

**AGENDA**  
**ST. CHARLES CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
**DONALD P. DEWITTE, MAYOR**

**MONDAY, AUGUST 6, 2012 – 7:00 P.M.**  
**CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS**  
**2 E. MAIN STREET**

1. **Call to Order.**
2. **Roll Call.**
3. **Invocation.**
4. **Pledge of Allegiance – Presentation of the Colors by Boy Scout Troop 10**
5. **Presentations:**  
Recognize achievements of two Eagles Scouts – Boy Scout Troop 10: Grant Markowicz and Matthew Zmuda  
  
Update on the Arcada – Ron Onesti, Onesti Entertainment
6. **Omnibus Vote. Items with an asterisk (\*) are considered to be routine matters and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion on these items unless a council member/citizen so requests, in which event the item will be removed from the consent agenda and considered in normal sequence on the agenda.**
- \*7. Motion to accept and place on file minutes of the regular City Council meeting held on July 16, 2012.
- \*8. Motion to approve and authorize issuance of vouchers from the Expenditure Approval List for the period of 7/2/2012 – 7/13/2012 in the amount of \$1,575,383.80 and for the period of 7/16/2012 – 7/27/2012 in the amount of \$5,991,995.16.

**I. New Business**

- A. Motion to approve a request to change Blue Goose to a Class A2 liquor license.
- B. Motion to waive the bid procedure and approve a **Resolution** Authorizing the Execution of a Contract with James McHugh Construction for Installation of Electric Duct in Coordination with Water Main Work on Illinois Route 25.

## II. Committee Reports

### A. Government Operations

- \*1. Motion to accept and place on file minutes of the July 16, 2012 Government Operations Committee meeting.
- \*2. Motion to approve the St. Charles 708 Mental Health Board funding allocation for FY12/13 in the amount of \$588,100.

### B. Government Services

- \*1. Motion to approve a **Resolution** Authorizing the Execution of a Contract for Sanitary Sewer Service to 36W171 Indian Mound Road.
- \*2. Motion to approve a **Resolution** Authorizing the Execution of a Lease Agreement Second Amendment with BMO Harris Bank, N.A.
- \*3. Motion to approve a **Resolution** Authorizing \$76,000 for a SimTek Fence adjacent to IL Rt. 25 in the Woods of Fox Glen Subdivision.
- \*4. Motion to approve a **Resolution** Authorizing a Budget Addition for Redevelopment of the Property at 1510 E. Main Street.
- \*5. Motion to waive the bid procedure and approve a **Resolution** Authorizing the Execution of a Contract with United Septic, Inc. for Storm Sewer Catch Basin Cleaning Services.
- \*6. Motion to approve a **Resolution** Authorizing a Budget Addition for Tree Watering Services.
- \*7. Motion to approve a **Resolution** Authorizing a Budget Addition for Storm Damage Services.
- \*8. Motion to approve street and parking lot closures for the 2012 Scarecrow Festival.
- \*9. Motion to approve an IDOT **Resolution** Authorizing the Closure of 2<sup>nd</sup> Street (IL Route 31) at Illinois Street for the St. Charles North High School Homecoming Parade on Friday, October 12, 2012.
- \*10. Motion to approve an IDOT **Resolution** Authorizing the Closure of 2<sup>nd</sup> Street (IL Route 31) at Illinois Street for the St. Charles East High School Homecoming Parade on Friday, September 28, 2012.
- \*11. Motion to approve a **Resolution** Authorizing the Closure of North 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue between Main and Cedar for the Baker Memorial Annual Picnic on Sunday, September 9, 2012.
- \*12. Motion to approve a **Resolution** Authorizing the City Administrator of the City of St. Charles to Execute the Agreement for Services by and between the City of St. Charles and Downtown St. Charles Partnership.
- \*13. Motion to approve a **Resolution** Authorizing the City Administrator of the City of St. Charles to Execute the License Agreement by and between the City of St. Charles and Downtown St. Charles Partnership.
- \*14. Motion to accept and place on file the minutes of the June 25, 2012 Government Services Committee Meeting.
- \*15. Motion to accept and place on file the minutes of the June 25, 2012 Red Gate Bridge Field Tour and Presentation.
- \*16. Motion to accept and place on file the minutes of the July 23, 2012 Government Services Committee Meeting.

**C. Planning and Development**

- \*1. Motion to accept and place on file Plan Commission Resolution No. 9-2012\_A Resolution Recommending Approval of a Special Use for Manufacturing, Heavy (Temporary Concrete Batch Plant-2900 Dukane Drive).
- \*2. Motion to approve an **Ordinance** Granting a Special Use for Manufacturing, Heavy (Temporary Concrete Batch Plant-2900 Dukane Drive).
- \*3. Motion to accept and place on file minutes of the July 16, 2012 Planning & Development Committee meeting.

**D. Executive Session**

- Personnel
- Pending Litigation
- Probable or Imminent Litigation
- Property Acquisition
- Collective Bargaining

**E. Additional Items from Mayor, Council, Staff, or Citizens**

**F. Adjournment**

**MINUTES FROM THE MEETING OF THE ST. CHARLES CITY COUNCIL  
HELD ON MONDAY, JULY 16, 2012 – 7:00 P.M.  
CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
2 E. MAIN STREET ST. CHARLES, IL 60174**

- 1. Call To Order By Mayor Donald DeWitte At 7:01 P.M.**
- 2. Roll Call.**  
**Present:** Monken, Carrignan, Payleitner, Turner  
Rogina, Martin, Krieger, Bessner  
**Absent:** Stellato, Lewis
- 3. Invocation Alder. Payleitner.**
- 4. Pledge of Allegiance.**
- 5. Motion by Martin, seconded by Krieger to approve the Omnibus Vote as presented.**  
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Monken, Carrignan, Payleitner, Turner  
Rogina, Martin, Krieger, Bessner  
NAY: 0 ABSENT: Stellato, Lewis  
MOTION CARRIED
- \*6. Motion by Martin, seconded by Krieger to accept and place on file minutes of the regular City Council meeting held on July 2, 2012.**  
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Monken, Carrignan, Payleitner, Turner  
Rogina, Martin, Krieger, Bessner  
NAY: 0 ABSENT: Stellato, Lewis  
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)
- \*7. Motion by Martin, seconded by Krieger to approve and authorize issuance of vouchers from the Expenditure Approval Lists for the period of 6/18/12 – 6/29/12 in the amount of \$2,278,685.58.**  
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Monken, Carrignan, Payleitner, Turner  
Rogina, Martin, Krieger, Bessner  
NAY: 0 ABSENT: Stellato, Lewis  
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)

**I. New Business**

- A. Motion by Martin, seconded by Carrignan to approve a proclamation declaring July 16 – 21, 2012 as Pontiac Enthusiasts Week in the City of St. Charles.**  
VOICE VOTE UNANIMOUS MOTION CARRIED

- B. Motion by Carrignan, seconded by Monken to approve an **Ordinance 2012-M-38** Approving and Authorizing the Execution of an Eighth Amendment to Purchase Agreement By and Between the City of St. Charles and SMN Development L.L.C.  
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Monken, Carrignan, Payleitner, Turner  
Rogina, Martin, Krieger, Bessner  
NAY: 0 ABSENT: Stellato, Lewis  
MOTION CARRIED
- C. Motion by Carrignan, seconded by Monken to approve a **Resolution 2012-89** Granting a Sixth Extension to Begin Construction Following Recording of the PUD Final Plat for the First Street Redevelopment PUD Phase III.  
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Monken, Carrignan, Payleitner, Turner  
Rogina, Martin, Krieger, Bessner  
NAY: 0 ABSENT: Stellato, Lewis  
MOTION CARRIED

## II. Committee Reports

### A. Government Operations

None.

### B. Government Services

None.

### C. Planning and Development

- \*1. Motion by Martin, seconded by Krieger to approve a **Resolution 2012-90** Authorizing the Purchase of Certain Property for Corporate Purposes by the City of St. Charles and Related Matters – Approximately 1.19 Acres Located Along Tyler Road and South of Production Drive.  
ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Monken, Carrignan, Payleitner, Turner  
Rogina, Martin, Krieger, Bessner  
NAY: 0 ABSENT: Stellato, Lewis  
MOTION CARRIED (Omnibus Vote)
- Gene Kalley, 510 Longmeadow Circle, St. Charles, IL  
I would like to discuss buying the 1.19 acres of land from Tyler Production. The City is proposing to buy land that is in a flood plane and the price that is proposed is \$130,000. The City's position statement dated June 11, 2012, clearly shows that a purchase price of \$130,000 is significantly above the appraised value. Why would the City buy land that is above the appraised value price? Then I read the minutes of May 14, 2012 Planning and Development committee where the economic development director, Mr. Aiston commented on why the City had to pay above appraised value. He stated that the property had a significant mortgage and in order to clear it, there has to be a certain amount of money that goes to Tyler Productions. My question, why should City's tax payers bail out Tyler Productions LLC from their big mortgage.

- Mayor DeWitte asked Chris Aiston to respond.
- Chris Aiston  
Read the position statement regarding the rationale as to why we are buying the property. The property is appraised in its entirety at \$3/sqf. It is about 6 acre property for about \$800,000. We are buying the southernly one acre site. That southernly one acre appraisal that indicated the value at \$1-\$2/sqf. So they question is, as stated in my position statement, why would the City pay \$3/sqf when the property in question, a subtract, can be appraised at \$1-\$2/sqf. The reason is three fold. First, that is what the property owner wishes to sell it at. We are not wishing to condemn it, it is a volunteer acquisition. It is for a public purpose. The City is unique in that we need to show public purpose when we purchase land. As been stated, the property is in a flood plane so therefore it has very little value to private development interest. There really is not a fair market value per se because there is no competing interest in purchasing the land. Only the City or some other public entity that is looking for open space for purpose for providing regional storm water management would be interested in this property. Therefore to compare what we would pay versus what the general public would pay is a moot point. The general public is not in position to provide public benefit to multiple property owners. I can't think of anyone else, except maybe the park district or forest preserve, that might be interested. That was number two. The third one is, we have an existing flooding problem in the Seventh Avenue creek watershed. It is prone to flooding and floods every time there is a 10-year event or worse. And we need to take action to help mitigate that problem for that area. This is a unique piece of property in that it is located in the regulatory flood way. Therefore the easiest way to address the flood problem is to purchase this property and clear open a drainage ditch that we are planning to construct. Thereby alleviating the back up. That is the rationale as to why we are buying it. It is a unique opportunity it happens to be for sale. This is the sale price.
- Mayor DeWitte asked if the City wanted to take a position to condemn this property, is it safe to assume that we would have been paying at least as much as the appraisal if not more with the associated litigation costs.
- Mr. Aiston said that would be the case. We would be adding legal fees. Right now it is a voluntary transaction there is nothing more than closing costs associated with the purchase.
- Mayor DeWitte asked if buying it directly from the property owner was to the tax payers advantage by a direct transaction as opposed to condemning the property and buying through eminent domain.
- Mr. Aiston said yes that is what I would say. It is more quick in terms of the purchase. It could take 12 months and there could be flooding events during that time.
- Mayor DeWitte said, and the issue regarding the regional storm water improvements that are a part of this acquisition, that has been discussed.

#### **D. Executive Session**

Motion by Carrignan, seconded by Bessner, to enter into Executive Session to discuss pending, probably or imminent litigation at 7:15.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Monken, Carrignan, Payleitner, Turner  
Rogina, Martin, Krieger, Bessner  
NAY: 0 ABSENT: Stellato, Lewis  
MOTION CARRIED

Motion by Krieger, seconded by Monken to return from Executive Session at 7:35.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYE: Monken, Carrignan, Payleitner, Turner  
Rogina, Martin, Krieger, Bessner  
NAY: 0 ABSENT: Stellato, Lewis  
MOTION CARRIED

**E. No Additional Items from Mayor, Council, Staff, or Citizens**

**F. Adjournment**

Motion By Carrignan, Seconded By Monken, To Adjourn Meeting

VOICE VOTE UNANIMOUS MOTION CARRIED

Meeting adjourned at 7:35 P.M.

---

Nancy Garrison, City Clerk

CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY OF ORIGINAL

---

Nancy Garrison, City Clerk

7/13/2012

**City of St. Charles  
EXPENDITURE APPROVAL LIST**

7/2/2012 - 7/13/2012

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	
103	ALLIED ASPHALT PAVING CO INC	9	2,703.50	07/05/2012	166867	ASPHALT SURFACE	
		9	665.00	07/05/2012	167116	ASPHALT SURFACE	
		9	2,569.00	07/05/2012	167117	SURFACE & EMULSION	
		9	3,014.00	07/12/2012	167348	SURFACE & EMULSION	
		<b>ALLIED ASPHALT PAVING CO INC Total</b>		<b>8,951.50</b>			
109	AREA BLACK SOIL INC	71848	920.00	07/12/2012	14840	BLACK SOIL	
		<b>AREA BLACK SOIL INC Total</b>		<b>920.00</b>			
139	AFLAC		1,494.53	07/13/2012	PR20120713_139	PR 20120713 deductions	
		<b>AFLAC Total</b>		<b>1,494.53</b>			
145	AIR ONE EQUIPMENT INC	71070	9,995.00	07/05/2012	80086	EDRAULIC COMBI TOOL	
		<b>AIR ONE EQUIPMENT INC Total</b>		<b>9,995.00</b>			
150	A LAMP CONCRETE	72414	189,689.25	07/05/2012	13886	SVC 5-21-12 TO 6-13-12	
		<b>A LAMP CONCRETE Total</b>		<b>189,689.25</b>			
176	ALMETEK INDUSTRIES INC	72528	90.73	07/12/2012	189701	INVENTORY ITEMS	
		72539	34.73	07/12/2012	189744	INVENTORY ITEMS	
		<b>ALMETEK INDUSTRIES INC Total</b>		<b>125.46</b>			
177	AL PIEMONTE CADILLAC INC	71661	24,033.00	07/05/2012	18518	2012 DODGE CHARGER	
		72572	105.00	07/05/2012	18518A	LIC & TITLE	
		71661	24,033.00	07/05/2012	18519	2012 DODGE CHARGER	
		72572	105.00	07/05/2012	18519A	LIC & TITLE	
		71661	24,033.00	07/05/2012	18520	2012 DODGE CHARGER	
		72572	105.00	07/05/2012	18520A	LIC & TITLE	
		71661	24,033.00	07/05/2012	18521	2012 DODGE CHARGER	
		72572	105.00	07/05/2012	18521A	LIC & TITLE	

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		72443	761.63	07/05/2012	CHCS121348	REAR BRAKES VEH 1727
	<b>AL PIEMONTE CADILLAC INC Total</b>		<b>97,313.63</b>			
<b>192</b>	<b>AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY</b>		240.00	07/05/2012	070212	GIVING FRIDAY
	<b>AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY Total</b>		<b>240.00</b>			
<b>246</b>	<b>AQUA BACKFLOW INC</b>					
		72625	2,677.00	07/05/2012	129003-6	BACKFLOW DEVICE
	<b>AQUA BACKFLOW INC Total</b>		<b>2,677.00</b>			
<b>250</b>	<b>ARCHON CONSTRUCTION CO</b>					
		67594	33,948.80	07/05/2012	1205001	JOB 12-05-001 AINTREE SUB
	<b>ARCHON CONSTRUCTION CO Total</b>		<b>33,948.80</b>			
<b>272</b>	<b>ASK ENTERPRISES &amp; SON INC</b>					
		72454	238.00	07/12/2012	22260	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>ASK ENTERPRISES &amp; SON INC Total</b>		<b>238.00</b>			
<b>279</b>	<b>ATLAS CORP &amp; NOTARY SUPPLY CO</b>					
			39.00	07/05/2012	070212	BROOKS BOYCE
	<b>ATLAS CORP &amp; NOTARY SUPPLY CO Total</b>		<b>39.00</b>			
<b>282</b>	<b>ASSOCIATED TECHNICAL SERV LTD</b>					
		71804	766.50	07/05/2012	22517	ER CALL OUT 302 JEWEL
		71804	812.00	07/12/2012	22533	LEAK DETECTION
	<b>ASSOCIATED TECHNICAL SERV LTD Total</b>		<b>1,578.50</b>			
<b>297</b>	<b>AV OVERHEAD DOOR</b>					
		72523	256.00	07/12/2012	21558	REPAIR DOOR PW EAST
		72500	1,170.00	07/05/2012	21582	FS#3
		72603	304.00	07/12/2012	21595	SVC FS#2
	<b>AV OVERHEAD DOOR Total</b>		<b>1,730.00</b>			
<b>298</b>	<b>AWARDS CONCEPTS</b>					
		71927	152.54	07/12/2012	I0252543	SEAN SCHLUCHTER
	<b>AWARDS CONCEPTS Total</b>		<b>152.54</b>			
<b>305</b>	<b>BADGER METER INC</b>					
		72455	1,822.23	07/12/2012	95326501	INVENTORY ITEMS

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	<b>BADGER METER INC Total</b>		<b><u>1,822.23</u></b>			
<b>348</b>	<b>ED BESSNER</b>		99.95	07/05/2012	070312	MONTHLY INTERNET
	<b>ED BESSNER Total</b>		<b><u>99.95</u></b>			
<b>366</b>	<b>B &amp; L LANDSCAPE CONTRACTORS</b>					
		72320	300.00	07/12/2012	21365	SVC 4TH & MOSEDALE
		71811	570.00	07/12/2012	21368	SVC RT31 BIKE PATH
		72320	425.00	07/12/2012	21369	SVC 6 AINTREE RD
	<b>B &amp; L LANDSCAPE CONTRACTORS Total</b>		<b><u>1,295.00</u></b>			
<b>387</b>	<b>BRANIFF COMMUNICATIONS INC</b>					
		71960	5,407.50	07/05/2012	0024864	SIREN MAINT
	<b>BRANIFF COMMUNICATIONS INC Total</b>		<b><u>5,407.50</u></b>			
<b>396</b>	<b>BROWNELLS INC</b>					
		72259	125.01	07/05/2012	07647465.00	POWER 10 PLUS MAGAZINE
		72259	11.21	07/12/2012	07663859.00	SPRING AND FREIGHT
			-8.46	07/12/2012	RT00435116	CREDIT PO#72259
	<b>BROWNELLS INC Total</b>		<b><u>127.76</u></b>			
<b>398</b>	<b>TOM BRUHL</b>					
			42.03	07/05/2012	070212	MEALS W/PRINCETON ELEC
			108.64	07/12/2012	070912	IMUA CONFERENCE LODGING
	<b>TOM BRUHL Total</b>		<b><u>150.67</u></b>			
<b>430</b>	<b>CAMPTON CONSTRUCTION INC</b>					
		72142	19,950.00	07/12/2012	1305	SUBSTATION 8 DRAINAGE
	<b>CAMPTON CONSTRUCTION INC Total</b>		<b><u>19,950.00</u></b>			
<b>491</b>	<b>CHADS TOWING &amp; RECOVERY INC</b>					
		71962	50.00	07/05/2012	40271	TOWING
	<b>CHADS TOWING &amp; RECOVERY INC Total</b>		<b><u>50.00</u></b>			
<b>512</b>	<b>NORTHWEST NEWS GROUP</b>					
		72155	121.60	07/12/2012	699525	PUBLIC NOTICE
			196.00	07/12/2012	708267	CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION
	<b>NORTHWEST NEWS GROUP Total</b>		<b><u>317.60</u></b>			
<b>517</b>	<b>CINTAS CORPORATION</b>					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		71907	28.09	07/05/2012	344427672	UNIFORM CLEANING
		71907	28.09	07/12/2012	344431248	FLEET UNIFORM SVC
	<b>CINTAS CORPORATION Total</b>		<b>56.18</b>			
<b>518</b>	<b>CLERK OF THE 18TH</b>					
			375.00	07/12/2012	185814	BAIL BOND
	<b>CLERK OF THE 18TH Total</b>		<b>375.00</b>			
<b>529</b>	<b>KEN CLEGG</b>					
			141.43	07/05/2012	063012	JEANS
	<b>KEN CLEGG Total</b>		<b>141.43</b>			
<b>555</b>	<b>COM ED</b>					
			793.33	07/12/2012	7646169018 JULY 2 2012	2ND DEPOSIT PAYMENT
			1,431.06	07/12/2012	7646169018 JULY 6 2012	SVC 5-2-12 TO 6-1-12
	<b>COM ED Total</b>		<b>2,224.39</b>			
<b>561</b>	<b>COMBINED CHARITIES CAMPAIGN</b>					
			269.84	07/13/2012	PR20120713_561	PR 20120713 deductions
	<b>COMBINED CHARITIES CAMPAIGN Total</b>		<b>269.84</b>			
<b>562</b>	<b>COMPLETE VENDING SERVICE INC</b>					
		72064	7.50	07/05/2012	216601776000	KS WATER
		72064	7.50	07/05/2012	216601776000	KS WATER
		72064	-7.50	07/05/2012	216601776000	KS WATER
		72064	-7.50	07/05/2012	216601776000	KS WATER
	<b>COMPLETE VENDING SERVICE INC Total</b>		<b>0.00</b>			
<b>563</b>	<b>CDW GOVERNMENT INC</b>					
		71675	263.51	07/05/2012	K262848	HP SCAN JET
	<b>CDW GOVERNMENT INC Total</b>		<b>263.51</b>			
<b>564</b>	<b>COMCAST OF CHICAGO INC</b>					
			9.95	07/05/2012	062512CH	MONTHLY BILLING
			35.70	07/05/2012	062512FD	MONTHLY CHARGES
			12.62	07/05/2012	062712PW	MONTHLY BILLING
	<b>COMCAST OF CHICAGO INC Total</b>		<b>58.27</b>			
<b>603</b>	<b>COPS INC</b>					
		71963	164.00	07/05/2012	14013	JSCHUESSLER UNIFORMS

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	COPS INC Total		<u>164.00</u>			
642	CUSTOM WELDING & FAB INC					
		71915	822.20	07/05/2012	120122	SURFACE WELDS
		71915	822.60	07/05/2012	120127	HEAVY DUTY TOW HITCH
		71915	822.80	07/12/2012	120129	SVC VEH#1812
	CUSTOM WELDING & FAB INC Total		<u>2,467.60</u>			
683	DE MAR TREE & LANDSCAPE SVC					
		72321	6,528.00	07/05/2012	1065	TREE TRIMMING ELEC
	DE MAR TREE & LANDSCAPE SVC Total		<u>6,528.00</u>			
689	WILLIAM DEVEREAUX					
			107.99	07/05/2012	062512	SAFETY BOOTS
	WILLIAM DEVEREAUX Total		<u>107.99</u>			
722	DOJES INCORPORATED					
		72224	220.28	07/05/2012	17835	MISC SUPPLIES
	DOJES INCORPORATED Total		<u>220.28</u>			
725	DON MCCUE CHEVROLET					
		71910	75.40	07/05/2012	344593	NUT VEH 1800
	DON MCCUE CHEVROLET Total		<u>75.40</u>			
727	DOOR TO DOOR DIRECT					
		72183	1,823.10	07/05/2012	14636	WATER GUIDE DELIVERY
	DOOR TO DOOR DIRECT Total		<u>1,823.10</u>			
768	EJ USA INC					
		72456	1,671.20	07/12/2012	3496952	INVENTORY ITEMS
	EJ USA INC Total		<u>1,671.20</u>			
776	HD SUPPLY WATERWORKS					
		71806	30.84	07/05/2012	4976831	SOLID COPPER DISCS
		72270	198.16	07/05/2012	693950	INVENTORY ITEMS
	HD SUPPLY WATERWORKS Total		<u>229.00</u>			
789	HUGHES UTILITIES LTD					
		71719	1,695.00	07/05/2012	1993430-02	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72293	1,394.10	07/05/2012	2018534-00	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72363	22,944.77	07/05/2012	2023387-00	INVENTORY ITEMS

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		72458	5,810.40	07/12/2012	2029324-00	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>HUGHES UTILITIES LTD Total</b>		<b><u>31,844.27</u></b>			
<b>798</b>	<b>ELSEVIER</b>		225.00	07/05/2012	E7104483	SUBSCRIPTION RENEWAL
	<b>ELSEVIER Total</b>		<b><u>225.00</u></b>			
<b>826</b>	<b>BORDER STATES</b>					
		72644	118.07	07/13/2012	904248596	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>BORDER STATES Total</b>		<b><u>118.07</u></b>			
<b>858</b>	<b>FEDERAL EXPRESS CORP</b>					
			17.74	07/05/2012	7-934-58856	SHIPPING
	<b>FEDERAL EXPRESS CORP Total</b>		<b><u>17.74</u></b>			
<b>859</b>	<b>FEECE OIL CO</b>					
		72460	46.92	07/05/2012	1223735	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>FEECE OIL CO Total</b>		<b><u>46.92</u></b>			
<b>870</b>	<b>FIRE PENSION FUND</b>					
			16,641.34	07/13/2012	PR20120713_870	PR 20120713 deductions
	<b>FIRE PENSION FUND Total</b>		<b><u>16,641.34</u></b>			
<b>888</b>	<b>J C SCHULTZ ENTERPRISES</b>					
		72199	575.67	07/12/2012	0000272052	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>J C SCHULTZ ENTERPRISES Total</b>		<b><u>575.67</u></b>			
<b>908</b>	<b>FOSTER COACH SALES INC</b>					
		72482	789.35	07/05/2012	6119	LINK COMPRESSOR & VALVE
	<b>FOSTER COACH SALES INC Total</b>		<b><u>789.35</u></b>			
<b>927</b>	<b>FOX VALLEY LAWN CARE</b>					
		71909	18,109.44	07/05/2012	CLIP141730	SVC JUNE 2012
	<b>FOX VALLEY LAWN CARE Total</b>		<b><u>18,109.44</u></b>			
<b>932</b>	<b>CIT</b>					
		71911	398.52	07/05/2012	16051300	MISC PARTS FOR VEHICLE
		72461	323.28	07/05/2012	16051762	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>CIT Total</b>		<b><u>721.80</u></b>			
<b>944</b>	<b>GALLS AN ARAMARK COMPANY</b>					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		71964	127.15	07/05/2012	512237149	SHOT GUN CASE
		71964	509.19	07/05/2012	512246784	UNIFORMS
		71964	41.74	07/05/2012	512249886	UNIFORMS
		71964	41.74	07/05/2012	512253660	UNIFORMS
		71964	33.39	07/12/2012	512262080	UNIFORMS
		71964	223.33	07/12/2012	512262117	UNIFORMS
		71964	41.74	07/12/2012	512267785	UNIFORMS
	<b>GALLS AN ARAMARK COMPANY Total</b>		<b><u>1,018.28</u></b>			
<b>965</b>	<b>GEXPRO</b>					
		72254	600.65	07/05/2012	265-342544	MISC ELECTRIC SUPPLIES
	<b>GEXPRO Total</b>		<b><u>600.65</u></b>			
<b>976</b>	<b>GLENBROOK EXCAVATING &amp;</b>					
		72516	177,935.54	07/05/2012	7	RT 64 WATER MAIN
	<b>GLENBROOK EXCAVATING &amp; Total</b>		<b><u>177,935.54</u></b>			
<b>979</b>	<b>GLOBAL KNOWLEDGE</b>					
		71620	1,705.25	07/05/2012	21220793	SEMINAR IMPLEMENTING CISCO
	<b>GLOBAL KNOWLEDGE Total</b>		<b><u>1,705.25</u></b>			
<b>989</b>	<b>GORDON FLESCH CO INC</b>					
		72119	1,009.44	07/12/2012	IN10015657	MONTHLY CHARGES MISC COPIEF
		72311	50.66	07/12/2012	IN10027816	USAGE MAY 2012
		72316	568.00	07/12/2012	IN10028699	USAGE JUNE 2011 TO MAY 2012
		72316	167.01	07/12/2012	IN10029329	USAGE MAY 2012
	<b>GORDON FLESCH CO INC Total</b>		<b><u>1,795.11</u></b>			
<b>996</b>	<b>GOVCONNECTION INC</b>					
			2,181.53	07/12/2012	49199011	3YR BASIC NBD WTY FI6770A
	<b>GOVCONNECTION INC Total</b>		<b><u>2,181.53</u></b>			
<b>1002</b>	<b>TERI GRANDT</b>					
			175.00	07/12/2012	071112	GET MOVIN PRIZES
	<b>TERI GRANDT Total</b>		<b><u>175.00</u></b>			
<b>1006</b>	<b>ST CHARLES CONVENTION</b>					
			43,875.00	07/05/2012	VCCGRE0512	HTL TAX DISBRSMNT MAY 2012
	<b>ST CHARLES CONVENTION Total</b>		<b><u>43,875.00</u></b>			
<b>1020</b>	<b>GUEST SERVICES</b>					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
			6,155.10	07/06/2012	070312A	MEALS FOR NIMS TRAINING
	<b>GUEST SERVICES Total</b>		<b><u>6,155.10</u></b>			
<b>1031</b>	<b>HAMPTON LENZINI &amp; RENWICK INC</b>					
		71160	2,081.25	07/05/2012	000020120535	CORP RSRV TRAFFIC STUDY
		72118	2,246.00	07/05/2012	000020120538	SCHL BUS SITE - TRAFFICE STUDY
	<b>HAMPTON LENZINI &amp; RENWICK INC Total</b>		<b><u>4,327.25</u></b>			
<b>1036</b>	<b>HARRIS BANK NA</b>					
			1,320.00	07/13/2012	PR20120713_1036	PR 20120713 deductions
	<b>HARRIS BANK NA Total</b>		<b><u>1,320.00</u></b>			
<b>1104</b>	<b>HOVING PIT STOP INC</b>					
		72053	130.00	07/05/2012	54526	WEEKLY SERVICE FEE
		72159	100.00	07/05/2012	54527	PORTO POTS ON 5-25-12
	<b>HOVING PIT STOP INC Total</b>		<b><u>230.00</u></b>			
<b>1106</b>	<b>HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS</b>					
		72541	49.16	07/05/2012	217418257000	COFFEE
		72608	26.98	07/05/2012	217927964000	COFFEE
		72616	34.98	07/13/2012	219302626000	COFFEE
	<b>HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS Total</b>		<b><u>111.12</u></b>			
<b>1111</b>	<b>STEVEN HUFFMAN</b>					
			100.00	07/12/2012	070512	SMKNG CESSATION REIMB SPOUSE
			54.11	07/12/2012	070612	REIMB CELL PHONE CASE
	<b>STEVEN HUFFMAN Total</b>		<b><u>154.11</u></b>			
<b>1113</b>	<b>HUFF &amp; HUFF INC</b>					
		72609	80.00	07/06/2012	1206085	PROPERTY REVIEW
	<b>HUFF &amp; HUFF INC Total</b>		<b><u>80.00</u></b>			
<b>1133</b>	<b>IBEW LOCAL 196</b>					
			828.32	07/13/2012	PR20120713_1133	PR 20120713 deductions
	<b>IBEW LOCAL 196 Total</b>		<b><u>828.32</u></b>			
<b>1134</b>	<b>IBM CORP</b>					
		72518	13,634.28	07/12/2012	9486132	IBM LOTUS LICENSE
		72518	13,634.28	07/12/2012	9486132	LOTUS LIC 7-2012 TO 7-2013
	<b>IBM CORP Total</b>		<b><u>27,268.56</u></b>			

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
1145	IDT		1,789.00	07/05/2012	22212-01	ANNUAL KOFAX SOFTWARE
	<b>IDT Total</b>		<b><u>1,789.00</u></b>			
1173	ILLINIOS TAX INCREMENT ASSOC					
		72634	375.00	07/12/2012	672114	MEMBERSHIP
	<b>ILLINIOS TAX INCREMENT ASSOC Total</b>		<b><u>375.00</u></b>			
1202	ILLINOIS EPA					
			32,500.00	07/12/2012	IL0022705-12	NPDES PERMIT FEE MAIN PLANT
			15,000.00	07/12/2012	IL0026808-12	NPDES PERMIT FEE WEST PLANT
			500.00	07/05/2012	ILR006252	STORMWATER WWTP FEE
			1,000.00	07/05/2012	ILR400454	STORMWATER FEE
	<b>ILLINOIS EPA Total</b>		<b><u>49,000.00</u></b>			
1247	INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC					
		72646	345.00	07/12/2012	210661-12	MEMBERSHIP RENEWAL
	<b>INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC Total</b>		<b><u>345.00</u></b>			
1275	JAMES D SKAAR LAW OFFICES					
			150.00	07/05/2012	062612	LEGAL FERRICK
	<b>JAMES D SKAAR LAW OFFICES Total</b>		<b><u>150.00</u></b>			
1312	KALE UNIFORMS					
		71966	183.98	07/05/2012	633334	UNIFORMS
	<b>KALE UNIFORMS Total</b>		<b><u>183.98</u></b>			
1342	KARA CO INC					
		72384	165.20	07/05/2012	282835	BOND AZON PLOTTER PAPER
	<b>KARA CO INC Total</b>		<b><u>165.20</u></b>			
1364	KIEFT BROTHERS INC					
		72463	828.30	07/12/2012	187006	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>KIEFT BROTHERS INC Total</b>		<b><u>828.30</u></b>			
1366	DAVID L KINTZ					
			33.40	07/05/2012	062612	MEAL REIMBURSEMENT
	<b>DAVID L KINTZ Total</b>		<b><u>33.40</u></b>			
1374	ST CHARLES KIWANIS					
			136.00	07/05/2012	070312	DUES JULY-SEP 2012

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	ST CHARLES KIWANIS Total		<u>136.00</u>			
1387	KONICA MINOLTA BUS SOLUTIONS					
		72610	831.45	07/12/2012	2214230022	USAGE 5-14 TO 6-20
	KONICA MINOLTA BUS SOLUTIONS Total		<u>831.45</u>			
1395	KRAMER TREE SPECIALISTS					
		72192	7,850.00	07/12/2012	20405	SPRING SAFARI SOIL EAB
		72192	7,850.00	07/12/2012	20405	SPRING SAFARI SOIL EAB
		72192	-7,850.00	07/12/2012	20405	SPRING SAFARI SOIL EAB
		72192	-7,850.00	07/12/2012	20405	SPRING SAFARI SOIL EAB
	KRAMER TREE SPECIALISTS Total		<u>0.00</u>			
1403	KWIK KOPY PRINTING OF ST CHAS					
		72385	164.50	07/05/2012	7352	DOOR HANGERS TREE CTIY
		72439	220.00	07/12/2012	7375	BILL STUFFERS
	KWIK KOPY PRINTING OF ST CHAS Total		<u>384.50</u>			
1421	LARSON BECKER					
		72628	15.00	07/12/2012	10158302-00	COUPLINGS
	LARSON BECKER Total		<u>15.00</u>			
1450	LEE JENSEN SALES CO INC					
		72368	160.00	07/12/2012	124129	GAS CALIBRATION
	LEE JENSEN SALES CO INC Total		<u>160.00</u>			
1460	SUBURBAN LIFE PUBLICATIONS					
			95.67	07/12/2012	576749	POSTING FOR PREVAILING WAGE!
	SUBURBAN LIFE PUBLICATIONS Total		<u>95.67</u>			
1489	LOWES					
		72521	197.00	07/12/2012	02351	LUMBER
		72486	85.84	07/05/2012	02761	HARDWARE SUPPLIES
		71955	138.70	07/05/2012	02878	INCAPSULATED RO SYSTEM
		71956	79.48	07/05/2012	09622	LAWN SUPPLIES
			52.80	07/05/2012	979508	MISC SUPPLIES
	LOWES Total		<u>553.82</u>			
1503	MAC TOOLS					
		72557	29.98	07/12/2012	91838	SOCKETS

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	MAC TOOLS Total		<u>29.98</u>			
1510	ERIC MAJEWSKI		266.56	07/05/2012	062012	HOTEL REIMBURSEMENT
	ERIC MAJEWSKI Total		<u>266.56</u>			
1525	JIM MARTIN		35.00	07/05/2012	070312	MONTHLY INTERNET
	JIM MARTIN Total		<u>35.00</u>			
1532	MARSHALLS TOWING & RECOVERY					
		71967	100.00	07/05/2012	17016	TOWING
		71967	100.00	07/05/2012	17043	TOWING
	MARSHALLS TOWING & RECOVERY Total		<u>200.00</u>			
1550	MASCAL ELECTRIC INC					
		72549	210.00	07/05/2012	1312499	ELEC WORK @ WEST PLANT
		72549	420.00	07/05/2012	1312525	REPAIR @ KINGSWOOD LFT STN
		72550	577.50	07/05/2012	1312526	RELOCATE CNTRL PUMPS 103&10-
		72501	615.13	07/05/2012	1312542	2ND REHEAT UNIT @ PD
	MASCAL ELECTRIC INC Total		<u>1,822.63</u>			
1552	DANIEL MASSA		174.95	07/12/2012	062412	SAFETY BOOTS
	DANIEL MASSA Total		<u>174.95</u>			
1559	MAURINE PATTEN ED D					
		71936	70.00	07/05/2012	062212	SVC 6-22-12
	MAURINE PATTEN ED D Total		<u>70.00</u>			
1582	MCMaster CARR SUPPLY CO					
		72464	115.89	07/05/2012	29393273	INVENTORY ITEMS
	MCMaster CARR SUPPLY CO Total		<u>115.89</u>			
1600	MENDEL PLUMBING & HEATING INC					
		72551	8,644.00	07/05/2012	W79558	SVC WILDROSE LFT STN
		72502	564.75	07/05/2012	W79562	WATER HEATER REPAIR PW
	MENDEL PLUMBING & HEATING INC Total		<u>9,208.75</u>			
1603	METRO WEST COG		82.50	07/05/2012	1049	LEGISLATIVE BBQ

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	METRO WEST COG Total		<u>82.50</u>			
1613	METROPOLITAN ALLIANCE OF POL		891.00	07/13/2012	PR20120713_1613	PR 20120713 deductions
	METROPOLITAN ALLIANCE OF POL Total		<u>891.00</u>			
1614	MEYER MATERIAL					
		72348	285.00	07/05/2012	703567331	CONCRETE
		72348	730.00	07/05/2012	703571893	CONCRETE
		72348	380.00	07/05/2012	703571894	CONCRETE
		72348	730.00	07/12/2012	703583810	CONCRETE
		70691	120.00	07/12/2012	703583811	CONCRETE BLOCKS
		72348	730.00	07/12/2012	703589021	CONCRETE
	MEYER MATERIAL Total		<u>2,975.00</u>			
1626	MIDWEST AIR PRO					
		72543	112.50	07/05/2012	11917	REPAIR VERTICAL HOSE
	MIDWEST AIR PRO Total		<u>112.50</u>			
1650	MITCHELL1					
		72570	1,608.00	07/05/2012	3905204	ONLINE MANUAL
	MITCHELL1 Total		<u>1,608.00</u>			
1651	MNJ TECHNOLOGIES DIRECT INC					
		72515	785.00	07/12/2012	0003194687	COLOR PRINTER
	MNJ TECHNOLOGIES DIRECT INC Total		<u>785.00</u>			
1668	FERGUSON ENTERPRISES INC #1550					
		72589	247.78	07/12/2012	1799235	INVENTORY ITEMS
	FERGUSON ENTERPRISES INC #1550 Total		<u>247.78</u>			
1686	NAPA AUTO PARTS					
		72445	37.98	07/05/2012	355473	CARB CLEANER
	NAPA AUTO PARTS Total		<u>37.98</u>			
1704	NCPERS IL IMRF					
			32.00	07/13/2012	PR20120713_1704	PR 20120713 deductions
	NCPERS IL IMRF Total		<u>32.00</u>			
1741	NORTHERN ILLINOIS REAL ESTATE					
		72581	650.00	07/05/2012	20619	ADVERTISING

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	NORTHERN ILLINOIS REAL ESTATE Total		<u>650.00</u>			
1745	NICOR		36.22	07/12/2012	0847 6 JULY 6 2012	SVC 6-4-12 TO 7-5-12
			49.33	07/12/2012	1000 3 JULY 6 2012	SVC 6-6-12 TO 7-5-12
			25.41	07/05/2012	1000 9 JUNE 27 2012	1407 PRAIRIE ST
			30.60	07/12/2012	4625 3 JULY 2 2012	SVC 5-31-12 TO 7-2-12
	NICOR Total		<u>141.56</u>			
1765	NEW WORLD SYSTEMS	72087	640.00	07/05/2012	020069	ANNUAL MAINTENANCE
	NEW WORLD SYSTEMS Total		<u>640.00</u>			
1775	RAY O'HERRON CO					
		71968	24.95	07/05/2012	0055575-IN	UNIFORM BAUWENS
		71968	85.90	07/12/2012	0055788-IN	UNIFORMS
	RAY O'HERRON CO Total		<u>110.85</u>			
1784	VEOLIA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	72089	1,126.31	07/05/2012	T00000803958	SVC JULY 2012
	VEOLIA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES Total		<u>1,126.31</u>			
1797	PACE SUBURBAN BUS	72514	6,306.13	07/12/2012	198232	SVC APRIL 2012
	PACE SUBURBAN BUS Total		<u>6,306.13</u>			
1808	PARAGON MICRO INC					
		72497	1,230.00	07/12/2012	132472	APPLE IPADS
		72509	113.00	07/12/2012	137220	HEADSET AND CABLE
	PARAGON MICRO INC Total		<u>1,343.00</u>			
1809	PARTSMASTER DIVISION	72544	516.95	07/12/2012	20589255	CRYOBITS
	PARTSMASTER DIVISION Total		<u>516.95</u>			
1814	PATTEN INDUSTRIES INC	71912	52.68	07/05/2012	P50C0784779	FLEET SUPPLIES
	PATTEN INDUSTRIES INC Total		<u>52.68</u>			
1819	RITA PAYLEITNER		159.85	07/05/2012	062912	MONTHLY INTERNET

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	RITA PAYLEITNER Total		<u>159.85</u>			
1825	PEDERSEN COMPANY					
		72185	8,712.00	07/05/2012	2012-3487	STUMP GRINDING
		72495	3,762.00	07/05/2012	2012-3492	TREE WATERING
		72576	16,770.00	07/05/2012	2012-3495	STUMP GRND & RESTORATION
		72558	46,400.00	07/12/2012	2012-3499	TREE PLANTING
	PEDERSEN COMPANY Total		<u>75,644.00</u>			
1830	PENCELL PLASTICS INC					
		72466	1,991.32	07/05/2012	85758	INVENTORY ITEMS
	PENCELL PLASTICS INC Total		<u>1,991.32</u>			
1861	POLICE PENSION FUND					
			16,696.53	07/13/2012	PR20120713_1861	PR 20120713 deductions
	POLICE PENSION FUND Total		<u>16,696.53</u>			
1890	LEGAL SHIELD					
			222.15	07/13/2012	PR20120713_1890	PR 20120713 deductions
	LEGAL SHIELD Total		<u>222.15</u>			
1900	PROVIDENT LIFE & ACCIDENT					
			26.76	07/13/2012	PR20120713_1900	PR 20120713 deductions
	PROVIDENT LIFE & ACCIDENT Total		<u>26.76</u>			
1925	QUALITY FASTENERS INC					
		72467	515.51	07/12/2012	16045	INVENTORY ITEMS
	QUALITY FASTENERS INC Total		<u>515.51</u>			
1945	JOSEPH R RAMOS					
			275.00	07/12/2012	110-4-622	LEGAL SVCS ADJ HEARING
	JOSEPH R RAMOS Total		<u>275.00</u>			
1953	RBS PACKAGING INC					
		72390	69.00	07/05/2012	2023664	INVENTORY ITEMS
	RBS PACKAGING INC Total		<u>69.00</u>			
1986	REHRIG PACIFIC CO					
		72151	2,012.50	07/12/2012	KE73722	RECYCLE BINS
	REHRIG PACIFIC CO Total		<u>2,012.50</u>			

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
2010	RIGGS BROS INC	72562	485.00	07/12/2012	110209	V#1985 RO#45803
	<b>RIGGS BROS INC Total</b>		<b>485.00</b>			
2037	ROTARY CLUB OF ST CHARLES		170.00	07/12/2012	2453	1ST QTR DUES
		72671	158.00	07/12/2012	2456	1ST QTR DUES
			170.00	07/12/2012	2460	1ST QTR DUES
	<b>ROTARY CLUB OF ST CHARLES Total</b>		<b>498.00</b>			
2050	S&C ELECTRIC CO	71877	1,985.88	07/12/2012	993135	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>S&amp;C ELECTRIC CO Total</b>		<b>1,985.88</b>			
2052	SAFETY SUPPLY ILLINOIS CORP	72434	301.59	07/12/2012	1902540427	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72470	674.20	07/12/2012	1902540463	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>SAFETY SUPPLY ILLINOIS CORP Total</b>		<b>975.79</b>			
2053	SAFE STEP LLC	72391	414.00	07/05/2012	1454	1561 BANBURY ST
		72391	20,000.00	07/05/2012	1459	SIDEWALK MAINTENANCE
	<b>SAFE STEP LLC Total</b>		<b>20,414.00</b>			
2055	SAFETY-KLEEN	72632	225.44	07/12/2012	58090867	PARTS CHANGE OUT
		72522	100.00	07/05/2012	58286854	WASTE OIL HAULING
	<b>SAFETY-KLEEN Total</b>		<b>325.44</b>			
2064	SANTANNA NATURAL GAS CORP		1,577.91	07/05/2012	070112	MONTHLY BILLING
	<b>SANTANNA NATURAL GAS CORP Total</b>		<b>1,577.91</b>			
2067	SAUBER MFG CO	72432	3,041.70	07/05/2012	PSI154569	PAINT/LABOR
		72446	408.00	07/05/2012	PSI154600	SANDBLAST PAINT TAILGATE
	<b>SAUBER MFG CO Total</b>		<b>3,449.70</b>			
2080	JERRY SCHOMER		54.90	07/05/2012	071012	LUNCH EXPENSE

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	JERRY SCHOMER Total		<u>54.90</u>			
2083	SCHIROTT & LUETKEHANS					
			11,709.93	07/12/2012	4300-3744M-32	LEGAL MCIIVAINÉ
			9,213.55	07/12/2012	4300-3784M-24	HAHN LEGAL MAY 2012
			342.00	07/12/2012	4300-3863M-9	STC REDISTRICTING
			90.00	07/12/2012	4300-3929M-2	GENERAL MATTERS LEGAL
			3,365.40	07/12/2012	43003877M-8	STC FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT
	SCHIROTT & LUETKEHANS Total		<u>24,720.88</u>			
2103	SEARS COMMERCIAL ONE					
		72573	404.99	07/05/2012	07112056000	PATIO SET
	SEARS COMMERCIAL ONE Total		<u>404.99</u>			
2123	SERVICE MECHANICAL INDUSTRIES					
		72245	1,589.00	07/05/2012	4432	LABOR/SERVICE PW GARAGE
		72174	594.00	07/05/2012	4443	INSTALL EXHAUST FAN
		72624	369.00	07/05/2012	S44670	SVC WASTE WATER
		72559	694.97	07/12/2012	S44740	SVC 2 E MAIN ST
	SERVICE MECHANICAL INDUSTRIES Total		<u>3,246.97</u>			
2137	SHERWIN WILLIAMS					
		72161	58.27	07/12/2012	3408-0	PAINT SUPPLIES
	SHERWIN WILLIAMS Total		<u>58.27</u>			
2157	SISLERS ICE & DAIRY LTD					
		72047	99.00	07/05/2012	049489	ICE DELIVERY
	SISLERS ICE & DAIRY LTD Total		<u>99.00</u>			
2163	SKYLINE TREE SERVICE &					
		72055	13,500.00	07/12/2012	0941	TREE WORK
		72055	47,500.00	07/05/2012	0943	TREE REMOVAL
	SKYLINE TREE SERVICE & Total		<u>61,000.00</u>			
2171	SHURTS TOOL SERVICE					
		72519	110.00	07/12/2012	287740	PARTS FOR REPAIR
	SHURTS TOOL SERVICE Total		<u>110.00</u>			
2178	SONNTAG REPORTING SERVICE					
		72137	763.81	07/05/2012	84776	SVC 6-19-12

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	SONNTAG REPORTING SERVICE Total		<u>763.81</u>			
2201	STANDARD EQUIPMENT CO					
		72532	589.05	07/12/2012	C75657	INVENTORY ITEMS
	STANDARD EQUIPMENT CO Total		<u>589.05</u>			
2206	STAPLES CONTRACT & COMMERCIAL					
		71553	262.40	07/05/2012	8021607687	OFFICE SUPPLIES
	STAPLES CONTRACT & COMMERCIAL Total		<u>262.40</u>			
2212	CITY OF ST CHARLES					
			27,906.73	07/13/2012	PR20120713_2212	PR 20120713 deductions
	CITY OF ST CHARLES Total		<u>27,906.73</u>			
2227	ST CHARLES FLORIST					
		71799	58.00	07/12/2012	002274/1	K KLEVENO
		71799	54.00	07/12/2012	002310/1	V NELSON
	ST CHARLES FLORIST Total		<u>112.00</u>			
2229	SOURCE ONE					
		72090	121.79	07/05/2012	354295	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		72090	9.99	07/05/2012	354307	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		72315	37.99	07/05/2012	354323	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		71926	16.99	07/05/2012	354341	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		71822	64.48	07/05/2012	354346	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		71906	19.58	07/05/2012	354361	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		72315	121.43	07/05/2012	354380	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		71905	65.99	07/05/2012	354394	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		71924	41.92	07/12/2012	354527	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		71906	86.98	07/12/2012	354530	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		72090	62.47	07/12/2012	354613	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		71926	170.00	07/12/2012	354652	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		72524	15.98	07/12/2012	354681	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		71822	37.46	07/12/2012	354683	OFFICE SUPPLIES
	SOURCE ONE Total		<u>873.05</u>			
2235	STEINER ELECTRIC COMPANY					
		72208	290.40	07/12/2012	003994743002	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72208	1,514.96	07/12/2012	003994743003	INVNETORY ITEMS
		72437	228.06	07/05/2012	004007907001	INVENTORY ITEMS

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		72437	20.23	07/05/2012	004007907002	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72471	256.74	07/05/2012	004009517001	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72471	163.38	07/05/2012	004009517002	INVENTORY ITEMS
		71812	8.15	07/05/2012	004010725001	TGL SWITCH
		72533	539.55	07/06/2012	004012998002	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72591	558.41	07/05/2012	004019142001	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72591	394.00	07/05/2012	004019142002	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72652	353.70	07/12/2012	004025277001	INVENTORY ITEMS
			-63.00	07/05/2012	S003946865007	CREDIT FOR INCORRECT #213 ITE
			63.00	07/05/2012	S003946865008	INCORRECT ITEM FOR #213
	<b>STEINER ELECTRIC COMPANY Total</b>		<b>4,327.58</b>			
<b>2236</b>	<b>JAMES KEVIN STEPHENS</b>					
			61.39	07/05/2012	070212	BOOT REIMBURSEMENT
	<b>JAMES KEVIN STEPHENS Total</b>		<b>61.39</b>			
<b>2248</b>	<b>STORINO RAMELLO &amp; DURKIN</b>					
			367.50	07/12/2012	58334	LTC GROUP
	<b>STORINO RAMELLO &amp; DURKIN Total</b>		<b>367.50</b>			
<b>2250</b>	<b>STREICHERS</b>					
		71980	79.98	07/05/2012	I937060	UNIFORMS
		71980	165.00	07/05/2012	I937342	UNIFORMS
		71980	153.24	07/12/2012	I937993	UNIFORMS
		71980	223.35	07/12/2012	I938200	UNIFORMS
		71980	315.90	07/12/2012	I938201	UNIFORMS
		71980	79.98	07/12/2012	I938240	UNIFORMS
		71980	79.20	07/12/2012	I938359	UNIFORMS
		71980	179.97	07/12/2012	I938447	UNIFORMS
		71980	52.80	07/12/2012	I938603	UNIFORMS
	<b>STREICHERS Total</b>		<b>1,329.42</b>			
<b>2255</b>	<b>SUBURBAN LABORATORIES INC</b>					
		71813	50.00	07/05/2012	18969	SVCS 6-13-12
	<b>SUBURBAN LABORATORIES INC Total</b>		<b>50.00</b>			
<b>2259</b>	<b>SUBURBAN ACCENTS INC</b>					
		72250	2,250.00	07/05/2012	18763	GRAPHICS & LETTERING
	<b>SUBURBAN ACCENTS INC Total</b>		<b>2,250.00</b>			

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
2265	RICHARD SULLIVAN		273.35	07/12/2012	072212	PER DIEM 7-22-12 TO 7-28-12
	<b>RICHARD SULLIVAN Total</b>		<b><u>273.35</u></b>			
2272	CNS INDUSTRIES INC					
		72396	411.55	07/05/2012	LK3139	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>CNS INDUSTRIES INC Total</b>		<b><u>411.55</u></b>			
2287	SWIFTY PRINT INC					
		72507	298.00	07/12/2012	73653	ANNL OCC FORMS
	<b>SWIFTY PRINT INC Total</b>		<b><u>298.00</u></b>			
2301	GENERAL CHAUFFERS SALES DRIVER					
			2,418.50	07/13/2012	PR20120713_2301	PR 20120713 deductions
	<b>GENERAL CHAUFFERS SALES DRIVER Total</b>		<b><u>2,418.50</u></b>			
2310	TERMINAL SUPPLY CO					
		72473	205.38	07/05/2012	47966-00	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72492	142.29	07/12/2012	49909-00	FUSE-TUBING-FITTING
	<b>TERMINAL SUPPLY CO Total</b>		<b><u>347.67</u></b>			
2313	WORLD FUEL SERVICES INC					
		72483	24,155.02	07/05/2012	499123	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>WORLD FUEL SERVICES INC Total</b>		<b><u>24,155.02</u></b>			
2314	3M VHS0733					
		72592	1,242.00	07/12/2012	TP53421	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>3M VHS0733 Total</b>		<b><u>1,242.00</u></b>			
2316	THOMPSON AUTO SUPPLY INC					
		72553	44.15	07/05/2012	2-203778	BELTS & MISC SUPPLIES
		72536	38.98	07/06/2012	2-204003	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72654	55.61	07/13/2012	2-204632	INVENTORY ITEMS
		71922	1,544.42	07/05/2012	4177-0612	FLEET SUPPLIES FOR JUNE 2012
	<b>THOMPSON AUTO SUPPLY INC Total</b>		<b><u>1,683.16</u></b>			
2333	BRIAN TOWNSEND					
			293.28	07/12/2012	062812	MISC EXPENSE BUSINESS TRIP
	<b>BRIAN TOWNSEND Total</b>		<b><u>293.28</u></b>			
2351	TREASURER OF VIRGINIA					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
			125.38	07/13/2012	PR20120713_2351	PR 20120713 deductions
	<b>TREASURER OF VIRGINIA Total</b>		<b><u>125.38</u></b>			
<b>2363</b>	<b>TROTTER &amp; ASSOCIATES INC</b>					
		71615	1,742.25	07/12/2012	7869	WATERSHED PLAN STUDY
		71095	89.00	07/12/2012	7878	ROYAL FOX LIFT STATION
		71225	771.00	07/12/2012	7879	N SIPHON REHABILITATION
		72210	12,600.00	07/12/2012	7884	BIO SOLIDS BID & CONSULT
	<b>TROTTER &amp; ASSOCIATES INC Total</b>		<b><u>15,202.25</u></b>			
<b>2373</b>	<b>TYLER MEDICAL SERVICES</b>					
		72504	40.00	07/05/2012	318960	PFT'S
	<b>TYLER MEDICAL SERVICES Total</b>		<b><u>40.00</u></b>			
<b>2383</b>	<b>UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE</b>					
			4,000.00	07/05/2012	6116619-712	POSTAGE METER REIMB
	<b>UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE Total</b>		<b><u>4,000.00</u></b>			
<b>2401</b>	<b>UNIVERSAL UTILITY SUPPLY INC</b>					
		72080	11,774.40	07/12/2012	3012670	FIBERGLASS ELBOWS
		72567	599.30	07/12/2012	3012827	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72594	331.80	07/06/2012	3012837	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72182	3,438.00	07/12/2012	3012885	SOLID BASE FUSES
	<b>UNIVERSAL UTILITY SUPPLY INC Total</b>		<b><u>16,143.50</u></b>			
<b>2403</b>	<b>UNITED PARCEL SERVICE</b>					
			55.10	07/12/2012	0000650961262	SHIPPING
	<b>UNITED PARCEL SERVICE Total</b>		<b><u>55.10</u></b>			
<b>2413</b>	<b>VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION SERVICE</b>					
		71985	120.00	07/12/2012	075240	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	360.00	07/12/2012	075241	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	240.00	07/12/2012	075242	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	660.00	07/12/2012	075243	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	420.00	07/12/2012	075244	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	180.00	07/12/2012	075245	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	180.00	07/12/2012	075246	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	60.00	07/12/2012	075247	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	120.00	07/12/2012	075248	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	60.00	07/12/2012	075249	BACKFLOW TESTING

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		71985	120.00	07/12/2012	075250	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	60.00	07/12/2012	075251	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	60.00	07/12/2012	075252	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	60.00	07/12/2012	075253	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	60.00	07/12/2012	075254	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	180.00	07/12/2012	075255	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	60.00	07/12/2012	075256	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	360.00	07/12/2012	075257	BACKFLOW TESTING
		71985	240.00	07/12/2012	085239	BACKFLOW TESTING
	<b>VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION SERVICE Total</b>		<b>3,600.00</b>			
<b>2426</b>	<b>VEOLIA ES ORCHARD HILLS</b>					
		72678	2,430.50	07/12/2012	T50000023367	WESTSIDE GRIT PAD DEBRIS
	<b>VEOLIA ES ORCHARD HILLS Total</b>		<b>2,430.50</b>			
<b>2429</b>	<b>VERIZON WIRELESS</b>					
			4,272.77	07/12/2012	2766520327	SVC 6-4-12 TO 7-3-12
	<b>VERIZON WIRELESS Total</b>		<b>4,272.77</b>			
<b>2473</b>	<b>WASCO TRUCK REPAIR CO</b>					
		72086	85.00	07/12/2012	120920	VEH 1793,2144,1701 TESTING
		72086	21.50	07/12/2012	120980	VEH 1969 TESTING
	<b>WASCO TRUCK REPAIR CO Total</b>		<b>106.50</b>			
<b>2478</b>	<b>WATER PRODUCTS AURORA</b>					
		71808	265.78	07/12/2012	0232922	STEM FOR HYDRANT
		71808	691.75	07/12/2012	0233053	VALVES & HANDLE
	<b>WATER PRODUCTS AURORA Total</b>		<b>957.53</b>			
<b>2490</b>	<b>WELCH BROS INC</b>					
		72478	1,246.96	07/12/2012	1414743	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>WELCH BROS INC Total</b>		<b>1,246.96</b>			
<b>2506</b>	<b>WESCO DISTRIBUTION INC</b>					
		72479	159.20	07/12/2012	673212	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72402	55.00	07/12/2012	674117	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72480	756.00	07/05/2012	675187	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>WESCO DISTRIBUTION INC Total</b>		<b>970.20</b>			
<b>2512</b>	<b>WHOLESALE DIRECT INC</b>					
		72268	25.12	07/05/2012	000193611	INVENTORY ITEMS

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	WHOLESALE DIRECT INC Total		<u>25.12</u>			
2527	WILLIAM FRICK & CO	72403	663.80	07/12/2012	450338	INVENTORY ITEMS
	WILLIAM FRICK & CO Total		<u>663.80</u>			
2530	WINE SERGI & CO LLC		635.00	07/12/2012	64501	TANK LIABILITY RENTAL
	WINE SERGI & CO LLC Total		<u>635.00</u>			
2545	GRAINGER INC	72404	275.00	07/05/2012	9856389771	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72661	38.50	07/12/2012	9870381697	INVENTORY ITEMS
	GRAINGER INC Total		<u>313.50</u>			
2630	ZIEBELL WATER SERVICE PRODUCTS	72481	1,294.40	07/12/2012	216670-000	INVENTORY ITEMS
	ZIEBELL WATER SERVICE PRODUCTS Total		<u>1,294.40</u>			
2631	ZIMMERMAN FORD INC	72217	362.44	07/05/2012	41012	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72612	89.76	07/05/2012	42201	VEH#1757,1747,1773 KEYS
		71923	1,471.94	07/05/2012	S43-0612	JUNE 2012 FLEET
	ZIMMERMAN FORD INC Total		<u>1,924.14</u>			
2643	DELTA DENTAL		6,217.44	07/02/2012	070212	DELTAL DENTAL CLAIMS
			2,891.60	07/09/2012	070912	DELTA DENTAL CLAIMS
	DELTA DENTAL Total		<u>9,109.04</u>			
2644	IMRF		243,015.05	07/10/2012	071012	IMRF PAYROLL WIRE JUN 12
	IMRF Total		<u>243,015.05</u>			
2648	HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORP		22,253.40	07/02/2012	070212	MEDICAL CLAIMS
	HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORP Total		<u>22,253.40</u>			
2650	OVERHEAD MATERIAL HANDLING ILL	70207	6,249.80	07/12/2012	7524	LABOR/DEMAG DC-COM 10-2000

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	OVERHEAD MATERIAL HANDLING ILL Total		<u>6,249.80</u>			
2674	VIKING CHEMICAL CO	71819	1,186.56	07/05/2012	231448	CHEMICALS
	VIKING CHEMICAL CO Total		<u>1,186.56</u>			
2683	CONTINENTAL AMERICAN INSURANCE		410.64	07/13/2012	PR20120713_2683	PR 20120713 deductions
	CONTINENTAL AMERICAN INSURANCE Total		<u>410.64</u>			
2741	CURRAN CONTRACTING COMPANY	71697	106,099.09	07/05/2012	07.0060.340.1	RT64 & OAK ST INTRSCNT
	CURRAN CONTRACTING COMPANY Total		<u>106,099.09</u>			
2745	VINELIGHT LLC	72498	1,800.00	07/05/2012	123456	I YR SBSCRPTN FIRE INTELLIGENC
	VINELIGHT LLC Total		<u>1,800.00</u>			
2756	RXBENEFITS, INC.		23,628.99	07/03/2012	25114	PRESCRIPTION CLAIMS
	RXBENEFITS, INC. Total		<u>23,628.99</u>			
2766	WAUBONSEE COMMUNITY COLLEGE	72599	115.00	07/05/2012	105726	ANNUAL FEES
	WAUBONSEE COMMUNITY COLLEGE Total		<u>115.00</u>			
2767	ARTHUR B FERGUSON	72556	157.46	07/12/2012	062112	RUGER MAGAZINES
	ARTHUR B FERGUSON Total		<u>157.46</u>			
2770	ELECTRONICS TECHNICIANS ASSOC		150.00	07/05/2012	061912	FIBER OPTIC INSLLR RE-CERT
	ELECTRONICS TECHNICIANS ASSOC Total		<u>150.00</u>			
2773	GRP & ASSOCIATES INC	72560	75.90	07/12/2012	32751	MAIL BACK SHARPS
	GRP & ASSOCIATES INC Total		<u>75.90</u>			
2775	PUBLIC AGENCY TRAINING COUNCIL		475.00	07/05/2012	152268	TUITION REIMBURSEMENT

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	PUBLIC AGENCY TRAINING COUNCIL Total		<u>475.00</u>			
999000077	ENTERPRISE RENT A CAR		508.88	07/12/2012	1122	IRVIN BAUMGARDNER
	ENTERPRISE RENT A CAR Total		<u>508.88</u>			
999000078	JAMIE AND CATHERINE KOCH		638.68	07/12/2012	070912	JAMIE & CATHERINE KOCH
	JAMIE AND CATHERINE KOCH Total		<u>638.68</u>			
	<u>Grand Total:</u>		<u>1,575,383.80</u>			

The above expenditures have been approved for payment:

_____	_____
Chairman, Government Operations Committee	Date
_____	_____
Vice Chairman, Government Operations Committee	Date
_____	_____
Finance Director	Date

7/31/2012

City of St. Charles  
EXPENDITURE APPROVAL LIST

7/16/2012 - 7/27/2012

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>		
103	ALLIED ASPHALT PAVING CO INC	9	275.00	07/19/2012	167599	ASPHALT		
		9	249.50	07/19/2012	167600	ASHPALT		
		9	175.00	07/26/2012	167779	ASPHALT		
		<b>ALLIED ASPHALT PAVING CO INC Total</b>		<b>699.50</b>				
109	AREA BLACK SOIL INC	71848	1,380.00	07/19/2012	8381	BLACK SOIL		
		<b>AREA BLACK SOIL INC Total</b>		<b>1,380.00</b>				
114	DG HARDWARE	72673	52.42	07/26/2012	51154/F	MISC HARDWARE		
		72673	10.78	07/26/2012	51160/F	ROOF PATCH		
		<b>DG HARDWARE Total</b>		<b>63.20</b>				
		139	AFLAC		1,459.43	07/27/2012	PR20120727_139	PR 20120727 deductions
<b>AFLAC Total</b>				<b>1,459.43</b>				
140	CINTAS CORPORATION NO 2	72622	895.25	07/19/2012	72622	EXTINGUISHER INSPCTNS		
		72683	523.95	07/19/2012	F9400018582	EXT INSPECT		
		72731	133.40	07/19/2012	F9400018677	EXT INSPECTION		
		72683	18.50	07/19/2012	F9400018678	EXT INSPECT		
		72683	112.90	07/19/2012	F9400018679	EXT INSPECT		
		72683	91.20	07/19/2012	F9400018680	EXT INSPECT		
		72683	263.15	07/19/2012	F9400018981	EXT INSPECT		
		72683	81.45	07/19/2012	F9400018681	EXT INSPECTION		
		72683	16.65	07/19/2012	F9400018682	EXT INSPECT		
		72683	565.45	07/19/2012	F9400018683	EXT INSPECT		
		72683	14.80	07/19/2012	F9400018757	EXT INSPECT		
		72683	312.00	07/19/2012	F9400018978	EXT INSPECT		
		72683	471.70	07/19/2012	F9400018979	EXT INSPECT		
		<b>CINTAS CORPORATION NO 2 Total</b>		<b>3,500.40</b>				
		145	AIR ONE EQUIPMENT INC					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		72627	1,491.00	07/26/2012	81770	COUPLINGS
	<b>AIR ONE EQUIPMENT INC Total</b>		<b><u>1,491.00</u></b>			
<b>149</b>	<b>ALARM DETECTION SYSTEMS INC</b>					
		72037	360.63	07/26/2012	144000-1017	SVC AUGUST TO OCTOBER 2012
		72037	123.75	07/26/2012	24696-1032	SVC AUGUST TO OCTOBER 2012
		72037	137.83	07/26/2012	46090-1142	SVC AUGUST 2012
	<b>ALARM DETECTION SYSTEMS INC Total</b>		<b><u>622.21</u></b>			
<b>150</b>	<b>A LAMP CONCRETE</b>					
		72760	144,675.00	07/19/2012	13894	PART OF MFT PROGRAM FY 12/13
		72760	40,000.00	07/19/2012	13894-MFT	MFT PROGRAM FY 12/13
		72760	157,372.00	07/19/2012	13912-MFT	FY12-13 MFT PROGRAM
	<b>A LAMP CONCRETE Total</b>		<b><u>342,047.00</u></b>			
<b>156</b>	<b>A L EQUIPMENT COMPANY INC</b>					
		72160	4,751.26	07/26/2012	150960	CORD CAP W/CABLE
		72150	797.60	07/26/2012	150924	WAFER CHECK VALVE
		72150	3,160.24	07/26/2012	150715	FLOATS CONTROLS CABLES
	<b>A L EQUIPMENT COMPANY INC Total</b>		<b><u>8,709.10</u></b>			
<b>159</b>	<b>ALFRED BENESCH AND COMPANY</b>					
		66121	7,525.82	07/19/2012	54765	SVC 5-28-12 TO 6-24-12
		68107	54,860.06	07/19/2012	54670	SVC 5-14-12 TO 6-10-12
	<b>ALFRED BENESCH AND COMPANY Total</b>		<b><u>62,385.88</u></b>			
<b>167</b>	<b>ALLIANCE SWEEPING SERVICE INC</b>					
		71842	700.00	07/26/2012	90202	SVC JUNE 2012
		71842	300.00	07/26/2012	90201	SVC JUNE 2012
		71842	3,520.00	07/26/2012	90203	SVC JUNE 2012
	<b>ALLIANCE SWEEPING SERVICE INC Total</b>		<b><u>4,520.00</u></b>			
<b>191</b>	<b>AMERICAN MESSAGING</b>					
			22.16	07/19/2012	U1238102MG	SVC 7-15-12 TO 8-14-12
	<b>AMERICAN MESSAGING Total</b>		<b><u>22.16</u></b>			
<b>221</b>	<b>ANDERSON PEST CONTROL</b>					
			494.00	07/19/2012	2191795	MONTHLY BILLING
	<b>ANDERSON PEST CONTROL Total</b>		<b><u>494.00</u></b>			
<b>246</b>	<b>AQUA BACKFLOW INC</b>					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		72625	2,677.00	07/19/2012	129003-7	BACK FLOW DEVICE
	<b>AQUA BACKFLOW INC Total</b>		<b>2,677.00</b>			
<b>254</b>	<b>ARISTA INFORMATION SYSTEMS INC</b>					
		72059	4,984.61	07/19/2012	1330201207	POSTAGE SVC JUNE 2012
		72059	1,927.52	07/19/2012	13029	PRINTING SVCS JUNE 2012
	<b>ARISTA INFORMATION SYSTEMS INC Total</b>		<b>6,912.13</b>			
<b>261</b>	<b>Q CENTER LLC</b>					
			380.00	07/26/2012	110312	DEPOSIT COUNCIL RETREAT
	<b>Q CENTER LLC Total</b>		<b>380.00</b>			
<b>283</b>	<b>AT&amp;T</b>					
			39.72	07/26/2012	ILOR685LSB0612	STRUCTURED ACCESS & RENT
	<b>AT&amp;T Total</b>		<b>39.72</b>			
<b>284</b>	<b>ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE CO</b>					
			75.00	07/26/2012	070812	SVC 7-9-12 TO 8-8-12
	<b>ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE CO Total</b>		<b>75.00</b>			
<b>285</b>	<b>AT&amp;T</b>					
			1,413.73	07/19/2012	8385028101	SVC 6-5-12 TO 7-4-12
	<b>AT&amp;T Total</b>		<b>1,413.73</b>			
<b>297</b>	<b>AV OVERHEAD DOOR</b>					
		72704	380.00	07/26/2012	21524	SVC FS#1
		72603	340.00	07/19/2012	21601	SVC FS#1
	<b>AV OVERHEAD DOOR Total</b>		<b>720.00</b>			
<b>338</b>	<b>AIRGAS NORTH CENTRAL</b>					
			600.12	07/19/2012	9903198029	MONTHLY TANK RENTAL
		72057	96.55	07/19/2012	9006822718	ACETYLENE
	<b>AIRGAS NORTH CENTRAL Total</b>		<b>696.67</b>			
<b>342</b>	<b>BENTLEY SYSTEMS INC</b>					
			4,845.00	07/19/2012	47466406	MICROSTATION SUBSCRIPTION
	<b>BENTLEY SYSTEMS INC Total</b>		<b>4,845.00</b>			
<b>343</b>	<b>BENESYST</b>					
		71953	644.61	07/19/2012	0712150	FSA ADMIN FEES

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	<b>BENESYST Total</b>		<b>644.61</b>			
<b>366</b>	<b>B &amp; L LANDSCAPE CONTRACTORS</b>					
		71811	475.00	07/26/2012	21388	SVC 820 S 12TH AVE
		72320	450.00	07/26/2012	21385	SVC ACROSS 1603 RITA AVE
	<b>B &amp; L LANDSCAPE CONTRACTORS Total</b>		<b>925.00</b>			
<b>393</b>	<b>BRICOR CONSULTING</b>					
			1,916.67	07/19/2012	FY2013	AGREEMENT FY 12/13
			1,916.67	07/19/2012	FY2013	AGREEMENT FY 12/13
			1,916.67	07/19/2012	FY2013	AGREEMENT FY 12/13
			1,916.67	07/19/2012	FY2013	AGREEMENT FY 12/13
	<b>BRICOR CONSULTING Total</b>		<b>7,666.68</b>			
<b>396</b>	<b>BROWNELLS INC</b>					
		72259	117.08	07/26/2012	07647465.01	POWER 10 PLUS
	<b>BROWNELLS INC Total</b>		<b>117.08</b>			
<b>424</b>	<b>ATLAN TECH RESELLERS INC</b>					
		72635	101.40	07/26/2012	134423	CABLE
	<b>ATLAN TECH RESELLERS INC Total</b>		<b>101.40</b>			
<b>426</b>	<b>CADA POOLS &amp; SPAS</b>					
		72585	32.85	07/26/2012	26213	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>CADA POOLS &amp; SPAS Total</b>		<b>32.85</b>			
<b>429</b>	<b>SEDGWICK CLAIMS</b>					
		71931	500.00	07/26/2012	IN00032163	SVC 8-5-12 TO 11-5-12
	<b>SEDGWICK CLAIMS Total</b>		<b>500.00</b>			
<b>430</b>	<b>CAMPTON CONSTRUCTION INC</b>					
		72630	1,282.50	07/26/2012	1313	SLUDGE REMOVAL
	<b>CAMPTON CONSTRUCTION INC Total</b>		<b>1,282.50</b>			
<b>467</b>	<b>CDBH/PAHCS II</b>					
			444.38	07/26/2012	129570	JUNE 2012 SCREENINGS
	<b>CDBH/PAHCS II Total</b>		<b>444.38</b>			
<b>502</b>	<b>CHICAGO KENT COLLEGE OF LAW</b>					
			2,100.00	07/19/2012	071012	CONFERENCE 11-30-12

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	CHICAGO KENT COLLEGE OF LAW Total		<u>2,100.00</u>			
508	WEST PAYMENT CENTER					
		72811	884.16	07/26/2012	825347852	ANNUAL CHARGES
		72052	352.80	07/19/2012	825250217	MONTHLY SVC FEE JUNE 2012
	WEST PAYMENT CENTER Total		<u>1,236.96</u>			
517	CINTAS CORPORATION					
		71907	28.09	07/19/2012	344434773	UNIFORM SVC
		71907	28.09	07/26/2012	344438377	UNIFORM SVC
	CINTAS CORPORATION Total		<u>56.18</u>			
526	CLARKE ENVIRONMENTAL MOSQUITO					
		71846	23,113.71	07/26/2012	6340257	SVC FOR AUGUST 2012
	CLARKE ENVIRONMENTAL MOSQUITO Total		<u>23,113.71</u>			
555	COM ED					
			257.54	07/19/2012	7646169018JULY 12 2011	SVC 6-1-12 TO 6-29-12
	COM ED Total		<u>257.54</u>			
561	COMBINED CHARITIES CAMPAIGN					
			269.84	07/27/2012	PR20120727_561	PR 20120727 deductions
	COMBINED CHARITIES CAMPAIGN Total		<u>269.84</u>			
562	COMPLETE VENDING SERVICE INC					
		71828	601.52	07/19/2012	13592	COFFEE SUPPLIES
	COMPLETE VENDING SERVICE INC Total		<u>601.52</u>			
563	CDW GOVERNMENT INC					
		72563	80.82	07/19/2012	M395392	CARD READER/WRLS MOU
	CDW GOVERNMENT INC Total		<u>80.82</u>			
564	COMCAST OF CHICAGO INC					
			16.83	07/26/2012	071212PD	SVC 7-19-12 TO 8-18-12
	COMCAST OF CHICAGO INC Total		<u>16.83</u>			
610	CORFU RESTAURANT					
			185.15	07/19/2012	071112	OT MEALS
	CORFU RESTAURANT Total		<u>185.15</u>			
620	CRAINS CHICAGO BUSINESS					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
			97.95	07/19/2012	071712CA	SUBSCRIPTION RENEWAL AISTON
	<b>CRAINS CHICAGO BUSINESS Total</b>		<b>97.95</b>			
<b>630</b>	<b>CROSSBOW INDUSTRIAL WATER</b>					
		71933	384.11	07/19/2012	2083029	LAB SUPPLIES
	<b>CROSSBOW INDUSTRIAL WATER Total</b>		<b>384.11</b>			
<b>642</b>	<b>CUSTOM WELDING &amp; FAB INC</b>					
		71915	688.60	07/26/2012	120136	V#1997 RO#45868
	<b>CUSTOM WELDING &amp; FAB INC Total</b>		<b>688.60</b>			
<b>664</b>	<b>THE DEALMAKERS</b>					
			294.00	07/26/2012	072512	SUBSCRIPTION RENEWAL
	<b>THE DEALMAKERS Total</b>		<b>294.00</b>			
<b>725</b>	<b>DON MCCUE CHEVROLET</b>					
		71910	272.93	07/26/2012	345262	V#1981 RO#45870
		71910	83.49	07/26/2012	345407	V#1981 RO#45873
		71910	108.57	07/19/2012	345128	V#1981 RO#45840
	<b>DON MCCUE CHEVROLET Total</b>		<b>464.99</b>			
<b>750</b>	<b>DUKANE CONTRACT SERVICES</b>					
		71979	2,200.00	07/19/2012	120051	SVC JULY 2012
		71979	4,960.00	07/19/2012	120052	SVC JULY
		71979	5,350.00	07/19/2012	120053	SVC JULY
		71979	6,657.00	07/19/2012	120054	SVC FOR JULY
		71979	1,569.23	07/19/2012	120106	SVC JUNE & RVRFST
	<b>DUKANE CONTRACT SERVICES Total</b>		<b>20,736.23</b>			
<b>766</b>	<b>DYNAMIC TECHNOLLIGIES</b>					
		72670	895.00	07/26/2012	011653	PHONE SUPPORT YEARLY FEE
	<b>DYNAMIC TECHNOLLIGIES Total</b>		<b>895.00</b>			
<b>767</b>	<b>EAGLE ENGRAVING INC</b>					
		71973	28.80	07/19/2012	2012-1112	FIREGROUND TAGS
		71973	9.60	07/19/2012	2012-1157	FIREGROUND TAGS
	<b>EAGLE ENGRAVING INC Total</b>		<b>38.40</b>			
<b>775</b>	<b>EDM PUBLISHING INC</b>					
			158.48	07/26/2012	15405899	SUBSCRIPTION RENEWAL

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	EDM PUBLISHING INC Total		<u>158.48</u>			
776	HD SUPPLY WATERWORKS					
		72013	4,722.00	07/19/2012	4800574	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72642	701.00	07/19/2012	5075843	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72707	927.00	07/26/2012	5108484	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72785	2,267.42	07/26/2012	5149014	INVENTORY ITEMS
	HD SUPPLY WATERWORKS Total		<u>8,617.42</u>			
789	HUGHES UTILITIES LTD					
		72177	177,207.32	07/26/2012	2012524-00	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72177	127,080.70	07/26/2012	2012524-01	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72177	93,877.54	07/26/2012	2012524-02	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72177	152,620.64	07/26/2012	2012524-03	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72363	30,073.35	07/19/2012	2023387-01	INVENTORY ITEMS
	HUGHES UTILITIES LTD Total		<u>580,859.55</u>			
799	EMBLEM ENTERPRISES INC					
		72435	489.33	07/26/2012	524428	EMBLEMS PD
	EMBLEM ENTERPRISES INC Total		<u>489.33</u>			
826	BORDER STATES					
		72711	402.14	07/19/2012	904275486	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72786	244.23	07/26/2012	904315252	INVENTORY ITEMS
	BORDER STATES Total		<u>646.37</u>			
859	FEECE OIL CO					
		72619	3,257.07	07/26/2012	1227847	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72619	1,441.73	07/26/2012	3215399	INVENTORY ITEMS
	FEECE OIL CO Total		<u>4,698.80</u>			
870	FIRE PENSION FUND					
			16,080.15	07/27/2012	PR20120727_870	PR 20120727 deductions
			8,527.06	07/19/2012	071812	KANE CNTY PROPERTY TAX
	FIRE PENSION FUND Total		<u>24,607.21</u>			
876	FIRST ENVIRONMENTAL LAB INC					
		72631	243.00	07/19/2012	99967	2ND QTR BIOSOLIDS
	FIRST ENVIRONMENTAL LAB INC Total		<u>243.00</u>			
884	FISHER SCIENTIFIC					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		72586	102.31	07/19/2012	0420122	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>FISHER SCIENTIFIC Total</b>		<b><u>102.31</u></b>			
<b>897</b>	<b>FOOTE MEYERS MIELKE &amp; FLOWERS</b>					
		72121	700.00	07/26/2012	10471-061-1	SOHAIL K ISMAILI
		72121	500.00	07/26/2012	10471-089-1	KATELYNN ANNE COLEMAN
		72121	650.00	07/26/2012	10471-130-1	COREY B HARRIS
		72121	500.00	07/26/2012	10471-173-2	CHRISTIN D SMITH
		72121	3,200.00	07/26/2012	6079-001-131	RETAINER JUNE 2012
	<b>FOOTE MEYERS MIELKE &amp; FLOWERS Total</b>		<b><u>5,550.00</u></b>			
<b>916</b>	<b>FOX VALLEY FIRE &amp; SAFETY INC</b>					
		72574	312.95	07/19/2012	701511	SVC EAST PRKG GARAGE
		72554	170.00	07/19/2012	702798	SVC FS#2
	<b>FOX VALLEY FIRE &amp; SAFETY INC Total</b>		<b><u>482.95</u></b>			
<b>935</b>	<b>DOWNTOWN ST CHARLES</b>					
		72607	5,000.00	07/19/2012	3486 INV	REIMB 3/50 PROJECT
			23,500.00	07/19/2012	FY-2013	FY2013 AGREEMENT
			23,500.00	07/19/2012	FY-2013	FY2013 AGREEMENT
			23,500.00	07/19/2012	FY-2013	FY2013 AGREEMENT
			23,500.00	07/26/2012	FY-2013	FY2013 AGREEMENT
			23,500.00	07/26/2012	FY-2013	FY2013 AGREEMENT
			23,500.00	07/26/2012	FY-2013	FY2013 AGREEMENT
	<b>DOWNTOWN ST CHARLES Total</b>		<b><u>146,000.00</u></b>			
<b>938</b>	<b>BURTON CONSULTING</b>					
		72356	3,333.34	07/19/2012	C0000586-55608	INS CNSLTING JULY 2012
	<b>BURTON CONSULTING Total</b>		<b><u>3,333.34</u></b>			
<b>944</b>	<b>GALLS AN ARAMARK COMPANY</b>					
		71964	194.71	07/26/2012	512283133	UNIFORMS
		71964	156.02	07/26/2012	512286337	UNIFORMS
		71964	72.48	07/26/2012	512288674	UNIFORMS
	<b>GALLS AN ARAMARK COMPANY Total</b>		<b><u>423.21</u></b>			
<b>986</b>	<b>GOODYS</b>					
			70.75	07/26/2012	071612	OT MEALS
	<b>GOODYS Total</b>		<b><u>70.75</u></b>			
<b>988</b>	<b>GORSKI &amp; GOOD</b>					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
			100.00	07/19/2012	21991	SVC JUNE 2012
			40.00	07/19/2012	21992	SVC JUNE 2012
		72187	800.00	07/19/2012	21993	SVC JUNE 2012
		72187	800.00	07/19/2012	21993	SVC JUNE 2012
			440.00	07/19/2012	21994	SVC JUNE 2012
			60.00	07/19/2012	21995	SVC JUNE 2012
			240.00	07/19/2012	21996	SVC JUNE 2012
			2,440.00	07/19/2012	22003	SVC JUNE 2012
		72122	760.00	07/19/2012	22004	SVC JUNE 2012
			120.00	07/19/2012	22005	SVC JUNE 2012
			5,540.00	07/19/2012	22006	SVC JUNE 2012
			1,000.00	07/19/2012	22007	SVC JUNE 2012
			1,640.00	07/19/2012	22008	SVC JUNE 2012
			300.00	07/19/2012	21997	SVC JUNE 2012
			1,460.00	07/19/2012	21998	SVC JUNE 2012
		72187	160.00	07/19/2012	21999	SVC JUNE 2012
			80.00	07/19/2012	22000	SVC JUNE 2012
			280.00	07/19/2012	22001	SVC JUNE 2012
			260.00	07/19/2012	22002	SVC JUNE 2012
	<b>GORSKI &amp; GOOD Total</b>		<b><u>16,520.00</u></b>			
<b>989</b>	<b>GORDON FLESCH CO INC</b>					
		72119	1,009.44	07/26/2012	IN10015657	MONTHLY CHARGES MISC COPIEF
		72119	1,009.44	07/26/2012	IN10015657	MONTHLY CHARGES MISC COPIEF
		72119	-1,009.44	07/26/2012	IN10015657	MONTHLY CHARGES MISC COPIEF
		72119	-1,009.44	07/26/2012	IN10015657	MONTHLY CHARGES MISC COPIEF
		72088	133.00	07/19/2012	IN10021336	USUAGE 5-27-12 TO 6-26-12
		72311	50.66	07/26/2012	IN10027816	USAGE MAY 2012
		72311	50.66	07/26/2012	IN10027816	USAGE MAY 2012
		72311	-50.66	07/26/2012	IN10027816	USAGE MAY 2012
		72311	-50.66	07/26/2012	IN10027816	USAGE MAY 2012
		72316	568.00	07/26/2012	IN10028699	USAGE JUNE 2011 TO MAY 2012
		72316	568.00	07/26/2012	IN10028699	USAGE JUNE 2011 TO MAY 2012
		72316	-568.00	07/26/2012	IN10028699	USAGE JUNE 2011 TO MAY 2012
		72316	-568.00	07/26/2012	IN10028699	USAGE JUNE 2011 TO MAY 2012
		72316	167.01	07/26/2012	IN10029329	USAGE MAY 2012
		72316	167.01	07/26/2012	IN10029329	USAGE MAY 2012
		72316	-167.01	07/26/2012	IN10029329	USAGE MAY 2012
		72316	-167.01	07/26/2012	IN10029329	USAGE MAY 2012

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		72119	1,408.87	07/26/2012	IN10041075	USUAGE 5-22-12 TO 6-21-12
		72088	133.00	07/26/2012	IN10043103	USUAGE 6-27-12 TO 7-26-12
	<b>GORDON FLESCH CO INC Total</b>		<b><u>1,674.87</u></b>			
<b>996</b>	<b>GOVCONNECTION INC</b>					
		72565	432.82	07/19/2012	49209231	TONER
		72484	382.07	07/19/2012	49213952	TONER
	<b>GOVCONNECTION INC Total</b>		<b><u>814.89</u></b>			
<b>1036</b>	<b>HARRIS BANK NA</b>					
			1,320.00	07/27/2012	PR20120727_1036	PR 20120727 deductions
	<b>HARRIS BANK NA Total</b>		<b><u>1,320.00</u></b>			
<b>1078</b>	<b>HI-LINE UTILITY SUPPLY CO</b>					
		72645	104.80	07/26/2012	1/920060	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>HI-LINE UTILITY SUPPLY CO Total</b>		<b><u>104.80</u></b>			
<b>1103</b>	<b>HOUSEAL LAVIGNE ASSOC LLC</b>					
		67616	3,660.00	07/19/2012	2028	CONSULTING JUNE 2012
	<b>HOUSEAL LAVIGNE ASSOC LLC Total</b>		<b><u>3,660.00</u></b>			
<b>1106</b>	<b>HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS</b>					
		72054	209.30	07/26/2012	220626895000	UPLOAD 12X18
		72829	35.63	07/27/2012	2208929937000	COFFEE SUPPLIES
	<b>HSBC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS Total</b>		<b><u>244.93</u></b>			
<b>1133</b>	<b>IBEW LOCAL 196</b>					
			678.72	07/27/2012	PR20120727_1133	PR 20120727 deductions
	<b>IBEW LOCAL 196 Total</b>		<b><u>678.72</u></b>			
<b>1135</b>	<b>AT&amp;T</b>					
			5,067.46	07/26/2012	4378358109	SVC 6-16-12 TO 07-15-12
	<b>AT&amp;T Total</b>		<b><u>5,067.46</u></b>			
<b>1136</b>	<b>ICMA RETIREMENT CORP</b>					
			37,274.74	07/27/2012	072712	ICMA/401A/ROTH
	<b>ICMA RETIREMENT CORP Total</b>		<b><u>37,274.74</u></b>			
<b>1215</b>	<b>ILLINOIS MUNICIPAL UTILITIES</b>					
		72801	448.00	07/26/2012	12-0015	SAFETY MANUALS
			3,926,651.87	07/18/2012	071812	IMEA JUNE ELECTRIC BILL

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	ILLINOIS MUNICIPAL UTILITIES Total		<u>3,927,099.87</u>			
1223	INITIAL IMPRESSIONS EMBROIDERY					
		71323	26.28	07/19/2012	L43751	UNIFORMS
		71485	168.42	07/19/2012	L43750	UNIFORMS
		71965	27.20	07/26/2012	L43762	SCREEN PRINTING
		71965	242.80	07/26/2012	L43761	EMBROIDERY UNIFORMS
	INITIAL IMPRESSIONS EMBROIDERY Total		<u>464.70</u>			
1236	INSTANT COMMUNICATIONS					
		72823	40.00	07/26/2012	112811	PHONE CLIPS
		72540	19.99	07/26/2012	062012	PHONE CASE HOLSTER
		72823	19.99	07/27/2012	050312	HOLSTER
	INSTANT COMMUNICATIONS Total		<u>79.98</u>			
1240	INTERSTATE BATTERY SYSTEM OF					
		72580	442.80	07/19/2012	60104840	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72587	112.00	07/26/2012	60104930	INVENTORY ITEMS
	INTERSTATE BATTERY SYSTEM OF Total		<u>554.80</u>			
1313	KANE COUNTY RECORDERS OFFICE					
			64.00	07/19/2012	247009	2012K045021&22
			64.00	07/26/2012	248250	2012K047154&55
	KANE COUNTY RECORDERS OFFICE Total		<u>128.00</u>			
1327	KANE COUNTY FAIR					
			382.13	07/19/2012	FY2013	MANION PROPERTY DEBT PAYMEI
			382.13	07/19/2012	FY2013	MANION PROPERTY DEBT PAYMEI
			382.13	07/19/2012	FY2013	MANION PROPERTY DEBT PAYMEI
			382.13	07/19/2012	FY2013	MANION PROPERTY DEBT PAYMEI
	KANE COUNTY FAIR Total		<u>1,528.52</u>			
1363	KIESLER POLICE SUPPLY INC					
		68621	3,180.00	07/26/2012	0679132A	REMINGTON L223R9
	KIESLER POLICE SUPPLY INC Total		<u>3,180.00</u>			
1367	KINSEY & KINSEY INC					
		65789	25,392.00	07/19/2012	INV31289	MILESTONE COMPLETION
	KINSEY & KINSEY INC Total		<u>25,392.00</u>			
1395	KRAMER TREE SPECIALISTS					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		72192	4,800.00	07/19/2012	20405A	EAB INJECTIONS
		71995	17,500.00	07/19/2012	20244	BRUSH REMOVAL
	<b>KRAMER TREE SPECIALISTS Total</b>		<b><u>22,300.00</u></b>			
<b>1443</b>	<b>L COM INC</b>					
		72566	400.89	07/19/2012	2009148	WATERPROOF ENCLOSURE
	<b>L COM INC Total</b>		<b><u>400.89</u></b>			
<b>1450</b>	<b>LEE JENSEN SALES CO INC</b>					
		72569	380.00	07/19/2012	124220	EYE BOLTS
	<b>LEE JENSEN SALES CO INC Total</b>		<b><u>380.00</u></b>			
<b>1482</b>	<b>ARTHUR J LOOTENS &amp; SON INC</b>					
		71904	1,032.00	07/26/2012	FDIN6909	TIPPING FEE - SPOIL
		71904	645.00	07/26/2012	FDIN6853	TIPPING FEE-SPOIL
	<b>ARTHUR J LOOTENS &amp; SON INC Total</b>		<b><u>1,677.00</u></b>			
<b>1489</b>	<b>LOWES</b>					
		72097	25.04	07/19/2012	94193	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72007	13.41	07/19/2012	94192	INVENTORY ITEMS
		71955	92.16	07/19/2012	02342	HARDWARE SUPPLIES
		71827	145.92	07/19/2012	02204	CMNTY REST SUPPLIES
		72561	86.57	07/19/2012	02198A	
		71955	37.98	07/26/2012	02113	MISC HARDWARE
		72365	107.14	07/19/2012	02099	MISC HARDWARE
		71955	125.71	07/19/2012	02066	DRIVEWAY SEALER
	<b>LOWES Total</b>		<b><u>633.93</u></b>			
<b>1525</b>	<b>JIM MARTIN</b>					
			64.95	07/19/2012	1525	INTERNET REIMB MAR & MAY 2012
	<b>JIM MARTIN Total</b>		<b><u>64.95</u></b>			
<b>1532</b>	<b>MARSHALLS TOWING &amp; RECOVERY</b>					
		72618	50.00	07/19/2012	17101	TOWING
	<b>MARSHALLS TOWING &amp; RECOVERY Total</b>		<b><u>50.00</u></b>			
<b>1577</b>	<b>MCGOUGH &amp; ASSOCIATES INC</b>					
			90.00	07/19/2012	53155	LEGAL
	<b>MCGOUGH &amp; ASSOCIATES INC Total</b>		<b><u>90.00</u></b>			
<b>1585</b>	<b>MEADE ELECTRIC COMPANY INC</b>					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		72373	1,411.00	07/19/2012	655582	TRAFFIC SGNL MAINT
	<b>MEADE ELECTRIC COMPANY INC Total</b>		<b>1,411.00</b>			
<b>1606</b>	<b>METROPOLITAN MAYORS CAUCUS</b>					
		72822	1,154.09	07/27/2012	2012-229	2011-2012 DUES
	<b>METROPOLITAN MAYORS CAUCUS Total</b>		<b>1,154.09</b>			
<b>1613</b>	<b>METROPOLITAN ALLIANCE OF POL</b>					
			891.00	07/27/2012	PR20120727_1613	PR 20120727 deductions
	<b>METROPOLITAN ALLIANCE OF POL Total</b>		<b>891.00</b>			
<b>1614</b>	<b>MEYER MATERIAL</b>					
		72348	775.62	07/26/2012	70360199	CONCRETE
		72348	410.62	07/19/2012	703615022	CONCRETE
		72348	410.62	07/19/2012	703615023	CONCRETE
	<b>MEYER MATERIAL Total</b>		<b>1,596.86</b>			
<b>1615</b>	<b>MFSCO DIV OF KAK ENTERPRISES</b>					
		72717	223.16	07/26/2012	M5374	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>MFSCO DIV OF KAK ENTERPRISES Total</b>		<b>223.16</b>			
<b>1623</b>	<b>MIDWEST TRADING</b>					
		72575	1,155.00	07/19/2012	I358473	TREEGATORS
	<b>MIDWEST TRADING Total</b>		<b>1,155.00</b>			
<b>1643</b>	<b>MILSOFT UTILITY SOLUTIONS INC</b>					
		71802	115.60	07/19/2012	20122360	OCM CALLS SVC
	<b>MILSOFT UTILITY SOLUTIONS INC Total</b>		<b>115.60</b>			
<b>1655</b>	<b>MONROE TRUCK EQUIPMENT</b>					
		72601	131.70	07/19/2012	5219632	KIT BELT TENSIONER
	<b>MONROE TRUCK EQUIPMENT Total</b>		<b>131.70</b>			
<b>1669</b>	<b>MOTOROLA INC</b>					
		72444	702.00	07/19/2012	76524902	PAGER REPAIR
	<b>MOTOROLA INC Total</b>		<b>702.00</b>			
<b>1677</b>	<b>MUNICIPAL RESEARCH SERVICES</b>					
			850.00	07/26/2012	072112	SVC RESEARCH FOR CAFR
	<b>MUNICIPAL RESEARCH SERVICES Total</b>		<b>850.00</b>			

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
1704	NCPERS IL IMRF		32.00	07/27/2012	PR20120727_1704	PR 20120727 deductions
	NCPERS IL IMRF Total		<u>32.00</u>			
1705	NEENAH FOUNDRY COMPANY CORP					
		72647	789.79	07/19/2012	756898	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72718	1,825.30	07/26/2012	757565	INVENTORY ITEMS
	NEENAH FOUNDRY COMPANY CORP Total		<u>2,615.09</u>			
1709	NEOPOST INC					
		72748	496.54	07/26/2012	48860760	POSTAGE METER SVCS
	NEOPOST INC Total		<u>496.54</u>			
1720	NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS					
			1,739.89	07/26/2012	130934491-041	SVC 6-13-12 TO 7-12-12
			4,907.80	07/26/2012	399700511-125	SVC 6-18-12 TO 7-17-12
	NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS Total		<u>6,647.69</u>			
1722	NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION ASSOC					
			165.00	07/26/2012	5563700X	MEMBERSHIP RENEWAL
	NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION ASSOC Total		<u>165.00</u>			
1745	NICOR					
			84.90	07/19/2012	1000 0 JULY 13 2012	SVC 5-10-12 TO 7-12-12
			29.26	07/19/2012	1000 2 JULY 13 2012	SVC 6-11-12 TO 7-11-12
			36.79	07/19/2012	2185 8 JULY 10 2012	
			35.17	07/26/2012	4606 2 JULY 23 2012	SVC 6-19-12 TO 7-20-12
			82.94	07/19/2012	8642 6 JULY 10 2012	SVC 6-7-12 TO 7-9-12
			38.17	07/19/2012	1000 8 JULY 13 2012	SVC 6-11-12 TO 7-12-12
			31.55	07/19/2012	1968 1 JULY 13 2012	SVC 6-11-12 TO 7-12-12
			47.82	07/26/2012	9226 2 JULY 23 2012	SVC 6-12-12 TO 7-19-12
			48.66	07/19/2012	1000 4 JULY 13 2012	SVC 6-11-12 TO 7-11-12
			33.23	07/26/2012	1000 4 JULY 20 2012	SVC 6-19-12 TO 7-19-12
	NICOR Total		<u>468.49</u>			
1747	NORTH AMERICAN SALT					
		72449	2,708.84	07/19/2012	70846320	COARSE ROCK SALT
	NORTH AMERICAN SALT Total		<u>2,708.84</u>			
1775	RAY O'HERRON CO					
		71968	193.80	07/19/2012	0056064-IN	UNIFORMS

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		71968	355.60	07/19/2012	0056065-IN	UNIFORMS
	<b>RAY O'HERRON CO Total</b>		<b>549.40</b>			
<b>1783</b>	<b>ON TIME EMBROIDERY INC</b>					
		71969	786.01	07/26/2012	E12940	EMBROIDERY
	<b>ON TIME EMBROIDERY INC Total</b>		<b>786.01</b>			
<b>1793</b>	<b>OTIS ELEVATOR CO</b>					
		72824	1,283.70	07/26/2012	CY05303712	SVC AGRMNT 7-01-12 TO 9-30-12
	<b>OTIS ELEVATOR CO Total</b>		<b>1,283.70</b>			
<b>1814</b>	<b>PATTEN INDUSTRIES INC</b>					
		71912	730.36	07/19/2012	P50C0786183	FLEET SUPPLIES
		71912	1,743.00	07/26/2012	P50C0786819	MISC REPAIR
	<b>PATTEN INDUSTRIES INC Total</b>		<b>2,473.36</b>			
<b>1825</b>	<b>PEDERSEN COMPANY</b>					
		72495	5,445.00	07/19/2012	2012-3503	TREE WATERING
		72749	13,150.00	07/19/2012	2012-3506	EAB PLANTING
		72738	3,300.00	07/26/2012	2012-3516	TREE WATERING
		72749	2,375.00	07/26/2012	2012-3517	EAB PLANTING
	<b>PEDERSEN COMPANY Total</b>		<b>24,270.00</b>			
<b>1861</b>	<b>POLICE PENSION FUND</b>					
			10,594.95	07/19/2012	071812	KANE CNTY PROPERTY TAX
			16,696.53	07/27/2012	PR20120727_1861	PR 20120727 deductions
	<b>POLICE PENSION FUND Total</b>		<b>27,291.48</b>			
<b>1890</b>	<b>LEGAL SHIELD</b>					
			215.25	07/27/2012	PR20120727_1890	PR 20120727 deductions
	<b>LEGAL SHIELD Total</b>		<b>215.25</b>			
<b>1900</b>	<b>PROVIDENT LIFE &amp; ACCIDENT</b>					
			26.76	07/27/2012	PR20120727_1900	PR 20120727 deductions
	<b>PROVIDENT LIFE &amp; ACCIDENT Total</b>		<b>26.76</b>			
<b>1923</b>	<b>AUTONOMY SYSTEMS LLC</b>					
		72617	5,000.00	07/19/2012	12070302	SOFTWARE CONSULTING
	<b>AUTONOMY SYSTEMS LLC Total</b>		<b>5,000.00</b>			
<b>1925</b>	<b>QUALITY FASTENERS INC</b>					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		72531	599.68	07/26/2012	16048	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72648	11.00	07/26/2012	16057	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>QUALITY FASTENERS INC Total</b>		<b>610.68</b>			
<b>1940</b>	<b>RADCO COMMUNICATIONS INC</b>					
		71825	3,924.62	07/26/2012	77991	SVC UNIT 1747
		71825	3,824.43	07/26/2012	77949	PER QUOTE 13114A
		71825	280.00	07/26/2012	77982	QUOTE 13115 TICKET 78593
		71825	280.00	07/26/2012	77982	QUOTE 13115
	<b>RADCO COMMUNICATIONS INC Total</b>		<b>8,309.05</b>			
<b>1946</b>	<b>RANDALL PRESSURE SYSTEMS INC</b>					
		71917	679.87	07/19/2012	223001-0612	FLEET SUPPLIES JUNE 2012
	<b>RANDALL PRESSURE SYSTEMS INC Total</b>		<b>679.87</b>			
<b>1953</b>	<b>RBS PACKAGING INC</b>					
		72650	34.76	07/26/2012	2023807	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>RBS PACKAGING INC Total</b>		<b>34.76</b>			
<b>1955</b>	<b>RDD IMAGING INC</b>					
		71795	52.00	07/19/2012	2525	TONER CARTRIDGE
	<b>RDD IMAGING INC Total</b>		<b>52.00</b>			
<b>1998</b>	<b>RURAL ELECTRIC SUPPLY CO OP</b>					
		72468	117.00	07/19/2012	510255-00	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72790	370.50	07/26/2012	513597-00	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>RURAL ELECTRIC SUPPLY CO OP Total</b>		<b>487.50</b>			
<b>2031</b>	<b>RAYMOND ROGINA</b>					
			35.00	07/19/2012	071712	INTERNER REIMB JULY 2012
	<b>RAYMOND ROGINA Total</b>		<b>35.00</b>			
<b>2036</b>	<b>NATHAN T ROSENTHAL</b>					
		72340	120.00	07/19/2012	4109001	SVC JUNE 2012
		72340	50.00	07/19/2012	4109002	SVC JUNE 2012
	<b>NATHAN T ROSENTHAL Total</b>		<b>170.00</b>			
<b>2041</b>	<b>RUSSELL W PETERSON</b>					
			750.00	07/19/2012	FY2013	PARKING LOT RENTAL FEE
			750.00	07/19/2012	FY2013	PARKING LOT RENTAL FEE
			750.00	07/19/2012	FY2013	PARKING LOT RENTAL FEE

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
			750.00	07/19/2012	FY2013	PARKING LOT RENTAL FEE
			750.00	07/19/2012	FY2013	PARKING LOT RENTAL FEE
	<b>RUSSELL W PETERSON Total</b>		<b><u>3,750.00</u></b>			
<b>2052</b>	<b>SAFETY SUPPLY ILLINOIS CORP</b>					
		72605	713.73	07/26/2012	1902540851	VINYL ADHESIVE SIGNS
	<b>SAFETY SUPPLY ILLINOIS CORP Total</b>		<b><u>713.73</u></b>			
<b>2055</b>	<b>SAFETY-KLEEN</b>					
		71919	143.10	07/19/2012	58184403	FLEET SUPPLIES
	<b>SAFETY-KLEEN Total</b>		<b><u>143.10</u></b>			
<b>2078</b>	<b>SEAN SCHLUCHTER</b>					
			60.00	07/19/2012	071612	CDL LICENSE
	<b>SEAN SCHLUCHTER Total</b>		<b><u>60.00</u></b>			
<b>2084</b>	<b>SCHULHOF COMPANY</b>					
		72672	29.57	07/26/2012	2800275	MISC HARDWARE
	<b>SCHULHOF COMPANY Total</b>		<b><u>29.57</u></b>			
<b>2123</b>	<b>SERVICE MECHANICAL INDUSTRIES</b>					
		72447	180.00	07/19/2012	4457	WELL 13 ACCESS DOOR
		72238	855.00	07/19/2012	4460	INSTALL ECONOMIZER BOARD
			-402.00	07/26/2012	4486CR	CREDIT TO PO#72079
		71996	3,957.00	07/26/2012	R32722	SERVICE CONTRACT 2ND QTR
		71996	1,309.00	07/26/2012	R32723	SERVICIE CONTRACT 2ND QTR
		71996	1,747.00	07/26/2012	R32724	SERVICE CONTRACT 2ND QTR
		71996	1,376.00	07/26/2012	R32725	SERVICE CONTRACT 2ND QTR
		71996	2,427.00	07/26/2012	R32726	SERVICE CONTRACT2ND QTR
		72791	446.62	07/26/2012	S44830	AC REPAIR
	<b>SERVICE MECHANICAL INDUSTRIES Total</b>		<b><u>11,895.62</u></b>			
<b>2137</b>	<b>SHERWIN WILLIAMS</b>					
			63.45	07/19/2012	3950-1	GRAFFITI REMOVER
			-63.45	07/19/2012	3951-9	CREDIT INVOICE 3950-1
		72552	63.45	07/19/2012	3952-7	GRAFFITI REMOVAL ITEMS
	<b>SHERWIN WILLIAMS Total</b>		<b><u>63.45</u></b>			
<b>2150</b>	<b>SIKICH</b>					
		72040	4,800.00	07/19/2012	146291	PROGRESS BILLING FOR AUDIT

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	SIKICH Total		<u>4,800.00</u>			
2156	SIRCHIE FINGERPRINT LABS					
		71000	51.65	07/26/2012	0086730-IN	PROTECTIVE RUBBER BOOT
		71000	628.17	07/26/2012	0086963-IN	DUST PRINT KIT
	SIRCHIE FINGERPRINT LABS Total		<u>679.82</u>			
2158	GARY SITTLER					
			169.44	07/19/2012	071612	BOOTS REIMB
			52.63	07/26/2012	072012	PHONE ACCESSORIES
	GARY SITTLER Total		<u>222.07</u>			
2163	SKYLINE TREE SERVICE &					
		72206	560.00	07/19/2012	0944	NON ASH REMOVAL
		72206	4,568.00	07/19/2012	0945	TREE WORK
		72055	500.00	07/19/2012	0946	TREE RMVL 725 OAK ST
		72055	500.00	07/19/2012	0946	TREE REMOVAL
		72206	7,168.00	07/26/2012	0947	STORM DAMAGE
		72055	17,000.00	07/26/2012	0948	EAB REMOVAL
		72055	10,500.00	07/19/2012	0942	TREE WORK
	SKYLINE TREE SERVICE & Total		<u>40,796.00</u>			
2169	CLARK BAIRD SMITH LLP					
			19,271.25	07/26/2012	070612	SVC JUNE 2012
	CLARK BAIRD SMITH LLP Total		<u>19,271.25</u>			
2171	SHURTS TOOL SERVICE					
		72577	385.00	07/19/2012	288360	MAG IMPACT 1/2"
	SHURTS TOOL SERVICE Total		<u>385.00</u>			
2178	SONNTAG REPORTING SERVICE					
		72137	288.80	07/19/2012	84851	SVC 7-3-12
	SONNTAG REPORTING SERVICE Total		<u>288.80</u>			
2201	STANDARD EQUIPMENT CO					
		72600	848.74	07/19/2012	C75841	HOSES
	STANDARD EQUIPMENT CO Total		<u>848.74</u>			
2212	CITY OF ST CHARLES					
			27,920.64	07/27/2012	PR20120727_2212	PR 20120727 deductions

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	CITY OF ST CHARLES Total		<u>27,920.64</u>			
2228	CITY OF ST CHARLES		98.44	07/26/2012	119000008238	304 CEDAR 6-8-12 TO 7-9-12
	CITY OF ST CHARLES Total		<u>98.44</u>			
2229	SOURCE ONE					
		71906	23.76	07/19/2012	354777	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		71810	52.06	07/19/2012	354789	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		71837	44.99	07/19/2012	354850	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		71822	182.84	07/26/2012	355068	OFFICE SUPPLIES
		72640	119.94	07/26/2012	355116	INVENTORY ITEMS
			-64.99	07/19/2012	C354380	CREDIT LANYARDS
		71906	41.98	07/19/2012	354996	OFFICE SUPPLIES
	SOURCE ONE Total		<u>400.58</u>			
2235	STEINER ELECTRIC COMPANY					
		71534	63.00	07/26/2012	003946865008A	INVENTORY ITEMS
			-63.00	07/26/2012	003946865008A/C	REFERS TO PO71534 LINE 1
		72208	40.98	07/19/2012	003994743006	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72545	591.60	07/19/2012	004016986001	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72545	1,400.00	07/19/2012	004016986002	CABLE
		72548	1,479.00	07/19/2012	004017904001	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72652	214.81	07/19/2012	004025277002	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72723	927.37	07/19/2012	004035319001	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72723	143.88	07/19/2012	004035319002	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72793	214.81	07/27/2012	004038976002	INVNETORY ITEMS
	STEINER ELECTRIC COMPANY Total		<u>5,012.45</u>			
2240	STEWART SPREADING					
		72621	7,931.16	07/19/2012	10134	SLDG DEWATERING
	STEWART SPREADING Total		<u>7,931.16</u>			
2250	STREICHERS					
		71980	212.44	07/19/2012	1940268	UNIFORMS
		71980	71.49	07/26/2012	1940884	UNIFORMS
		71980	37.39	07/26/2012	1941339	UNIFORMS
		71980	47.99	07/26/2012	1941795	UNIFORMS
	STREICHERS Total		<u>369.31</u>			

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
2259	SUBURBAN ACCENTS INC	72555	850.00	07/19/2012	18804	GRAPHIICS ON SPRINTER
	<b>SUBURBAN ACCENTS INC Total</b>		<b>850.00</b>			
2274	ROBERT SURRATT		140.00	07/19/2012	071812	BOOTS
	<b>ROBERT SURRATT Total</b>		<b>140.00</b>			
2300	TEMCO MACHINERY INC	72534	45.67	07/19/2012	AG29414	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>TEMCO MACHINERY INC Total</b>		<b>45.67</b>			
2301	GENERAL CHAUFFERS SALES DRIVER		2,420.50	07/27/2012	PR20120727_2301	PR 20120727 deductions
	<b>GENERAL CHAUFFERS SALES DRIVER Total</b>		<b>2,420.50</b>			
2310	TERMINAL SUPPLY CO	72620	112.85	07/26/2012	51786-00	FLEET SUPPLIES
	<b>TERMINAL SUPPLY CO Total</b>		<b>112.85</b>			
2316	THOMPSON AUTO SUPPLY INC	72474	33.40	07/26/2012	2-202594	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72475	31.92	07/26/2012	2-202595	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72593	122.57	07/19/2012	2-203939	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72653	13.82	07/19/2012	2-204633	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72654	9.86	07/19/2012	2-205241	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72725	16.02	07/19/2012	2-205330	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72726	37.52	07/19/2012	2-205332	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72794	32.67	07/26/2012	2-206077	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72795	79.93	07/26/2012	2-206094	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>THOMPSON AUTO SUPPLY INC Total</b>		<b>377.71</b>			
2351	TREASURER OF VIRGINIA		125.38	07/27/2012	PR20120727_2351	PR 20120727 deductions
	<b>TREASURER OF VIRGINIA Total</b>		<b>125.38</b>			
2359	COLTHARPS SALES & SERVICE	72739	26.82	07/26/2012	33103	CHAIN & FILE
		72745	87.88	07/26/2012	33158	BELTS
		72739	103.24	07/26/2012	33171	SVC & PARTS FOR SAW REPAIR

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	COLTHARPS SALES & SERVICE Total		<u>217.94</u>			
2363	TROTTER & ASSOCIATES INC	71225	63.00	07/27/2012	7800	STRUCTURAL INSPECT
	TROTTER & ASSOCIATES INC Total		<u>63.00</u>			
2370	WILLIAM TURNER		35.00	07/19/2012	071712	INTERNET REIMB JULY 2012
	WILLIAM TURNER Total		<u>35.00</u>			
2373	TYLER MEDICAL SERVICES	72666	265.00	07/19/2012	319762	SCREENINGS
	TYLER MEDICAL SERVICES Total		<u>265.00</u>			
2392	UNIFORMITY INC	71971	673.19	07/26/2012	IN209594	UNIFORMS
	UNIFORMITY INC Total		<u>673.19</u>			
2401	UNIVERSAL UTILITY SUPPLY INC	72546	5,668.78	07/26/2012	3012834	FIBERGLASS ELBOWS
	UNIVERSAL UTILITY SUPPLY INC Total		<u>5,668.78</u>			
2403	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE		172.29	07/19/2012	0000650961272	SHIPPING
			22.00	07/26/2012	0000650961282	SHIPPING
	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE Total		<u>194.29</u>			
2421	ROBERT VANN		57.24	07/19/2012	071712	REIMB FOR DEPOSITION 7-16-12
	ROBERT VANN Total		<u>57.24</u>			
2425	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE PROGRAM	72595	134.00	07/19/2012	INV-196972	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72655	67.00	07/26/2012	INV-197197	INVENTORY ITEMS
	VEHICLE MAINTENANCE PROGRAM Total		<u>201.00</u>			
2431	LEO VESELING		95.90	07/26/2012	071812	BOOT REIMB
	LEO VESELING Total		<u>95.90</u>			
2445	VISU-SEWER OF ILLINOIS LLC					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		71079	19,691.51	07/19/2012	6018	SEWER TV INSPECTION
	<b>VISU-SEWER OF ILLINOIS LLC Total</b>		<b><u>19,691.51</u></b>			
<b>2448</b>	<b>ALEC VONDERLACK</b>					
			194.34	07/19/2012	071012	PANTS
	<b>ALEC VONDERLACK Total</b>		<b><u>194.34</u></b>			
<b>2463</b>	<b>WALMART COMMUNITY</b>					
		72763	18.76	07/26/2012	04364	MISC SUPPLIES
		72762	35.70	07/26/2012	07990	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72656	25.80	07/19/2012	07993	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72734	3.88	07/19/2012	07993A	HAND SOAP
	<b>WALMART COMMUNITY Total</b>		<b><u>84.14</u></b>			
<b>2477</b>	<b>WASCO LAWN &amp; POWER INC</b>					
		72596	399.36	07/26/2012	175223	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>WASCO LAWN &amp; POWER INC Total</b>		<b><u>399.36</u></b>			
<b>2478</b>	<b>WATER PRODUCTS AURORA</b>					
		72657	320.00	07/26/2012	0233308	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72657	320.00	07/26/2012	0233349	INVENTORY ITEMS
		71808	343.33	07/26/2012	0233350	MISC PARTS
	<b>WATER PRODUCTS AURORA Total</b>		<b><u>983.33</u></b>			
<b>2480</b>	<b>WATER OPTIONS</b>					
		72571	239.00	07/26/2012	063012	WATER
	<b>WATER OPTIONS Total</b>		<b><u>239.00</u></b>			
<b>2485</b>	<b>WILLS BURKE KELSEY ASSOC LTD</b>					
		71162	324.00	07/26/2012	11998	SVC 5-27-12 TO 6-30-12
	<b>WILLS BURKE KELSEY ASSOC LTD Total</b>		<b><u>324.00</u></b>			
<b>2495</b>	<b>WEST SIDE TRACTOR SALES CO</b>					
		71849	1,650.00	07/19/2012	105431	BACKHOE RENTAL 6-25 TO 7-22
	<b>WEST SIDE TRACTOR SALES CO Total</b>		<b><u>1,650.00</u></b>			
<b>2506</b>	<b>WESCO DISTRIBUTION INC</b>					
		72152	306.00	07/19/2012	672227	UNDRGRND MULTI CONN
		72479	195.00	07/19/2012	675188	INVENTORY ITEMS
		71834	1,366.50	07/19/2012	675629	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72479	591.60	07/19/2012	677119	INVENTORY ITEMS

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		72479	501.00	07/26/2012	678940	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72214	25.51	07/26/2012	683338	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72660	1,610.00	07/26/2012	685232	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>WESCO DISTRIBUTION INC Total</b>		<b><u>4,595.61</u></b>			
<b>2512</b>	<b>WHOLESALE DIRECT INC</b>					
		72629	83.00	07/26/2012	000194006	STD ROT FREE FORM
	<b>WHOLESALE DIRECT INC Total</b>		<b><u>83.00</u></b>			
<b>2524</b>	<b>WILLIAMS CONSTRUCTION MNGMNT</b>					
		72633	1,276.30	07/19/2012	0005099	REIMB FOR PROJECT
	<b>WILLIAMS CONSTRUCTION MNGMNT Total</b>		<b><u>1,276.30</u></b>			
<b>2527</b>	<b>WILLIAM FRICK &amp; CO</b>					
		72538	451.44	07/26/2012	450674	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>WILLIAM FRICK &amp; CO Total</b>		<b><u>451.44</u></b>			
<b>2545</b>	<b>GRAINGER INC</b>					
		72597	275.00	07/19/2012	98655680258	INVENTORY ITEMS
		72684	167.40	07/26/2012	9872462354	FAUCET REPLACEMENT
		72797	28.29	07/26/2012	9881522024	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>GRAINGER INC Total</b>		<b><u>470.69</u></b>			
<b>2637</b>	<b>ILLINOIS DEPT OF REVENUE</b>					
			37,636.49	07/16/2012	071612	PAYROLL WIRE STAE INCOME TAX
	<b>ILLINOIS DEPT OF REVENUE Total</b>		<b><u>37,636.49</u></b>			
<b>2638</b>	<b>INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE</b>					
			188,396.43	07/16/2012	071612	PAYROLL WIRE FEDERAL TAXES
	<b>INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Total</b>		<b><u>188,396.43</u></b>			
<b>2639</b>	<b>STATE DISBURSEMENT UNIT</b>					
			5,118.86	07/27/2012	072712	ILLINOIS CHILD SUPPORT
	<b>STATE DISBURSEMENT UNIT Total</b>		<b><u>5,118.86</u></b>			
<b>2643</b>	<b>DELTA DENTAL</b>					
			4,519.00	07/17/2012	071712	DELTA DENTAL CLAIMS
			4,464.47	07/24/2012	072412	DELTA DENTAL CLAIMS
	<b>DELTA DENTAL Total</b>		<b><u>8,983.47</u></b>			
<b>2645</b>	<b>CHARLES BROWN</b>					

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
			3,060.42	07/19/2012	RJB2012	GUARANTEED INVESTMENT AGRE
			3,060.42	07/19/2012	RJB2012	GUARANTEED INVESTMENT AGRE
			3,060.42	07/19/2012	RJB2012	GUARANTEED INVESTMENT AGRE
			3,060.42	07/19/2012	RJB2012	GUARANTEED INVESTMENT AGRE
			3,060.42	07/19/2012	RJB2012	GUARANTEED INVESTMENT AGRE
	<b>CHARLES BROWN Total</b>		<b>15,302.10</b>			
<b>2648</b>	<b>HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORP</b>					
			55,576.22	07/19/2012	071912	MEDICAL CLAIMS
	<b>HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORP Total</b>		<b>55,576.22</b>			
<b>2663</b>	<b>LOU'S GLOVES INC</b>					
		72598	450.00	07/19/2012	001205	INVENTORY ITEMS
	<b>LOU'S GLOVES INC Total</b>		<b>450.00</b>			
<b>2674</b>	<b>VIKING CHEMICAL CO</b>					
		71819	1,152.00	07/26/2012	232264	BULK CHEMICALS
	<b>VIKING CHEMICAL CO Total</b>		<b>1,152.00</b>			
<b>2678</b>	<b>RUBINO ENGINEERING INC</b>					
		70676	440.00	07/19/2012	688	SYLINDER P/U & TEST
	<b>RUBINO ENGINEERING INC Total</b>		<b>440.00</b>			
<b>2683</b>	<b>CONTINENTAL AMERICAN INSURANCE</b>					
			410.64	07/27/2012	PR20120727_2683	PR 20120727 deductions
	<b>CONTINENTAL AMERICAN INSURANCE Total</b>		<b>410.64</b>			
<b>2710</b>	<b>EAGLE CONCRETE INC</b>					
		71039	47,675.00	07/19/2012	2073	FOX GLEN DR BRIDGE
		72777	1,660.00	07/26/2012	EXTRA STONE	EXTRA WORK FOX GLEN DR BRID
	<b>EAGLE CONCRETE INC Total</b>		<b>49,335.00</b>			
<b>2734</b>	<b>WORKRITE UNIFORM CO</b>					
		71952	980.85	07/26/2012	0693801	TECASAFE WORK SHIRT
	<b>WORKRITE UNIFORM CO Total</b>		<b>980.85</b>			
<b>2740</b>	<b>C H HAGER EXCAVATING INC</b>					
		71847	665.00	07/26/2012	10	TIP AND HAUL
		71847	1,520.00	07/26/2012	6	TIP AND HAUL
		71847	190.00	07/26/2012	7	TIP AND HAUL
		10	1,648.14	07/26/2012	8	STONE AND GRAVEL

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		71847	570.00	07/26/2012	9	TIP AND HAUL
	<b>C H HAGER EXCAVATING INC Total</b>		<b>4,593.14</b>			
<b>2747</b>	<b>KNOWLEDGE SOLUTIONS LLC</b>					
		71758	175.00	07/19/2012	2012283	LAWSON ISERIES SUPPORT
	<b>KNOWLEDGE SOLUTIONS LLC Total</b>		<b>175.00</b>			
<b>2750</b>	<b>PUBLIC RELATIONS SOCIETY OF AM</b>					
		71794	425.00	07/26/2012	1700447-2012	MEMBERSHIP RENEWAL
	<b>PUBLIC RELATIONS SOCIETY OF AM Total</b>		<b>425.00</b>			
<b>2756</b>	<b>RXBENEFITS, INC.</b>					
			15,441.49	07/17/2012	25263	PRESCRIPTION CLAIMS
	<b>RXBENEFITS, INC. Total</b>		<b>15,441.49</b>			
<b>2776</b>	<b>SCOTT E PINER</b>					
		72582	450.00	07/19/2012	SP062712	SURVEY PREPARATION
	<b>SCOTT E PINER Total</b>		<b>450.00</b>			
<b>2777</b>	<b>BIG TENT EVENTS INC</b>					
		72614	1,440.00	07/26/2012	31361	TENTS TABLES & CHAIRS
	<b>BIG TENT EVENTS INC Total</b>		<b>1,440.00</b>			
<b>2786</b>	<b>AUTOMATED MERCHANT SYSTEMS INC</b>					
			490.00	07/26/2012	5528	USAEPAY AND CARD READER
	<b>AUTOMATED MERCHANT SYSTEMS INC Total</b>		<b>490.00</b>			
<b>999000003</b>	<b>ARMOUR ECKRICH MEATS LLC</b>					
			7,060.46	07/26/2012	2008858	RELEASE FINAL ESCROW
	<b>ARMOUR ECKRICH MEATS LLC Total</b>		<b>7,060.46</b>			
<b>999000079</b>	<b>LINDA RADTKE</b>					
			100.00	07/19/2012	071012	RFND EAB UPGRADE
	<b>LINDA RADTKE Total</b>		<b>100.00</b>			
<b>999000082</b>	<b>JENNIFER MALONEY</b>					
			680.00	07/26/2012	072412	REIMB FOR PLUMBER SEWER DSC
	<b>JENNIFER MALONEY Total</b>		<b>680.00</b>			

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>PO NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
---------------	--------------------	------------------	---------------	-------------	----------------	--------------------

	<u>Grand Total:</u>	<u>5,991,995.16</u>				
--	---------------------	---------------------	--	--	--	--

The above expenditures have been approved for payment:

_____	_____
Chairman, Government Operations Committee	Date
_____	_____
Vice Chairman, Government Operations Committee	Date
_____	_____
Finance Director	Date



ST. CHARLES  
SINCE 1834

### AGENDA ITEM EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Title: Request approval for a Class A2 Liquor License for Blue Goose

Presenter: Mayor DeWitte

*Please check appropriate box:*

<input type="checkbox"/>	Government Operations	<input type="checkbox"/>	Government Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Planning & Development	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	City Council (8/6/12)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Hearing	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Estimated Cost:		Budgeted:	YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	
-----------------	--	-----------	-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

If NO, please explain how item will be funded:

**Executive Summary:**

At the May 7, 2012 City Council meeting an ordinance was passed - Ordinance 2012-M-20 Amending Title 5 "Business Licenses and Regulations," Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages" of the St. Charles Municipal Code that removed the A3 liquor classification in its entirety. At that time Blue Goose held a Class A3 liquor license and has now requested a Class A2 license which allows retail sales of alcoholic liquor. This is a change from the Class A3 Gourmet Beer and Wine license that Blue Goose originally had.

Blue Goose is requesting approval to sell alcoholic liquor as allowed by the Class A2 liquor license as stated in the City Code.

**Attachments:** *(please list)*

Letter of Request from Mr. Lencioni

**Recommendation / Suggested Action** *(briefly explain):*

Request approval from the City Council for Blue Goose to sell alcoholic liquor as allowed by the Class A2 liquor license.

<i>For office use only:</i>	<i>Agenda Item Number:</i> IA
-----------------------------	-------------------------------

From: David Lencioni  
To: <tnilles@stcharlesil.gov>  
Date: 08/02/2012 09:42 AM  
Subject:

August 2, 2012

City Council  
City of St. Charles  
St. Charles, Illinois 60174

Please accept this as a request to change our current liquor license (A-3) to an A-2 classification. Due to many of our customer requests we would like to add a small section of spirits (hard liquor) to our product offerings. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Blue Goose Super Market, Inc.  
David Lencioni, President



ST. CHARLES  
SINCE 1834

### AGENDA ITEM EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Title: Recommendation to Approve a Purchase Order with McHugh Construction for Installation of Electric Duct on Route 25

Presenter: Tom Bruhl

*Please check appropriate box:*

<input type="checkbox"/>	Government Operations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Government Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Planning & Development	<input type="checkbox"/>	City Council (8/6/12)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Hearing	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Estimated Cost: \$44,351.39      Budgeted:    YES    X    NO

If NO, please explain how item will be funded:

**Executive Summary:**

Linking the circuit on the west side of the river to the circuit on the east side of the river is a primary goal for the Electric Utility as part of the Red Gate Road bridge. Installation of duct from Red Gate Road south to Fox Glen Drive on Route 25 is one of the pieces that needs to be completed. The original plan was for the Electric Utility to directional bore along Route 25 (\$82,612 estimate). The design and construction is very difficult due to the current and proposed utilities in the right-of-way. The construction management team explored the opportunity to share the water trench and place electric duct to the side of the water main being placed. The joint trench pricing (\$39,351.39) came in much less expensive than the directional boring plan, and required very little engineering since McHugh was already digging the trench to install the water main. A contingency fund of \$5,000 for possible complications has been added to their quote price.

**Attachments:** *(please list)*

McHugh quotation, Bid Waiver, Comparison of Quote to Directional Boring

**Recommendation / Suggested Action** *(briefly explain):*

Waive Bid Process and recommend approval of a purchase order with James McHugh Construction Co. for \$44,351.39 for installation of electric duct in coordination with water main work on Route 25.

*For office use only:*

Agenda Item Number: IB

**City of St. Charles, Illinois  
Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_**

**A Resolution Authorizing the Execution of a Contract with James  
McHugh Construction Co. for installation of electric duct in coordination  
with water main work on IL Rt. 25**

**Presented & Passed by the  
City Council on \_\_\_\_\_, 2012**

BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and City Council of the City of St. Charles, Kane and DuPage Counties, Illinois, that the Mayor and City Clerk be and the same are hereby authorized to execute a contract with James McHugh Construction Co. for installation of electric duct in coordination with water main work on IL Rt. 25 in an amount not to exceed \$44,351.39.

PRESENTED to the City Council of the City of St. Charles, Illinois, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2012

PASSED by the City Council of the City of St. Charles, Illinois, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2012

APPROVED by the Mayor of the City of St. Charles, Illinois, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2012

\_\_\_\_\_  
Donald P. DeWitte, Mayor

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

COUNCIL VOTE:

- Ayes:
- Nays:
- Absent:
- Abstain:



**Cost Comparison between McHugh in Water Trench  
And Directional Boring**

<b>Category/Supplier</b>	<b>Estimated Qty</b>	<b>Archon 2012/2013</b>	<b>Extended Cost</b>
Pit (Hand Dig)	4	\$309.00	\$1,236.00
Pit (Machine Dig)	2	\$412.00	\$824.00
6" Polypipe /ft	2750	\$22.66	\$62,315.00
1.25" Polypipe /ft	1375	\$11.07	\$15,224.69
Splice Box Install	3	\$1,004.25	\$3,012.75
Total			<b>\$82,612.44</b>
McHugh Quote			<b>\$39,351.39</b>
Contingency Funds			<b>\$5,000.00</b>
Total			<b>\$44,351.39</b>



James McHugh Construction Co.

1737 South Michigan Avenue

Chicago, Illinois 60616-1211

P 312.986.8000

F 312.431.8518

Via Email

JMCC – PCO#015R1

June 25, 2012

Mr. Tom Bruhl, PE  
Electrical Distribution Manager  
City of St. Charles  
Two East Main Street  
St. Charles, IL 60174-1984

RE: IDOT Contract #63650.  
Red Gate Road over Fox River between IL Route 31 and IL Route 25.  
St. Charles, Kane County, IL  
Subject: PCO #015R1 – IL 25 Duct Installation

Dear Mr. Bruhl:

In following with discussions with the City of St. Charles request to install electrical ducts in conjunction with and in the trench above the proposed water main from the first pole south of Fox Glen Drive to the Red Gate Road MH #8, please find attached our unit pricing / alternates for this work:

<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit Price</u>	<u>Total Price</u>
Install 2@6" and 1@1¼" PVC Sch 40 in DIP TBF (Install Only)	1,375 LF	\$ 11.87 / LF	\$16,321.25
Supply 2@6" and 1@1¼" PVC Sch 40 (Includes Galv. Stl. Fittings)	1,375 LF	\$ 12.77 / LF	\$17,558.75
Supply and Install Traceable Mule (Pull) Tape in all 3 ducts	1,375 LF	\$ 1.85 / LF	\$ 2,543.75
Encase I-25 Crossing in Concrete	50 LF	\$ 31.65 / LF	\$ 1,582.50
Install Quazite Hand Hole	3 EA	\$448.38 / LF	\$ 1,345.14
		Total	\$39,351.39

This price includes furnishing the PVC conduit with galvanized steel fittings as requested.

This price does not include any layout, permits, bonds, spoil removal or disposal, backfill, locating existing utilities, or rock excavation. Specifically, the work is intended to be in the same excavation trench as the water main work. We do not have included separate excavation, trenching, spoil removal or trench backfill if such is required.

Please provide notice of approval so that we can proceed with this work in conjunction with the forthcoming water main installation.

Sincerely,  
James McHugh Construction Co.

Joseph Bodzioch, P.E.  
Senior Project Manager

CC: Correspondence File  
Mr. Jim Bernahl, City of St. Charles  
Mr. Kevin Ahern, Benesch

**MINUTES  
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL  
GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE  
MONDAY, JULY 16, 2012**

**1. Opening of Meeting**

The meeting was convened by Chair. Martin at 7:37 p.m.

**2. Roll Call**

**Members Present:** Chair. Martin, Ald. Monken, Carrignan, Payleitner, Turner, Rogina, Krieger, and Bessner

**Members Absent:** Ald. Stellato and Lewis

**Others Present:** Chris Aiston, Mark Koenen, Chris Minick, Chief Mullen, Peggy Forster, Kathy Livernois, and Chief Lamkin

**3. Omnibus Vote**

Budget Revisions – June 2012

Motion by Ald. Krieger, second by Rogina to approve the omnibus vote as presented.

**Voice vote:** unanimous; Nays: None; Absent: Stellato and Lewis. Chair. Martin did not vote as Chair. **Motion carried.**

**4. Finance Department**

**a. Presentation of St. Charles Mental Health Board agencies:**

- i. Association Individual Development**
- ii. Ecker Center for Mental Health**
- iii. Fox Valley Volunteer Hospice**
- iv. Lazarus House**
- v. Renz Addiction Counseling Center**
- vi. Tri City Family Services**

**and recommend approval of the St. Charles 708 Mental Health Board funding allocation for FY12/13 in the amount of \$588,100.**

**Chris Minick:** As a result of a referendum that was passed many years ago by the residents of the City, every year we set aside a portion of 4 cents out of every year's tax levy for funding and support of agencies that provide mental health services for the City's residents. For fiscal year 2012/13 those allocations total \$588,100. Each year the City's 708 Mental Health Board meets, accepts applications, and makes recommendations of allocations of how to allocate that funding on an annual basis. They have proposed allocations as detailed within the packet this evening. Also groups that receive \$25K or more a year in funding must make a presentation before the City Council to outline the

plan use of those funds. Six groups are making presentations tonight: Association for Individual Development, Ecker Center for Mental Health, Fox Valley Volunteer Hospice, Lazarus House, Renz Addiction Counseling Center, and TriCity Family Services. At the conclusion of the presentations tonight, staff will be seeking a recommendation of approval of the proposals detailed in your packets.

**Association for Individual Development – Lynn O’Shea, Executive Director:** We are extremely pleased and delighted to have continued support from the City of St. Charles. AID was organized back in 1961 to provide services to children with disabilities. We were the first special education program back 52 years ago. Over the course of these 52 years we have expanded our services to include vocational training, job placement, group homes, apartment living, children therapy services, respite care, and community living for individuals with behavioral challenges. I am pleased to report that last year we provided 213,837 hours of service to St. Charles’ residents at a cost of \$1,458,015. The services we provide are critical to families of St. Charles who have children and young adults with disabilities. You’ve probably seen results of our work if you shop at Jewel. They have been an excellent employer of children with disabilities and one of our best customers. Walgreens is planning to go that same route and we are working with them now. Essentially our goal is to keep people with disabilities living in their home community, in their homes, and become adults with a place to live here in town rather than have to be placed in an institution at dramatically higher costs than what we are able to provide the service for. One bit of information I would like to share with you is as a result of the significant cuts in state funding over the last couple of years, we currently have 25 St. Charles’ residents who are funded almost exclusively from the Mental Health Board funds because there is no other source of funding for them. Times have really been difficult. As we look to the future, our primary source of referrals for individuals coming in on the waiting list is our special education program. Here in St. Charles’ District 303 they currently have 1,948 special Ed students. We now know the demand of services that we provide is here to stay for the next 10-12 years. Currently we have 125 St. Charles’ residents on the waiting list from 60174 and 49 from 60175. With your continued support we hope over time that we will be able to increase services, meet some of the individual needs on the waiting list, and continue to provide a high quality of service to St. Charles’ residents.

**Ald. Carrigan:** We appreciate all the work you do for the City and the services you bring.

**Ecker Center for Mental Health – Karen Beyer, Executive Director:** We provide crisis intervention services for people of all ages who have mental health issues and we also provide a wide array of mental health services to adults with serious mental health illnesses. 229 St. Charles’ residents received 1,680 hours of service from Ecker Center in FY2011. These services were provided in our St. Charles and Elgin offices. The services included psychiatry, nursing, case management, therapy, rehabilitation services and residential programs. Our psychiatrists provide prescriptions and diagnoses, our nurses help people obtain their medications and understand how to take them. Case managers

provide access to food, housing, clothing, entitlement programs, medical, and dental care. They also provide supervision for people who are living in the community. We provide individual and group therapies. Our rehabilitation staff helps people with mental illnesses and increases their functioning ability in the community. We also provide four levels of residential care. Short term care for people in severe crises to keep them from having to go to a hospital or more recently because hospitalization in private and the state hospitals have become so short, we're finding ourselves caring for people post-hospitalization who are not really ready to go back to their homes and live independently (that's a 2-week program). We also have group homes where people receive supervision 24 hours a day and then we have quite a number of apartments where people receive just day time supervision from morning medication to evening medication as well as we have scattered site residents in apartments in the community where people live with some subsidies that we are able to provide through HUD and then come to our offices for case management services.

As Lynn talked about earlier wrt developmental disability services, the state of Illinois is cutting back their funding drastically in terms of mental health services, they still fund all emergency services which is basically our psychiatric emergency program, but they do really little else. We are becoming a system state-wide that is spending money only on people who have Medicaid. As was said before, people with mental health problems who don't have Medicaid or insurance are the people we use your money primarily to serve. Those people don't really have any other source and I want to reassure you that the funding we receive from St. Charles 708 Mental Health Board is spent on St. Charles' residents.

In spite of these challenges we have recently been able to add one group home and an on-site independent pharmacy has located within our Elgin office. That is becoming a great help to people who come to see us and get their psychiatric medications from us. The pharmacy is providing some services for free because they are apparently able to do this and make enough money to support that kind of thing.

**Ald. Carrignan:** Once again thank you for your services. Our citizens need your services and we appreciate it very much.

**Fox Valley Volunteer Hospice – Greg Wider, Executive Director:** For over 31 years Fox Valley Volunteer Hospice has served the St. Charles community. FVVH has worked to enhance the quality of life by providing the best in compassionate services for life threatening illnesses and the bereaved. Also all services are provided free of charge. FVVH directly impacts the mental health of those we serve by providing both practical and emotional support. We offer a philosophy of care to put control back into the individual's life by providing support and assistance for immediate needs. Anyone who has experienced a death/loss in their family can understand the important needs of bereavement services. Last year Fox Valley Volunteer Hospice served 158 St. Charles' residents providing almost 6000 hours of service at a value of over \$200K. City of St. Charles is used to support our extensive youth and adult bereavement programs, provide

professional patient and family assessments, bereavement and counseling, hospice, care by our staff, volunteer training, education outreach, free equipment loans (wheel chairs, walkers), community resource library available free to the community, and ongoing workshops and continuing education.

Fox Valley Volunteer Hospice hopes to expand our youth program ASAP to develop a participatory grief program to meet the needs of the community to continue to seek collaborative partners to enhance program services such as pet therapy and continue the mission of Fox Valley Volunteer Hospice in this community.

**Lazarus House – Liz Eakins, Director:** It's a great privilege to come before you after serving the community for the past 15 years. Last year Lazarus served 172 individuals from the City of St. Charles in our homeless shelter, transitional living center, and outreach programs. That is 172 people that 15 years ago when our founding Director, Darlene Marcusson came before this body, none of us really understood or knew that they were there. Of those 172 that we served as of our ending fiscal year on June 30, 2012, we saw an increase in "nights in service" and of our children being served we saw a 40% increase in this past fiscal year. Women had a 33% increase over this same period. We understand now the impact and long term effects of the downturn of the economy is having on the families that live here in the Fox Valley. The folks that are served by our outreach program – today we have 31 households that are receiving ongoing rent subsidies through our programs; and of those 31 households, 55% of them are from St. Charles. Some of them have always had St. Charles as their home address, but we were able to find homes for them in our neighboring communities, but 55% of them were or are in the City of St. Charles.

TriCity, Renz, Ecker, Fox Valley Volunteer Hospice, AID, all these organizations are great partners in service in what we do in these communities. None of us duplicate services that the other does, but we support the families that we serve all in our unique ways. Lazarus House greatly thanks the City for their continued support and encouragement for all the efforts that we do and also the support of the Mental Health funds that we couldn't do the things we do as well without this funding.

**Chrmn. Martin:** We greatly appreciate your services. It surpasses any of our dreams – congratulations and thank you.

**Ald. Payleitner:** Thank you for tying the other partners in on how you all complement each other.

**Renz Addiction Counseling Center, Jerry Skogma, Executive Director:** Like AID we have been around for 51 years providing alcohol and drug abuse prevention, treatment of problem gambling, and HIV prevention. One of the main reasons that Renz exists is because of drug and alcohol effects on society and communities. It is highly correlated with delinquency, crime, domestic violence, and all kinds of health problems; so our mission is – if we can prevent substance abuse or treat it when it occurs, the communities

we serve are going to be healthier and safer. In fiscal year 2012 Renz Center saw 125 residents of St. Charles, about 4,500 hours of service and 36 hours of service per patient/client. Alcohol abuse continues to be a major problem in this area as it is in many of the communities we serve. There is also chronic marijuana use and recently there has been uptake in cocaine and heroin use, as well as some synthetic marijuana. Of course many of the people we see abuse many of the substances. Renz Center collaborates with a number of agencies as you heard. Ecker Center and Lazarus House are partners with a federal grant targeted for the homeless, mentally ill, and substance abuse population. We also work with TriCity Family Services and other agencies. Funds from the city go to subsidize treatment for the 125 residents that we saw this past year. I want to thank you for your continued support.

**Ald. Carrigan:** I think the point brought out just now about the inter agency collaboration makes every dollar go just a little bit farther. We appreciate all of you working on that collaboration.

**TriCity Family Services, Jim Otepka, Director:** On behalf of our board and staff I would like to express our greatest appreciation for your continued support of our efforts. I would also like to acknowledge the time and effort the members of the Mental Health Board invest in the allocation process. I can assure that they are excellent stewards of the mental health tax dollars. We were founded in 1967 and are appropriately known in this area as a counseling agency that provides family center counseling on a sliding fee scale basis to residents of the TriCity community and five townships to our west and south and over 3,000 individuals are served by one or more of our programs. Pretty consistently about a third of those every year come from the City of St. Charles due to the fact that we have some deep roots in St. Charles. The City has been a major source of referrals through the years. We serve all ages and deal with issues that span the family life cycle from post-pardon depression, anxiety to marital conflict, to a wide range of child and adolescent focused issues including acting behaviors, in school and the community peer and sibling conflict, self-destructive behaviors at times, and at times more serious manifestations of mental illness to problems related to aging and lost, the challenges of grandparents raising grandchildren. Approximately 85% of our clients come through our doors seeking help with three or more primary problems and many of our families have been impacted at one time or another by substance abuse and domestic violence. In addition to counseling and psychotherapy we also provide youth crisis intervention, stabilization to youth followed by psychiatric hospitalization. Prevention programs like our Single Mom Support Group, Bridges group for children – these families are going through separation and divorce, and Grandparents Raising Grandchildren Support Group. We also provide early intervention programs including a full range of anger management programs. We serve kids as young as kindergarten through adult in anger management groups. We continue to be active with our Wilderness Challenge Program, Post-Pardon Anxiety Support Group offered in collaboration with Cadence Health, and a broader range of services of support teams that go through our Wilderness program.

As part of the broader network of human services providers, we are active in networking efforts like the St. Charles Youth Commission, we present workshops in the community such as those we've done in D303 through their Parent University, we convene area school personnel periodically for round table discussions around topics like school avoidance and bullying, and our staff do collaborate with other providers in the service areas to provide more sensible services.

These days we never know when reimbursement for services will come through our door. Our Medicaid eligible families are increasing in number and now account for half of all the services we provide children and adolescents. Payments and reimbursement for those services can be delayed 6-12 months. Just as important 708 funding helps us to continue serving those that have always been at the core of our service population. The growing number of financially stressed, uninsured, or under insured individuals and families, sometimes referred to as the working poor, do not qualify for public aid services and lack the means to obtain the services they need in the private practice marketplace. As you can appreciate that the recession has been declared to be officially over, the forecast hasn't changed much significantly for many of the families we serve. If you talk with the therapist they can tell you the impact it is having on some of these families. Families and marriages that were vulnerable before the recession, many of them have broken as a result of the rate of unemployment. The other side of that same coin, families that are falling apart sometimes find it necessary to financially stay together and that can be devastating to all members of the family and certainly the children. We see more grandparents coming out of retirement to care for their grandchildren while the mom and dad try to piece together several part-time jobs and every day we see more kids exhibiting more severe symptoms of anxiety and depression. Jerry mentioned we are seeing a greater use of synthetic marijuana and its true. It certainly does complicate our counseling services as does increase selling and sharing of psycho stimulant medications in school settings. All those really combine to make our work much more challenging and important.

With the bottom falling out state funded mental health services the community mental health board really is a shining light in the City of St. Charles in supporting human service organizations who serve those in need. Your Mental Health Board demonstrates everyday what community is really all about and in a powerful way enriches the quality of life for all of us. Thank you again for all your support.

**Ald. Rogina:** I would like to summarize my feelings by simply paraphrasing a great author "Whenever we give to least of our brethren, give to each other." These six organizations that have come before us tonight exemplify this tremendously.

**Ald. Carrigan:** John Rabchuk who is sitting here tonight and chairs the committee – I had a chance to sit on the committee with him for a little while – and John has been very instrumental in reorganizing this board and making sure that impactful dollars get to the right people. When John and I were first on the board together we had 30/40 organizations and what John did was to recognize that this is a mental health board and

let's focus on how we can help people with mental health. He put the laser on it and did a great job so that impactful dollars are there not just token dollars. So John, I thank you and your board for your service – great job!

**John:** I appreciate the opportunity very much to serve the City and get to know all these agencies and the people who they serve. They're the ones that do the good work and we try to secure the money for the citizens of St. Charles and I think we do a good job of it. We always are trying ways to improve it and it's been my pleasure to serve on the board.

**Chris Minick:** As I mentioned we are seeking a motion to approve the funding allocations contained in the packet tonight pending any further questions you may have.

Motion by Ald. Carrigan, second by Krieger to recommend approval of the St. Charles 708 Mental Health Board funding allocation for FY12/13 in the amount of \$588,100.

**Roll Call:** Ayes: Monken, Carrigan, Payleitner, Turner, Rogina, Krieger, Bessner; Nays: None; Absent: Stellato, Lewis. Chair. Martin did not vote as Chair. **Motion carried.**

**b. Monthly Update regarding City's Financial Results for April 2012 – Information Only.**

**Chris Minick:** The report was included in the packet this evening. The unaudited results for the end of April are much the same as I've been reporting to you for the last several months. The General Fund is essentially in a break even position. The Electric Fund non-operating revenues (those are revenues other than from the sales of electricity) are slightly lower than anticipated, therefore the Electric Fund is slightly worse than we anticipated on an unaudited basis. The Water Fund is better off than we anticipated due to their expenditures. Unless the committee has any specific questions, this concludes my report.

**5. Additional Items**  
**None.**

**6. Adjournment**

Motion by Carrigan second by Krieger to adjourn meeting at 8:10 p.m.

**Voice vote:** unanimous; Nays: None; Absent: Carrigan. Chair. Martin did not vote as Chair. **Motion carried.**

:tn

**MINUTES  
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL  
GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING  
MONDAY, JUNE 25 2012, 7:00 P.M.**

**Members Present:** Chairman Stellato, Vice-Chairman Turner, Aldr. Monken, Aldr. Carrigan, Aldr. Payleitner, Aldr. Rogina, Aldr. Martin, Aldr. Krieger, Aldr. Bessner, Aldr. Lewis

**Others Present:** Mayor Donald P. DeWitte, Brian Townsend, City Administrator; Mark Koenen, Director of Public Works; Richard Gallas, Asst. Director of Public Works; James Bernahl, Public Works Engineering Manager; John Lamb, Environmental Services Manager; Peter Suhr, Public Services Manager; Tom Bruhl Electric Services Manager; James Lamkin, Police Chief; Dave Kintz, Deputy Police Chief; Rich Clark, Traffic Police Officer; Patrick Mullen, Fire Chief; Joe Schelstreet, Asst. Fire Chief

**1. Meeting called to order at 7:00 p.m.**

**2. Roll Call**

**K. Dobbs:**

Stellato: Present  
 Monken: Present  
 Carrigan: Present  
 Payleitner: Present  
 Turner: Present  
 Rogina: Present  
 Martin: Present  
 Krieger: Present  
 Bessner: Present  
 Lewis: Present

**3.a. Electric Reliability Report, May 2012**  
 Information only.

**Chairman Stellato:** Can you please give us an update on the outage last night?

**Mr. Bruhl:** Last night ComEd had a pole failure within their yard at the substation in West Chicago that impacted approximately 2,750 customers in the City of St. Charles.

Those customers were in all four quadrants of the city. The pole is being replaced today, so we hope to be back to normal tomorrow.

The method of restoration is that we reserve capacity on the other lines that come in from ComEd. We called ComEd immediately and they gave us authorization to move our entire customer load onto a backup line. That was facilitated and we put everyone back on power by 10:15 pm, which was a 40-45 minute outage for those customers.

This was their first sustained outage of the year.

No further discussion.

**3.b. EAB Control Efforts**

Information only.

**4.a Recommendation to deny the request for a four-way stop at the intersection of 7<sup>th</sup> Street and State Street.**

**Chief Lamkin presented.** This was a request to review 7<sup>th</sup> Street and State Street to make it a four way stop intersection. I'm going to ask Officer Rich Clark to talk about the crash that occurred at 6<sup>th</sup> and State Street.

**Officer Clark:** On the Friday of RiverFest there was a crash at 6<sup>th</sup> and State Street. There was a female driver going westbound on State Street approaching the stop sign at 6<sup>th</sup> Street. There was a Napa Auto truck heading southbound on 6<sup>th</sup> Street, approaching the intersection, and he had no stop sign. She ended up pulling out and t-boning him in the intersection and pinned him up against the side of the pole. No one was injured in the crash, although his vehicle was flipped on its side. She was cited at that time for failure to yield at an intersection. In my conversation with her, she stated that while she lives in California now, she was from St. Charles, so she was familiar with the area. She said she came up to the intersection and looked both ways, normally she looks twice but in this case she didn't think she did, and she just flat out didn't see him and pulled out and struck him.

**Chief Lamkin:** I'm going to ask Deputy Chief Dave Kintz to come up now and talk about the warrant study and give you an overview on what the intent of the warrant studies are.

**Deputy Chief Kintz:** As you are aware, the Illinois Vehicle Code adopts the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices in saying that all the standards for speed limits, stop signs, etc., have to be followed throughout the nation so that no matter where you drive, you should expect everything to be similar. When we look at an area where we have a stop sign, we follow the guidelines that are in the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices and something we would do is a warrant study.

The three main areas we would look at for a stop sign to see if they would be appropriate at an intersection would be for a four way stop. Right now, at 7<sup>th</sup> and State, we do have a two way stop there. If traffic signals are going up at that intersection, then you can automatically put a four way stop in until the installation of the traffic signals is complete. Obviously traffic signals are not going to be installed at this intersection.

The second thing we would look at is a crash problem. You are looking at five or more crashes in a 12 month period that would be correctable by a stop sign, i.e. turning problems, site line problems. In the last three years we have not had any crashes in that intersection, so obviously the two way stop from our standpoint is functioning properly.

The third general area we would look at would be minimum volumes and that's where we look at the number of cars going through there. Right now, the average we have on State Street is about 1,600-1,700 cars per day. I think it's worth noting that when we look historically at that area on State Street, we were about 3,100 cars per day when St. Pat's was operating as a school, so that has significantly dropped. On 7<sup>th</sup> Street, there are only about 550 cars per day for both approaches there. We don't have a high volume there which is not going to create a lot of delays, so if someone has to sit there and wait for a long time for a break in traffic, then those are the things we would take into consideration with the volumes there.

In summary, those are the three things we look at and with no crashes and fairly low volume, the two way stop there seems to be working properly.

**Chief Lamkin:** Because the placement of stop signs is required to have an engineering study that supports that, from our perspective, in the absence of that, it makes enforcement difficult. If we did site someone for disobeying a stop sign and they challenge that, we would not have an engineering study that would support placing it there.

**Aldr. Carrignan:** When did you do your traffic count?

**Officer Clark:** We used the metal counters that measure the cars as they drive over, so we can put them down for anywhere from a 24 hour period to longer and get an average over several days. We are looking at non-holidays, normal traffic during the week, with no rain or snow.

**Aldr. Carrignan:** This was done in the last six months?

**Officer Clark:** Yes. I did them both within the last month.

**Aldr. Carrignan:** School was in session?

**Officer Clark:** For State Street yes, but not for 7<sup>th</sup> Street.

**Mr. Petinka:** My name is James Petinka, I live at 808 State Street and I did request a study done for a four way stop sign placed at the intersection of 7<sup>th</sup> and State. I understand there are warrants that weren't met for this intersection. However, it is a unique intersection. State Street is a residential street with no sidewalks. Pedestrians are walking in the street. 7<sup>th</sup> Street is at the crest of the hill and you don't have a good field of vision as you travel down State Street. One of the houses has large bushes, so you can't necessarily see around the corner. Cars are parked all along State Street and it does limit your visibility.

My main concern is the fact that recently, after they removed the crossing guard on Rt. 64, the bus stop for the elementary school is at the intersection of 7<sup>th</sup> and State. My daughter had to cross the street there to catch her bus. Even though St. Pat's is now just a preschool, there is a significant amount of traffic on our street in the morning. The bus depot is down at the end of Dean Street, and it's a dangerous situation for children to be crossing the street in the morning. There are at least three grade school children who live on my street who use that intersection to catch the bus every morning.

I do have photographs of the intersection, and I can e-mail to anyone who wants them.

**Aldr. Rogina:** Jim, you said there are at least three children who are crossing State Street to get the bus.

**Mr. Petinka:** There are three elementary age students; it's also the bus stop for the high school.

**Aldr. Rogina:** To your knowledge, do Illinois Central buses still come down State Street?

**Mr. Petinka:** I'm not sure what buses they are.

**Aldr. Rogina:** You referred to the bus barn, and I guess my only point would be that I'm not sure they are allowed to be down State Street, but D303 buses are certainly allowed to go in there.

**Mr. Petinka:** I'm normally at work so I don't typically see what they are.

**Chairman Stellato:** Starting at Rt. 31 going west on State Street, can anyone tell me where the stop signs are on each intersecting road? There's one at 6<sup>th</sup>, obviously, is there anything else along that way?

**Mr. Petinka:** That's the only stop sign until you get to 9<sup>th</sup> Street where that turns into Dean.

**Chairman Stellato:** What about going north/south across State?

**Aldr. Rogina:** 5<sup>th</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> all have stop signs going north/south. Are the warrants at some point met for the stop sign at 6<sup>th</sup> and State going east/west? What is the rationale for stop signs there as opposed to 7<sup>th</sup>?

**Deputy Chief Kintz:** I can only speak anecdotally as to why that might be there because that stop sign predates my employment with the city. If you recall, with the industrial park up north, 6<sup>th</sup> Street would have been a major truck traffic route to get up that way. 6<sup>th</sup> and State is a two way stop, just like 7<sup>th</sup> and State, so neither intersection has an all way stop, so it's just a matter of which direction is being stopped at this point.

**Aldr. Rogina:** I know Mr. Petinka is asking for a four way stop there, but let's go back to the warrant situation; is it irrational to have a stop sign at 7<sup>th</sup> and State going east/west and remove the stop sign at 6<sup>th</sup> and State? You still have one stop sign if the intent is to slow the flow of traffic and still address his concern.

**Deputy Chief Kintz:** That is something we would have to take a look at to determine what the speeds are along State, find out if there are any other bus stops along there. We would be more than happy to do a site visit when school opens to take a look at the problem, if it's a speeding problem or a stop arm violation problem which the school district reports to us. None of which would be corrected by putting a stop sign in there, but we could obviously take a look at the all-encompassing problem, as opposed to putting one in there. Now, in terms of switching those, that would take another study on Officer Clark's part to take a look and then we would have to look at the unintended consequences there. If we take it out, are we going to have the same complaint a block down? We have to look at the ripple effect.

**Aldr. Rogina:** Mr. Petinka referred to this, and I've referred to it numerous times publically as well. Was there any conversation while looking at the warrant about the uniqueness of that stretch of road? There is no sidewalk there. I've seen people walk in the street with baby strollers. I can only imagine during festivals when there are cars all over. You referred to the fact that there have been no accidents over there, and that's good. I was interested because there was reference made earlier to possible liability by the city should we put a stop sign in there and then I read the legal response and it was the tort immunity protects us, but my concern is future liability if someone does get hurt.

Another question – have we ever put stop signs anywhere in this city without warrants?

**Deputy Chief Kintz:** Yes, and it sets up a problem. It's not only just a tort liability there in terms of what the city's responsibility is, but it also speaks to the enforcement problem and what our options are then and what the officers can do and then what the State's Attorney and/or city can do with those tickets. If we can't issue a ticket, or if we do issue one, the court has an obligation to dismiss it and we aren't going to issue any written citations for that.

**Aldr. Rogina:** You really did a nice job on this point. I'm not looking to make a motion tonight to put a stop sign there. If any other committee member wants to do that, it's their business. I'd like staff to work with this resident and anyone else in that area to see if we can come up with a reasonable solution to the problem, given their concerns. Officer Clark did make a key point in his memo when he said "none of the warrants for an all way stop are met, it should be noted a new study would be required if the proposed development of Lexington Club gets built". My suspicion is that many of the residents know that may be coming, and if it is, the additional traffic will come from that.

I would like the committee to think about this whether Lexington goes in or not. My issue is a sidewalk there between 7<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup>. Absent any major protest by the neighborhood, that is almost essential at this point. As the third ward alderman, I'd like to see staff work with Mr. Petinka and others to at least take a look as the school year starts what the issues might be there.

**Chief Lamkin:** When we were asked to do this, we did it based on the known facts at the time the request came. If the concern has more to do with the school bus situation, we can talk to the school district about that. If it doesn't meet warrant for stop signs, there may be a better place to pick up the children. I don't know that at this point, but that is something we have done in the past, and that is certainly something we can investigate.

**Aldr. Rogina:** If he has some assurance of that, I think we've met our obligation as a committee and as a city council.

**Deputy Chief Kintz:** We obviously have the same concern when it comes to traffic. We don't want to wait for someone to get hit. But as I mentioned before, we also have to look at the unintended consequences. If we put up a stop sign where it doesn't meet warrants and it's not expected by a driver, that's where you are going to have more running and gunning from that area, you are going to have more of a propensity to violate that stop sign and when the child goes to that bus and sees the red octagon and is expecting a car to stop, I'm almost setting that child up for something else because he expects the car to stop, but the car is not expecting that stop sign and doesn't stop. That's why we want to make sure we are absolutely clear when we put these things up.

**Aldr. Rogina:** I absolutely agree with everything you said, and I also think the Chief is to be commended. I thought he gave a reasonable response to Mr. Petinka the first time around. I'm just familiar with the uniqueness of that two block area that needs to some special treatment. When I say special treatment, I don't necessarily mean a stop sign. I don't know what it is, I'm not the expert, but I tend to side with the constituent just to the extent that I think we need to help them out and take a look at. You are the professionals, so you are in the position to work with them. That was my whole point.

**Aldr. Krieger:** The trucks that used to come and go from Holly's use 7<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup>. As an office worker, we were very unhappy with the stop sign on 6<sup>th</sup>, so I don't think the truck traffic had anything to do with that.

**Chairman Stellato:** You said there were a lot of bushes on the corner?

**Mr. Petinka:** Yes, on the northeast corner, they have a row of bushes that are six feet tall. Especially if there are cars parked along the 600 block of State Street, you can't see to your left when you approach the stop sign heading south on 7<sup>th</sup>. I know the studies stated the cars were travelling approximately 31 mph through that the intersection. If you don't see a car nosing out and you are travelling at 31 mph, it's dangerous.

**Chairman Stellato:** It sounds like we need to take a look at that. I don't know what our jurisdiction is on someone's private property like that, but maybe we have site lines we can respect. We need to see how the sidewalk would impact things and it sounds like when school starts we need to look at this again? Is that what you are alluding to, Ray?

**Aldr. Rogina:** Yes, I'd like to make sure that when school begins, staff is involved with the neighborhood to take a look at what can be done there to make them feel safe. That's a very generic statement, but I'm not here to be the authority on this.

**Mr. Petinka:** I also circulated a petition and I went in one block of each direction of that intersection. Everyone that I spoke with signed the petition. There were seven residences that weren't home, and two of those were vacant.

**Chairman Stellato:** Perhaps you can forward those to us, and the photographs as well. I'm assuming tonight we're not going to make a decision, so maybe it's in order to table this issue to our next meeting? Perhaps you can spend some time, look at the bushes, see how the sidewalk would impact things and reopen this discussion next month?

**Aldr. Rogina:** Mr. Petinka knows he and I can talk any time.

**Mr. Petinka:** I also wanted to mention that if you go further west down Dean Street, there is a 20 mph school zone speed limit when children are present and there are actually lines painted in the street. Something like that would help, also, but I was thinking a stop sign would be an easy solution.

**Deputy Chief Kintz:** We'll take an all-encompassing look at the neighborhood and then we'll talk to the school district as well to see if there is something that can be done there.

**Chairman Stellato:** If there are no other questions or comments, a motion to table is in order.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrigan, seconded by Aldr. Monken. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**4.b. Recommendation to approve street and parking lot closures for the Fox Valley Marathon.**

**Chief Lamkin presented.** This is the third annual Fox Valley Marathon, which is similar to previous years. In my memo, I outlined a couple things that are different, but the closures are the same. I have Dave Chablis here tonight, and I'd like to allow him to address the Council.

**Mr. Chablis:** Dave Chablis, 513 Steeple Chase Road, St. Charles, and I'm representing the Fox Valley Marathon.

**Aldr. Krieger:** I'm questioning the amplified sound at 6:15 a.m. on a Sunday morning.

**Mr. Chablis:** We've had that previously; we aren't changing anything from the last two years. It is necessary for the safety and organization of the runners. Aldr. Rogina, you were there last year; how was it for you?

**Aldr. Rogina:** I ran it last year and I thought you did a wonderful job, but I think my colleague is anticipating the complaint calls she will receive. As far as the overall event, I'm a big fan.

**Mr. Chablis:** It certainly is not intended to be a nuisance, and the noise is largely at the beginning of the race. These runners all take off at 7:00 am and then they come back over a four to five hour period of time, so after that the noise does go down considerably. It's not just to be noisy, there is a reason for it.

**Chairman Stellato:** You've agreed to pay the city costs?

**Mr. Chablis:** Absolutely, as we have every year. I want to mention that we are selling out again this year. We have runners coming from four continents, 37 states. It is a top 30 Boston qualifier. 25% of our runners are first time runners. This is a big national event and getting bigger for the right reasons.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrigan, seconded by Aldr. Monken. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**4.c. Recommendation to approve the use of the 1<sup>st</sup> Street Plaza for a Green Eggs & Ham public fundraising breakfast for Steele Beam Theatre.**

**Chief Lamkin presented.** This is a fundraiser breakfast for the Steele Beam Theater. I'm bringing this to you this evening because of the use of the Plaza public space for this event. There is no alcohol involved, and the hours are specified for you in your packet. They will be responsible for set up, clean up and putting things back the way it should be each day. They have folks to come in and cook the food on site.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrigan, seconded by Aldr. Rogina. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**5.a. Recommendation to approve "Honorary" Street designation for Oak Street annex west of Randall Road in honor of Kane County Flea Market 45<sup>th</sup> Anniversary.**

**Richard Gallas presented.** The Kane County Flea Market is celebrating its 45<sup>th</sup> Anniversary in its St. Charles location. As part of this anniversary, they have requested an honorary proclamation for the Oak Street annex road from Randall Road west towards the entrance to the market. Specifically, they are requesting an honorary street sign stating "Flea Market Way". For simplicity, city staff would install the sign in the city right-of-way and it is included as one of your attachments this evening. This honorary proclamation will in no way impact the legal name of the street and no address changes will be required.

USA Today published an article this past week proclaiming the Kane County Flea Market as one of the top ten best places in our nation to seek out antiques.

Staff recommends approving the proclamation for this purpose.

**Aldr. Rogina:** I think we should do it in more places in our town. I like it.

**Mr. Robinson:** My name is Ken Robinson. It's been 45 years since my Grandmother started in Wasco with 10 to 15 dealers and we are over 1,000 dealers now. I just want to say on behalf of the family, this is truly an honor; I appreciate it. Thank you!

**Aldr. Turner:** So this will not change the name of the street permanently?

**Mr. Gallas:** No, absolutely not. We'll put it in the city's right of way to avoid any confusion.

**Aldr. Turner:** I truly disagree with you, Ray. I really don't want to see those things all over the place.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrignan, seconded by Aldr. Rogina. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**5.b. Recommendation to direct staff to prepare a policy on Driveway Restoration (private property side) adjacent to sidewalks.**

**Mark Koenen presented.** The purpose for this conversation tonight is a carry-over from last month. We had a presentation regarding a driveway repair that the Public Works Office had done in a residential neighborhood, where we had to replace the sidewalk which caused us to take out a section of the private side of the driveway. Committee asked Staff to do some research, which we have done. Staff including Richard Gallas, Peter Suhr and Jim Bernahl has put together some information that we will go through for your consideration.

Power Point presentation by Mark Koenen

One of the items you asked specifically for is the cost. Last month I shared with you that our street program has been reduced during the past couple years with respect to balancing the budget. In 2011, as part of our annual street maintenance program, the patch in driveways of the right-of-way only (in locations where sidewalk repairs were required) was about \$1,671 to build those strips where we had to do the sidewalk repairs adjacent to private driveways. If we were to go back to those same locations a year ago and do the entire driveways from the sidewalk back to the garage, it translates to approximately \$52,000 on the project.

For the 2012 program, the same patch at the various locations totals to about \$5,000 and if you extend it to do the whole driveways it's about \$89,000, so there is an increase to our program in the amount of \$84,000.

We had a conversation with some of our peer communities in the Fox Valley area to find out what their practices are to make sure what we do here in St. Charles is consistent with our peer municipal counterparts. The City of Geneva generally replaces driveways if they have to, and they would only go a foot or less beyond the sidewalk; they make every effort to minimize impacts to private driveways. Repairs are only made to the impacted area, which means they may not repair the total length across the driveway (if by chance they only had to replace a slab or two of sidewalk).

The City of Aurora always sends advance notice to the property and only does repairs in the impacted area. They will not necessarily go all the way across your driveway.

The City of Elgin has a similar practice where they only do the impacted area and they replace it with like material.

Our practice appears to be consistent with what the industry is doing.

The recommendation that staff would like to offer you to consider is to direct us to create a policy to document the practice that Public Works has been doing for years; and then we would also suggest that we continue the practice as we are doing it right now. If we cut a driveway on the private side, we would repair the driveway corresponding to the sidewalk to repair.

Additionally, a pro-active step is promotion to suggest to property owners if they are going to redo their driveway they contact us. The city then could inspect the sidewalk to see if it needs to be repaired or rebuilt.

**Chairman Stellato:** The last point you made hits home to me. I believe that when we look at a concrete square and there is a variance, we have to attack that to protect liability. If it's in front of someone's driveway I think we have to treat it completely different than we do anywhere else, just because of what we discovered going through this process.

Your point about being proactive and inviting the homeowner to participate is one part. The second part is, whoever goes out and inspects all these sidewalks needs to be very cognizant of the fact and make it a special case. The driveway we are talking about today is asphalt, and I believe whatever we do going forward from the sidewalk to the house is going to pale in comparison when we run across a concrete drive or a brick paver or stamped concrete. We are entering into an arena where people are going to be upset about it if we don't replace it with like materials. So besides being proactive in addressing the customers, we also need to talk to the contractors. Only in a dire case should we replace that square in front of someone's driveway.

We need to see if a repair can be made, and then we need to let the homeowner know we are going to do it. You can almost treat it as a special case, if it came in front of the Council each time and you said "here's five of them, what do you want to do". I think we need to be the conduit between the resident and the Public Works Department because we cannot just randomly do this. It's been shown now that it's going to cause hard feelings no matter what by the time we are done.

**Aldr. Krieger:** Years ago when they replaced some sidewalk in front of my house, the outside contractor took up the old section and put in what was like a piece of metal that had stakes on it. We only had two holes for repair as far as the lawn was concerned. Is there any way to do it that way?

**Mr. Suhr:** I do recall that we have used that form before. The one thing I might offer is that anytime we can get into a situation like that and not disturb the driveway, we try to do so. I think that was the case in your neighborhood. We are successful a lot of times in that case, but it depends on the edge condition of the existing drive. With asphalt driveways it's a much more difficult process to keep that edge solid. We have used that technique in the past, but it really is a case by case situation. I don't think it's something we can use for every single case.

**Aldr. Lewis:** Mark, you raised a point that I'm not clear about. If there were a stamped driveway, would you replace it with a stamped asphalt driveway?

**Mr. Koenen:** We haven't had that happen. But I do know we had an entrance walk that was like that and we tried to do it, the coloring didn't match and the homeowner was very disappointed with what we did.

**Aldr. Lewis:** So we have been putting something in place to repair it with like material, but it's not going to match up.

**Mr. Koenen:** What I've heard tonight was Aldr. Krieger's comment about this metal form. This driveway here looks like it's in really good condition and maybe this metal form would have worked.

**Aldr. Krieger:** It would lessen the impact.

**Mr. Koenen:** Yes, it would. But it might still need to be filled with tar.

**Mr. Bernahl:** Aldr. Lewis, to answer your question, the city's policy now is no, we would not. That would be considered a specialty item, similar to stamped concrete, brick pavers or exposed aggregate. In most cases, when you install those types of specialty items, you need to obtain a permit. You may recall that as part of the permit, there would be a Hold Harmless Agreement signed, similar to if you put a sprinkler system or any other specialty item in the parkway; it holds the homeowner responsible to repair the specialty item should we have to come in and do work. We'll take as much precaution as we can to preserve what's there, but should it get damaged, it would be up to the homeowners to replace it at their own cost.

**Aldr. Bessner:** Mark, is there any chance it could be blended any further when this is done in the future so it's more smooth, and at the same time, would resealing the entire driveway be an answer after that?

**Mr. Koenen:** I think all those things are possible. I think the standard we've worked with historically comes from Building and Code Enforcement who deal with private property issues. The code obligates us to provide a driveway that is functional that serves the purpose for which it exists; there is no comment about aesthetics. In looking at this picture, I would argue that we met that test under our code for a private driveway approach, but it doesn't deal with the appearance. If you sealed this, it would blend in better because it would be a uniform top coat.

**Aldr. Carrigan:** My question is to you, Chairman Stellato. But first I want to say that reaching out and letting residents know this is going to happen is crucial. As a policy, I would expect that from us. But my question is – I thought you said you want anything from the sidewalk up to the house. Then I look at some of the driveways that Mark

showed us earlier and I can't see putting the city in that position. The city has our obligations, and it's not to replace their driveway; it's to fix the problem at hand.

**Chairman Stellato:** The driveways that were shown do not have a sidewalk running through it so there would be no reason to replace the sidewalk.

**Mr. Koenen:** We replace driveway approaches (due to curb replacement), so where does the approach end? We probably would go to the right-of-way line because there would be a cut-off point.

**Chairman Stellato:** All I'm saying is the pro-active approach is a) let the homeowner know if they are going to replace their driveway, they should have the city look at the sidewalk and b) if we are going to replace the sidewalk, someone needs to let the homeowner know that might be happening and to try to do everything to avoid the situation.

**Aldr. Carrignan:** How many times in a year are we going to go across that line and onto private property? Is that an expectation or an exception to the rule?

**Mr. Bernahl:** It can vary depending on the age of the neighborhood that we're in. If we are in a newer subdivision, chances are the sidewalks themselves are in pretty good shape and maybe we are just doing repairs to some curb; we replace the aprons, for which we already have our policy in place as directed by Council. One year we may only hit four locations, but if we have a bad street where the sidewalk needs a lot of repair and we are doing that as part of our street rehab, it could be 15-20 locations on one block.

**Aldr. Carrignan:** What I don't want is 20, 25, 30 showing up here for that exception. I think there should be a policy that states...

**Chairman Stellato:** I understand where you're going.

**Mr. Koenen:** I think what we've learned by talking to our municipal neighbors is people treat these very carefully, and only in the worst of conditions do they take out the sidewalks across driveways because of the situation we are discussing here tonight.

**Aldr. Carrignan:** I think if you compare us to our peers, we do a reasonable job and are in our peer group, with the exception of advance notification. I think advance notification takes a lot of the sting out of the repair that is going to take place. I say let's work that as a policy for a while and see where we end up.

**Chairman Stellato:** I don't disagree with you there. I think the only issue we still have is when we get down to these specialty driveways, the Hold Harmless is great and sounds wonderful when you sign it because everything is brand new. When the problem happens and you are going to get the same calls I get where someone is going to want it replaced with like kind. So the thought is, let's not put ourselves in that situation. Let's

try to avoid doing that by being pro-active. Today we have the particular location at 1561 Banbury we have three issues. We have a turf issue that wasn't replaced properly and we've had discussions about that. I hope that's been taken care of. We have a gutter/curb issue that apparently has not been taken care of. They tried to grind it down, but I got an e-mail back that it wasn't fixed properly so I'd like somebody to follow up on that. If worst case is, it's a contracted job anyway, have them replace it. They have to fix it to our liking, I'm assuming. The third thing is going forward from the sidewalk to the driveway, the question of the day is, what do we do? Do we seal it, do we replace the whole thing? What is the situation on this particular driveway? That's the question I'm putting out there for Council.

**Aldr. Lewis:** This looks like it's more than a foot.

**Chairman Stellato:** It's 27 inches at one part and 24 feet at the other.

**Mr. Bernahl:** We met the firm that grinds our sidewalks at the site. My understanding was that the homeowner was satisfied with the curb and gutter repair and the repair was completed.

**Chairman Stellato:** I got an e-mail to the contrary.

**Mr. Bushong:** My name is Glen Bushong, I live at 1561 Banbury. I was in favor of the grinding of the curb. I would rather see the curb ground down than replaced. I think it looks better ground and I was concerned about the cost.

**Mr. Bernahl:** All that work has been completed; the curb was ground down already.

**Mr. Bushong:** Yes, it is ground down and they put a trench from the center of the driveway down. It's not a straight trench, it zig zags.

**Chairman Stellato:** The turf has been taken care of?

**Mr. Bushong:** No, the turf has not been taken care of.

**Mr. Bernahl:** That is due to the weather conditions, but it's under warranty so it will be taken care of.

**Mr. Bushong:** To get back to the history of this thing. My wife and I knew that you were coming out last fall. We asked them about the two new pieces of sidewalk on the corner. We asked if they really needed to be replaced; it didn't look like it needed to be replaced. The only piece that really looked like it needed to be replaced is the center sidewalk with big hole in the side of it. The contractors who were out there said that no, that two pieces needed to be replaced and the one that has the hole is fine. They came back and this is the end result; 24 inches on one end, 27 inches on the other. I even asked one of the guys to come out and look at that hole and see if it now needs to be replaced;

people do stumble across it. They don't trip and fall but they stumble on it. At what point is this going to end? It's been going on for many months. I've got neighbors across the street who have their apron replaced, their sidewalks across their driveway replaced with no cut on the homeowners side. What I wonder is what kind of job did they do when they made their cut? A lot of variables; the age of the driveway, the age of the cement, the age of the subdivision...but how much care actually was taken? Falling back on rules and regulations doesn't mean accountable. Is it a perfect driveway? No. Is it a new driveway, no? It's 6 to 8 year old driveway. That's not the point. It's the workmanship, it's the pride of what the city does when they go in and do something. The pride isn't there. The workmanship isn't there. That's what really concerns me.

**Aldr. Carrigan:** Who determines what sidewalks need replacing? The contractor, or us?

**Mr. Koenen:** The city does.

**Aldr. Lewis:** Who does the work? Public Works, or do you outsource it?

**Mr. Koenen:** It could be either or. In this particular case, it was the contractor.

**Chairman Stellato:** We are starting to come back to that discussion we had about the contractor's liability on this. Was he overzealous on this driveway and should they be the person replacing that driveway? It sounds like you have more research to do and you have to come back with some type of policy that we need to look at, but just think about that as well.

**Aldr. Carrigan:** If you go back to the whole policy question; we have to address the notification. The policy itself, being equal to our peers with what our standards are, I think we are supplying the right guidelines. I think management of the subcontractor might be the issue that seems to be in play here. Do we have the right subcontractor doing this work? Maybe that's more the question than the policy we have in place.

**Mr. Koenen:** I would offer the following. The saw cut line not being parallel to the sidewalk is a problem. If we made that cut perfectly parallel, would that change this conversation? I'm guessing not. The question is, was this just the wrong technique? Maybe there should have been this metal form that Aldr. Krieger refers to that would have minimized this problem all together. What I think we have in front of us here is an aesthetics issue. I'm going to ask the question; is the City of St. Charles going to be concerned about aesthetics issues when we are dealing with functional matters like this? I understand the sensitivity of it, but it does work and it does satisfy under our code.

**Aldr. Bessner:** My concern over time is that we'll be in the situation of a hodge podge design that is going to fall into place all over the city. That's my concern over the long run. If we keep this up, it's going to be out of whack.

**Chairman Stellato:** I think that came up when we had this discussion a few years ago. It's purely aesthetic. It functioned, but people were upset about them. So yes, I believe aesthetics are very important to me.

**Aldr. Lewis:** To me, too. If that were my driveway, I'd be very unhappy.

**Aldr. Carrignan:** My question is, where does the money come from? How do we pay for the aesthetics?

**Aldr. Lewis:** I agree with what he is saying. I don't believe the work was done properly and it might be a case of a different contractor who does better work, and that isn't necessarily more expensive.

**Aldr. Carrignan:** I agree with you. I don't think this is an aesthetic issue, Mark, my perception is that it's a contractor issue. If we look at the current policy we have, the policy for functionality has worked a majority of the time. Where it hasn't worked, what has been the difference? Maybe aesthetics is another word for the quality of the workmanship by the contractor. I think that's the road we want to drive down to make sure they understand the quality that's expected.

**Mr. Koenen:** It requires us to have a different spec, then. If the goal we are achieving is no line on the property side, that means we need to spec how we want them to do that. We haven't done that historically. We didn't tell the contractor how to build it, we told them what the goal was. I can appreciate that.

**Aldr. Rogina:** We should expect quality workmanship. Aesthetics is important and I agree with Aldr. Carrignan that we are not a bottomless pit of money, but I think we can demand good workmanship.

**Aldr. Bessner:** I think stamped concrete is the toughest one. Pavers you can pull out and put back in. Smooth concrete you can sand down to eliminate any lines.

**Chairman Stellato:** Sounds like we have more work to do. You are going to take a look at the curb and the turf issue will take care of itself. You want to come back with some type of pro-active policy for us and then we need to talk next time again about the aesthetics and what we want to do on this particular driveway. Do you want to bring the contractor here?

**Mr. Koenen:** Let us have a conversation with our contractor. He has been somewhat disengaged at this point in time, but we'll bring him back in to see what he's willing to do and then we'll report back.

**Mr. Bushong:** If the city thinks the curb is fine, I'm good with it. If I can save the city some money; aesthetics, yes, now there is going to be a patch from the front of the

driveway. When they take the curb out and replace it, that's going to be expensive. If we can eliminate it, I'm good with that.

No further discussion.

**5.c. Recommendation to approve contract for water service to resident at 36W171 Indian Mound Road.**

**John Lamb presented.** As you may know, the Wildrose Subdivision is outside the city limits, but is serviced by city water and sanitary. There are a number of homes there that are serviced by both, or only one of the utilities, and some that don't have either. As a provision of the Four Companies Agreement that dates back to 1964, the homeowners in that area can request both or one or the other services, and that's what the homeowner at this lot on Indian Mound Road is doing.

Staff recommends approval of the attached contract for water service.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrignan, seconded by Aldr. Monken. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**5.d. Presentation to provide update on IL Route 64 Projects – Information only**

**James Bernahl presented.** Please notice that I gave each of you a copy of the IL Rt. 64 project update. I post this update weekly and it contains information regarding the current status of the projects, what occurred previously, what is coming up this week. Would the Alderman prefer that I add them to the weekly mailing list that I use for this and not do this presentation?

**Chairman Stellato:** Yes, that's perfect. That would save us a lot of time. Very good.

**James Bernahl:** If that works better for you, then you'll all get a copy of this in your e-mail weekly and we'll go from there?

**Chairman Stellato:** Yes, great.

**James Bernahl:** Shall I continue with the update this time?

**Aldr. Carrignan:** No, we'll read the update.

**Mr. Bernahl:** Just one note, we had a preconstruction meeting with IDOT for the IL Rt. 64 (7<sup>th</sup> Street to 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue) traffic signal improvement. Right now, it looks like they are going to be starting in September. It's a 45 day schedule, so that work will be completed in November.

**Aldr. Krieger:** I'd like to make the recommendation that everyone check out the Red Gate Bridge website. It's updated on a weekly basis, and there is a webcam to watch the activity taking place.

No further discussion.

**5.e. Recommendation to approve Change Orders for IL Rt. 64 Sanitary Sewer and Water Main Installation Contract.**

**James Bernahl presented.** This is a follow-up to an item last month; Council approved the recommendation to remove the remaining sanitary work from the contract that we currently have with Glenbrook Excavating, Inc. and award it to Martam. We've gone done that, so this is a follow-up. That project is coming to a close so these are the clean-up change orders.

Change Order No. 4 is for field modifications. These were obstacles that were encountered during the course of construction and therefore we had to have additional time and material to deal with that. That change order is in the amount of \$16,619.74.

Change Order No. 5 is for the removal of the work from Glenbrook Excavating and given to Martam. The value is \$354,832.50.

Change Order No. 6 is the finalization of the pay quantities that we had. In some cases, there were pluses and minuses. There was a total of \$74,767.30.

Combined, these change orders have a reduction of that contract with Glenbrook for a total of \$263,445.46.

Staff recommends approving Change Order Nos. 4, 5 and 6.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrigan, seconded by Aldr. Monken. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**5.f. Recommendation to approve IDOT Agreement for Proposed Traffic Signal Improvements at IL Rt. 64 and Peck Road.**

**James Bernahl presented.** This agreement with IDOT is for a program they are planning on doing at the intersection of IL Rt. 64 and Peck. They are currently in the process of finalizing Phase I. I'd like to clarify, this project is not funded to date; it would be an agreement that would obligate the city in the event funds become available that we would want to participate in the installation of the permanent traffic signals /sidewalk and an eastbound right turn lane.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Rogina. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**5.g. Recommendation to approve budget addition for St. Charles Park District East Side Sports Complex New Service to Northern Fields.**

**Tom Bruhl presented.** In December 2011, we did not know if this project for the St. Charles Park District which is an expansion on the northern end, along with new service was going to occur, so we did not include it in the budget. The job has proceeded and gone through building permit.

Staff recommends approving a budget addition to procure the contract labor and material. All costs will be offset by revenue from the Park District.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrigan, seconded by Aldr. Monken. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**5.h. Recommendation to approve easement at 410 S. Kirk Road.**

**Tom Bruhl presented.** Last year we provided new equipment at Armour Eckrich on the corners of Kirk and Ohio. As part of the work, Armour Eckrich gave us an easement for the facilities so we can place them outside of the road right of way.

Staff recommends approval for the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the easements.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrigan, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**5.i. Recommendation to approve Parking Lot Sweeping Maintenance Contract with Alliance Sweeping.**

**Peter Suhr presented.** Since 2008, the City has been utilizing Alliance Sweeping to help maintain our city parking lots and parking decks, starting in the spring and continuing to the first snow fall, Alliance sweeps our parking lots once a week. This year we have budgeted just over \$30,000 for these services. Alliance Sweeping has held their price for the past two consecutive years and in addition we receive quotes from four other vendors and Alliance continues to be the most cost effective.

Staff recommends approval of the proposal from Alliance Sweeping in the amount of \$31,471.

Motioned by Aldr. Rogina, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**5.j. Recommendation to approve the issuance of an open purchase order with Zimmerman Ford for non-stocked automotive parts.**

**Peter Suhr presented.** Staff is asking for approval to open a blanket purchase order with Zimmerman Ford, for automotive parts. They are our largest vendor for that type of work. We have purchased these parts from Zimmerman for many years on separate purchase orders. The open purchase order provides a more efficient way to process those orders for our Fleet Staff, and also for our Finance Department.

We are estimating the overall cost for these parts to be about \$40,000.

Staff recommends approval to issue the open purchase order with Zimmerman Ford in an amount not to exceed \$40,000.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrigan, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**5.k. Recommendation to award Engineering Services Proposal to Trotter & Associates for Royal Fox II Lift Station.**

**John Lamb presented.** This is a recommendation to award engineering services for Royal Fox II Lift Station, which is located on Dunham Road and has been in service for 25 years. This project is budgeted in the current budget year and prior to proceeding with construction and design engineering, staff went out for an RFP for a conditions assessment to help us get some costs for the budget process. We received three proposals that were all under \$20,000, and we chose Trotter and Associates for the conditions assessment.

Staff recommends approval of a contract with Trotter and Associates for the design and construction engineering project in the amount of \$59,637.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrigan, seconded by Aldr. Rogina. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**6.a. Recommendation to approve an Ordinance Authorizing the Disposal of Surplus Fire Department Equipment**

**Joe Schelstreet presented.** The Fire Department has a number of meters that we utilize to search for natural gas leaks and carbon monoxide. All of these meters are beyond their service life and are no longer supported by maintenance availability.

Staff is asking for approval of the Ordinance declaring them surplus and we can recycle them according to County Ordinance.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrigan, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**7. Additional Business**

**Aldr. Martin:** On May 25, I received an e-mail from a resident on Moore Avenue complaining about the presence of a smoky substance in the air caused by fire pits. I responded and at the same time forwarded the complaint to Brian Townsend and Chief Mullen. Chief Mullen responded immediately that we have an ordinance regarding fire pits and if the ordinance is being followed, there is no action to be taken. However, if it is being violated, then the appropriate steps must be taken. He also advised this resident that if there is a situation where she suspects there are illegal burns occurring she should contact 911 and have the fire department respond.

Since that time, I believe she has called the fire department perhaps as many as three times to complain about the fire pits creating a nuisance. Today, I had a call from the resident who owns the fire pit at 508 Moore Avenue, and I invited them to come before this Committee and state their case.

**Mrs. Lundeen:** My name is Megan Lundeen; I live at 508 Moore Avenue. For three years we've lived here and on numerous occasions my spouse lights a fire and he and my children sit outside and really enjoy it. We've never had any problems until May 25. I came home and apparently one of our neighbors came down, spoke to my spouse and said that we had to be 25 feet from a structure. My spouse asked what she was talking about and she said she was telling him what the ordinance is and trying to save us some money, and then she left. The next evening, he built a fire and between the fire department and the police department we had about six officers at our home, and all said that we weren't doing anything wrong. We had the lid on it, we had the hose next to it. It's clean wood, it's not like we're burning brush. Since then, six times we've had the fire department to our home. Last Thursday, Friday and Sunday they were there and we had a fire Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday. On Saturday, I believe the only reason we didn't get a call was because it was later in the evening. Each time they tell us

we are legal, it's not a roaring fire, the lid is on, the hose is there. These are our children so we are very cautious.

I know one of her concerns was alcohol being involved. It's my property; it's my business what happens there. It's not my intention to fight with anyone, but I'm not the only homeowner on that block who has a fire pit. There are at least five of us in her vicinity, and I know Thursday evening she called on someone else, and last evening it was the same thing. So it's not just us, it's a lot of us. We're not trying to be a nuisance, however, our frustration is that it's just now considered an issue for her when we've been doing it for three years.

According to what we are being told by some of the fire department and some of the police department is that it's the way the ordinance is written that we are within our rights, but she is within her rights as well. So what do we do?

**Assistant Fire Chief Joe Schelstreet:** We do work under the 2009 edition of the International Fire Code. The Fire Department is not in the business of permitting open burning, however, we do recognize and the code contemplates the use of fire pits. The code, under specific weather conditions and meeting specific distances from structures, i.e., fences, decks, homes, you are allowed to use a fire pit. However, the code is very specific in stating that any open burning that is offensive or objectionable because of smoke emissions or the weather conditions, those fires are to be extinguished. This is the situation that we are encountering right now. The fire department has responded five times since May 26, four of which we encountered the fire pits. One was actually a cooking fire, and a cooking fire is under a whole different set of rules.

The main issue is the two terms "offensive" and "objectionable". Typically what we run into is someone who has themselves or a family member who has asthma or some other medical condition that irritates the medical condition and then we are, by the code, empowered to require the fire is put out. I would like to say that every time we have been up there, everyone has been very agreeable and has voluntarily extinguished the fires and that is all reflected in the reports. City wide from May 1 of last year to May 1 of this year, we had 22 calls, one was a repeat. We do our best to educate, and that's what I would suggest would be appropriate in this situation where perhaps we can meet with the homeowners and go through the code and the different requirements and see if we can get a little more understanding on how this can be done properly.

**Aldr. Rogina:** Do the fire trucks and everything go out every time you get these calls?

**Asst. Fire Chief Schelstreet:** When we receive a 911 call, we respond non-emergency. We dispatch an engine company or a ladder company; it depends on who is available. Reading through the reports, it's been a variety of different crews that have responded. In all cases as reflected in the reports, I have not been out there personally, but it's my understanding that everyone has voluntarily extinguished the fire.

**Aldr. Rogina:** Three out of four nights in a row the trucks have been out there. Leads me to wonder what's going on here?

**Asst. Fire Chief Schelstreet:** One of the reports is specific to where we have requested three people extinguish their fires. We don't get into anything beyond what the code says. Our guys are all trained and if there's an objection, they are all instructed to request to put the fires out and if there is any dispute we do have the authority by ordinance to require that the fire gets put out.

**Aldr. Rogina:** In your experience is this the first time this has happened in St. Charles where you have had this many in one situation in one neighborhood?

**Asst. Fire Chief Schelstreet:** No. I wouldn't call this typical, but it's not A Typical. The one comment I would make is the number of calls in such a rapid succession. We only started with this May 26 and it's June 25, we aren't even at 30 days yet. As I mentioned previously, I would like the opportunity to do an educational session and discuss the different regulations.

**Aldr. Martin:** I reviewed the code also and it says that the fire will be extinguished if the smoke exceeds a certain point on the scale. Have these fires been smoky?

**Asst. Fire Chief Schelstreet:** Having not been there, but under the professional judgment of the officer of the company, they determined that it was appropriate to request the extinguishment of the fire.

**Aldr. Martin:** Based on the neighbor saying it's objectionable; is it possible in some events the neighbor is being overly reactive?

**Asst. Fire Chief Schelstreet:** That is something that we do not empower our folks to get into. All we can tell our officers is what the code says and what we have to do. If there is an alternative way to resolve that, then we are all about it. But all I can do is instruct the officer on the apparatus is if somebody objects, by interpretation of code, we have to request the fire goes out.

**Aldr. Martin:** If I don't like fire pits and my neighbor had a fire pit, if I objected every time they lit a match to it, that creates an issue.

**Aldr. Carrigan:** The issue is the code. The code has this subjectivity in it.

**Mrs. Lundeen:** There is another line in that code that says if it constitutes a health hazard. I went down and spoke to the mother, tried to be polite and respectful, but it didn't end that way, so I regret going down there. However, they did say that the mother has asthma and the smoke bothers her. I apologized and told her I understand because I too, have asthma, and I don't usually sit out there. I stay in my house with the windows open, and I can't smell the smoke inside my house when it's in my own yard. I don't

know how she can smell it several houses away. She stated that it was offensive a couple times and then the other couple times it was that it was causing her a health issue. I can tell you, we are both very careful with what we are doing with these fires. They are never very smoky because I don't want to offend anyone and quite frankly, when I put water on it, there is more smoke than when the fire is going.

**Aldr. Martin:** I e-mailed the resident and invited her to attend tonight's meeting and I had no response as of this afternoon.

**Chairman Stellato:** Joe, the direction will be that you are going to educate the neighbors; do we need to look at the code?

**Asst. Fire Chief Schelstreet:** We routinely examine our codes and we are more than willing to do that.

**Aldr. Payleitner:** How old is the code?

**Asst. Fire Chief Schelstreet:** This is the 2009 edition.

**Aldr. Rogina:** There have been three different circumstances here tonight involving citizenry and every time we've had a conversation about the city working with the citizens, sometimes just reasonableness gets us through situations that seemingly on the surface are complex, but may not be.

**Chairman Stellato:** That completes this discussion. Are there any other additional business items?

## **8. Adjournment of Government Services Meeting**

**Meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.**

Motion by Aldr. Carrignan, seconded by Aldr. Monken. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

**RED GATE BRIDGE FIELD TOUR AND PRESENTATION  
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL  
GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING  
MONDAY, JUNE 25 2012, 4:30 P.M.**

**Members Present:** Vice-Chairman Turner, Aldr. Monken, Aldr. Carrigan, Aldr. Payleitner, Aldr. Rogina, Aldr. Martin, Aldr. Krieger, Aldr. Bessner, Aldr. Lewis

**Members Absent:** Chairman Stellato, Aldr. Krieger, Aldr. Bessner

**Others Present:** Mayor Donald P. DeWitte, Brian Townsend, City Administrator; Mark Koenen, Director of Public Works; Richard Gallas, Asst. Director of Public Works; James Bernahl, Public Works Engineering Manager; John Lamb, Environmental Services Manager; Peter Suhr, Public Services Manager; Tom Bruhl Electric Services Manager; James Lamkin, Police Chief; Dave Kintz, Deputy Chief

**1. Field Tour began at 4:30 p.m. at the Red Gate Bridge Site.**

A tour of the site was given by Kevin Ahern of Alfred Benesch.

**2. Adjournment of Field Tour at 5:15 p.m.**

**3. Red Gate Bridge Presentation began at 5:30 p.m.**

**Members Present:** Chairman Stellato, Vice-Chairman Turner, Aldr. Monken, Aldr. Carrigan, Aldr. Payleitner, Aldr. Rogina, Aldr. Martin, Aldr. Krieger, Aldr. Bessner, Aldr. Lewis, Aldr. Bessner

**Members Absent:** Aldr. Krieger

**Others Present:** Mayor Donald P. DeWitte, Brian Townsend, City Administrator; Mark Koenen, Director of Public Works; Richard Gallas, Asst. Director of Public Works; James Bernahl, Public Works Engineering Manager; John Lamb, Environmental Services Manager; Peter Suhr, Public Services Manager; Tom Bruhl Electric Services Manager; James Lamkin, Police Chief; Dave Kintz, Deputy Chief

James Bernahl gave a presentation of the Red Gate Bridge Schedule, including pictures from the Red Gate Bridge website.

**4. Meeting adjourned at 6:05 p.m.**

**MINUTES  
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL  
GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING  
MONDAY, JULY 23, 2012, 7:00 P.M.**

Members Present: Chairman Stellato, Vice-Chairman Turner, Aldr. Monken, Aldr. Payleitner, Aldr. Rogina, Aldr. Martin, Aldr. Krieger, Aldr. Bessner,

Members Absent: Aldr. Carrigan, Aldr. Lewis

Others Present: Mayor Donald P. DeWitte, Brian Townsend, City Administrator; Mark Koenen, Director of Public Works; Richard Gallas, Asst. Director of Public Works; James Bernahl, Public Works Engineering Manager; John Lamb, Environmental Services Manager; Peter Suhr, Public Services Manager; Tom Bruhl Electric Services Manager; James Lamkin, Police Chief

1. Meeting called to order at 7:00 p.m.
2. Roll Call

K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Present  
 Monken: Present  
 Carrigan: Absent  
 Payleitner: Present  
 Turner: Present  
 Rogina: Present  
 Martin: Present  
 Krieger: Present  
 Bessner: Present  
 Lewis: Absent

- 3.a. Electric Reliability Report, May 2012  
Information only.
- 3.b. EAB Control Efforts  
Information only.
- 4.a. Recommendation to approve contract for sanitary sewer service to resident at 36W171 Indian Mound Road.

John Lamb presented. This item may look familiar; it's the same address of the homeowner from last month that you approved for a water connection; after the last meeting the contractor and homeowner approached me about wanting sanitary sewer service at this address also. This agreement is verbatim of the other agreement with sanitary sewer in place of water.

Staff recommends approval of the agreement with the homeowner for sanitary sewer service at 36W171 Indian Mound Road.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Monken. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

4.b. Recommendation to extend Lease Agreement with BMO Harris Bank (Parking Lot B).

Mark Koenen presented. This item deals with the BMO Harris Bank parking lot which is adjacent to the property at Illinois Avenue between Riverside Avenue and Second Avenue. There was a first amendment presented in April to extend this lease to the end of July in order to work out some business terms with the bank. Those terms have not been completely worked out and the bank has requested that they provide us with a second amendment that would take us for another six months until the end of January. I would ask the committee to endorse the amendment so we can work out the final business terms, which will lead us to a ten year lease as we have had in the past.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Monken, seconded by Aldr. Krieger. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

4.c. Recommendation to approve request for fence along IL Rt. 25 (Woods of Fox Glen Subdivision).

Mark Koenen presented. This item deals with a request that the Public Works Department received from the Woods of Fox Glen Homeowners Association. It is in conjunction with the Red Gate Bridge construction. I've got a very brief Power Point Presentation that I thought might help introduce the topic for those who aren't familiar with it. We have residents from the Woods of Fox Glen here with us tonight and some of them will want to share their comments to supplement what I'm presenting to you now.

Power Point presentation by Mark Koenen.

Mr. Masulis: Bob Masulis, 634 Fox Glen Drive. I'm here to speak on behalf of The Woods of Fox Glen Homeowners Association.

I'm directly affected by the safety issues that the removal of trees has brought as part of removal. It's a big concern from my standpoint as there is no buffer now. When the trees existed prior to that, there was a natural buffer, i.e. trees, shrubs, etc. About  $\frac{3}{4}$  of that shrubbery and the tree line has now been removed. For the eight years that I've lived here, we've never been able to throw a ball and have it actually roll onto Rt. 25, but we did the first week those were removed. A concern of the homeowners is that without having that natural buffer, we now have a safety condition. At the highest point, we reach anywhere from 15-20 from the back of our backyards to Rt. 25. In addition, by the natural embankment, all that vegetation has been removed at such a drastic slope that normal vegetation doesn't really live. It's a 1 to 1 slope which is about where we are at today. In general, to have regular vegetation there, you'll want a slope of about 4 to 1. We have more problems with the safety because by widening the lanes, we've also increased our slopes. In addition to that, we now have a six foot high vertical retaining wall, so even if someone does go off to the back side of that, they are going to a six foot vertical drop down to Rt. 25.

What we are requesting from the city is to fund safety issues and give us some kind of a fence to prevent any access off our backyards. In addition, we do have some concerns that we did get bids from three different companies to give us different options for fences. The \$48,000 option is a standard fence, which is an 8 foot high, single board fence. That, per both fence companies, is not a recommendation or a valid solution in their opinion, for a couple reasons. For one, single board is not made from great lumber, so this fence is going to be a maintenance problem and it's also going to be a safety issue from the standpoint that even in a year we will have potential cracking and maintenance. On the minor end of it is the appearance. The two pictures that you were shown would be acceptable solutions. They would also solve the safety and maintenance issues because they give our vertical boards a shorter distance. We are going to have straighter boards which will have a nicer appearance. Remembering the fact that this fence will go approximately 980 feet to the front entry of our subdivision this would be a much more acceptable, visual solution.

The cedar fences are being priced out at \$57,000 and \$52,000. The SimTek Fence is a lifetime warranty, graffiti free and it matches the style of the proposed retaining wall that is going to be put in and is priced out at \$76,000. Our major concern is that we would like some help with a solution to this safety issue. We understand the necessity to add the bridge and the left turn lane, but it has had a detrimental effect to our neighborhood, primarily from a safety perspective. There is nothing preventing any of us to walk off the back of our property and go down a very steep slope and now also go to a six foot vertical drop.

Chairman Stellato: Can you talk about long term maintenance and responsibility?

Mr. Masulis: As a group, there are six homeowners along this property line that are affected. Five of us are in favor of the fence, and we've decided we would accept the ongoing maintenance of the fence. I do want to strongly urge you that we understand too,

that the SimTek is about 30% more expensive than the proposed fence that we've offered in cedar, but the 30% gives us a lifetime warranty and is also graffiti free.

Our concern about any of the cedar fences, especially the straight picket fences is that we've been told within a year we are going to have splitting, cracking and maintenance on the whole fence. The SimTek fence is a one-time purchase that should last forever. Visually it will be nice too because it's going to match what people are going to see along Rt. 25 with the addition of that turn lane.

Aldr. Payleitner: Mark, you described a retaining wall. I'm confused, where is that going to be?

Mr. Koenen: There will be a slope that is at a 3 to 1 and there is a six foot high retaining wall that will split the difference between the back of the curb and the top of the slope, which is where the property line is.

Aldr. Payleitner: Would that keep balls from going in the street?

Mr. Koenen: The retaining wall would not. It would roll right down. The landscape that is in the field today from the top of the retaining wall to the top of the hill will stay in place and the fence would be placed on the private property side at the top of the slope.

Mr. Masulis: Is there additional landscaping going in there? All of that has been removed. Is it going in as grasses or something that is hardier and thicker?

Mr. Koenen: Anything that has been disturbed will be replaced with a grass mix because we are concerned about erosion in the long term.

Chairman Stellato: You mentioned five out of the six homeowners are interested? How is that going to work?

Mr. Masulis: For the homeowner who is not interested, there is not as much of a safety issue because he is less than 30 inches from grade at that point.

Aldr. Rogina: Mark, this is a budget/safety question. I read in the Executive Summary that the Mayor and city staff met with Mr. Masulis earlier this summer; I read in another spot that this is a budgeted item and at the top of the Executive Summary it says included as part of the Red Gate Bridge expense. Help me on this; is the expenditure being proposed coming out of the Red Gate Bridge budget?

Mr. Koenen: The fence was not contemplated when the budget for the Red Gate Bridge project was prepared. Having said that, we have a contingency for unexpected construction related issues as we process this project. If we were to move ahead with any kind of fence here, that money would come from the contingency.

Aldr. Rogina: That could also apply to other safety issues that might occur from the completion of the Red Gate Bridge down the line in other areas as well, correct?

Mr. Koenen: We would have to set it aside for that purpose, yes.

Aldr. Rogina: Is there precedent in the city for the Council to expend city funds on private property for a safety issue?

Mr. Koenen: There are two fences I would represent where the city has spent money in conjunction with state funds. One is along Tyler Road. In 1985 when the four lane section of Tyler Road was constructed, there is a stockade fence on the curve that was constructed as a part of the project and there was a cost sharing arrangement for that between the city and the state. In that particular case there was a land acquisition component which is why there was a shared expense. There is also a sidewalk along Tyler Road as well.

The second location is along South 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, from South Avenue south, there is retaining wall built at the back of the sidewalk, it's a 5 to 7 foot high stockade fence built on top of the retaining wall because homes back up to the retaining wall and it was clearly a safety issue there.

Chairman Stellato: So the direction you are looking for tonight is if we are okay with spending this money as per the budget of the Red Gate Bridge as part of the funds and what amount?

Mr. Koenen: You had not heard about this before tonight, so the question is if you want to entertain it. If the answer is yes, the next question would be what style fence or how much you would like to commit to the project? If the answer is no, that's a different alternative.

Aldr. Turner: I would say this is a good idea, especially if it's going to roll in with the Red Gate Bridge funds. This is a major project and it has disturbed neighborhoods both on the east and the west side. I definitely want to move forward on this. Regarding the cost, I think in the long run I would rather go to no maintenance vs. having maintenance issues. We are spending a lot of money on how this bridge looks and fits in with the community, I think it's important that the any additions match the bridge so I would go with the SimTek in my opinion.

Mr. Koenen: There is an exception under the SimTek Fence that deals with the clearing for the fence to be constructed and leveling of the path where necessary which is currently not included in that price. We don't have a cost for that element.

Mr. Masulis: We've talked to them about that and they've included that in their cost.

Aldr. Turner: I recommend approval of the request for a SimTek fence along IL Rt. 25 Woods of Fox Glen Subdivision, not to exceed \$76,000.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Krieger. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

4.d. ComEd Reliability and Maintenance Issues – Information only.

Thomas Bruhl presented. There were four momentary outages; we do have causes for all of them. We had our first sustained outage on June 24 due to a broken pole inside the ComEd substation. Subsequent to that, they've tested all the poles. We do not have details on the July 1 and 2 storm yet. Their team went from storm mode to heat wave mode, so they haven't been able to investigate.

I would like to highlight that our long-time partner named Mark Heckman retired, but ComEd did appoint Mike Staples who is following in the footsteps of his very positive relationship with us.

I have some pictures of a very timely upgrade ComEd did north of the Q Center. We are working with them to bring in a tenth feed which would feed the station we are going to put just south of Red Gate Road and we also track ComEd's maintenance programs; they do a number of different maintenance items on each line and we track that quarterly to make sure they are doing what they say they are on their lines. As you can see from this picture of the tree on the lines, this would have resulted in an outage of approximately 2100 customers on July 1 in the central part of town. This tree fell directly on the line as you can see, and it did not cause any interruption at all. The term they use is spacer cable; it's insulated conductors bundled together with fiber glass insulation and it will withstand contact from both – it won't flash over and it is also strong enough to hold the tree up.

No further discussion.

4.e. Recommendation to approve a Budget Addition for New Business Job at 1510 E. Main Street (Old Baker's Square).

Thomas Bruhl presented. This project is at 1510 E. Main, which is the old Bakers Square. They are doing a multi-tenant strip mall that was not contemplated, so we are looking for a budget addition to put in the new transformer and cable that will be 100% reimbursed by the developer.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Rogina. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

- 4.f. Recommendation to approve Contractual Services for Storm Sewer Catch Basin Cleaning Services.

Peter Suhr presented. City staff solicited vendors to assist with storm sewer catch basin cleaning services. Last fiscal year, the Request for Proposal for these services was issued to five separate contractors. This is the second year of a four year commitment to preventative maintenance of our storm sewer system in the central core of the city.

Last year we focused on the northeast quadrant; this year we will be in the southeast quadrant. United Septic was the most competitive and most qualified for the RFP. They have provided a total base bid of \$60,586 and have agreed to hold their pricing for this year. The contract will not exceed the \$65,000 budget.

If there are no questions, staff recommends approval of the Request for Proposal as shown in your packet.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Monken, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

- 4.g. Recommendation to approve a budget addition for Tree Watering and Unexpected Weather Related Work.

Peter Suhr presented. With the consistent hot weather and drought conditions, the Public Works Staff has identified a significant risk to our annual tree planting program. In response, we have engaged a tree planting vendor and have already begun to take initiative to water 1,000 trees that were planted this past spring and last fall. If the conditions continue, we anticipate the need to keep watering the newly planted trees and also an additional 1,500 trees that were planted over the last four years. The total scope of this is about 2,500 trees that are currently in stress because of the weather conditions.

We have encouraged citizen help with watering by issuing multiple press releases, installing door hangers and word of mouth; we have had varying results. I do have some door hangers here if anyone wants to see them.

To compound the situation, the city was hit with a severe windstorm on July 1 which caused considerable damage, mainly to the more mature trees of our city. In response, public services have engaged a tree vendor to help staff cut and remove tree limbs and brush. About 45 trees have been completely removed due to the wind damage that we received on July 1. The clean-up effort is on-going, probably ending sometime next

week and will be a substantial cost to the city for that clean up. Tree replacement costs for the trees that were removed will also need to be considered.

I have provided you with a cost breakdown. We started watering trees in May, which increased in June and July. We also had to purchase 375 more Gator Bags to help the process. To date, we've spent about \$22,000 to water the 1,000 trees that have recently been planted. If we need to continue on, we've budgeted \$9,000 for each August, September and October; that is a worst case scenario that anticipates continuing to water the 1,000 and additional trees that are under stress.

Item 8 suggests that a single soaking of about 30 gallons per tree for what we've identified as about 1,500 trees that are in the range of 2-4 years which are showing signs of stress would cost an additional \$20,000, and that is an immediate need. We also have a section of 100+ trees in the Reserves Subdivision that need more specialized attention; these are mainly in the parkway in front of lots that don't have houses on them, so mulching and things like that need to happen before we can water.

Section B is the storm related costs for the tree removal and trimming that we've been doing. Our tree vendor, Skyline, started working with us on July 1 after the wind storm came in. To date, they've billed us \$14,168. As I mentioned earlier, we anticipate their work to continue through mid-week next week and anticipated costs will increase approximately \$17,000. The total commitment on just those services is \$31,000. As I mentioned, approximately 45 trees fell down during the storm. To replace those trees is approximately \$300 per tree, which total \$13,500. So you can see on the bottom line, we spent about \$40,000 to date on these two events. We anticipate the worst case scenario to be an additional \$85,000, for a total of \$122,000.

Aldr. Krieger: I just wanted to say that at one point during the July 1 storm we had five blocks in our neighborhood that were blocked by trees, and by midnight that night they were all passable. As late as this past week, we had a tree on private property that had been weakened and the branch came down. It affected not only the homeowners' garage roof and a fence, it loosened some electrical wires. The city responded in about 20 minutes and I found their service to be excellent!

Aldr. Payleitner: I see here it is \$9 for each watering, is that correct?

Mr. Suhr: \$9 for each watering was based on the 1,000 newly planted trees. Some of the tree maintenance, especially in the Reserves is more because we have to add mulch and do prep before we water and the soaking for the 1,500 trees that are 2 to 4 years old is more in the range of \$12 per tree because of the volume of water.

Aldr. Payleitner: What if the citizens did this? What would it cost them?

Mr. Gallas: It costs roughly \$2 per 1,000 gallons of water.

Aldr. Payleitner: This would be much cheaper if the citizens did the trees. How do you know if the citizens aren't doing them?

Mr. Suhr: We test every site before we water; they do that with a probe to test the tree for moisture around the roots. If the vendor comes to a tree that has been watered and is okay, they move on to the next tree at no charge. We have some cases where the citizens have watered too much and we have to pull the Gator Bags, so we have both cases.

Aldr. Monken: Is it safe to run a hose from the house to the tree and let it trickle?

Mr. Suhr: Yes, it certainly is.

Aldr. Monken: This is an investment to protect all these trees; I feel this makes total sense.

Aldr. Payleitner: It does, and it's necessary. But how often do we hear citizens say that we are spending money unnecessarily, and here is a chance for them to pitch in and keep the costs down.

Chairman Stellato: Where is the money coming from?

Mr. Suhr: Right now, we are considering this from the general fund reserves.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Monken, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

- 5.a. Recommendation to deny the request for a four-way stop at the intersection of 7<sup>th</sup> Street and State Street – requested updates.

Chief Lamkin presented. Last month we had a discussion regarding the request from a resident for a four way stop sign at 7<sup>th</sup> and State. We had outlined the warrant study that we did and we did not recommend placement of that because it doesn't meet warrants. There was some discussion about issues such as the neighborhood school kids who are picked up by the bus and possibly some sightline issues. We have made attempts to meet with the resident, I think he is out of town because we haven't been able to connect. However, we have had good conversations with the School District. The projected census of children picked up at that location is two or three. The actual census won't be known until school starts, but the Transportation Director has been affirmative with us that they'll do whatever they need to do to make the situation safer and we will continue to work with them.

I believe at this point, it is best for us to let the school year approach and treat this as a work in progress to see how we can make it the best situation possible. We have looked

at some of the other circumstances there. We still do not have traffic crash history that would warrant placement of a four way stop. There has been at least one suggestion of painting the crosswalk at the intersection to make the crosswalk more obvious to drivers as well as pedestrians; there is maintenance required with that, but it is something that Public Works can work into their schedule.

That is our update for tonight; we will continue to follow-up with the resident and will do a site walk in the area to see if we can better address concerns directly with him.

Aldr. Rogina: I've talked with Chief Lamkin and I'm happy with his remarks. I do think crosswalks and possibly a yellow sign indicating pedestrians would be a good idea in that area.

Chief Lamkin: We will take incremental steps, perhaps striping it and see what the effect is before putting the yellow sign in the middle of street, largely because that creates a snow plowing issue. That's why we view this as a work in progress to see what we can do best.

Aldr. Rogina: I still maintain a sidewalk is necessary in that area.

Aldr. Payleitner: Was Mr. Petinka's only concern with school children?

Aldr. Rogina: He was concerned about traffic on 7<sup>th</sup>, the addition of more traffic in the future and the fact that 7<sup>th</sup> and State is where the kids are currently picked up by a bus.

No further discussion.

- 5.b. Recommendation to approve Street and Parking Lot Closures for the 2012 Scarecrow Festival.

Chief Lamkin presented. We've outlined the request for this year, which is similar to past years. I noted one of the requests was to close Cedar between Second and 4<sup>th</sup>. We did not want to isolate any businesses along the area and we worked with Johnson's to ensure they'll have access to their driveway on Cedar coming off 4<sup>th</sup>. It is also our understanding that the VFW has entered into an agreement with the event sponsors to use their parking lot for the event, so they will not need access to their parking lot.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Krieger, seconded by Aldr. Monken. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

- 5.c. Recommendation to approve IDOT Resolutions for the closure of 2<sup>nd</sup> Street (Route 31) at Illinois Street for two high school Homecoming parades.

Chief Lamkin presented. This is a recommendation for two IDOT Resolutions, one for each of the Homecoming Parades. We have the parades scheduled to take place on Illinois. These resolutions are for the crossing that has to occur at IL Rt. 31. This is the same location as last year and it seemed to work well for us and the schools.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Monken, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

5.d. Recommendation to approve Street Closing for Baker Memorial Annual Picnic.

Chief Lamkin presented. This is for the Baker Memorial Annual Picnic; they are asking to use the street like they do for the farmers market. The church has represented if we have the barricades there, they can pull them onto the street.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Krieger, seconded by Aldr. Rogina. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

6.a. Recommend that the City Council approve a Resolution Authorizing the City Administrator to Execute the Agreement for Services and License Agreement By and Between the City of St. Charles and Downtown St. Charles Partnership.

Brian Townsend presented. This is the final approval of the funding that has previously been authorized by the City Council for the Downtown St. Charles Partnership. The specific recommendation before you is to approve a resolution to authorize me to execute two agreements with the Downtown Partnership. The first is the agreement for services, which specifies what things they will do in exchange for the funding that has been authorized. The second is a license agreement that will allow the organization to move into city hall and occupy office space here in the building. The terms of both agreements are consistent with what we've talked about previously, so I will keep my remarks brief and ask if the committee has any questions about the documents that have been presented.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Monken, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

WALK ON ITEM

Recommendation to Waive the Bid Procedure and approve a Resolution authorizing the execution of a contract with James McHugh Construction Co. for installation of electric duct in coordination with water main work on IL Rt. 25.

Thomas Bruhl presented. We are putting conduit under the Red Gate Bridge in order to allow us to link IL Rt. 31 to IL Rt. 25 and finally give some redundancy to people on the north side of town. We had to get from Red Gate Bridge to where the main line ends on IL Rt. 25. I originally planned on doing that via directional boring, which would have been a cost of \$82,000. When I started engineering that and started working with McHugh, it became very difficult to find a corridor to put that pipe, so they offered to work with me to put it in the trench next to the water that they are already opening. They did a budget estimate that came to \$39,000 which is about half of the directional boring cost and I included \$5,000 for contingencies.

Staff recommends waiving the bid process since we are working with McHugh, who is already digging the ditch and authorize a purchase order in the amount of \$39,000.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

7. Additional Business

None.

8. Executive Session

Motion to go into Executive Session to discuss land acquisition.

K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Yes

Monken: Yes

Carrignan: Absent

Payleitner: Yes

Turner: Yes

Rogina: Yes

Martin: Yes

Krieger: Yes

Bessner: Yes

Lewis: Absent

Motion by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Rogina. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

9. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn from Executive Session at 8:25.

Motion by Aldr. Rogina, seconded by Aldr. Monken. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

Government Services Meeting adjourned at 8:25 p.m.

Motion by Aldr. Monken, seconded by Aldr. Turner. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. Motion carried.

**MINUTES  
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL  
PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE  
MONDAY, JULY 16, 2012 8:15 P.M.**

**Members Present:** Chairman Carrignan, Ald. Monken, Payleitner, Turner, Rogina, Martin, Krieger, Bessner

**Members Absent:** Stellato, Lewis

**Others Present:** Mayor Donald P. DeWitte; Kathy Livernois, Director of Human Resources; Rita Tungare, Director of Community Development; Matthew O'Rourke, Planner; Russell Colby, Planning Division Manager; Chris Tiedt, Development Engineering Manager; Gerry Gorski, City Attorney

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

The meeting was convened by Chairman Carrignan at 8:15 P.M.

**2. ROLL CALLED**

Roll was called:

Present: Monken, Payleitner, Turner, Carrignan, Rogina, Martin, Krieger, Bessner,

Absent: Stellato, Lewis

**3. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

- a. Recommend approval of a Special Use on a portion of the property located at 2900 Dukane Drive for Manufacturing, Heavy (Concrete Batch Plant).

Mr. O'Rourke reviewed the Staff Report dated July 3, 2012. He said it is a temporary batch plant constructed on a portion of the south west corner of the property to supply concrete for ongoing construction projects on Route 64. He said the plant will be operating 12 days in 2012 and 12 days in 2013.

Aldr. Rogina asked if the Plan Commission had any other concerns other than what was identified in the conditions. Mr. O'Rourke said no, just what was listed in the report.

Aldr. Turner said he finds it interesting that building the road will take 2 years and only 24 days of it will be used to actually pave the roads.

Chairman Carrignan asked if the agreement is for the property to be returned to original condition. Mr. O'Rourke said yes it will be restored by the applicant.

Chairman Carrignan said with the amount of trucks involved, will it be restored to its current condition, or improve the roads being used. Mr. O'Rourke said the agreement being drafted is for maintenance in its current condition and will not require be a complete reconstruction.

Chairman Carrigan asked for clarification on the approval for 15 days versus the 12 days. Mr. O'Rourke said it is for leeway for extenuating circumstances.

**Motion was made by Aldr. Turner for approval of the Special Use for Manufacturing, Heavy (Concrete Batch Plant) contingent upon satisfaction of any outstanding staff comments and subject to the following conditions:**

- 1. The Special Use shall terminate on December 31, 2013 and equipment shall be removed by December 31, 2013. Full restoration of the site shall be completed by April 30, 2014.**
- 2. The Special Use shall be limited to fifteen (15) days of concrete batch plant operation in the calendar years 2012 and 2013 respectively.**
- 3. Submittal of a detailed Final Stormwater Prevention Pollution Control Plan.**
- 4. All traffic related to the Special Use shall be limited to Stone and Dukane Drives.**
- 5. The applicant shall enter into a maintenance agreement for repair of Stone and Dukane Drives.**
- 6. The applicant shall enter into an agreement with the City to monitor dust, soil erosion, and cleaning.**
- 7. The Special Use shall only be utilized to supply the construction/reconstruction of Rt. 64 between 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Rt. 59.**

**Motion was seconded by Aldr. Rogina.**

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Monken, Payleitner, Turner, Rogina, Bessner, Martin, Krieger

Nays:

Absent: Stellato, Lewis

Motion Carried.

- b. Recommend approval of a Map Amendment, Amendment to a Special Use for a Planned Unit Development, and a PUD Preliminary Plan (Corporate Reserve Multi-Family Residential).

Paul Robertson-1930 N. Thoreau-JCF Real Estate-Owners and Developers of the Corporate Reserve of St. Charles-Showed a PowerPoint presentation explaining the project history for the 50.4 acre office and commercial development, which was approved in 2008 for phase I of the development on lots 5 and 6. He said 576,800 sf. of office was approved north of Woodward which is zoned OR, and south of Woodward which is zoned BC. He said what they are requesting is a change to the zoning of lot 8 which is 22.06 acres that will not impact the frontage on Route 64.

He mentioned for perspective that directly west is Remington Glen, east is Regency Estates, south is Renaux Manor and the north east corner is forest preserve. He then went over the history of Phase II of the office concept which consists of two 200,000 sf. five story office building at 500,000 sf. total office, with a three level parking structure plus two, two-level parking decks.

He said to date they have developed 2 single story office buildings on the eastern portion that total 40,000sf. and are all occupied, but that since the project was started there has been a reduction in office space demand and an increase for multi-family rental housing, and that to verify the demand for that, they engaged Tracy Cross and Associates to do a market study. The study identified a pent-up demand for rental housing in St. Charles and the TCAI report estimates absorption of 15-17 units per month for the property.

Mr. Robertson said the ten-year estimated tax benefit for the Lot 8 rental site only would be \$8.4 million with rental multi-family vs. \$1.3 Million without rental multi-family, and that the tax revenue is based on conversations with the tax assessor. The tax revenue without apartments is based on current tax payment.

Terry Smith -4046 N. Kennicott Ave.- BSB Design-showed a PowerPoint presentation with the initial site plan from 11/08/11 which included: Main Street entry with mixed use and club buildings, 3-story rental with attached garages, 4-story rental with subgrade parking, 407 rental units and 3,500 sf. commercial. Some of the feedback and concerns to that plan were: density, the 4-story building height, connectivity of open space and off-site views, viability of mixed use, traffic and dispersal of parking. He said in response to those concerns they have worked hard to make the plan better and the modifications include: reduction in density from 407 with commercial to 331 units with no commercial, elimination of 4-story buildings (3-story only), better linkage of open space areas and enhancement of the club area. He said the site plan amenities include a 5,790 sf. club, pocket parks and trails and direct access to adjacent Great Western Trail and Leroy Oaks Forest Preserve.

Mr. Smith said the detailed hardscape elevations include 2 types of signs at Woodward and Main St. Light fixtures would be modern light fixtures to match the proposed architecture. Nice detailed aesthetic trash enclosures are located throughout the project and 2 mailbox kiosks are located on both sides of the club building.

Mr. Smith said some adjustments are still in the process that were made per the Plan Commissions request, including adjustment of trash dumpster and maintenance building locations to avoid utility conflicts.

Jeff Mulcrone-3436 N. Kennicott Ave. - BSB Design-briefly went through the architecture for the 15 multi-family buildings comprised of 331 units which range in size and features from 1 bed, 1 bath units to 2 bed, 2 bath units. He said all units will have a fresh open layout, nice interior design schemes, and extra-large balcony spaces. He said each building will have 8 interior private garage spaces, individual storage units within the main corridors of each building, secure vestibules, and 8 corner units with good views of the neighborhood, forest preserve and pond. He said the exterior theme is classic forms and massing with contemporary flair with selections of various materials, textures, inviting colors and metal roofing. He said the clubhouse will have a leasing center, great room, reception room, 24-hour fitness center, in-door/out-door spaces with covered terraces looking over the pool deck, pond and forest preserve, and also a social deck for the residents. He said the architecture will be like that of the residential building, adding a lot of glass and stone and at night time will be lit up as the center of the community.

Michelle Retson-13821 S. Harrison-Kinsella Landscape-said one of the variances they are seeking involves the required trees and that due to the driveways being concentrated along the buildings, it will prevent trees from being planted in that location. She said in total throughout the site there will be 281

foundation trees of the required 400, but that they have tried to make up for that with shrubs and perennials, where the requirements are 4,000 and they will have close to 7,000. Ms. Retson then went over the landscape architecture for the building foundation planting, tree planting plan, club area, central park area, plant palette and the landscape character.

Mr. Robertson went over the summary statistics for the Traffic Study. He said in 2008 the projected count in the AM peak hour was 765 both coming and going and in the PM it was 870. He said for 2012 plan in changing Lot 8, the projected peak hour counts have gone down and are 354 in the AM and 585 in the PM.

John Fitzmaurice-JCF Real Estate-gave a summary of the project and said that the quality of the project is extremely cutting edge and the purpose of that is to retain a certain population within the community with a quality alternative as a place to reside. He said that if they get the Committee's approval that they could achieve some white tablecloth restaurants in front of the project and could complete the existing office outlots quickly and would serve the community well.

Aldr. Bessner asked why they would not want to implement the 50 units for affordable housing that the Ordinance call for, and what the rational was behind the \$50,000 that was decided to be donated. Mr. Robertson said the request to be exempt from the Inclusionary Ordinance came from the reduction of density and the revenue that supports the fees paid in lieu to have them be part of the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance, and comments heard from the concept plan was that it was not desired to have inclusionary housing on the site. He said the \$50,000 would offset the impact fees to the school district, park district, KDOT for any offsite improvement that will need to be done. Aldr. Bessner said the \$50,000 comes to approximately 2% of what's required for 25 units and that he sees it as possibly a very inexpensive way out. He said if there were rental units, wouldn't it be more cost effective for the developer, versus what the City's Ordinance requires, to have the units with the variance of rental ranges that would again, not cost as much as the City's Ordinance calls for. Mr. Robertson said he understands Aldr. Bessners point but that due to the amenities and the interior finishes, the rent will be relatively high and the difference between what market rent is and what is allowed by the Ordinance is over a magnitude that when the value is capitalized, it will get it back to around \$110,000 a unit. Aldr. Bessner asked what the rent would be. Mr. Robertson said based on the size, the average would be about \$1.55 per square foot, so about \$2,000 for a large unit.

Aldr. Krieger said there are so many developers statewide that have gone belly up and asked what made the developer so sure their development would work and if there was financing in place. Mr. Robertson said the market study looked at what is available currently in the City and what similar developments have done in similar communities like St. Charles as far as absorption and rent levels in comparable markets. He said they are confident the rent will be achieved and that they will do even better than what the report suggests. He said income levels are looked at in regard to affordability, which is usually a third of the income for rent, and he feels there is sufficient demand within the income profile to lease up to 331 units. He said as far as financing goes, it is impossible to finance a project that is not entitled, but that they are pursuing that aggressively the last 6 months and are very close, but banks will not commit without an approval. Mr. Fitzmaurice added that the way they are looking to achieve the financing would be for its entirety, so if they achieve a positive entitlement, result they will have commitment for the entire 331 units and the project will not be phased.

Aldr. Krieger said that the developer is comparing this project to AMLI, which she knows does not have \$2,000 rent. Mr. Fitzmaurice said AMLI's 1 bedroom runs about \$1.60 per sq. ft. which is about \$1,600 per month.

Aldr. Martin said he will not support this unless there is some provision other than a 2% or \$50,000 contribution on the Inclusionary Affordable Housing, and if they do not want to put it on site, the developer should pay the fee because he does not feel that \$50,000 is appropriate. He said he still feels 331 units is too dense. He said he has an issue with the Findings of Fact presented. He said just because the economy has a problem and it is no longer a good idea, that he feels if the City waits a short time, there will be a need for the original project that was proposed. He doesn't feel the current plan is an asset to the community. He said he has a problem with it not being compatible with the Comprehensive Plan, the setbacks, the landscape variations being requested and also the effect on the nearby properties.

Aldr. Rogina asked if there has been any change to the setback to Remington Glen being of adequate distance. Mr. Smith said there has been no change since November and as of right now there is a 30ft. setback and that the actual main portion of the building sits 5-6ft., which makes the tallest portion of the building set back about 35ft.

Aldr. Rogina confirmed that the Plan Commission vote was 4-3 and that the 3 dissenters' main concern is density. Mr. O'Rourke said correct and also how it coordinated with the current Comprehensive Plan.

Aldr. Rogina asked for an explanation for the dynamics behind the units going from, in July 1, 2011, 222 units, to November of 2011, 407 units, and then currently at 331. Mr. Smith said the original 407 units considered the entire property and that at the time there was a consideration on JCF's part of phasing in a portion of it, which is probably the reason for the fewer number of units.

Aldr. Turner said he still feels there is no need for affordable inclusionary housing but that the fee that is being proposed is way too low. He said density is an issue and that it seems the size of the buildings have increased and he would like to see it go back to 14 buildings versus the current plan for 15. He is not at all averse to the concept or changing the zoning. Mr. Smith said the 2,700 sq. ft. lot area per unit does fall within the lot area per unit as far as density is concerned. Aldr. Turner said yes, but that does not have to meet the threshold on the maximum of the criteria, and he would rather see lower density.

Aldr. Payleitner said she is on the Housing Commission and if she went back to them with the variance proposed with an explanation that dropping the density by 76 units justifies going from \$2.6 million to \$50,000, it will be a tough sell. She said until she sees an increase in that or some units offered she cannot agree to the variance.

Chairman Carrigan asked for an explanation of the term "the absorption of 12-15 units per month". Mr. Robertson said Tracey Cross and Assoc. market study estimates what the absorption per month will be, which is 15-17 units per month. He said all of the financing will be in place for the entire project, but because each building is between 21-25 units, they approximately match up with the absorption schedule, but that 331 units would not be delivered to the market all at once, that they would start with the clubhouse and 3 buildings near the entrance. They would isolate the construction because of there being a 2<sup>nd</sup> entrance.

Chairman Carrigan asked if there is a berm on the western side near the Nicor line. Mr. Robertson said no, but the bike path that is in place is down about 3 ft. from the grade and the detention is wet.

Chairman Carrignan asked if they were looking for the rezoning and then also the PUD. Mr. Robertson said just change the underlying zoning as part of the PUD. Mr. O'Rourke clarified that it is a change in the underlying zoning and an amendment to the PUD.

Chairman Carrignan stated that like the rest of the Committee he has a significant issue with the Inclusionary Housing. The City has an Ordinance and he feels it would be very detrimental to start waiving things, especially at the amount proposed. He said the Comprehensive Plan is there for a reason and that he understands apartments are in demand right now, but that he is not sure the City needs more apartments and that he is unsure about leaving the corporate office research zoning because the market had a shift.

Aldr. Rogina asked for clarification on the additional through lane along with traffic signal on Route 64, and if that would be a guarantee. Alex Garbe-HLR-380 Shepard Dr.-said their report states that ultimately that will be an improvement needed, but that the intersection of Route 64 and Peck Rd. is already operating below acceptable levels and there is no known timetable, but that IDOT is already working on an improvement. He said in regard to the additional through lane and signal at Route 64 and Corporate Reserve Blvd., it is not a guarantee.

Aldr. Krieger asked if the developer will be required to set any money aside for future road improvements. Mr. O'Rourke said yes, that was part of the original approval and that will not change.

Patrick Edgerton-181 Remington Dr.-asked if there are any guarantees that the trees near the west side of the property on the Nicor easement will be used as a buffer or will remain and not be cut down. Mr. Stillwell clarified that the applicant is in no way claiming that the Nicor gas right of way is a buffer to the project. Mr. Edgerton noted that the drawings include a tree line that is on the easement which is not a tree line provided by The Corporate Reserves.

Mr. Edgerton asked how long they anticipate the project will take from beginning to end, starting from ground break on the east side to the west. Mr. Robertson said they anticipate about 5 months building the clubhouse and for each individual apartment building, with 15 buildings, about 17-20 months, and with weather considerations, about 2 years.

Mr. Edgerton asked about the office buildings on the east side of Lot 8, what was the anticipated development period for the rest of the offices. Mr. Robertson said originally it was projected as an 8-10 year build out, and are now somewhere around 10-12 year build out, 4 years down the road.

Mr. Edgerton asked what type of market they would be directing the apartment complex to. Mr. Robertson said the market study examined resident profile throughout the area which would appeal to a broad spectrum of potential residents including young professionals, empty nesters, families in transition, people in between selling their homes, and people waiting for executive housing. He said the report identified a number of different resident types. Mr. Edgerton asked if, as mentioned in previous meetings, the primary purpose is to market toward the single people and younger professionals. Chairman Carrignan advised Mr. Edgerton not to ask speculative questions, but to ask questions about the development. Mr. Edgerton said that his questions were all issues raised at prior meetings and have now been abandoned for some reason.

Mr. Edgerton said that in the Findings of Fact a conclusion was made that the property values would not be affected and he asked if there is any sort of report or study indicating that there would be no impact on property values of adjoining properties. Chairman Carrignan asked how the Plan Commission would go about finding and discussing impact on properties. Mr. O'Rourke said when the Plan Commission looks at the Finding of Fact, it is based on zoning practices, and there is no dollar for dollar study done.

Mr. Edgerton said in changing the zoning, this can be increased to 19.8 dwelling units per acre. Mr. O'Rourke said yes that is the maximum of RM3. Mr. Edgerton asked if it could be proposed for a higher density level than what is it is currently under the new proposed zoning. Chariman Carrignan said sure. Mr. Edgerton asked if future possible developments that have been proposed at prior meetings could be added to this apartment complex. Mr. Robertson said they have never submitted anything for apartments on the frontage on Main St. and the frontage is still BC zoning.

Mr. Edgerton asked in regard to the anticipated property tax revenue, with rental units \$8.4 million over 10 years, why last meeting, it was indicated that it would be \$10.8 million over 10 years with rented dwelling units. Mr. Robertson said when that information was presented at Plan Commission, all the undeveloped lots were looked at and the impact on real estate tax revenue for all of them, which clouded the issue a bit, but the information presented tonight is strictly Lot 8. Mr. Edgerton said at the last meeting it was said that revenue would be at \$15 million over 10 years. Mr. Robertson said the question came up at Plan Commission as to what would the tax revenue be if Lot 8 were developed with 500,000 sq. ft. today and it is approximately \$3 a sq. ft. in real estate taxes for office, which is \$15 million.

Chairman Carrignan said that if the developer came in at lower density and it was approved and it came back to Committee to raise the density that it would be frowned on quite a bit by the Committee. Mr. Edgerton said he understands that and knows that once the Committee makes up their mind, they cannot be manipulated, but that the current members may not be on the Committee next year. Mr. Stillwell clarified that it is not just the raw zoning but is also a PUD and the actual density would be established by the PUD plan that would have to be materially amended for any increase in density.

Mr. Edgerton said the traffic analysis was done for the rush-hour in the morning and the afternoon for both the office and residential project. He said that a family development with 331 units would have a different traffic pattern which would exceed the traditional rush hour for morning and afternoon Monday through Friday. He asked if there was a traffic pattern studied to the effects of having residential units other than the traditional Monday through Friday AM and PM rush-hour. Mr. Garbe said no. Mr. Edgerton said then there is no indication of what the impact would be on weekends or evenings. Chairman Carrignan said we all live in neighborhoods and understand how traffic flows.

Mr. Edgerton asked how many units dwelling per acre are allowed for RM2. Chairman Carrignan said about 12.5 but that he did not know about RM1.

Linda Radford-283 Remington Dr.-asked what kind of activities would be planned on the large patio because her home is right there. Mr. Robertson said they planned the building to take advantage of the view over the pond and that any noise would be directed the other way. He said there is no bandstand or outdoor bar, it is an open space and there is no plan to have organized activities there. Ms. Radford said she would hold him to it. She then asked why the townhomes to the east on the south end are so much lower than the property and wanted to know about the drainage. Kevin Matray-Mackie Constltants-575 Rosemont-said the property was previously developed under the overall concept scheme where existing detention ponds are and there was a tributary area map that was developed in order to drain certain areas

of the site to the ponds. He said with the redevelopment of the site, some of those lines will slightly move based on the configuration of the new buildings but that the ponds under the previous design were overdesigned to compensate for future development. He said under the current concept, all the drainage is able to be detained in those 3 ponds. He said with the parkways and the green space there is more pervious area than there previously was. Ms. Radford asked about the traffic study and how you have 354 cars in the AM when there will be well over 300 units and 1.6 cars per unit is planned. Mr. Garbe explained the process in which the numbers are estimated based on Institute of Traffic Engineers study data.

Diane Eden-218 Remington-commented in regard to what will become of this property as the economy improves and that she hopes consideration is being given to what will happen to this property which is in her backyard.

Vanessa Bell-Lasota-1610 Howard St.-asked about the statistics from the study that shows the demand for rental. There is a reference to the Chicago market and asked if they are saying that the proposed property will be able to compete with the Chicago rental market even though there is indirect transit. Mr. Robertson said the reference was made to compare favorably with the amenities that are offered with properties in the City. Ms. Bell- Lasota asked how wide the net is and what the radius is. Mr. Robertson said a project approved in Wheaton for 306 units, projects in Naperville, Lisle and Lombard. Ms. Bell-Lasota said those are all on major arteries to Chicago. Ms. Bell-Lasota asked about the quality, retention, and transitional types of residents, and asked how they would balance the idea of encouraging that retention when a lot of the market being talked about is transitional. Mr. Fitzmaurice said looking at the industry, the average occupant lasts about a year and a half anywhere. Ms. Bell-Lasota noted that it was said by the developer that the quality was going to make people stay longer. She then voiced her concern on how long it would take for a traffic signal to be placed, and mentioned the post office because it is a dangerous intersection as it is. Chairman Carrigan said that is an IDOT issue.

Aldr. Krieger asked for a copy of the study done by Tracy Cross and Associates because it had been referred to so many times. Mr. Stillwell said some of that information is proprietary and they would have to ask Tracy Cross which information could be shared.

Mr. Burrell-283 Birch Lane-said back in 2006/2007 the vision and market study that was done showed such great impact for the City and with the change in the zoning, it's not only changing the feel of the City but also the western gate of how it will look. He said in regard to possible restaurants coming onto Route 64, the cars will have to come into the subdivision because there will not be a curb cut on Route 64. He asked that Committee, as they evaluate the project, consider the density, the traffic, change of the make up of the community, and what the long term effect will be on the residents and also the community, and he hopes to keep the vision that occurred.

Aldr. Turner noted that he lives in the ward and has spoken to a lot of the residents that were present at the meeting. He asked Mr. Burrell if he would rather have 2 5-story buildings and a bunch of parking decks and double the traffic than the proposed development. Mr. Burrell explained that office buildings and parking deck people will come in the morning and leave at 5 o'clock and will not be there on the weekends. During the week those individuals would be spending money in the area on meals and such, and people that will live there are going in the opposite direction of that.

Aldr. Rogina noted that with Lot 8 under the office plan, there will be 2 5-story office buildings and wanted to know if Mr. Burrell approved of that size-wise. Mr. Burrell said they would not be backing

up to the trail and he is fine with that. And he doesn't feel anyone in his area is in favor of the proposed project.

Pat Watson-318 Remington Dr.-asked if there had been any type of impact study done as far as resources comparing residential as opposed to office for the usage of water, water table and result in sewage, and as far as density goes the impact on the forest preserve park. She asked if the 2 office buildings are 100% occupied right now, why is there no future for other offices here. She asked if when the economy changes will the City end up with a bunch of half started communities such as hers and the other ones throughout the City. Chairman Carrignan said in regard to the resources, the City infrastructure has to be able to handle it before the project even begins, the studies have been done and it works whether its office complex or housing. He said it is the developer's land and they are trying to develop it but that the Forest Preserve District has been notified since the beginning.

Mr. Edgerton said he lives within 200 ft. and received notice of the existing plan, he said nothing is perfect but as fellow homeowners they must evaluate how this will impact the surrounding community. He said nobody he knows of has objected to the existing plan. Chairman Carrignan said that people have objected to the plan. Mr. Edgerton said ok, he hadn't heard about that to the extent of the objection to the proposed plan, but that he did not object to the existing as opposed to the current one and it has already been started, so time, money and energy by the City has been spent on the existing plan and now part way through the scheme, we want to change roads. He said that has already happened with Remington right down the road and only 2/3 of that is done and he asked where does this stop. He said he and people in his surrounding community think long term and thinks this is a reaction to preserve a short term issue. He asked that the Committee stick with the existing plan because the economy will turn around.

Chairman Carrignan said there will not be a motion at this time and some issues need to be addressed, first and foremost is the inclusionary housing issue and also the density. He said there would be a continuance for August 13<sup>th</sup>, with this item being first on the agenda. Mr. Stillwell was ok with that.

Aldr. Turner asked if the 2 issues were having to change the zoning and the approval of the project. Mr. O'Rourke said there are actually 3 applications, change in the underlying zoning, amendment to the PUD and the PUD Preliminary Plan.

**Aldr. Turner made a motion to continue this meeting on August 13<sup>th</sup> with this being the first item on the agenda. Motion was seconded by Aldr. Krieger.**

4. **ADDITIONAL BUSINESS**

5. **ADJOURNMENT-9:50 P.M.**