# MINUTES CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING MONDAY, JUNE 24, 2013, 7:00 P.M.

Members Present: Chairman Martin, Aldr. Stellato, Aldr. Silkaitis, Aldr.

Payleitner, Aldr. Lemke, Aldr. Turner, Aldr. Bancroft,

Aldr. Krieger, Aldr. Bessner, Aldr. Lewis

**Members Absent:** None

Others Present: Raymond P. Rogina, Mayor; Brian Townsend, City

Administrator; Mark Koenen, Director of Public Works; Peter Suhr, Assistant Director of Public Works; James Bernahl, Public Works Engineering Manager;

John Lamb, Environmental Services Manager; Tom Bruhl, Electric Services Manager; James Lamkin,

Police Chief; Joseph Schelstreet, Fire Chief

1. Meeting called to order at 7:00 p.m.

#### 2. Roll Call

#### K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Present Silkatis: Present Payleitner: Present Lemke: Present Turner: Present

**Bancroft**: Present (arrived at 7:15 p.m.)

Martin: Present Krieger: Present Bessner: Present Lewis: Present

3.a. Electric Reliability Report – Information only.

#### 3.b. EAB Control Efforts – Information only.

**Aldr. Lemke:** At one point we talked about an insecticide treatment that could be given to selected trees. Is there any other information on that? Has that program started?

**Peter Suhr**: We are still treating a portion of the trees that we started with; I believe we started with 300 treatment trees and we are down to about 100 at this time that are still alive.

**Aldr. Lemke**: So some that were treated have survived, but some maybe were already at risk when we began to treat and did not survive?

**Mr. Suhr**: Correct. We took a cross section of trees, different sizes and ages, and about 1/3 of them are still surviving.

### **3.c.** Tree Commission – Information only.

### 4.a Presentation to provide Update on IL Route 64 Projects – Information only.

**James Bernahl presented.** Construction activities continue to be on schedule for both the east contract and the west contract. There may be some confusion as to where things are at during the course of construction, so to clarify, this contract is broken into two sections; the west contract from 7<sup>th</sup> to Dunham Road and the east contract from Dunham Road to IL Rt. 59. The completion date for the west project is August 2013. The east project completion date is October 2013. Some of those sections overlap a bit and may be done at the same time.

Underground utility work is closer to being completed for both projects. As we move into the next few weeks you will notice the roads are starting to be built and restoration will start to take place.

Specific to the west contract, as some of you may have read in the press release, the intersection north of IL Rt. 64 on Tyler Road has been closed for the week of June 24. We have a very deep sanitary main and some manholes that have to be rehabilitated, so for that reason, we had to close the area. The contractor has reached out to the affected businesses in the area, and the City has done a press release along with my weekly e-mail explaining the status of the project.

**Aldr. Stellato**: The west contract from 7<sup>th</sup> to Dunham is scheduled to be completed by August 2013. Does that mean all landscaping and everything, or does it mean back open to traffic with landscape restoration later?

**Mr. Bernahl**: With restoration activities taking place during the summer months, there will be some landscape restoration work that will not take place until approximately September 15. For commuter traffic, the road will be open, minor landscape restoration will be completed and things will return to normal.

**Aldr. Krieger**: You have a contractor working under this contract who laughed when I said it was going to be done by early fall. He said "you mean 2014". I hope he was being funny and you are correct. Is there anything we can do to speed this up?

**Mr. Bernahl**: He was being funny. We have the contractor here who will verify that indeed the contract is on schedule. I'm not sure who is telling you that, but it's not accurate. I attend weekly meetings with IDOT, the contractor, the City of West Chicago, and all the utilities. The bridge near Powis Road is supposed to be completed by the end of July.

**Aldr. Krieger**: Does that mean Powis will then be open?

**Mr. Bernahl**: Once the bridge is completed they will start working on the intersections. I can't guarantee that intersection will be open at the same time the bridge is, but there is roadway work east of there that is taking place.

**Aldr. Lemke**: It is the same contractor for both the east and west segment?

Mr. Bernahl: Correct.

No further discussion.

# 4.b. Recommendation to approve Construction Service Agreement for the demolition of the former VFW building. (119 N. Third Street)

**James Bernahl presented.** This is a recommendation to award a contract to American Demolition Corporation for the demolition of the former VFW location at 119 North Third Street. Staff requested proposals from three companies; one company declined to submit a proposal due to their work load and two provided proposals. Of those two, American Demolition Corporation of Elgin, IL was the apparent low bidder at \$74,750.

Staff has checked references and their schedule for work activities and find it to be acceptable. Currently we are scheduling to begin demolition of the building in mid-July, which will allow us to get beyond the 4<sup>th</sup> of July Holiday.

Staff recommends awarding a Construction Service Agreement to American Demolition.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Silkaitis. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried** 

### 4.c. Presentation of ComEd Reliability Quarterly Report – Information only.

**Thomas Bruhl presented.** With all of the interruptions this year, ComEd has sent someone out to investigate. They found the root cause of the outages and have made corrections. Year to date there have been eight momentary outages, including a momentary tonight. Statistically the eight outages are on pace to be slightly better than their average.

ComEd has provided details regarding their summer capacity to make sure in the heat of the summer we don't have any type of major outages due to overloads. We engage with them regarding a catastrophic tornado event as a follow-up to our Emmitsburg trip in an attempt to get them to co-locate in our joint Emergency Operations Center, such that we can coordinate having them restore the transmission lines while we are restoring the internal lines. The next major step is to identify the key critical City facilities and link those to their lines so they know which lines we will be looking for if a tornado were to move through.

No further discussion.

4.d. Recommendation to waive the formal bid process and approval to issue an Open Purchase Order with Zimmerman Ford for non-stocked automotive parts.

**Aldr. Silkaitis**: I need to abstain from this item.

**Peter Suhr presented.** We are asking for approval to issue an Open Purchase Order with our local Ford Dealer, Zimmerman Ford for automotive parts. We have purchased these parts from Zimmerman Ford for many years, but on separate purchase orders. This is a more efficient way for our Fleet Staff and also our Finance Department to handle these purchases. We are estimating the overall cost of automotive parts on an as needed basis to be approximately \$40,000 this year. This is a budgeted item.

Staff recommends approval to issue an Open Purchase Order with Zimmerman Ford.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Bessner, seconded by Aldr. Krieger. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.** 

4.e. Recommendation to waive the formal bid process and approval to issue an Open Purchase Order with Pomps Tire Service, Inc. for non-stocked automotive parts.

**Peter Suhr presented.** Similar to the last request, we are also asking for an Open Purchase Order with our tire vendor, Pomps Tire. We are estimating the overall cost for these tires are about \$35,000 which is also a budgeted item.

Staff recommends approval to issue an Open Purchase Order with Pomp's Tire Services.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.** 

## 5.a. Recommendation to approve an Ordinance to allow for the creation of a Liquor Commission.

**Chief Lamkin presented.** At Committee last month, we had a discussion about the creation of a Liquor Commission. Working with direction from the Committee, we created a draft Ordinance which outlines the points that were discussed regarding the creation of the Liquor Commission.

I had a discussion with Steve Baginski, representative for the Tavern Association. He had no negative thoughts about the Liquor Commission, but obviously was curious as to who the people would be serving in that capacity.

We also talked about the ability to renew licenses or adjust a closing time in an instance where there have been repetitive problems; I anticipate that we will continue to have discussions to determine your preference on that.

Staff recommends approve of the Ordinance to create the Liquor Commission.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.** 

### **5.b.** Presentation of Pending Concealed Carry Legislation.

**Chairman Martin:** Chief Lamkin will make his presentation, and then Council will have an opportunity to ask questions. I will then open the meeting for public comment; I ask that you come to the podium, give your name and address, keep your remarks short and do not be redundant.

**Chief Lamkin:** The purpose of this presentation is to provide you with an overview of the pending Concealed Carry Law, House Bill 183. The bill is 130 pages long, so we pulled out parts of the bill that are relevant and will affect us from a local community standpoint.

Power Point presentation by Chief Lamkin.

**Aldr. Stellato**: The bill is not signed in Springfield yet, is that correct?

Chief Lamkin: That is my understanding.

**Aldr. Stellato**: Is there a date they think it will be signed?

Attorney McGuirk: July 9.

**Aldr. Stellato**: If we do nothing tonight, our Ordinances would mirror what Springfield is doing? Is that correct?

**Attorney McGuirk**: As Chief pointed out, there are some pieces of our Ordinance that might be validated because the State preempts all regulation of hand guns and assault weapons, unless you do something to initiate your own Ordinance. That's the real impact, plus all the regulation that the police force is faced with.

**Aldr. Stellato**: So we do nothing before July 9 and it gets signed. It appears with the assault weapon issue there is a 10 day period where if we do nothing then there is no assault weapons ban? We have to be proactive and actually decide to ban assault weapons in order for that to be effective? I'm confused.

Attorney McGuirk: It's an unusual piece, to be certain, but Cook County has an assault weapons ban, and Chicago does as well. Unless you act within 10 days of the effective date of the act, which may be July 9, then your opportunity to regulate in that area is gone forever and that will be under the exclusive jurisdiction of the State, like hand guns, just as it is today. It's an assault weapons ban, it's any regulation of possession and ownership of assault weapons.

**Aldr. Silkaitis**: So the State of Illinois does not ban assault weapons at this time, correct?

Attorney McGuirk: Correct.

**Aldr. Silkaitis**: So discussion tonight is going to be about the assault weapon ban, I believe, because everything else is being handled by Springfield?

**Chief Lamkin**: For practical purposes, yes. The one thing we need to make sure is that when people own firearms and they go to places where they can't carry it, we need to make sure they are taking appropriate measures to secure it so it doesn't get in the wrong hands.

**Aldr. Silkaitis**: That would be in the training part of it?

**Chief Lamkin**: I suspect that would be part of it, but until the State Police put that training together, I don't know what that looks like.

**Aldr. Silkaitis**: With regard to assault weapons, I personally don't understand why someone needs an assault weapon, but on the other hand I'm not going to prevent someone from having one. I do believe in the Second Amendment and I would not vote for any ban on assault weapons. I think people have the right to have one.

**Aldr. Lemke**: Do we have any information about how well the State is managing when a person is diminished in mental capacity?

**Chief Lamkin**: I don't know that I can assess that. Sometimes those records do get backed up.

**Aldr. Lemke**: But a portion of the license fee would go to FOID determinations?

**Chief Lamkin**: There are efforts to generate funds if they need to improve systems.

**Aldr. Bessner**: I just want to be clear; if we do nothing, State law trumps? Or not? In regards to banning assault weapons, if we do nothing, where does that leave us?

**Chief Lamkin**: We don't have anything banning assault weapons now, so if we do nothing, there is nothing that occurs.

**Aldr. Bessner**: They can't tell us what to do?

**Chief Lamkin**: No. The only thing the State has mandated in this law deals with hand guns. If we did nothing with our local Ordinances with regard to hand guns, some of those would be invalidated, so we will have to address that at some point.

**Aldr. Bessner**: So this is a 10 day opportunity if we wanted to, and I'm not suggesting that we do, but if we wanted to, this would be the opportunity to do it within those 10 days.

**Chief Lamkin**: As I understand it, once the Governor signs the law, you have 10 days from that time if you are going to do anything.

**Attorney McGuirk**: Whenever the law is effective, (there is some thought he might have an amendatory veto, which could delay it a day or so), but it will be ten days from whenever it becomes law. The opportunity is only related to assault weapons; it's not regulating any other aspect such as hand guns.

**Aldr. Lewis**: What is an assault weapon? I need a definition.

**Chief Lamkin**: Most definitions will say it's a rifle capable of chambering multiple rounds as you are shooting. The number of rounds will depend on the size magazine has.

**Aldr. Lewis**: So this is a very broad term?

**Chief Lamkin**: Yes, there are many variations, but there is some consistency; it is the number of rounds able to chambered.

**Aldr. Lewis**: Can you conceal a rifle?

**Chief Lamkin**: If you carry it on a sling and wear a trench coat, yes.

**Aldr. Lewis**: Where will people go to get certified?

**Chief Lamkin**: The State Police have yet to designate that. They set up the parameters and the cost of the training, but I would suspect there will be private vendors allowed to provide the training to meet State of Illinois standards. I feel comfortable saying that the State does not have the manpower necessary to provide this training, so it will be training from a private vendor, but the State will set the boundaries and requirements.

**Aldr. Lewis**: Is that something we can control at a local level?

**Chief Lamkin**: I did not see anything in the law that says we can do that. It will be handled at the State level.

**Aldr. Bancroft**: I'm back to the definition of an assault weapon; there is nothing in any writing that I've seen in terms of the definition of an assault weapon. Can you help?

**Attorney McGuirk**: Let me read you the definition out of the Statute. "Assault weapons means firearms designated by either make or model or by a test or list of cosmetic features that cumulatively places the firearm into a definition of assault weapon under the Ordinance".

I can tell you this; Cook County has a whole list specifying the type, manufacturer, serial number and model. This of course would be difficult for this City Council to come up with; if you were so inclined, you would model after one of these pre-existing Ordinances.

**Chairman Martin**: I am a strong supporter of the Second Amendment and I will object to anybody who tries to water it down in any way.

**Aldr. Stellato**: I have a question regarding parking lots. You told us if you go to a sporting event, you cannot bring a concealed carry anywhere in the parking lot. But it looks like if you were to go to a school, you cannot walk into the building with a concealed weapon, but you can apparently leave it in your car in the parking lot? I want consistency, so this is easy to understand.

**Chief Lamkin**: As I read through this, I saw a lot of commonality of places identified over the years where bad things have happened; they looked at places that are under the control of local government, it depends on who owns that parking lot.

**Aldr. Stellato:** Springfield obviously initiated this, so it's not our fault, but I'm just trying to make this easier for all of us to understand. I still think Springfield has a lot of work to do on this to make it clearer.

**Chief Lamkin**: The Bill is in its final form. However, there are things we know from an internal standpoint that are going to require us to make some changes.

**Aldr. Payleitner**: What you presented to us in bold in your presentation is what applies to St. Charles for the most part?

Chief Lamkin: Yes.

**Aldr. Payleitner**: The two St. Charles Chapters that you reviewed are the only ones you saw that would conflict with the State Law, correct?

**Chief Lamkin**: Yes, and they were reviewed by Attorney McGuirk as well.

**Aldr. Lemke**: Would that require a positive resolution or maybe not responding or making a resolution by definition, the State law invalidates it?

Attorney McGuirk: State law preempts it.

**Mayor Rogina**: Chief, to your knowledge, is there weapons that are prohibited possession by anyone according to State Statute?

**Chief Lamkin**: Automatic weapons are not something by State Statute that people can have. I don't have a list of weapons overall.

**Mayor Rogina**: But we would presume the State prohibited some weapons, and that would be applicable to St. Charles as well?

**Chief Lamkin**: I would assume that whether it's at a State or Federal level, any weapons prohibited would be applicable to St. Charles.

**Mayor Rogina**: My next question is directed to the Council; from a procedural standpoint does the Council want staff to bring any model ordinances of any kind to you prior to any deadline?

Collective Council: No.

**Chairman Martin**: At this time, we will open it up to the audience. Please approach the podium one at a time.

**Kevin Morenci**, I'm on the board at St. Charles Sportsman's Club. I used my assault rifle last Sunday, we are involved in what is known as "Three Gun Competitions", it's harmless and it's fun. Just wanted to let you know that when the gun is home, it's locked in the safe. I'm a law abiding citizen.

**Alan Davis**, 40W012 Robert Lowell Place, St. Charles, since 1998. Chief, I had a couple questions for you. I looked at the crime data off the website and it says that we have only had two murders in the last 10-12 years. Were either of the firearm murders, are you aware?

Chief Lamkin: One I know was not. I can't answer to the other one; I don't know.

**Alan Davis**: I'm also a member of the St. Charles Sportsman's Club; I'm the youth liaison and I train about 160 Boy Scouts a year in rifle and shot gun merit badge. I'm a law abiding firearm owner. Most bad things don't happen with assault rifles; you can't hide them, Maureen. I would suggest and support Council in not trying to impose a ban on something that hasn't been a problem in our community.

(**No name**), 4 Stirrup Cup Court, St. Charles. Since there is a concern about the quality of training to be given under the State Statute, what plans do you have to implement a training requirement that is required in the proposed Statute for the people of St. Charles? Given that the St. Charles Range was built with public funds, much like the public library?

Chief Lamkin: I don't have any immediate plans in regard to the training, because I don't know what the State Police are prescribing. I would say that while I know the Range that was built in St. Charles was built with public funds, the City Council at the time also voted very specifically that the range was built with the intended use for law enforcement training only. We have honored that, and that was the direction I was given. Unless City Council makes a different decision, that facility is for law enforcement only.

**Jake Wyatt**, 1022 Stonehedge. I would like to say I'm representing several veterans, VFW, American Legion and Amvets members. We are very strong supporters of the Second Amendment as well. Chief Lamkin, since you have been Chief in St. Charles, do you recall any time that we have had incidents within the county that involved assault weapons?

**Chief Lamkin**: I can't speak for the County, but I can speak for the City; St. Charles is not a community that deals with a lot of gun violence.

**Jake Wyatt**: As you went through your slides, you talked about Public Transportation, but it didn't say anything specifically about vehicles for hire such as taxi cabs, limos, etc. Are they going to be excluded from carrying concealed weapons if you are a passenger?

**Chief Lamkin**: I didn't see anything in the law that specifically addressed that, but I would also say assuming that taxi cab may be privately owned, I'm guessing the owner of the taxi cab may have the opportunity if they chose to, to put a sign on their taxi cab as their private property to not allow it in their taxi cab.

**Jake Wyatt**: In your presentation you mentioned the database on people applying for permits; did they say anything about background checks that are going to be required on these individuals? Are they going to be local, State or Federal background checks?

**Chief Lamkin**: They put in a number of measures with regard to the background checks, I'm going to assume they will use the database available to them. I believe the reason why they put the Local Objection piece in there is because sometimes as local law

enforcement we may have knowledge of an individual's activities. Sometimes there are cases where a person displayed poor judgment but didn't get charged for whatever reason, but by our estimation they shouldn't be given a permit to carry a gun.

**Jake Wyatt**: There has been a lot of discussion about assault weapons. Being retired military, I've worked with assault quite a bit, but the question I have from a civilian definition is how would you classify a 9mm automatic handgun that can fire 30 rounds very quickly?

**Chief Lamkin**: I understand what you are asking me, but I don't know that I can answer that tonight. I would have to look at the definition.

**Rob Anderson**, 221 W. Main Street. In regards to the public transportation; is that State or City?

**Chief Lamkin**: It does not specify. The items outlined tonight are in House Bill 183, which is the State Law.

**Rob Anderson**: My concern is we have older citizens that rely upon public transportation; is that not a form of age discrimination when someone who is no longer capable of driving cannot be able to protect themselves?

**Chief Lamkin**: I understand what you are saying, but the State law is very clear. It doesn't allow us to impose regulations different from State law.

**John Conti**, 48061 Adele, St. Charles. Chief, I'm a trainer at Royal Sportsman's Club, so I'm very familiar with rifles. I think there is going to be a lot of confusion, I see it on a daily basis – people don't understand what they can and cannot do with rifles and hand guns. Would the City entertain the possibility of open houses or some pamphlets or discussions with people who are looking to secure a permit?

**Chief Lamkin**: Yes, but the thing to realize is there is going to be some level of interpretation. We can interpret the way the law says in St. Charles, but I can't say if another community is going to interpret it a little differently. We can certainly help share our view if you think that would help people to understand.

Charlie McRain, my office is at 440 S. Third Street, St. Charles. I am 24 years in the US Armed Forces, I was Army 12 years and US Coast Guard 12 years; I was a law enforcement officer in the Coast Guard for eight of those 12 years. The problem is we have people who will want to obey and carry out the laws. If we have a patchwork of different laws in different towns, we don't know as we drive along from one to another what goes in each town. I hope for a unified bill for this reason. This is the problem as I see it, I don't think we have much of problem with firearms in Kane County at all. Thank you for your time.

**John D. DePaul**, 609 South 8<sup>th</sup> Street. I am a member of Amvets, American Legion and VFW Post here in St. Charles. I saluted the flag when I came up here, I thought the City Council opens with the Pledge of Allegiance. Please educate me on that. Second, I have a FOID card, I have a concealed carry permit from Utah, I have concealed carry permit from Florida. I urge the City to contact State Legislature to let them know we do need concealed carry, but it needs to be done correctly. I recommend the people in this audience contact their local legislators also. Let's get the bill passed, but let's do it right.

**Aldr. Payleitner**: The Pledge of Allegiance is said before City Council meetings; this is a Committee meeting.

**Tim Cooper**, I live in unincorporated St. Charles. I've read the bill, and I believe when you go to a place, your vehicle is supposed to be a safe haven, is that correct?

Chief Lamkin: I believe so, yes.

**Tim Cooper**: So any place besides a nuclear power plant, you can store your firearm in your vehicle?

**Chief Lamkin**: I believe so, unless there is something posted otherwise.

**Tim Cooper**: It has to be posted on the property?

**Chief Lamkin**: You have to have notice if someone does not want a gun on their private property.

**Tim Cooper**: Even in the parking lot?

**Chief Lamkin**: I would have to go back through the Bill again.

**Tim Cooper:** I can see situations where people are in a situation where they need to off load their firearm so they are legal, so if you can't do that and safely leave your firearm in your vehicle that can be a real problem.

**Chief Lamkin**: Our interpretation was that the car would probably end up being a place where firearms would be kept securely.

**Robert Routan**, 409 Maple Avenue, Elburn. I want to speak as a public school teacher. It was April 20, 1999 that the Columbine Shooting happened. I recall coming home that night and tell my wife saying if there is a shooting in our school, I'm afraid I may not come home alive, because I would give my life to save those kids. It was an unnerving situation to consider. However, I recall the birth of my fifth child a year ago. My wife heard a noise downstairs, I was sleeping. She said "there is someone downstairs". She called the police. Their opening question was "do you have a gun"? Our answer was no.

My world has changed dramatically since that time in that I now have my FOID card, I now have my concealed carry from Utah. I've spent a lot of time thinking about what this really represents. The Sandy Hook Shooting has challenged a lot of us to think very carefully about what this means. I personally stopped a potential shooter at our school, 30 minutes before he went home and got the gun. This is a challenge of our mental health, it's a challenge of safety, it's a responsibility of us all to protect our guns, but I would just as soon say the impetus to ban semi-automatic and various assault weapons at this point does not make any more sense than banning alcohol to prevent drunk driving, from which I've lost many friends as well.

I appreciate the honesty and trust demonstrated from the Council today; I can honestly say it's refreshing. Not knowing what it would be like, I brought my children for their first chance to hear something like this. But I can say I think the integrity and character of what we are trying to represent in good faith is very powerful thing. I'm very thankful for the discussions that are happening here today. Thank you very much.

**Chairman Martin**: We will close on that note. Thank you to everyone here for the positive comments and we appreciate your attendance. Chief, would you or Council like to make any final comments?

**Aldr. Lemke**: I would just like to say that I have received many very thoughtful e-mails presenting individual positions and I read and retain them all.

No further discussion.

# 6.a. Recommendation to approve award of contract to Foster Coach for upgrade of Ambulance for Tri-City Ambulance.

Chief Schelstreet presented. At the June 7 regular meeting of the Tri-City Ambulance Board, they approved the upgrade of one of the reserve ambulances. The unit to be upgraded is currently in place in Geneva and it is going to be upgraded to be a bariatric unit. The bariatric unit would be utilized at such time when we encounter a patient of a larger body mass and it will have an automatic cot which is capable of lifting by itself and there is a device that would pick up the cot and bring it inside. This would help protect our staff from injury.

Total project cost is \$37,106.70 and is a budgeted item.

Staff recommends approval of the contract with Foster Coach.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Krieger, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.** 

# 6.b. Recommendation to approve award of contract to Foster Coach for purchase of an Ambulance Replacement for Tri-City Ambulance.

**Chief Schelstreet presented.** At the June 7 regular meeting of the Tri-City Ambulance Board, they approved the regular replacement of Medic 153, which is the ambulance currently assigned to Fire Station 3. This is a budgeted item, the total cost is \$226,649.00 after the receipt of a trade in of \$10,000 and a pre-payment discount.

This is a budgeted item and has previously been approved by the TCA Board.

Staff recommends approval of this contract with Foster Coach.

**Aldr. Kreiger**: Will the new ambulance stay in St. Charles?

Chief Schelstreet: Yes, at Fire Station 3.

**Aldr. Martin**: This is a lot of money; Kristi, please call a roll.

### K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Yes
Silkaitis: No
Payleitner: Yes
Lemke: Yes
Turner: Yes
Bancroft: Yes
Krieger: Yes
Bessner: Yes
Lewis: Yes

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Krieger, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved by roll call vote. **Motion carried.** 

7.a. Recommendation to ap prove a Class B1 Liquor License for Hungry Hound, Inc., d/b/a Gina's Pizza & Subs, 2770 E. Main Street, St. Charles (new owner).

**Mayor Rogina presented.** This is a request for a Class B1 Liquor License for Hungry Hound, Inc., which is Gina's Pizza & Subs on Main Street. Mr. Kalia is the new owner, buying the establishment from Mr. Sal Lavato. Gina's Pizza & Subs is a long established business on the east side of St. Charles.

Government Services Committee

June 24, 2013

Page 15

All the documents are in place per the Police Department and I encourage your approval of this license.

Chairman Martin: Please call a roll.

#### K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Yes
Silkaitis: Yes
Payleitner: Yes
Lemke: Yes
Turner: Yes
Bancroft: Yes
Krieger: No
Bessner: Yes
Lewis: Yes

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Stellato, seconded by Aldr. Lemke. Approved by roll call vote. **Motion carried.** 

### 8. Additional Business.

None.

### 9. Move to go into Executive Session

Motion by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**.

### K. Dobbs:

Stellato: Yes Silkaitis: Yes Payleitner: Yes Lemke: Yes Turner: Yes Bancroft: Yes Krieger: Yes Bessner: Yes Lewis: Yes

### 10. Adjournment from Executive Session

Motion by Aldr. Krieger, seconded by Aldr. Stellato. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**.

### 11. Adjournment from Government Services Committee Meeting.

Motion by Aldr. Krieger, seconded by Aldr. Stellato. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**.