MINUTES CITY OF ST. CHARLES ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS THURSDAY, OCTOBER 23, 2014 COUNCIL CHAMBERS

Members Present:

Chairman Elmer Rullman III

Scott Buening

Acting Secretary-James Holderfield

Robert Krawczyk

Member Absent:

Secretary Nabi Fakroddin

Betty Weisman Charles Simpson

Also Present: Russell Colby, Planning Division Manager

Ellen Johnson, Planner

Bob Vann, Building & Code Enforcement Manager

Court Reporter

1. Call to order

Chairman Rullman called the hearing to order at 7:02 p.m. on Thursday, October 23, 2014.

2. Roll call

Roll was called with four members present.

3. Presentation of minutes from the September 25, 2014 meeting

Mr. Buening stated he has one correction on page 7 of the transcript, first paragraph, where Member Buening is mentioned, it says, "I was looking at the signal maps." That should have said "site plans."

A motion was made by Mr. Holderfield and seconded by Mr. Krawczyk with a unanimous voice vote to approve the minutes with one correction.

4. Variation Application V-5-2014, filed by Victoria Montejo from Moran Signs and Lighting, Inc., as representative for Manuel Artega, owner of the property located at 2125 W. Main Street in the City of St. Charles.

Chairman Rullman summarized/read into the record the following:

 Variation Application V-5-2014 filed by Victoria Montejo from Moran Signs and Lighting, Inc. Minutes – St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals Thursday, October 23, 2014 Page 2

Mr. Colby said the notice of public hearing was republished to reflect a change to the variation request, and the setback request is now 4 feet 3 inches.

Chairman Rullman stated the following are still sworn in:

- Russell Colby, Planning Division Manager
- Victoria Montejo, 225 James Street, Unit 7, Bensenville, IL

The attached transcript prepared by Chicago Area Real Time Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

A motion was made by Mr. Holderfield, and seconded by Mr. Buening as follows:

Whereas, it is the responsibility of the St. Charles Board of Zoning Appeals to review all applications for variations; and

Whereas, the St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals has reviewed File V-5-2014, dated 9/5/2014, and received 9/5/2014 from Victoria Montejo, Moran Signs and Lighting, Inc. for the property located at 2125 W. Main Street, St. Charles, Illinois, for a variation to reduce the front yard setback requirement for the freestanding sign from 10 feet to 4 feet 3 inches; and

Whereas, the alleged practical difficulty or particular hardship has been created by the Illinois Department of Transportation's expansion of US Route 64, Main Street, and not by the person presently having an interest in the property; and

Whereas, the Variation, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood; and

Whereas, the granting of the Variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located; and

Whereas, the proposed Variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property, or substantially increase the congestion in the public streets, or increase the danger of fire, or endanger the public safety, or substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood; and

Whereas, the existing sign located on the property is a nonconforming sign; and

Whereas, the St. Charles Zoning Ordinance requires all nonconforming signs to be brought into compliance with the Zoning Ordinance sign standards by October 16, 2014;

Minutes – St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals Thursday, October 23, 2014 Page 3

Now therefore, the St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals grants the variation requested with the stipulation that is specified in Section 17.04.310.F of the Municipal Code of the City of St. Charles. This variation shall lapse after 12 months from the date of granting thereof unless the construction authorized is commenced on a building permit for the use specified by the variation within 12 months or the use is commenced within such period.

Roll called:

Ayes: Buening, Holderfield, Krawczyk, Rullman

Nays: None

Motion carried; Variation granted. 4-0

5. Variation Application V-6-2014, filed by Melia Linardos as representative of KFP Family Associates, L.P., owner of the property located at 2536 E. Main Street in the City of St. Charles.

Acting Secretary Holderfield summarized/read into the record the following:

- Variation Application V-6-2014 filed by Melia Linardos.
- Variation request was published in the Kane County Chronicle on October 3, 2014.
- Exhibit A-Letter dated Sept. 25, 2014 from KFP Family Assoc.

Chairman Rullman swore in the following:

- Russell Colby, Planning Division Manager
- Melia Linardos, 550 Renee Court, Geneva, IL
- John Catalano, 946 North Neltor, West Chicago, IL
- Bob Vann, Building & Code Enforcement Manager

The attached transcript prepared by Chicago Area Real Time Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

A motion was made by Mr. Buening, and seconded by Mr. Holderfield as follows:

Whereas, it is the responsibility of the St. Charles Board of Zoning Appeals to review all applications for variations; and

Whereas, the St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals has reviewed File V-6-2014, dated 9/25/2014, and received 9/25/2014 from Melia Linardos for the property located at 2536 E. Main Street, St. Charles, Illinois, for a variation to reduce the front yard setback requirement for a freestanding sign from 10 feet to 1 foot 6 inches; and

Whereas, the particular physical surroundings, shape or topographical condition of the specified property involved would result in a practical difficulty or particular hardship to

Minutes – St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals Thursday, October 23, 2014 Page 4

the property owner, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations were carried out due to utility locations on the property; and

Whereas, the conditions upon which the petition for a Variation is based would not be applicable, generally, to other property within the same zoning classification; and

Whereas, the purpose of the Variation is not based exclusively upon a desire to make more money on the property; and

Whereas, the alleged practical difficulty or particular hardship has not been created by any person presently having an interest in the property; and

Whereas, the Variation, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood; and

Whereas, the granting of the Variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located; and

Whereas, the proposed Variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property, or substantially increase the congestion in the public streets, or increase the danger of fire, or endanger the public safety, or substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.

Now therefore, the St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals grants the variation requested with the stipulation that is specified in Section 17.04.310.F of the Municipal Code of the City of St. Charles. This variation shall lapse after 12 months from the date of granting thereof unless the construction authorized is commenced on a building permit for the use specified by the variation within 12 months or the use is commenced within such period.

Roll called:

Ayes: Buening, Holderfield, Krawczyk, Rullman

Navs: None

Motion carried; Variation granted. 4-0

- **6.** Additional Business from Board members, Staff, or Citizens. None.
- 7. Adjournment at 7:24 p.m

```
36
 1
     S101295A
 2
          STATE OF ILLINOIS
                                    SS.
 3
          COUNTY OF K A N E
 4
                 BEFORE THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
 5
                     OF THE CITY OF ST. CHARLES
 6
 7
          In Re the Matter of:
          Variation Application
                                           No. V-5-2014
 8
          for the Property
          Located at 2125 West
 9
          Main Street.
10
11
12
13
                  CONTINUED REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
               City of St. Charles Council Chambers
14
                            Second Floor
15
                         2 East Main Street
                    St. Charles, Illinois 60174
16
                          October 23, 2014
17
                       7:02 p.m. to 7:08 p.m.
18
19
20
21
22
     Reported by: Melanie L. Humphrey-Sonntag,
23
                  CSR, RDR, CRR, FAPR
                   Notary Public, Kane County, Illinois
24
```

		38
1	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right. We will	
2	get started. I call the meeting to order at 7:02, it	
3	looks like, on the clock on the wall.	
4	And since Mr. Fakroddin's not here,	
5	Mr. Holderfield will act, at least temporarily, as	
6	secretary.	
7	So, Mr. Secretary, would you please call the	
8	roll.	
9	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Mr. Buening.	
10	MEMBER BUENING. Here.	
11	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Mr. Fakroddin.	
12	(No response.)	
13	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Absent.	
14	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Mr. Holderfield,	
15	here.	
16	Mr. Krawczyk.	
17	MEMBER KRAWCZYK: Krawczyk, yes.	
18	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Yes.	
19	Mr. Rullman.	
20	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Here.	
21	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Mr. Simpson.	
22	(No response.)	
23	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Ms. Weisman.	
24	(No response.)	
	· ·	

		39
1	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right. Four	
2	members present and three absent.	
3	At this time	
4	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Presentation of	
5	the minutes from the September 25	
6	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Any additions or	
7	corrections to the last the minutes of the last	
8	meeting?	
9	MEMBER BUENING: Yes. I have one	
10	correction on page 7 of the transcript.	
11	First paragraph, where Member Buening is	
12	mentioned, the second sentence, it says, "I was	
13	looking at the signal maps," and that should have said	
14	"site plans."	
15	Other than that, that's fine.	
16	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Any other corrections?	
17	(No response.)	
18	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: If not, I'll	
19	entertain a motion to accept the minutes as corrected.	
20	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: So moved.	
21	MEMBER KRAWCZYK: I'll second.	
22	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: It's been moved and	
23	seconded.	
24	All in favor?	

		40
1	(Ayes heard.)	
2	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Any opposed, same	
3	sign.	
4	(No response.)	
5	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right.	
6	At this time we'll reconvene on the tabled	
7	motion, Variation 5-2014, filed by Victoria Montejo	
8	from Moran Signs and Lighting, Inc.	
9	Is the Petitioner present?	
10	MS. MONTEJO: Yes.	
11	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Okay. Let the record	
12	show that both Ms. Montejo and Russell Colby are still	
13	sworn.	
14	Is there anyone else who wished to be heard	
15	on this petition?	
16	(No response.)	
17	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: If not, the floor is	
18	yours.	
19	MR. COLBY: Mr. Chairman, if I could	
20	just note for the record that the notice of public	
21	hearing was republished to reflect a change to the	
22	variation request, and the setback request is now	
23	4 feet 3 inches.	
24	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Thank you.	

		41
1	MS. MONTEJO: Basically, what he just	
2	said. That's why it was just changes that they had	
3	requested.	
4	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: So you amended it	
5	according to the previous all right.	
6	Anything else you would like to add?	
7	MS. MONTEJO: No.	
8	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right.	
9	Let me point out one thing here. With being	
10	four members present, in order for us to act on	
11	anything, we have to have four votes. It's not	
12	majority rules.	
13	MS. MONTEJO: So are you going to vote	
14	on it today?	
15	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: We certainly may vote	
16	and we will do so if you wish us to do so.	
17	MS. MONTEJO: Yes, please.	
18	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right.	
19	Any other additional questions from the	
20	Board?	
21	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: No.	
22	MEMBER KRAWCZYK: No.	
23	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: If not, we'll	
24	entertain a motion	

	42
SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: I'll make the	
motion.	
CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right.	
SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Whereas, it is	
the responsibility of the St. Charles Zoning Board of	
Appeals to review all applications for variations; and	
Whereas, the Zoning Board of Appeals has	
reviewed File V-5-2014, dated 9/5/2014 and received	
9/5/2014 from Victoria Montejo, Moran Signs and	
Lighting, Incorporated, for the property located at	
2125 West Main Street for the zoning variation to	
reduce the front yard setback requirement for the	
freestanding sign from 10 feet to 4 feet 3 inches; and	
Whereas, the alleged practical difficulty or	
particular hardship has been created by the Illinois	
Department of Transportation's expansion of	
US Route 64, Main Street, and not by the person	
presently having an interest in the property; and	
Whereas, the variation, if granted, will not	
alter the essential character of the neighborhood; and	
Whereas, the granting of the variation will	
not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious	
to other property or improvements in the neighborhood	
in which the property is located; and	
	motion. CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right. SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Whereas, it is the responsibility of the St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals to review all applications for variations; and Whereas, the Zoning Board of Appeals has reviewed File V-5-2014, dated 9/5/2014 and received 9/5/2014 from Victoria Montejo, Moran Signs and Lighting, Incorporated, for the property located at 2125 West Main Street for the zoning variation to reduce the front yard setback requirement for the freestanding sign from 10 feet to 4 feet 3 inches; and Whereas, the alleged practical difficulty or particular hardship has been created by the Illinois Department of Transportation's expansion of US Route 64, Main Street, and not by the person presently having an interest in the property; and Whereas, the variation, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood; and Whereas, the granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood

Whereas, the property variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property or substantially increase the congestion in the public streets or increase the danger of fire or endanger the public safety or substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood; and

Whereas, the existing sign located on the property is a nonconforming sign; and

Whereas, the St. Charles Zoning Ordinance requires all nonconforming signs to be brought into compliance with the Zoning Ordinance sign standards by October 16, 2014;

Now, therefore, the St. Charles Board of Zoning Appeals grants the variation requested with the stipulations as specified in Section 17.42.040.C of the Municipal Code of the City of St. Charles, this, quote/unquote, "variation shall lapse after 12 months from the date of grant thereof unless construction authorized is commenced on a building permit for the use specified by the variation within 12 months or the use is commenced within such a period." It may not apply later.

Now, therefore, the St. Charles Board of Zoning Appeals grants the variation requested.

1		
		44
1	MEMBER BUENING: I'll second that motion.	
2	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: It's been moved and	
3	seconded.	
4	Any further discussion?	
5	(No response.)	
6	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: If not, Mr. Secretary,	
7	please call the roll.	
8	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: I should have	
9	marked who was here.	
10	Mr. Buening.	
11	MEMBER BUENING: Yes.	
12	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Mr. Holderfield,	
13	yes.	
14	Mr. Krawczyk.	
15	MEMBER KRAWCZYK: Yes.	
16	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Yes.	
17	Mr. Rullman.	
18	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Yes.	
19	All right. The motion is carried and the	
20	variation is granted.	
21	MS. MONTEJO: Thank you.	
22	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: You're welcome.	
23	This closes the hearing on File V-5-2014.	
24	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 7:08 P.M.	

Chicago-area Realtime & Court Reporting, Ltd. 800.232.0265 Chicago-Realtime.com

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS SS. 2 COUNTY OF K A N E 3 4 I, MELANIE L. HUMPHREY-SONNTAG, 5 Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 084-004299, CSR, 6 RDR, CRR, CCP, FAPR, and a Notary Public in and for the County of Kane, State of Illinois, do 7 8 hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the 9 proceedings had in the above-entitled matter and 10 that the foregoing is a true, correct, and 11 complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid. 12 13 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have hereunto set my 14 hand and affixed my Notarial Seal this 31st day of 15 October, 2014. 16 17 fied Shorthand Reporter 18 Registered Diplomate Reporter 19 Certified Realtime Reporter Certified CART Provider 20 Fellow of the Academy of Professional Reporters 21 22 My commission expires May 31, 2017 23 24

```
1
 1
     S101295B
 2
          STATE OF ILLINOIS
                                     SS.
 3
          COUNTY OF K A N E
 4
                 BEFORE THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
 5
                     OF THE CITY OF ST. CHARLES
 6
 7
          In Re the Matter of:
          Variation Application
                                           No. V-6-2014
 8
          for the Property
          Located at 2536 East
 9
          Main Street.
10
11
12
13
                       REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
               City of St. Charles Council Chambers
14
                            Second Floor
15
                         2 East Main Street
                    St. Charles, Illinois 60174
16
                          October 23, 2014
17
                       7:08 p.m. to 7:24 p.m.
18
19
20
21
22
     Reported by: Melanie L. Humphrey-Sonntag,
23
                   CSR, RDR, CRR, FAPR
                   Notary Public, Kane County, Illinois
24
```

	3
1	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Okay. The
2	variation
3	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Okay. At this time
4	we'd like to open the hearing on Variation V-6-2014
5	for the property located at 2536 East Main Street.
6	Mr. Secretary, please read the details.
7	What we need to know is basically the first
8	couple of pages here so
9	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Okay.
10	Name of Applicant, Melia Linardos; phone is
11	(630) 660-4643; address, 550 Renée Court, Geneva,
12	Illinois; zip code, 60134.
13	Applicant's interest in the property,
14	president and owner. Name and phone number of the
15	owner of record, KFP Family Associates, LP,
16	(630) 660-4643. Owner acquired the property
17	approximately in the 1950s.
18	(Discussion off the record.)
19	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: The Applicant
20	the variation requested is a setback of 1 foot
21	6 inches, variation to allow an existing sign to be
22	lowered to be in compliance with the current zoning
23	di stri ct.
24	Reason for request, due to location of

		4
		4
1	underground utilities and parking limitations.	
2	Explanation of purpose for which the	
3	property will be used, current use is business use and	
4	there will not be a change in use.	
5	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right. Then we	
6	also have a letter here authorizing	
7	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: We do have a	
8	letter dated September 25th, 2014. This is from KFP	
9	Family Associates, LP, Kacheres Management Company,	
10	LLC, 550 Renée Court, Geneva, Illinois 60134.	
11	Telephone number (630) 232-7622.	
12	"City of St. Charles. Please be advised, as	
13	managing partners of Kacheres Management Company, LLC,	
14	that runs KFP Family Associates, LP, authorizes Melia	
15	Linardos to be acting agent to handle the variation	
16	request for the sign at 2536 East Main Street, known	
17	as Bosa Donuts.	
18	"Regards, Georgia Mangos Darras."	
19	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Okay. That will be	
20	marked as Exhibit A.	
21	(Exhibit A marked for	
22	i denti fi cati on.)	
23	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Is the Petitioner	
24	present?	

		5
1	MS. LINARDOS: Yes.	
2	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right.	
3	Would everyone who wants to be heard on this	
4	petition please rise, raise your right hand.	
5	(Four witnesses duly sworn.)	
6	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right. Please	
7	give your name and address to the reporter.	
8	MS. LINARDOS: Melia Linardos, M-e-l-i-a	
9	L-i-n-a-r-d-o-s, 550 Renée Court, Geneva 60134.	
10	MR. CATALANO: John Catalano,	
11	C-a-t-a-l-a-n-o. Address is 946 North Neltnor,	
12	N-e-I-t-n-o-r, West Chicago 60185.	
13	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: And Let the record	
14	show that Bob Vann, Building Commissioner, and	
15	Mr. Colby, the Planning Division Manager, have also	
16	been sworn.	
17	All right. The floor is yours.	
18	MS. LINARDOS: I want to basically,	
19	everything is pretty much self-explanatory, as he	
20	laid out.	
21	The property's been in our family a very	
22	long time. Bosa Donuts has also been there a very	
23	long time.	
24	And we just completely did a new parking lot	

there, took the old -- did all new. We did the landscaping with the 10 foot on each side. They share the driveway with Jersey Mike's, so there is not really much other space to go by.

I assume you guys have these.

I assume.

CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Yes.

MS. LINARDOS: But there just isn't any other place to put it.

If you look at this picture where it's the older parking lot, this is where the sewer line is. It's going all completely across the entire parking lot, and there just is not a safe space to put that anywhere.

And then, if you look at the one where the Bosa sign actually is, you can see a little yellow post there, and that's where the gas line is. That goes straight on the property line between Corfu and Bosa, so you also have that.

You have the cable, electric, and we cannot figure out any other place to put it, so we're proposing to keep the posts where they're at so they're safe and they won't infringe on anybody's sewers that they do have to maintain, and it will be

7 lowered to conform with that part of it. 1 2 That's basically it for what we're saying. We've put a lot of money into it this past year, over 3 4 70,000 between the landscaping and the parking lot, so 5 in that respect, it does look much better, both of them do -- I'm sure you guys have probably driven by 6 it many times -- so . . . thank you for your 7 8 consideration. CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Any questions from 9 10 the Board? 11 MEMBER BUENING: As usual, I have a few questions. 12 13 CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Okay. MEMBER BUENING: The utility line that's 14 in there, is that a City line? Is that a City 15 16 utility? And what kind of utility is that? MR. VANN: I can probably talk a little 17 bit better about the utility lines, better than the 18 property owner. 19 20 The utility line that is shown on the map here, the green arrow is a sewer line that runs --21 22 there's a wide easement that goes across this property 23 line. It kind of goes at an angle from in front of 24 the 2540 building heading -- this would be heading

westbound. So that is the City sewer.

MEMBER BUENING: Okay.

MR. VANN: There is -- as she mentioned, there's a gas meter that serves the building that is on -- just to the lower left of that -- where the location of the existing sign is.

That heads to the north, and it goes right along where that yellow line -- which is the property line on the City GIS map, which I think there's a copy of that in there. That was one of the considerations that we looked at.

I did meet with the property owner out there. We looked for locations that we could relocate this thing, and because this is a shared drive, there -- this map does not show the landscaping, which is a 10-foot landscaping buffer that was placed this summer.

If we relocated that to that location, it would be in that drive area, so that wasn't the most ideal spot.

If we moved the sign, we would move the sign further to the north in a new location; however, with the property line where it's at, we would need to put it on the property line, which was -- you can't do.

There's no setback from property line to property line.

However, that would be in the drive aisle, and because of that landscaping requirement that we -- that the City has provided, that pushed that 10-foot setback, which would now -- if we move that sign further back out of the location and out -- basically, what would happen is we would have to move that sign probably about 20 feet because, if there's a dig area -- if we have to go there and relocate or correct that line, there's a dig area there, so that sign would have to be moved back further.

And because of where that gas line is and there's utilities, that would be very difficult.

I mean, it doesn't make a lot of sense to do that.

So staff is believing that the best location is where it's at right now and just lower that sign.

We can deal with the utilities if it needs to be redone.

So if you have any questions on that, I'd be happy to try to explain it to you.

MEMBER BUENING: Yeah. That was my main concern with the location, is I was trying to figure the hardship because, you know, the sanitary line --

obviously, the plat of survey didn't show the easements, nor did it show the gas line, which it's not typically shown on there so --

MR. VANN: Right.

MEMBER BUENING: So that was my one main concern, knowing that, you know, the party's known that there was a requirement to relocate the sign for some time now, and, you know, the opportune time to do it would be when they redid the parking lot, obviously. But that's good information I wasn't aware of.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Any other questions?

MEMBER KRAWCZYK: It's not a question
but if the sign was moved further north, there's a -where that curve curves there is striping there;
there's no parking.

But if it was moved further, the 20 feet you're suggesting, then the business would lose that one parking space in the corner; correct?

MR. VANN: Yes. It would have to lose it; however, with the parking requirement that is required by the City, they're at --

MEMBER KRAWCZYK: The minimum.

		11
1	MR. VANN: they're at the minimum	
2	right now, so we're trying not to lose any more	
3	parking spots.	
4	MEMBER KRAWCZYK: I was just trying to	
5	clarify it.	
6	MEMBER BUENING: So that would be part	
7	of the hardship, then.	
8	MR. VANN: We're trying to maintain that	
9	requirement for off-street parking.	
10	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Any other questions?	
11	(No response.)	
12	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: If not, I'll	
13	entertain a motion.	
14	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: I can make the	
15	motion.	
16	MEMBER BUENING: Do you want me I can	
17	do it. I'll make a motion.	
18	Whereas, it is the responsibility of the	
19	St. Charles Zoning Board of Appeals to review all	
20	applications for variations;	
21	Whereas, the St. Charles Zoning Board of	
22	Appeals has reviewed File V-6-2014 dated 9/25/14,	
23	received 9/25/14, by Melia Linardos for the property	
24	located at 2536 East Main Street in the city of	

St. Charles for a reduction in sign setback from 10 feet to 1 foot 6 inches; and

Whereas, the physical surroundings, shape, or topographical condition of the specific property involved would result in a practical difficulty or particular hardship to the property owner, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the regulations were carried out due to utility locations on the property;

Whereas, the conditions upon which the petition for variation is based would not be applicable, generally, to other property within the same zoning classification;

Whereas, the purpose of the variation is not based exclusively upon desire to make more money out of the property;

Whereas, the alleged practical difficulty or particular hardship has not been created by any person presently having an interest in the property;

Whereas, the variation, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood;

Whereas, the granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood

in which the property is located; 1 2 Whereas, the proposed variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent 3 4 property or substantially increase the congestion in 5 the public streets or increase the danger of fire or endanger the public safety or substantially diminish 6 7 or impair property values within the neighborhood; 8 Now, therefore, the St. Charles Zoning Board 9 of Appeals grants the variation requested with the 10 stipulations specified in Section 17.04.310, "Variations," of the Municipal Code of the City of 11 St. Charles, and I do not have the initials. 12 13 CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Before there's a second, I was remiss in asking, are there any 14 15 objectors present? 16 (No response.) CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Let the record show 17 18 there are no objectors present. 19 Is there a second? So moved. 20 SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: 21 CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right. 22 Let me also add that this variation was 23 published on the 3rd day of October, 2014. 24 All right. Any other discussion by the

		14
1	Board?	
2	(No response.)	
3	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Mr. Secretary, please	
4	call the roll.	
5	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Mr. Buening.	
6	MEMBER BUENING: Yes.	
7	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Mr. Holderfield,	
8	yes.	
9	Mr. K.	
10	MEMBER KRAWCZYK: Yes.	
11	SECRETARY HOLDERFIELD: Mr. Rullman.	
12	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Yes.	
13	The variation is granted.	
14	MS. LINARDOS: Thank you for your time.	
15	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: Thank you.	
16	So this will close the hearing on V-6-2014.	
17	Any other business?	
18	(No response.)	
19	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right. Is there	
20	a meeting in the near future?	
21	MR. COLBY: There are no variation	
22	applications scheduled at this time.	
23	CHAIRMAN RULLMAN: All right. Thank you	
24	very much.	

			15
1		We'll close this meeting at 7:24 on the wall	
2	cl ock.		
3		PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 7: 24 P.M.	
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS SS. COUNTY OF K A N E 2 3 4 I, MELANIE L. HUMPHREY-SONNTAG, 5 Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 084-004299, CSR, 6 RDR, CRR, CCP, FAPR, and a Notary Public in and 7 for the County of Kane, State of Illinois, do 8 hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the 9 proceedings had in the above-entitled matter and 10 that the foregoing is a true, correct, and 11 complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken 12 as aforesaid. 13 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have hereunto set my 14 hand and affixed my Notarial Seal this 31st day of 15 October, 2014. 16 17 fied Shonthand Reparter 18 Registered Diplomate Reporter 19 Certified Realtime Reporter Certified CART Provider 20 Fellow of the Academy of Professional Reporters 21 22 My commission expires May 31, 2017 23 24