MINUTES CITY OF ST. CHARLES ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS THURSDAY, JULY 24, 2014

Members Present: Chairman Elmer Rullman III

Secretary Nabi Fakroddin

Scott Buening James Holderfield Betty Weisman Charles Simpson

Member Absent: Robert Krawczyk

Also Present: Russell Colby, Planning Division Manager

Ellen Johnson, Planner

Court Reporter

1. Call Hearing to Order.

Chairman Rullman called the hearing to order at 7:02 PM on Thursday July 24, 2014.

2. Roll call.

Roll was called with six members present.

3. Presentation of Minutes from the January 23, 2013 meeting.

A motion was made by Mr. Buening and seconded by Mr. Fakroddin to accept the minutes as presented.

4. Variation Application V-2-2014, filed by Terry Carlson and Christian Carlson, owners of the property located at 1640 N. 2nd Avenue in the City of St. Charles.

Secretary Fakroddin summarized/read into the record the following:

- Variation Application V-2-2014 for 1640 N. 2nd Avenue.
- Variation request was published in the Kane County Chronicle on July 3, 2014.
- Plat of Survey submitted, signed and sealed by William J. Vanderstappen.

Chairman Rullman swore in the following:

- Russell Colby, Planning Division Manager
- Christian Carlson, 2603 Lorraine Circle, Geneva

Minutes – St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals Thursday, July 24, 2014 Page 2

The attached transcript prepared by Chicago Area Real Time Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

A motion was made by Mr. Holderfield, and seconded by Mr. Simpson as follows:

Whereas, the St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals has reviewed File V-2-2014, dated 4/28/14, and received 4/28/14 from Terry and Christian Carlson for the property located at 1640 West Main Street in the City of St. Charles to maintain a sign existing at the 0.8 feet setback; and

Whereas, the proposed variation will not alter the essential character of the property; and

Whereas, the property variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located; and

Whereas, the proposed variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to the adjacent property, or substantially increase the danger of fire, or otherwise endanger the public safety, or substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood; and

Whereas, if the sign were to be located at the 10-foot setback, it would not be visible to passing traffic.

Now Therefore, the St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals grants the variation requested, with the stipulations as specified in Section 17.04.310.

Roll Called:

Ayes: Buening, Fakroddin, Holderfield, Rullman, Weisman, Simpson

Nays: None

Motion carried; Variation granted. 6-0

5. Variation Application V-3-2014, filed by Rodney and Patricia Miller, contract purchasers of property located at 628 N. 2nd Avenue in the City of St. Charles.

Secretary Fakroddin summarized/read into the record the following:

- Variation Application V-3-2014 for 628 N. 2nd Avenue.
- Variation request was published in the Kane County Chronicle on July 3, 2014.
- Plat of Survey submitted, signed and sealed by James T. Stowell
- Historic Preservation Commission, Resolution No. 5-2014

Chairman Rullman swore in the following:

Minutes – St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals Thursday, July 24, 2014 Page 3

- Russell Colby, Planning Division Manager
- Rod Miller, 40W718 Campton Woods Drive, Elburn.

The attached transcript prepared by Chicago Area Real Time Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

A motion was made by Ms. Weisman, and seconded by Mr. Fakroddin as follows:

Whereas, the St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals has reviewed File V-3-2014, dated 6/26/2014, and received 6/26/2014 from Rodney and Patricia Miller for the property located at 628 North 2nd Avenue, St. Charles, Illinois, for a variation to reduce the front yard setback requirement for the principal building from 20 feet to 9.26 feet and the interior side yard setback requirement for the principal building from 10 feet to 5.7 feet. The front yard is located along the north property line adjacent to Bent Avenue, and the interior side yard along the east property line. There are two front yard setbacks because it is a corner lot; and

Whereas, the proposed variation will not alter the residential character of the property; and

Whereas, the proposed variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located; and

Whereas, the proposed variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent properties, or substantially increase the danger of fire, or otherwise endanger the public safety, or substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood; and

Whereas, the Historic Preservation Commission, Resolution No. 5-2014, have approved the zoning variation for 628 N. 2nd Avenue.

Now Therefore, the St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals grants the variation requested with the stipulations specified in Section 17.04.310.

Roll Called:

Aves: Buening, Fakroddin, Holderfield, Rullman, Weisman, Simpson

Nays: None

Motion carried; Variation granted. 6-0

- **6.** Additional Business from Board members, Staff, or Citizens. None.
- 7. Adjournment at 7:29PM

```
1
     S101007A
 1
 2
          STATE OF ILLINOIS
                                     SS.
 3
          COUNTY OF K A N E
 4
                 BEFORE THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
 5
                     OF THE CITY OF ST. CHARLES
 6
          In Re the Matter of:
 7
          Variation application
                                           No. V-2-2014
 8
          for the property
          located at 1640 West
 9
          Main Street.
10
11
12
13
                        REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
               City of St. Charles Council Chambers
14
                            Second Floor
15
                         2 East Main Street
                     St. Charles, Illinois 60174
16
                            July 24, 2014
17
                       7:02 p.m. to 7:11 p.m.
18
19
20
21
22
     Reported by: Joanne E. Ely,
23
                   CSR, RPR
                   Notary Public, Kane County, Illinois
24
```

		3
1	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: We will call the	
2	meeting to order at 7:02 on the clock on the wall.	
3	Secretary, please read the roll.	
4	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Buening.	
5	MEMBER BUENING: Here.	
6	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Fakroddin, here.	
7	Mr. Holderfield.	
8	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Here.	
9	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Krawczyk, not	
10	here.	
11	Mr. Rullman.	
12	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Here.	
13	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Simpson.	
14	MEMBER SIMPSON: Here.	
15	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Ms. Weisman.	
16	MEMBER WEISMAN: Here.	
17	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Questions or	
18	additions to the minutes of the January 23rd meeting?	
19	(No response.)	
20	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: If not, I'll accept a	
21	motion to accept the minutes.	
22	MEMBER BUENING: So moved.	
23	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Second.	
24	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All in favor.	

Chicago-area Realtime & Court Reporting, Ltd. 800.232.0265 Chicago-Realtime.com

		4
1	(Ayes heard.)	
2	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Any opposed.	
3	(No response.)	
4	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: At this time we will	
5	open the variation application V-2-2014 filed by Terry	
6	Carlson and Christian Carlson, owners of the property	
7	located at 1640 West Main Street in the city of	
8	St. Charles.	
9	Mr. Secretary, please read the appropriate	
10	file.	
11	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Application for a	
12	variation, File No. V-2-2014 was received on	
13	April 28th, 2014, in the office of the St. Charles	
14	Planning Division.	
15	The applicants, Terry Carlson and Christian	
16	Carlson, have listed their home address as 1130 South	
17	5th Street, St. Charles, Illinois 60174.	
18	The applicants have indicated the property	
19	owners have indicated the property owners of record	
20	to be Terry and Susan Carlson. The owners acquired the	
21	property at 1640 West Main Street, St. Charles,	
22	Illinois 60174, a retail store, in 1986.	
23	The application is signed by both Terry	
24	Carlson and Christian Carlson and is dated April 28th,	

5 2014. 1 2 The survey of the property as submitted is sealed and signed by William J. Vanderstappen, a 3 licensed professional land surveyor with Registration 4 No. 035-002709, and dated April 3rd, 2014. 5 Evidence of publication of legal notice is 6 submitted -- and just a second. 7 8 Mr. Colby, do you know the date? 9 MR. COLBY: Publication. 10 MEMBER FAKRODDIN: The date it was submitted, and it was published in the Kane County 11 Chronicle on what date? 12 13 Thank you. Evidence of publication of Okay. 14 legal notice is submitted, and it was published in the Kane County Chronicle on July 3rd, 2014. 15 16 The applicants are requesting a variation to maintain a sign at the existing setback of 0.8 feet 17 18 instead of the required 10-foot setback. The reason for their request is because it is a preexisting sign. 19 20 Going back 10 feet to comply with the required setback 21 would obstruct the visibility of the sign due to the 22 building next door. That's all, Mr. Chairman. 23 24 CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Thank you.

		6
1	Would everyone wishing to give testimony on	
2	this, please rise. Raise your right hand.	
3	(Witnesses duly sworn.)	
4	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Please give your name	
5	and address to the recorder.	
6	MR. CHRISTIAN CARLSON: Christian	
7	Carlson, 2603 Lorraine Circle, Geneva, Illinois 60134.	
8	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Let the record show	
9	that Russell Colby, planning division manager, was also	
10	sworn.	
11	And you are the owner of record?	
12	MR. CHRISTIAN CARLSON: The owner of	
13	record is my father.	
14	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Okay. That's the one	
15	that's present. That's all we care about.	
16	MR. CHRISTIAN CARLSON: He's here.	
17	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: What would you like	
18	to present to us?	
19	MR. CHRISTIAN CARLSON: What would I	
20	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: The floor is yours.	
21	MR. CHRISTIAN CARLSON: Okay. What I'd	
22	like to do is request a variance; and the reasoning for	
23	it is if we set the sign back 10 feet, which you guys	
24	are requiring, the sign is going to be hidden behind	

		7
1	the Midas building. I don't know if you guys are	
2	familiar with that. Therefore, we're not going to have	
3	any type of exposure.	
4	If it is set back 10 feet, it's going to eat	
5	up the limited number of five parking spots we have.	
6	It's probably going to take two of them up, and we're	
7	going to have exposure possibly by people who park at	
8	Gabby's Kitchen. That's about it.	
9	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Okay. I think it's	
10	clear. Any questions from the Board?	
11	MEMBER BUENING: I have a question for	
12	staff.	
13	The Midas building, I noticed on the plat of	
14	subdivision is a 20-foot building line. I believe that	
15	building also is in the same subdivision.	
16	Are you aware of what the situation was of	
17	why that building encroached over that setback?	
18	MR. COLBY: I am not aware of that, but	
19	the building as it exists today I think is set back	
20	less than 5 feet. I'm not certain how it was	
21	constructed that close to the property line.	
22	MEMBER BUENING: Thank you. That's all	
23	I have.	
24	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: As noted in the	

material we have in front of us, the St. Charles zoning ordinance was changed to require the setback change, and taking care of grandfathered signs, which there are no more grandfathered signs, and so that was rolled back a couple times over the years, but I think this is a unique situation in that the building has a sign.

So any other questions?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Anyone who wishes to

CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Anyone who wishes to offer testimony against this?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Okay. Let the record show there are no objections to this.

If there is nothing further, we will entertain a motion.

MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: I'll make a motion.

Whereas, the St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals has reviewed File V-2-2014, dated 4/28/14, and received 4/28/14 from Terry and Christian Carlson for the property located at 1640 West Main Street in the City of St. Charles to maintain a sign existing on the setback of 8 feet -- to keep the existing sign as 8 feet and would like to -- how do I say that?

CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: .8 feet.

		9
1	MR. BUENING: Keep the existing sign at	
2	.08 feet.	
3	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Yeah. Keep the	
4	existing sign at the .8 feet setback.	
5	Whereas, the proposed variation will not	
6	alter the essential character of the property; and	
7	Whereas, the property variation will not be	
8	detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other	
9	property or improvements in the neighborhood in which	
10	the property is located; and	
11	Whereas, the proposed variation will not	
12	impair an adequate supply of light and air to the	
13	adjacent property, or substantially increase the danger	
14	of fire, or otherwise endanger the public safety, or	
15	substantially diminish or impair property values within	
16	the nei ghborhood; and	
17	Whereas, if the sign were to be located at	
18	the 10-foot setback, it would not be visible to passing	
19	traffic.	
20	Now, therefore, the St. Charles Zoning Board	
21	of Appeals grants the variation requested, with the	
22	stipulations as specified in Section 17.04.310,	
23	variation.	
24	Are there any additional conditions?	

1		
		10
1	MEMBER SIMPSON: Second.	
2	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: It's moved and	
3	seconded that the variation be approved.	
4	Any other discussion by the Board?	
5	(No response.)	
6	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: If not, Secretary,	
7	please call the roll.	
8	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Buening.	
9	MEMBER BUENING: Aye.	
10	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Fakroddin, yes.	
11	Mr. Holderfield.	
12	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Yes.	
13	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Krawczyk. He is	
14	absent.	
15	Mr. Rullman.	
16	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Yes.	
17	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Simpson.	
18	MEMBER SIMPSON: Yes.	
19	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Ms. Weisman.	
20	MEMBER WEISMAN: Yes.	
21	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: 6 to 0 in the	
22	affirmative. The motion is carried, approved. We will	
23	close the hearing on variation application V-2-2014.	
24	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 7:11 P.M.	

STATE OF ILLINOIS)

SS.

COUNTY OF K A N E)

I, JOANNE E. ELY, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 84-4169, CSR, RPR, and a Notary Public in

and for the County of Kane, State of Illinois, do

hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the

proceedings had in the above-entitled matter and that

the foregoing is a true, correct, and complete

transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my Notarial Seal this 28th day of July, 2014.

Certified Shorthand Reporter
Registered Professional Reporter

My commission expires May 16, 2016

```
1
     S101007B
 1
 2
          STATE OF ILLINOIS
                                     SS.
 3
          COUNTY OF K A N E
 4
                 BEFORE THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
 5
                     OF THE CITY OF ST. CHARLES
 6
          In Re the Matter of:
 7
          Variation application
                                           No. V-3-2014
 8
          for the property
          located at 628 North
 9
          2nd Avenue.
10
11
12
13
                        REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
               City of St. Charles Council Chambers
14
                            Second Floor
15
                         2 East Main Street
                     St. Charles, Illinois 60174
16
                            July 24, 2014
                         7:11 p.m. to 7:29
17
18
19
20
21
22
     Reported by: Joanne E. Ely,
23
                   CSR, RPR
                   Notary Public, Kane County, Illinois
24
```

We will open the variation application on V-3-2014 filed by Rodney and Patricia Miller, contract purchasers of the property located at 628 North 2nd Avenue in the city of St. Charles.

Mr. Secretary, please read the material.

MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Application for a variation, File No. V-3-2014 was received on June 26th, 2014, in the office of the planning division of the St. Charles Community Economic Development Department.

The applicants, Rodney and Patricia Miller, have listed their home address at 40W718 Campton Woods Drive, Elburn, Illinois 60119.

The applicants have indicated the property owners of record to be Richard and Betsy Anderson. The owners acquired the property at 628 North 2nd Avenue, St. Charles, Illinois, a residential home in 1986.

The application is signed by both the applicant Rodney Miller and the owner Richard Anderson and is dated June 26th, 2014.

The survey of the property as submitted is signed and sealed by James T. Stowell, a licensed professional land surveyor with a Registration No. 35-1952 and dated April 24th, 1986.

Evidence of publication of legal notice is

4

submitted, and I believe it's the same date; isn't it, 1 2 Mr. Col by? 3 MR. COLBY: That is correct. 4 MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Okay. 5 MR. COLBY: July 3rd. MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Yeah. Evidence of 6 7 publication of legal notice is submitted, and it was published in the Kane County Chronicle on July 3rd, 8 9 2014 10 The applicants are requesting a setback 11 variance for an existing garage with 5.7 feet from the 12 interior side property line versus the required 6 foot 13 or 10 percent of lot width, which is 10 feet. 14 Also the applicants are requesting a setback 15 variance from the front property line. They request 16 20 feet to 9.26 feet for the existing garage entrance. 17 The reason for the request is -- the need for a variance is being created by our desire to convert 18 the existing breezeway into living space. Although the 19 new space would be well within setback limits, the 20 21 garage as it sits would not. The house and garage are already attached by a roof. The additional space would 22 23 exist primarily under the roof.

As the house and garage together are a

24

designated historical landmark residence in St. Charles, the applicants do not want to move or alter the existing garage. The new space will be used in part to provide a bathroom in the residence that is accessible to persons with limited mobility.

Currently the home has a first-floor powder room that is not usable by anyone who has physical hardship in mobility. It is also limited in privacy, as the door to the powder room cannot be closed while the room is in use.

The connection between the house and garage will also provide the residents an important protection in times of inclement weather.

That's about all I can state.

CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: We have a resolution,
I believe, from the Historic Preservation Commission
relative to this property as required.

MEMBER FAKRODDIN: That's exhibit -- okay. I'll read this letter.

A letter from City of St. Charles, Illinois, Historic Preservation Commission, Resolution No. 5-2014, a resolution recommending approval of the zoning variation, 628 North 2nd Avenue, Sinton Anderson House, Rodney and Patricia Miller.

"Whereas, it is the responsibility of the St. Charles Historic Preservation Commission to review applications for the zoning variations for designated historic landmarks; and.

"Whereas, the Historic Preservation

Commission has reviewed the zoning variation

application for 628 North 2nd Avenue, the Sinton

Anderson House, a historic landmark, and has found the variation will have no negative impact on the historic resources of the city, particularly with regard to the designated landmark; and

"Whereas, the Historic Preservation

Commission finds approval of said zoning variation

application to be in the best interest of the city of

St. Charles.

"Now therefore, be it resolved by the St. Charles Historic Preservation Commission to recommend to the Zoning Board of Appeals approval of the zoning variation application for 628 North 2nd Avenue. The Commission further forwards the following comments:

"The house is a well-preserved historic landmark, and the proposal is an appropriate way to meet the needs of the new owner while still preserving

		7
1	the integrity of the house.	
2	"Demolition of the garage to provide a new	
3	attached garage in conformance with the zoning	
4	ordinance requirements would be detrimental to the	
5	landmark status of the property, as the Historic	
6	Commission considers the garage to be part of the	
7	I andmark."	
8	It's a roll call vote. They were all ayes,	
9	no nays, no abstentions, and the motion carried. It	
10	passed on the 2nd day of July 2014.	
11	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right. There's	
12	also two photographs, one from the front of 2nd, and	
13	the other one fronting on Bent Avenue for the garage.	
14	We can mark that all as Exhibit A.	
15	Anyone wishing to be heard on this, please	
16	rise. Raise your right hand.	
17	(Witnesses duly sworn.)	
18	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Please give your name	
19	and address to the recorder.	
20	MR. MILLER: My name is Rod Miller. The	
21	current address is 40W718 Campton Woods Drive, Elburn,	
22	Illinois 60119.	
23	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Let the record show	
24	Mr. Colby was also sworn.	

1 Would you like to present anything to the 2 Commission in addition to what's already been proposed? 3 MR. MILLER: After listening to what I wrote, I'm not sure there is much more to add to that, 4 5 just that I think the important second point that we made for the reason for the addition, that being the 6 7 powder room or an additional bathroom on the first 8 floor of the house would be very important to us. 9 I know that as it is right now when my father 10 visits, he would not have the ability to use the rest He can't go upstairs, and he would not be able 11 room. 12 to use the current powder room as it exists. So we're 13 hoping that with the addition, we'll have a much more 14 usable user-friendly facility for that. 15 Of course, after the winter we all just lived 16 through around here, it would be nice to have the house attached to the garage. So that would be good as well. 17 18 Outside of what you've presented already and the pictures I think you have in front of you, I don't 19 20 have anything additional to add to that. 21 CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Now, this is a corner lot. 22 23 MR. MILLER: This is a corner lot, yes. 24 CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Therefore, it

	Ç	9
1	requires it to have two front yards the setback for	
2	two front yards.	
3	MR. MILLER: Uh-huh.	
4	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: It appeared on	
5	inspection to me that	
6	THE COURT REPORTER: Can you speak up a	
7	little bit?	
8	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN. It's not any closer	
9	to Bent Avenue than your home is your current	
10	property is; is that correct?	
11	MR. MILLER: You're asking me if the	
12	home to the east of me is any closer to the	
13	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Well, it doesn't look	
14	as though it is any closer than the setback.	
15	MR. MILLER: I don't think that it is.	
16	Yeah. I haven't really paid attention, you know, when	
17	I've been over there to that other existing house.	
18	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: It's not the same.	
19	Any questions from anyone on the Board?	
20	(No response.)	
21	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Are there any	
22	objectors present?	
23	MR. MILLER: He has a question.	
24	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: I'm sorry. Go ahead.	

1 MEMBER BUENING: A question for staff. 2 Assuming that the side yard on Bent Avenue is considered front yard because of the short frontage. 3 MR. COLBY: That's correct. 4 MEMBER BUENING: So therefore, that's 5 why the interior side on the east side is the side yard 6 7 that's encroaching. 8 MR. COLBY: That's correct. 9 MEMBER BUENING: If a variance is 10 granted for this, that doesn't mean they could expand 11 along the entire front based on the setback that's 12 reduced. If they decided they wanted to expand, they 13 will have to come back for another variance. 14 MR. COLBY: Yes. That's correct. The approval will be based on the drawing on the survey 15 16 that shows the actual area. 17 MEMBER BUENING: Thank you. MEMBER WELSMAN: That's what I 18 understood is what they want to do is not going to 19 change the footprint at all. 20 MR. MILLER: Correct. Yeah. The 21 addition would fall primarily underneath the roof that 22 is already there. It's 7 feet wide between the house 23

and the garage, and it's about 20 feet long, and then

24

		11
1	the improvement would go, I'm guessing, 2 or 3 feet	
2	behind the garage as well.	
3	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Anything else from	
4	the Board?	
5	(No response.)	
6	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Are there any	
7	obj ectors present?	
8	(No response.)	
9	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Let the record show	
10	there's no objectors present.	
11	If there's nothing further from the Board, I	
12	would consider a motion.	
13	MEMBER WEISMAN: I'll try it.	
14	Whereas, it is the responsibility of the	
15	St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals to review all	
16	applications for variations; and	
17	Whereas, the St. Charles Zoning Board of	
18	Appeals has reviewed File V-3-2014, dated 6/26/2014,	
19	and received 6/26/2014 from Rodney and Patricia Miller	
20	for the property Located at 628 North 2nd Avenue,	
21	St. Charles, Illinois, for a variation to reduce the	
22	front yard setback requirement for the principal	
23	building from 20 feet to 9.26 feet and the interior	
24	side vard setback requirement for the principal	

building from 10 feet to 5.7 feet.

The front yard is located along the north property line adjacent to Bent Avenue, and the interior side yard along the east property line. There are two front properties -- two front yard setbacks on this because it is a corner lot.

Whereas, the proposed variation will not alter the residential character of the property; and

Whereas, the proposed variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located; and.

Whereas, the proposed variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent properties, or substantially increase the danger of fire, or otherwise endanger the public safety, or substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood; and

Whereas, the Historic Preservation Commission, Resolution No. 5-2014, have approved the zoning variation for 628 North 2nd Avenue.

Therefore, the St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals grants the variation requested with the stipulation that is specified in Section 17.04.310,

variation of the municipal code of the city of St. Charles.

One, a variation shall automatically lapse within 12 months after the date it is granted, unless the construction, pursuant to a building permit, authorized by the variation commences within that 12-month period. However, the Board of Zoning Appeals may extend this period upon written request from the applicant showing good cause.

A variation is granted to a specific property and authorizes the conduct of the variation only on the property identified in the application and is not transferable to other properties.

The approval of a variation authorizes the relief from strict conformance with specific provisions of this title, but does not authorize the establishment or extension of any use, development, construction, reconstruction, alteration, or moving of any building or structure prior to obtaining all other required approvals, including building permits and occupancy permits.

Therefore, the St. Charles -- anything that anybody would like to add?

Therefore, the St. Charles Zoning Board of

		14
1	Appeals grants the variation requested.	
2	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Is there a second?	
3	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: I'll second the	
4	motion, Mr. Chairman.	
5	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Any further	
6	discussion by the Board.	
7	(No response.)	
8	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Mr. Secretary, please	
9	call the roll.	
10	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Buening.	
11	MEMBER BUENING: Aye.	
12	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Fakroddin, yes.	
13	Mr. Holderfield.	
14	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Yes.	
15	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Krawczyk is	
16	absent.	
17	Mr. Rullman.	
18	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Yes.	
19	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Mr. Simpson.	
20	MEMBER SIMPSON: Yes.	
21	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Ms. Weisman.	
22	MEMBER WEISMAN: Yes.	
23	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: 6 to 0.	
24	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: The motion to grant	

Chicago-area Realtime & Court Reporting, Ltd. 800.232.0265 Chicago-Realtime.com

		15
1	is carried, and the variation is granted.	
2	At this time, we will close the hearing for	
3	V-3-2014.	
4	Is there any additional business?	
5	MR. COLBY: No.	
6	MEMBER BUENING: And this is for staff.	
7	The findings that we have one of the things I	
8	noticed is that we don't have all seven findings on	
9	there. Is it possible that in future petitions, we	
10	could have a revised form that would include all the	
11	findings on there. It's just hard for us to go back	
12	and forth, I think, to catch those.	
13	MR. COLBY: Sure.	
14	MEMBER BUENING: Thank you.	
15	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Anything else?	
16	(No response.)	
17	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right. Then the	
18	Chairman of the Zoning Board of Appeals is ready for a	
19	motion to close.	
20	MEMBER FAKRODDIN: Motion to adjourn,	
21	Mr. Chairman.	
22	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Second.	
23	All in favor.	
24	(Ayes heard.)	

			16
1		CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All opposed.	
2		(No response.)	
3		CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: The meeting is	
4	adj ourned.		
5		PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 7: 29 P.M.	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

STATE OF ILLINOIS)

SS.

COUNTY OF K A N E)

I, JOANNE E. ELY, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 84-4169, CSR, RPR, and a Notary Public in and for the County of Kane, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter and that the foregoing is a true, correct, and complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my Notarial Seal this 28th day of July, 2014.

Certified Shorthand Reporter Registered Professional Reporter

anne E. Eli

My commission expires May 16, 2016