AGENDA CITY OF ST. CHARLES LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION MEETING

TUESDAY, JANUARY 21, 2014 CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS @ 4:30 PM 2 E MAIN STREET

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Roll Call.
- 3. Motion to accept and place on file minutes of the Liquor Control Commission meeting held on November 18, 2013.
- 4. Discussion regarding Ordinance Amending Title 5 "Business Licenses and Regulations," Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages" of the St. Charles Municipal Code.
- 5. Other Business.
- 6. Executive Session.
- 7. Adjournment.

MINUTES CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION MEETING MONDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2013

1. Opening of Meeting

The meeting was convened by Liquor Commissioner Rogina at 4:30 p.m.

2. Roll Call

Members Present: Liquor Commissioner Rogina, Robert Gehm, Ald. Payleitner, Chuck Amenta, and Ald. Lewis

Absent:

Others Present: Chief Lamkin, Mark Koenen, Atty. John McGuirk, Bob Vann and Tina Nilles

3. Motion to accept and place on file minutes of the Liquor Control Commission for the Liquor Violation Hearing of the Filling Station held on October 14, 2013.

Motion by Ald. Lewis, second by Payleitner to approve minutes of the Liquor Violation Hearing of the Filling Station held on October 14, 2013.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous, Nays: None. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote as chair. Motion carried.

4. Motion to accept and place on file minutes of the Liquor Control Commission meeting held on October 21, 2013.

Motion by Mr. Amenta, second by Ald. Lewis to approve minutes of the October 21, 2013 Liquor Control Commission Meeting.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous, Nays: None. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote as chair. Motion carried.

5. Thirsty Fox Liquor Violation

Chrmn. Rogina: This a complaint of a violation being brought before the Liquor Control Commission to determine whether the St. Charles Local Liquor Control Commission, pursuant to this authority, shall revoke or suspend the local liquor license issued for The Thirsty Fox or impose a fine upon by reason the Complaint of Violation filed by the Police Department of an occurrence on or about October 18, 2013.

Motion by Mr. Gehm, second by Ald. Lewis to amend the time from 10:23 a.m. to 10:23 p.m.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous, Nays: None. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote as chair. Motion carried.

According from the complaint, on or before October 18, 2013 at approximately10:23 p.m. the licensee, Big Ed's Entertainment Inc. (Thirsty Fox Pub), by and through its employees, officers and/or agents, committed the following offense in or upon the licensed premises at 104 E. Main Street, St. Charles, IL 60174 – that offense being served alcoholic liquor to two persons under the age of 21 years. Representation of Thirsty Fox is present and I ask that they come forward. I ask the question if you agree to the complaint and if you do, then in effect you are pleading guilty.

Samantha M. and Edward F. Stone 10 Hillview Court, Yorkville, IL: Yes, we plead guilty. Every day we are there 15/16 hours – seven days a week. In 15 years of owning a liquor license we have never been in trouble. It was 10:30 at night, it was a long day, Ed went upstairs to get the dog, and I went to the ladies room to replenish supplies. The Arcada show just let out and my doorman left the door to go cook something without informing the bartenders. When Ed came back downstairs he put the dog in the back and went to the front door and just that fast the police officer was already in there pulling the bartender out. It was a matter of 4 minutes.

Ed Stone: The show had just let out and everyone coming in to our place was 40/50 years old, but these two young ladies had already gotten into the bar in that quick time of the doorman not being there.

Chrmn. Rogina: You've stipulated to the complaint as printed and I appreciate your comments. Does anyone on the committee have any questions before we move to go into executive session?

Ald. Lewis: If someone had been at the door you're saying they would never have gotten in? Waitresses and bartenders don't card?

Samantha: It would never of happened. Not on the weekends. They do card but on the weekends and especially when there's a show going on they rely on the doorman because everyone comes in at the same time and they are all busy.

Chrmn. Rogina: Is it a policy of yours to card everyone?

Samantha: Yes, and we are sorry about this. We are very diligent on carding and we've changed our policy that when there is a show we have a second person just to sit at the door for the show.

Robert Gehm: So someone will always be at the door and not be asked to do something that takes them away from the door?

Samantha: Yes.

Motion by Robert Gehm, second by Chuck Amenta to go into executive session at 4:40 p.m.

Voice Vote: Ayes: unanimous; Nays: none. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote as chair. Motion carried.

Motion by Ald. Payleitner, second by Lewis to return from executive session at 4:53 p.m.

Voice Vote: Ayes: unanimous; Nays: none. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote as chair. Motion carried.

Chrmn. Rogina: Just to make a comment – underage drinking is serious and we certainly at the City don't like to see it and we appreciate you coming here today and admitted to what happened and that's a positive thing as well as we appreciate that you made some changes to your policy because of it. One point I would like to make that while you do have a door person checking IDs, redundancy is not necessarily bad and that means if someone has gotten to bar that has come through the door and there's even the slightest hint that this person might be underage, it's not inappropriate to ask a second time to see their ID. We noted that you admitted to the facts as stipulated to and I will take the punishment under advisement and you'll get a notice within 7 to 10 days.

6. Continued discussion on late night permit fees and motion to direct city staff to draft an ordinance to go before committee for recommendation.

Chrmn. Rogina: There are several things in this packet I want to draw your attention to. One is the hours of sale regarding New Year's Eve. This section reflects language that would go before City Council to consider – are there any questions?

All: No.

Chrmn. Rogina: 5.08.100 License Fees Established - this is a proposal of late night permits. The annual renewal fees B1, B2, B3, C1, C2, C3 licenses all reflect a base license of \$1,200. That would be the license charge on an annual basis for these particular licenses. I would like to talk about the permits that a licensee might apply for either 1:00 a.m. or 2:00 a.m. Under this proposal that was discussed by Mark Koenen, Chief Lamkin, Tina, and myself is the following. If a licensee in any one of these categories apply for a base license of 12:00 midnight and they want a 1:00 a.m. permit, it gives them the right to be open an additional hour throughout the week. That additional cost would be another \$800 for a total of \$2,000 for the license and permit. If the licensee wanted to apply for a 2:00 a.m. permit to stay open 2 hours beyond the based midnight time, then they would be charged an additional \$2,300 bringing the total to \$3,500. Before we get into the recreation of any types of ordinances I would like to have a conversation with anyone here who has any thoughts on these numbers.

Chuck: I don't think it's unfair to ask the owners of 2:00 a.m. permits to share in some of the extra costs that may be incurred by the City for police enforcement or other city resources that could be used. I don't have a problem with the costs of the permits.

Ald. Lewis: Where will that money go – into a General Fund or will it be earmarked for something?

Mark Koenen: It would go into the City Corporate Fund.

Ald. Lewis: If we are thinking that we need this extra revenue because there are extra problems when they stay open that late, is that something we could earmark for extra costs?

Mark: We could but I don't think we should plan on that as a revenue stream. The message is if you want to be open past midnight, it is going to cost you some money and the rate structure begins to suggest the later you're open the more the rate structure is.

Ald. Lewis: I'm not thinking it would be, but all we've heard is nothing goods happen after midnight and if there are more problems on the street?

Chrmn. Rogina: When I was alderman and it came before City Council that we move the time back to 1:00 a.m. and then we had a conversation with the Tavern Association and we backed off on that. From my seat and receiving input, some things are good and there are still incidences of problems after the 1:00 a.m. hour. From my perspective only as Liquor Commissioner, the numbers reflect that if you want to stay open to 2:00 a.m. it is going to cost you. It's a privilege and I don't have a problem stating this. The City Council can change these numbers to whatever they want to. These are just numbers to get the discussion started.

Ald. Payleitner: Clearly they are not arbitrary. Did they come from somewhere? I recall we were using a Naperville comparison.

Chrmn. Rogina: They don't fall into Naperville's comparison as far as late night permits are concerned because their numbers are considerably less. The key piece to this proposal is someone who currently holds a 2:00 a.m. license pays \$2,600 a year in total. Should they choose to reduce their time from 2:00 to 1:00 a.m. they're saving \$600. Should they decide to retain the 2:00 a.m. they are paying an extra \$900 a year. We are soliciting feedback from this commission to pass along to Council Committee on December 2. We are just an advisory body.

Ald. Lewis: And this includes the one time New Year's Eve?

Chrmn. Rogina: That's built in as part of the whole package that we'll present to City Council in December. I would also say before the Council meets in December, all pertinent ordinance changes relevant to Council review, that on an annual basis the City Council will review every license looking at the track record of every licensee with the opportunity to say they are not going to give someone a 2:00 a.m. permit this next year. We will set it back to 1:00 a.m. or midnight. Council can review that based on the activity of that particular licensee. Also for liquor licensees, when that is posted for reviewed by Council Committee, we will certainly entertain input from licensees here prior to the Council Committee voting. Once everything is done and all ordinances are put in place, sometime before the beginning of this New Year, the City Administrator and I plan on calling every licensee into this chamber for a meeting to go

over all of this. We are trying to be transparent and fair, seek public input as well as licensee once it goes to Council committee.

Mark: For clarification, I heard you say City Council will review all liquor license requests for renewal or is it they'll review all late night permits for renewal.

Chrmn. Rogina: It will be for late night permits for the purpose of if you are applying for a 2:00 a.m. for the following year, it is either granted or not granted based upon some criteria that's in the ordinance. This is not for the license itself, it is for the permit.

My thanks go out to Chief Lamkin, Mark Koenen, and Tina in their help in shaping this up.

7. Other Business

a. Discussion for Roof Top Patio for Alibi Bar & Grill located at 12 N 3rd Street, St. Charles.

Chrmn. Rogina: Rich Simpson, owner of the Alibi Bar & Grill, is asking for a recommendation from the commission to go to committee to ask permission to have a roof top patio at his place of business located at 12 N 3rd Street. He has met with other city departments at a Pre-App meeting on August 29, 2013 that included Building & Code Enforcement Division, Development Engineering Division, Fire Department, Electric Division, and Police Department. He is requesting approval to go forward on the next steps to seek final approval from the City Council.

This advisory body is here tonight to provide feedback to Mr. Simpson. The City Council certainly has a right to make a decision on this matter at committee and City Council sometime in the future. The order of business will be as follows: Mr. Simpson will come to the podium and talk about his proposal. When that is done members of this commission may ask any questions or comments and give any feedback that they would like to have on the record.

Rich Simpson, 236 E Vallette, Elmhurst, IL: The reason for my request to have a roof top is my building sits upon lot line to lot line; so I don't have nowhere to go with this building as far as having outside seating. Patrons come and ask for outside seating all the time and from a restaurant's aspect of serving food we suffer very much for lack of not having any outside seating and they go to some other establishment. So the only alternative I have is to go up and I'm looking to put a roof top on to satisfy this condition and serve more food.

Chrmn. Rogina: In this packet we have a drawing that Mr. Simpson provided, minutes from the Pre-App meeting conducted on 8/29/13, and Mr. Simpson's request, and a copy of some of the fight activity at the Alibi from June 1 – November 11, 2013.

Robert: Regarding the competitive nature of being able to serve outside, would there also be liquor sold upstairs on the roof top? With the rendering of the drawing, just safety wise how is it going to be so people don't fall off the building.

Rich Simpson: Yes alcohol will be sold and the seating will be set back and built according to the architectural structural engineers and fire code. All that will be resolved.

Ald. Payleitner: In your opening remarks you said it was to increase business for your food service. Does that mean that if you're not getting food you're not getting upstairs?

Rich: No I want to increase it for them to go upstairs to increase my sales overall, but primarily to increase my food sales because that's where we are suffering right now.

Ald. Payleitner: I have two concerns. One is the lack of assurance of the business plan disclosures you made in the past that haven't come to fruition, so I'm still at a building trust phase for the Alibi because what you presented when you were opening is not what opened.

Rich: I was badgered by the City to do certain things. We were saying things and everyone was jumping on me and forcing different conditions so things changed because of the way things were being portrayed.

Chrmn. Rogina: You were badgered by the City? The City went along and gave you the benefit of the doubt, at least a couple of times in my mind.

Rich: We changed the game plan because everyone wanted to see things done differently and were trying to push us into saying we got to do this or that. It wasn't that anything was dishonest in trying to put this together; it's just things were changing based on all the feedback we were getting.

Ald. Payleitner: I saw just the opposite. I saw things decrease, not increase; meaning we were expecting a white table cloth restaurant and we got a bar. Secondly your establishment has already proven a dangerous environment. In five months times any of those incidents could prove fatal if they happened on the roof. I'm not talking long term history – since June there have been 10 incidences that would have proven really dangerous had they happened on a roof. I see you as a proven dangerous impairment and I am hesitant in granting this.

Rich: I don't know what incidences you are looking at.

Ald. Lewis: I went back and read the minutes from a couple of years ago. I thought we talked restrictions of how many people your place could hold. You have capacity for over 400 people but you have a liquor license that restricts you to have approximately 280 people in your establishment at any one time and that includes your work staff. So if you have this roof top garden you aren't going to have any more people so you are not going to be able to increase your business to 500 people.

Rich: I'm not trying to increase the business; I'm looking to get more people in during off times so I can give them dinner and stay busy at all times.

Ald. Lewis: And when you reach that limit you'll tell them to leave?

Rich: Do you really think I'll have that many people?

Chrmn. Rogina: Hypothetically Ald. Lewis is asking is if you had 270 some people in your establishment would you close off the upstairs because you are at capacity or the alternative would be you never be above 279 total with the roof top and inside headcount.

Rich: If my capacity would get extended I would be coming back before the City Council asking for an increase.

Ald. Lewis: I think I would have to be in agreement with Ald. Payleitner and you certainly can go before City Council, but I think it would be a futile attempt.

Chuck: Would this be a change or addendum to a liquor license for an outdoor patio space.

Tina: A liquor license for each establishment is for their entire establishment whether they have it outside on a patio or not. It's one liquor license per establishment.

Chuck: So this is going to be an issue more for City Council whether they approve it or not. My questions fall in line with what everyone else's questions and have been answered.

Chrmn. Rogina: My first question is about sound. You're in a residential neighborhood and if you go upstairs you are considering having speakers on the roof for some kind of music. Sound will travel and be more problematic to the neighbors. I also read that you are not looking for a service bar upstairs, so it's my understanding that the wait staff will be carrying liquor upstairs? Mr. Vann do you have any comments on this?

Bob Vann: This is not unusual. There are establishments outside of the City that do this.

Chrmn. Rogina: Sometimes is very difficult to issue a citation for the various incidents that do happen downtown. It's hard to prove that it was this particular licensee that was the source of the problem; and for us as a City to go through that process is problematic with the cost involve particularly if we lose or if the licensee wants an appeal. Having said that, if you're a licensee in this community and whether it's a battery, drunkenness, or fights it's happening on the licensee's property which tells me something is amiss. As Liquor Commissioner I would like to see more letters go out to licensees when an incident takes place on their property whether or not they are cited or not. You have a special obligation to our community to see that these kinds of situations do not happen on your property. I don't want to hear it's not my fight – it's not my fault. I'm not saying it is – it happened on your property. The community has told me as an alderman and now as Mayor that we don't want this kind of activity downtown. We want a good fun environment and a downtown where people don't have to feel unsafe. I'm not holding you personally responsible; I'm saying this bothers me and I want the record to reflect that. I feel you received the feedback you wanted and you are certainly welcome to go forward to Council Committee and make your proposal knowing that, in my opinion, the rest of my colleagues will be asking some of the same questions.

Rich: On these ten incidences, I have never been informed of them.

Chrmn. Rogina: The police do not published these things without a call going out to your establishment. So whether you were personally there or not, perhaps you won't told about them. These incidences took place on your property. You weren't charge with anything.

Rich: I don't believe these incidences happened on my property. They may have happened outside but did not happen inside my place.

Chrmn. Rogina: They fall under the domain of the Alibi.

Chief Lamkin: This comes right out of our record system. I would point out the times on these incidences. Nine out of the eleven are pretty late at night. A concern the police department has and we talked a little about the business plan for the restaurant, I haven't heard anything about a business plan operation for the roof top. We talked about encouraging people to have dinner, but my question for all of you to consider is how many people are going to be eating dinner on the roof top after midnight. The other concern I have is the adjacencies to the surrounding properties including Carroll Tower and what is the plan to mitigate the noise once we start getting noise in the summer time. We are well aware of the activities downtown. It's one thing about the other places that have grade level outside but we're talking about 15-20 feet up in the air and that sound is going to carry. Those are concerns from the police department standpoint and number one we don't want people getting rowdy on a roof top. We have to think of the safety in the neighborhood surrounding this going into it, and if we don't have a plan to mitigate the problem once it starts, what are we to do with it then; like many things we're expected to see if we can fix it. After he has put a significant investment into the place I understand that part that goes with it, but those things need to be addressed before he puts an investment into it so that we know what the plan will be in an event that some of these things occur.

Chrmn. Rogina: Rich if I can say one more thing that doesn't have anything to do with you or your establishment personally and it speaks to the point of not being informed. The press reports it, we receive this incidences of fights, DUIs, public intoxication, etc. I have a broader base question, does our licensees in the aggregate particularly those that want to stay open until 2:00 a.m.; when in the aggregate are they going to come to the City Council and the Mayor and say we're in this with you to provide a fun area, an entertaining downtown; not one that on every weekend you read one, two or more incidences of fights, etc. etc. That's just a rhetorical question I pose as a member of that group of licensees to think about moving forward. I'm here as a leader in this community along with the City Council to say we want a vibrant downtown.

8. Executive Session – None

9. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn by Mr. Gehm, second by Payleitner at 5:33 p.m.

Voice Vote: Unanimous; Nays: none. Chrmn. Rogina did not vote as Chairman. **Motion** carried.

		AGENDA]	ITEM I	Execu	JTIVE S	UMMA	ARY	
Title:		Discussion Regarding Ordinance Amending Title 5 "Business Licenses and Regulations," Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages" of the St. Charles Municipal Code						
ST. CHARLES	Presenter:	Mayor Rogina		теграг	Code			
Please check approp	priate box:	1						
Government Operations				Gov	ernment	Service	es	
Planning & I	Development			City	Council			
Public Hearing	ng		X	Liqu	or Contr	ol Com	nmission (1	/21/14)
Estimated Cost:			Rude	geted:	YES		NO	
			Budg	50104.	1 LS		110	
f NO, please explain	n how item will	be funded:						
		be funded:						
Executive Summar		be funded:						
	y: he Ordinance Ar	mending Title 5				l Regul	ations," Cł	apter
Executive Summar Final discussion of the 5.08 "Alcoholic Bev	y: he Ordinance Ar erages" on the c	mending Title 5				l Regul	ations," Cl	napter
Executive Summar	y: he Ordinance Ar erages" on the c	mending Title 5				l Regul	ations," Cł	apter
Executive Summar Final discussion of the Solar S	y: he Ordinance Arerages" on the c	mending Title 5 changes to fees a	nd late			l Regul	ations," Cł	apter

Agenda Item Number: 4

For office use only:

City of St. Charles, Illinois Ordinance No. 2014-M-

An Ordinance Amending Title 5 "Business Licenses and Regulations," Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages," Section 5.08.070 "License – Application Requirements," Section 5.08.095 "Late Night Permit," Section 5.08.100 "License Fees; Late Fee Permits; Fees Established," and Section 5.08.130 "License – Hours of Sale," of the St. Charles Municipal Code

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ST. CHARLES, KANE AND DUPAGE COUNTIES, ILLINOIS, AS FOLLOWS:

<u>SECTION ONE</u>: That Title 5, "Business Licenses and Regulations," Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages," Section 5.08.070 "License – Application Requirements," of the St. Charles Municipal Code, be and is hereby amended as follows:

"5.08.070 License – Application Requirements.

- A. Applications for a local liquor dealer's license shall be made to the Local Liquor Control Commissioner, in writing, signed by the applicant, if an individual, or by a duly authorized agent thereof if not an individual, verified by oath or affidavit, and shall contain the following statements and information:
 - 1. In the case of an individual, the full name, age and address of the applicant; in the case of a co-partnership, the persons entitled to share in the profits thereof; in the case of a corporation, the objects for which it is organized, the names and addresses of the officers and directors, and if five percent or more in interest in the stock of such corporation is owned by a person or his nominee or nominees, the name and address of each such person or persons;
 - 2. The citizenship of the applicant, his date and place of birth and, if a naturalized citizen, the time and place of his naturalization;
 - 3. The character of business of the applicant;
 - 4. The length of time said applicant has been in business of that character;
 - 5. The amount of goods, wares and merchandise on hand at the time application is made;
 - 6. The location and description of the premises or place of business which is to be operated under such license;
 - a. If a leased premises, a copy of the lease shall be provided as required and shall be for a term of sufficient length to encompass the period of the license sought.
 - b. The name and address of the owner or owners of the premises and the names and addresses of all the owners of the beneficial interest of any trust if said premises is held in trust;
 - 7. A statement whether applicant has made similar application for a similar other license on premises other than described in this application, and the disposition of such application;
 - 8. A statement that applicant has never been convicted of a felony, or a misdemeanor opposed to decency or morality, and is not disqualified to receive a license by reason of any matter or thing contained in the laws of the state or the ordinances of the City;

- 9. Whether a previous license by any state or subdivision thereof or by the federal government has been issued; if so, where and when; or if any such license has been revoked, the reason therefor;
- 10. The date of incorporation if an Illinois corporation, or the date of becoming qualified under the Illinois Business Corporation Act to transact business in Illinois if a foreign corporation;
- 11. A statement that the applicant will not violate any of the laws of the state, or of the United States, or any ordinance of the City in the conduct of his place of business;
- 12. Any applicant for a newly created city liquor license or any applicant for a renewal of a city liquor license is to be fingerprinted whether said applicant is an individual or a partnership. Should the applicant be a corporation, the Local Liquor Control Commissioner may, within his discretion, require the following to be fingerprinted: the officers, manager or director thereof, or any stockholder or stockholders owning the aggregate of more than five percent of the capital stock of said corporation. The City police department shall do all such fingerprinting. There shall be a fingerprint fee of fifty (\$50) dollars per application to be paid at time of application and non-refundable.
- B. The Local Liquor Control Commissioner shall issue a written acceptance or rejection of such application within sixty days of its receipt by the Local Liquor Control Commissioner or city clerk in his behalf with the advice and consent of the City Council.
- C. There shall be an application fee of two hundred (\$200) dollars, which shall be non-refundable and if a license is granted applied to the first license fee."

<u>SECTION TWO</u>: That Title 5, "Business Licenses and Regulations," Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages," of the St. Charles Municipal Code, shall be amended by adding Section 5.08.095 "Late Night Permit," as follows:

"5.08.095 Late Night Permit

- A. The late night permit is issued as a condition of a Class B-Restaurant License and Class C-Tavern; Bar; Saloon Licenses and authorizes the holder of a Class B or Class C license to apply for either a 1:00 am or 2:00 am late night permit. The City Council may modify the number of Late Night Permits upon the application, revocation, surrender, expiration without renewal or abandonment of a Class B, Class C or Late Night Permit.
- B. The City Council may, but is not limited to, consider the following criteria in reviewing and recommending the issuance of the **late night permit** to the Liquor Control Commissioner:
 - 1. The surrounding land uses,
 - 2. The business concept,
 - 3. The proximity to other liquor establishments,
 - 4. The applicants prior business experience,
 - 5. The public's health, safety and welfare,
 - 6. Public Safety resources,
 - 7. Market conditions
 - 8. Any other criteria that is relevant to the issuance, establishment, and administration of a retail liquor license.
- C. Annually, the liquor license renewal process shall commence on the first city business day in February. Current license holders shall submit a renewal application and include any requested changes. For those license holders applying for a new or renewing a **late night permit**, they are

O_1	rdi	na	ın	ce	201	4-M	
3	P	а	g	e			

required to specify whether they are applying for the 1:00 am or the 2:00 am permit. In addition any new or renewal applications shall include a current business concept outlining the operations intended during the **late night permit** term of one year, in conjunction with the liquor license to be issued on May 1 of the same year. Failure to provide a timely renewal application or **late night permit** application may result in a delay in issuing said license and permit by May 1 of that year.

- D. Upon receipt of a new or renewal application, the Liquor Commissioner shall cause a review of the previous year license application to determine whether there have been any changes noted with regard to ownership, management or business concept. This application shall be forwarded to:
 - 1. Chief of Police
 - 2. Fire Department
 - 3. Finance Department
 - 4. Community Development Department
- E. The city departments indicated in Section D will review any infractions of any city code, reflecting negatively on the license holder that should be taken into account by the Liquor Control Commission and City Council. That information shall be returned to the Liquor Commissioner for review. In addition, the Chief of Police shall cause a review of the police related activity which will support a recommendation from the Chief of Police on whether the **late night permit** shall be issued and whether a 1:00 am or 2:00 am closing is recommended based on the permit application. The Chief of Police shall forward the recommendation to the Liquor Commissioner.
- F. Any application and recommendation for a new or renewal of a **late night permit**, shall be reviewed by the Liquor Control Commission. Based on this review the Local Liquor Commissioner shall make a recommendation on issuance to City Council. An applicant for a **late night permit** shall have the opportunity to be present and provide input on said application, prior to the Liquor Control Commission making a recommendation. Late night permits and renewals of late night permits shall be issued by the Liquor Commissioner with the advice and consent of the City Council.
- G. Any new liquor license application where a new **late night permit** is also applied for, shall follow the same review process as outlined in paragraphs B, C, D, E and F of this Chapter.
- H. **Notice of Violation Process-Late Night Permit.** If during a liquor license year, May 1 through April 30, where a liquor license holder has been issued a **late night permit** and a notice of violation is issued by the Liquor Control Commissioner, the Liquor Control Commission shall hold a hearing on the matter. The liquor licensee with the **late night permit** shall be given notice of the purpose, date, time and location of the hearing in a similar manner to a liquor license violation. The matter will be heard before the Liquor Control Commission in the same manner as any license violation. The Liquor Control Commission shall provide advice and consent to the Local Liquor Commissioner, who shall make a final decision on the matter.
- I. Cause to Reduce Hours, Revoke Late Night Permit or Not Issue Late Night Permit. In the event that a liquor license holder, has applied for or been issued a late night permit, and has demonstrated circumstances giving reason to review whether that late night permit shall be issued, reduced in hours or revoked, the Liquor Control Commission shall receive input from these city departments:
 - 1. Finance Department as it relates to unpaid fees, utilities or city taxes;
 - 2. Fire Department as it relates to repetitive fire code violations affecting health and safety;
 - 3. Community Development Department, Building and Code Enforcement Division as it relates to building code violations;

- 4. Police Department related to repetitive calls for service that are indicative of underage patrons, over service of patrons, or liquor license violations affecting the safety of the general public where the licensee is not taking proactive measures to abate the problems;
- 5. Other departments with applicable information.
- J. The license holder shall have the opportunity to respond to information in a public hearing prior to any action being taken, by the Liquor Control Commission before sending any recommendation to City Council.
- K. The Liquor Control Commission may recommend the issuance or denial of a requested **late night permit** for 1:00 am or 2:00 am, or reduction in hours based on cause to the city council for final decision.
- L. In the event a late night permit, due to a violation, is revoked or the hour for closing is reduced, there shall be no reimbursement of the later night permit fee".

<u>SECTION THREE</u>: That Title 5, "Business Licenses and Regulations," Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages," Section 5.08.100 "License Fees; Fees Established," of the St. Charles Municipal Code, be and hereby amended as follows:

"5.08.100 License Fees; Late Fee Permits; Fees Established

A. Fees Established. The fees for the various Classes of local liquor licenses authorized in this chapter shall be as follows:

Class License	Annual License Fee	Comments
A-1	\$1,600.00	Package Liquor Stores Only
A-2	1,600.00	Grocery Stores
A-2B	1,600.00	Wine/Beer Sales Only
A-4	1,600.00	Brewery Sales
A-5	1,800.00	Wine by Glass & Bottle Sales
B-1	1,200.00	Basic Restaurant Liquor License
B-2	1,200.00	B1 plus bar
B-3	1,200.00	B1 plus live entertainment may be permitted
B-4	1,200.00	Beer/Wine Only
B-5	1,200.00	Beer/Wine @ Counter Svc
B-6	1,800.00	Purchase Wine w/Takeout
C-1	1,200.00	Basic Tavern Liquor License
C-2	1,200.00	C1 plus live entertainment may be permitted
C-3	1,200.00	Beer/Wine Only
C-4	1,800.00	Sale of Bottle Wine
D-1	4,000.00	Pheasant Run
D-2	2,000.00	Hotels
D-3	2,000.00	Banquet Halls/Country Clubs
D-4	1,000.00	Moose/Clubs
D-5	2,000.00	Arcada
D-6	2,000.00	Q-Center

E-1	50.00 per day	Not for Profit	
E-2	100.00 per day	Special Events B/C licensees	
E-3	50.00 per day	Kane County Fair	
E-4	100.00 per day	City Owned Premises	
E-5	500.00 annual	Harley Davidson 20 events	

NOTE: Initial license fee is doubled for all first time Class A, B, C, D license applicant fees. (Ord. 2012-M-30 § 7; Ord. 2010-M-52 § 7.)

Late Night Permit	<u>Fee</u>	Renewal
Late Night Permit – 1 (1:00	\$800.00	\$800.00
a.m.)		
Late Night Permit – 2 (2:00	\$2,300.00	\$2,300.00
a.m.)		

- B. Proration: There shall be no proration of local liquor license fees late night permit fees for any reason. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if an initial license is issued after November 1, the initial license fee shall be one-half (1/2) of the "Initial License Fee" set forth above.
- C. Forfeiture of License Fee: Whenever any local liquor license hereunder has been revoked as provided for in this chapter, the licensee shall incur a forfeiture of all monies that have been paid for said local liquor license".

<u>SECTION FOUR</u>: That Title 5, "Business Licenses and Regulations," Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages," Section 5.08.130 "License-Hours of Sale," of the St. Charles Municipal Code, be and is amended as follows:

"5.08.130 License – Hours of Sale

- A. It shall be unlawful for any person holding a Class A-1, A-2, A-2B, A-4 or A-5 license issued pursuant to this chapter to sell, offer for sale or to give away, in or upon any licensed premises any alcoholic liquor prior to the hour of 7:00 a.m. and after the hour of 10:00 p.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday and Saturday; and prior to the hour of 10:00 a.m. and after the hour of 10:00 p.m. on Sunday except where December 24 or December 31 occurs on a Sunday, then alcoholic liquor sales shall be unlawful prior to the hour of 8:00 a.m. and after the hour of 10:00 p.m. (Ord. 2012-M-30 § 8.)
- B. It shall be unlawful for any person holding a Class B-1, B-4, B-5, and C-4 license issued pursuant to this chapter sell, offer for sale or to give away, in or upon any licensed premises, any alcoholic liquor between the hours of 12:00 midnight and 7:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and Saturday; and between the hours of 12 midnight and 10:00 a.m. on Sunday. (Ord. 2013-M-55 § 1; Ord. 2012-M-30 § 8.)
- C. It shall be unlawful for any person holding a Class B-2, B-3, B-6, C-1, C-2, or C-3 license issued pursuant to this chapter to sell, offer for sale or to give away, in or upon any licensed premises, any alcoholic liquor between the hours of 12:00 midnight and 7:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday and Saturday; and between the hours of 12:00 midnight and 10:00 a.m. on Sunday.

(Ord. 2013-M-55 § 2; Ord. 2012-M-30 § 8.)

Ordinance	2014-M
6 Page	

- D. It shall be lawful for any person holding a B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4, B-5, B-6, C-1, C-2, C-3 or C-4 license issued pursuant to this chapter to sell, offer for sale or to give away, in or upon any licensed premises, any alcoholic liquor until 2:00 a.m. on January 1.
- E. It shall be unlawful for any person holding a Class D-1 license issued pursuant to this chapter to sell, offer for sale or to give away, in or upon any licensed premises, any alcoholic liquor between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 7:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday; between the hours of 3:00 a.m. and 7:00 a.m. on Saturday; and between the hours of 3:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m. on Sunday.

 (Ord. 2012-M-30 § 8.)
- F. It shall be unlawful for any person holding a Class D-2, D-4, D-5 or D-6 license issued pursuant to liquor chapter to sell, offer for sale or to give away, in or upon any licensed premises, any alcoholic liquor between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday.

 (Ord. 2012-M-30 § 8.)
- G. It shall be unlawful for any person holding a Class D-3 license issued pursuant to this chapter to sell, offer for sale or to give away, in or upon any licensed premises, any alcoholic liquor between the hours of 2:00 am. and 7:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday and Saturday; and between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m. on Sunday. (Ord. 2012-M-30 § 8.)
- H. It shall be unlawful for any person holding a Class E-1 or E-3 license issued pursuant to this chapter to sell, offer for sale or to give away, in or upon any licensed premises, any alcoholic liquor between the hours of 11:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday, except as otherwise authorized by the City Council. (Ord. 2012-M-30 § 8.)
- I. It shall be unlawful for any person holding a Class E-2 license or E-4 license issued pursuant to this chapter to sell, offer for sale or to give away, in or upon any licensed premises, any alcoholic liquor between the hours of 12:00 midnight and 10:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday.

 (Ord. 2012-M-30 § 8.)
- J. It shall be unlawful for any person holding a Class E-5 license issued pursuant to this chapter to sell or deliver, in or upon any licensed premises, any alcoholic liquor, except during those hours as specifically set forth in said Class E-5 license".

<u>SECTION FIVE</u>: That, after the adoption and approval hereof, this Ordinance shall (i) be printed or published in book or pamphlet form, published by the authority of the City Council of the City of St. Charles, or (ii) within thirty (30) days after the adoption and approval hereof, be published in a newspaper published in and with a general circulation within the City of St. Charles.

SECTION SIX: This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect ten (10) days from and after its passage by a vote of the majority of the corporate authorities now holding office, approval and publication in the manner provided by law.

PRESENTED to the City Council of the City of St. Charles, Illinois this February, 2014.	3	day of
PASSED by the City Council of the City of St. Charles, Illinois this February, 2014.	3	day of

Ordinance 2014-M 7 Page	
APPROVED by the Mayor of the City February, 2014.	of St. Charles, Illinois, this day of
	Raymond P. Rogina, Mayor
ATTEST:	
Nancy Garrison, City Clerk	
COUNCIL VOTE:	
Ayes :	
Nays :	
Absent :	