation
19	on, there's really no requirement for it.
20	MEMBER DOYLE: There's no requirement?
21	MR. O'ROURKE: There's no way to base
22	a requirement. It would be paved on zero
23	square feet.
24	MEMBER SCHUETZ: I wasn't going

	13
1	there. I was curious as to how the off-street
2	was going to be handled from a safety point of
3	view for people parking and maybe pedestrians
4	around the area. I don't know.
5	MR. O'ROURKE: We've had some
6	discussions. It might be better if the Applicant
7	has an answer for that.
8	MEMBER SCHUETZ: I'm just wondering
9	if they're going to be kind of structured is
10	it parallel; are they going to be crisscrossing?
11	I'm just thinking a safety point of view.
12	MS. SEATON: On four days when they
13	bring in material, there's not heavy traffic as
14	far as four-wheeler traffic.
15	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Right. But from
16	your employee standpoint
17	MS. SEATON: There's just three
18	employees on-site.
19	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Oh, okay. I was
20	envisioning 25.
21	MR. KUTRAVATZ: On the construction
22	site for us, we'll have our workers there, but
23	they'll have parking along North Avenue.
24	MEMBER SCHUETZ: All right. I wasn't

	14
1	aware of that.
2	Matt, this is kind of a question for staff.
3	Under requested action, what's mentioned here,
4	"Special use shall terminate on December 31st,
5	2013," and then on the same day that it's
6	terminated, all the equipment needs to be
7	removed?
8	MR. O'ROURKE: Yeah.
9	MEMBER SCHUETZ: What if it's not?
10	MR. O'ROURKE: They basically
11	coincide with, "You're no longer allowed to
12	operate this special use on the property, " and
13	our expectation is it will be removed.
14	MEMBER SCHUETZ: And then I see it's
15	got to be cleaned up by April. That's fine. I'm
16	just curious, what if it's not removed?
17	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: At that point it's
18	up to the City it's up to the zoning
19	enforcement officer to determine whether they are
20	or are not in compliance with the zoning
21	ordinance, and if we grant them a special use,
22	it's only valid through December 31st, 2013, and
23	yet if on January 1st, 2014, they're still doing
24	whatever that special use is, then they're in

	15
1	violation of the zoning ordinance.
2	I mean, I would like for there to be
3	something more proactive to guarantee that the
4	use would only continue through the data that we
5	would recommend the special use continue through.
6	MEMBER SCHUETZ: I would just hate
7	for those stacks and everything to be sitting
8	around until April.
9	MR. LIENAU: If it does, he's got a
10	big problem.
11	MEMBER KESSLER: If you are still
12	there, then you've got a real big problem.
13	MR. KUTRAVATZ: We're moving pretty
14	good but you never know.
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Any other questions,
16	comments?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Matt, anything
19	else from you?
20	MR. O'ROURKE: I don't have anything
21	else.
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: By the way, the
23	staff report was very thorough and very good.
24	MR. O'ROURKE: Thank you.

	1.6
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I appreciate that.
2	MR. O'ROURKE: Thank you.
3	MEMBER KESSLER: I would just like to
4	ask Matt, are you satisfied that you have all the
5	information that you need from the Applicant to
6	move forward and prepare final plans for all of
7	the sewer water, test control?
8	MR. O'ROURKE: Staff is satisfied we
9	have all the information we need at this point.
10	We just need to get the more formal document as
11	we head forward.
12	MEMBER KESSLER: I think you did a
13	good job addressing some of these valid issues.
14	Because they're valid issues.
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Matt, all I would
16	say is, if a formal document is not obtained
17	prior to the next meeting, prior to the City
18	Council committee meeting and I think that
19	would be a part of our recommendation is to
20	condition it upon that formal document being
21	received by you. You know, whether we recommend
22	approval, I think it would be conditioned upon
23	you receiving satisfactory documents.
24	MR. O'ROURKE: Sure.

	17
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: So it would rest
2	on your shoulders to determine whether you
3	received from them what you're supposed to
4	receive.
5	MEMBER KESSLER: You want them to
6	receive what they need for their permit before
7	the next meeting? Is that what you're
8	suggesting?
9	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I would think so
10	because what Matt said in his staff report was
11	that he wanted to receive the final road
12	maintenance agreement and dust control agreement.
13	Correct?
14	MR. O'ROURKE: I don't know if we
15	specified it needs to be by the July 16th meeting.
16	MEMBER KESSLER: I think that their
17	permit would be held up. They won't get a
18	permit.
19	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: That's fine. But
20	I think if we were to recommend approval, it would
21	be conditional upon receiving those documents
22	before they received a permit.
23	MR. O'ROURKE: And that's, I think,
24	the direction staff was heading.

	RA SEATON CONTRACTOR SERVICES
	18
1	MEMBER KESSLER: Isn't that part of
2	my experience is they won't give you a permit.
3	MR. O'ROURKE: I think what staff was
4	driving at is if they do not provide that, they
5	won't get a permit, but we're also safeguarding
6	us for having a special use that's approved out
7	there so somebody else can come out and do it.
8	Basically, if they don't bring us that document,
9	the special use expires, too.
10	MEMBER KESSLER: And it is in here.
11	MEMBER DOYLE: But the language of
12	the condition as detailed on page 7 says and
13	what I'm focusing on is No. 3, "A detailed final
14	stormwater prevention pollution control plan
15	shall be submitted, reviewed, and approved by the
16	City before the site is occupied or disturbed in
17	any way before any permits are issued."
18	Which, if you read it strictly, it doesn't
19	mean that the plan shall be submitted and
20	reviewed and approved prior to review by the
21	government operations committee and/or by the
22	City Council.
23	MR. O'ROURKE: That was not staff's
24	intent to demand we have that before the

	19
1	government operations committee.
2	MEMBER DOYLE: Because I think you
3	said just now that you are not certain that that
4	plan can be received
5	MR. O'ROURKE: Correct.
6	MEMBER DOYLE: prior to.
7	MR. O'ROURKE: We're trying to
8	safeguard if we never get that plan, we don't
9	want a special use to exist for two years with
10	nothing going on.
11	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Brian, what it
12	says "and before any permits are issued."
13	MEMBER DOYLE: Correct. Yes. So the
14	special use could be granted. Without having
15	this document, the permit is not approved.
16	MR. O'ROURKE: Yes.
17	MEMBER DOYLE: "Submitted, reviewed,
18	and approved by the City."
19	MR. O'ROURKE: Just to clarify,
20	anytime you submit for a stormwater permit, you
21	have to give us that document anyway. What this
22	does is safeguard us against a special use
23	continuing maybe they just decide to walk
24	away, which I don't think they're going to do.

	20
1	But it just gives us an extra safeguard is really
2	what that condition is for.
3	MEMBER DOYLE: Mr. Chairman, I would
4	make a motion.
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.
6	MEMBER DOYLE: I move to recommend
7	approval of the special use for manufacturing
8	heavy concrete batch plant contingent upon the
9	satisfaction of the items in staff comments,
10	subject to those conditions as detailed on
11	page 7 of the staff report dated June 29, 2012.
12	MEMBER KESSLER: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. It's
14	been moved and seconded.
15	Any discussion on the motion?
16	MEMBER DOYLE: Yes. I have one
17	comment that I would like to have put on the
18	record in response to a letter that I'm sorry;
19	that Rita Tangare received from a nearby tenant,
20	nearby property owner regarding requesting
21	denial of this application.
22	So I'd like to just have the record show
23	that we have received that letter and considered
24	it, and the special use application is special

	21
1	use is part of the zoning, and, therefore, the
2	impacts of this use are contemplated by the
3	zoning ordinance for this parcel.
4	So given the conditions that's placed upon
5	it, I think it's appropriate with the zoning
6	ordinance to approve it.
7	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. And
8	just for the record, the letter that you're
9	referring to is from Christopher Doveala,
10	D-o-v-e-a-l-a, from Leviton, L-e-v-i-t-o-n,
11	received from dated June 17th, 2012, received
12	on June 25th, 2012; correct?
13	MEMBER DOYLE: Correct.
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any
15	further discussion on the motion?
16	(No response.)
17	MEMBER KESSLER: Schuetz.
18	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Yes.
19	MEMBER KESSLER: Doyle.
20	MEMBER DOYLE: Yes.
21	MEMBER KESSLER: Wallace.
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.
23	MEMBER KESSLER: Kessler, yes.
24	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. That

	22
1	motion passes unanimously, and that concludes
2	Item 4 on the agenda.
3	MS. SEATON: Thank you for being here
4	on the 3rd before the holiday. We appreciate it.
5	(There followed a discussion
6	outside the record.)
7	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Item 5 on the
8	agenda, meeting announcements.
9	Matt, if you wouldn't mind letting Rita
10	know, I am not going to be present on August 7th.
11	Any additional business for the
12	Plan Commission?
13	MEMBER DOYLE: Regarding meeting
14	announcements, there's a chance that I will not
15	be able to be in attendance at the July 17TH
16	meeting, and I will definitely not be here on
17	the 7th.
18	MEMBER SCHUETZ: I won't, either.
19	I'll be out of town on vacation August 4th
20	through the 11th.
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: What are we doing
22	on August 7th?
23	MR. O'ROURKE: I don't know that we
24	had any meetings scheduled yet. I can't envision

	23
1	any now.
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: If you wouldn't
3	mind letting Rita know, there are three that
4	won't be here.
5	MR. O'ROURKE: As of right now, I don't
6	think that there's anything on the schedule.
7	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Anything additional
8	from staff?
9	MR. O'ROURKE: No.
10	MEMBER KESSLER: I'll make a motion
11	to adjourn. Is there a second?
12	MEMBER SCHUETZ: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: The St. Charles
14	Plan Commission is adjourned at 7:25 p.m.
15	(Which were all the proceedings
16	had in the above-entitled matter
17	at the hour of 7:25 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	