

**MINUTES
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL
GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE
MONDAY, JULY 20, 2015**

1. Opening of Meeting

The meeting was convened by Chairman Stellato at 7:13 p.m.

2. Roll Call

Members Present: Chair. Stellato, Ald. Silkaitis, Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner

Absent: Lewis

3. Omnibus Vote

Budget Revisions – June 2015

Motion by Ald. Silkaitis, second by Turner to approve the omnibus items as presented.

Voice Vote: Unanimous; Nays: None; Absent: Lewis. Chrmn. Stellato did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

4. Finance Department

a. Recommendation to approve funding allocation requests for the St. Charles 708 Mental Health Board for FY2015/16.

Chris Minick: Each year we dedicate a portion of the property tax levy to the support of mental health services and that's per a referendum that was passed in the City many years ago and it allocates in the amount of \$0.04 for every hundred dollars of equalized assessed valuation of the property within the City of St. Charles for the purposes of supporting mental health agencies that provide mental health services. For 2014 tax levy that will be collected during FY2015/16, that funding amounts to \$501,850; and as you are also aware for any outside agency that receives more \$25K in funding from the City is required to come forward once a year to provide a brief synopsis of their activities undertaken with that city funding. We do have five agencies tonight that provide mental health services to the City and surrounding areas of the City: Association for Individual Development (AID), Ecker Center for Mental Health, Lazarus House, Renz Addiction Counseling Center, and TriCity Family Services.

Association for Individual Development – Lynn O'Shea, Executive Director, 1135 Bowes Road, Elgin: We are very pleased with the support we receive from the City of St. Charles and residents; particularly the neighbors we have that live close to our group homes and with employment. This year is very challenging with governments as they are very much up in the air in the State of Illinois. Last year AID served 92 St. Charles' residents and cost over \$1.2M. Those funds are in jeopardy particularly with two programs: our Respite Care Program that

provides invalid needs to families that are able to care for their adults and children's disabilities is being cut by 10%; we have 12 families in St. Charles that will be receiving service cuts. Another area that will have cuts from the state is our own Job Training and Placement Program. We currently have 12 individuals in that program and is being cut by 40%. You will see a lot less support for people getting jobs and not as many new people coming into the program. We do have good news that there are other programs that won't be cut as severely. Our Day Training Program for individuals who can work in our workshops – 26 individuals there. We have 17 people who are home base services whose families can care for them at home with some home service support; and the group homes here in St. Charles will also continue. We are looking at ways to bring in more revenue with the current cuts and we did receive a challenge grant from the Endowment Fund and will be asking our friends, families, neighbors to help us meet that challenge. They will provide a dollar for every dollar we have contributed over the last three years up to $\frac{3}{4}$ of a million dollars. So for every dollar contributed we will get a matching fund of new money and are hopeful that this will help reduce our waiting list. We currently have 168 individuals on our waiting list as of July 7; and we have to cut services based on where the state is cutting funds to us. We serve people primarily with developmental disabilities and that is the largest number we support here in town.

Ecker Center for Mental Health – Karen Beyer, Executive Director, 1845 Grandstand Place, Elgin and a shared office with Renz Center in St. Charles: Thank you for the financial support you provide us. It helps with the services that we provide your residents. Our service includes 24-hour emergency services that are located at Advocated Sherman Hospital, Provena St. Charles and Northwestern Medical Delnor Hospital. We have a Crisis Residential Program which is a step down from hospitalization for adults – short term program. We have intensive all-day outpatient programs for adults who are in crisis but may not need to stay with us for 24 hours a day. We provide psychiatry and nursing to people of all ages. We have some services that we don't provide, but are onsite at our Elgin office. We have an onsite pharmacy and onsite primary care provided by VNA Healthcare. We provide therapy for people of all ages and rehabilitation therapy for adults only. We have 24-hour supervised residential programs for adults and programs in apartments where the supervision is only during the day. Last year we served 274 St. Charles residents with 2,157 hours of service. This last year we had some new innovative practices. We started walk-in immediate intake from 1:00 – 4:00 every Monday through Friday. We are also able to have a NAMI Chapter at our Grandstand office. Our challenges this year are related to the state. We are not receiving any state payments at this time. For us the major concern with the state of Illinois is in FY2016 as we have no state contracts for psychiatry, no grants. We do receive Medicaid funding for that service, but it is so small of an amount that it only covers $\frac{1}{4}$ of the cost of providing psychiatric services. So we very much need those grants. We also will not be receiving any grants to help us pay for our sliding fee scale. In the past we've received a grant that helps us pay for those services for people who have neither public or private insurance.

Ald. Turner: Do you have many people here in the St. Charles office?

Karen: We have three therapists. We only do therapy here. Our psychiatry is up in Elgin.

Lazarus House, Liz Eakins, Executive Director: Thank you for all the good support from the City of St. Charles in all ways and fashions you offer to our guest who comes through our doors. Our fiscal year just ended June 30 and we served a total 278 persons in our emergency shelter and transitional living center. Of those approximately 52% were citizens of the City of St. Charles. Of those, 75% are affected in one way, shape, or form either by substance abuse, mental health concerns, or developmentally disabled. What I can tell you is we have the privilege of working with all these wonderful partners that are here tonight who continue to stand should to shoulder with us to make sure that the folks that are coming through our doors don't fall through the cracks. Every one of our guests are screened for substance abuse and mental health concerns and our good partners at TriCity Family Services, Ecker Center, Renz Addiction Counseling Center are there ready and waiting to take these guests of ours to make sure they get started in the provision of services that are going to be life changing for them. Of those that take advantage of those programs, their lives actually do transform. (Told a success story.) We are only just the face to these stories. If it wasn't for all the support we get from you, these kinds of stories wouldn't be true. What continues to be a concern of ours is housing for single moms. We have people who come through our shelter and are able to work with them to have their mental health concerns addressed and addiction concerns; and we get them to a place where things are pretty good and can't find a place that they can afford to live in. We are going to keep looking at options. In the past we were able to take on grant programs from either the state or federal government, but grants are not increasing, so we need to be creative.

Renz Addiction Counseling Center – Jerry Skogmo, Executive Director: Renz Center was founded in 1961, same year as AID, so we've been in this community for many years – in St. Charles since the 1980's. We provide alcohol and drug prevention and treatment programs, HIV prevention and treatment programs and a lot of drug education programming. In St. Charles we are primarily concern with the treatment of alcohol and drug abuse where we saw 54 residents of this city last year and provided over 3,500 hours of service. We, of course, are affected by state funding and are very concern about that. We've weathered the storm, we do have sufficient reserves and don't expect to have any curtailment of services in St. Charles. A lot of reason for that is because of your generous and consistent support over the years. We do have a new office on Illinois Avenue in St. Charles and have a nice partnership with Ecker Center. We are seeing more people than ever over the last few years and will continue to be of service to the residents of St. Charles.

TriCity Family Services, Jim Otepka, Executive Director, 1120 Randall Court, Geneva: On behalf of our board and the residents of St. Charles that we have been able to serve, I would like to thank you for your continuing support. I would like to acknowledge the great efforts of the Mental Health Board for the time they invest in the allocation process. We have a lot of operational challenges and administrative headaches with audits by the state with post-payment review for Medicaid, administrative review by the Department of Mental Health; we've completed our re-accreditation process and are working hard on the rollout of managed Medicaid services for the mental health population in Illinois. Through our competent staff we've maintained service delivery for our last full fiscal year 2014. Your funding enabled us to serve 1,418 residents across our counseling prevention and early intervention programs. I don't yet have the data information for our service delivery ending on June 30, but I can tell you at mid-

year – December, we were showing trajectory of service increase of counseling services to residents. We served 306 residents at mid-year. I suspect we'll serve another strong year of service delivery to St. Charles which accounts for 37% of our total service delivery effort. Your dollars help to insure the affordability of services who otherwise could not get any services elsewhere. Last year 45% of our families that disclosed incomes on their applications for service reported living on \$30K salary per year and 29% of our counseling program clients pay \$25 or less per session. Counseling does remain the cornerstone of our service delivery but your funds also enable us to provide a lot of work that goes unrecognized beyond the psycho-therapy room. We put counseling, case management and advocacy efforts into every hour of therapy; that includes accompanying someone to the State's Attorney to get an order of protection, attending IAP staffing, etc. These are crucial services and are the services that differentiate the kind of work we do from other private sectors. Your dollars help us ensure continuation of services we used to call early intervention programs, support and educational programs that we now group under Emotional Wellness Programs (list off several of their programs and told a story). Our psychiatric services are on the top of our list and we'll be losing the last of our state grants supporting these services. We cannot cut services as some of our children/adolescents we serve are being medicated with very serious symptoms of mental illness; so we'll find some way to continue it and looked to fundraise in many other ways. We look to growing our family based program for eating disorders – we had great success this year with this. We've accomplished just about all our goals in terms of increasing services to the Spanish speaking populations, and we've seen an encouraging uptick in referrals lately. Very soon we'll be moving some staff to a new location in St. Charles on Foxfield Road. We've outgrown our current locations and this will increase some space and hope this will translate to increase service delivery and as well as to make our services more accessible to the City of St. Charles' residents.

Chrmn. Stellato: I believe I speak for all us in saying thank you for all you do. I walk away actually feeling pretty good about all of this.

Motion by Ald. Silkaitis, second by Lemke to recommend approval of funding allocation requests for the St. Charles 708 Mental Health Board for FY2015/16.

Chris Minick: I would also like to recognize Barb Gacic who is the chair of the 708 Mental Health Board and thank her for her efforts in coordinating all of this tonight.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous; Nays: None. Chrmn. Stellato did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

Ald. Stellato: Moving on to our next item, there is one group I have a conflict with, so I am going to recuse myself and turn this over to Vice-Chair Payleitner.

b. Recommendation to approve funding allocation schedule of the Visitors Cultural Commission for FY2015/16 and the related funding agreements.

Chris Minick: As you are well aware we allocate a portion of the hotel/motel receipts to the Visitors Cultural Commission each and every year. The amount of funding that is allocated this

year is \$80,460 and all requests are enclosed in your packet. This particular commission does not have any groups who receive greater than \$25K so there will not be any individual presentations tonight. The Visitor's Cultural Commission did have nine groups apply for funding for FY2015/16. Each of those nine groups made a presentation on May 18, 2015 and the Visitors' Cultural Commission met June 1 to consider all those presentations and come up with the funding allocations that you see presented before you tonight.

Motion by Ald. Lemke, second by Turner to recommend approval of funding allocation schedule of the Visitors Cultural Commission for FY2015/16 and the related funding agreements.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous; Nays: None. Chrmn. Stellato did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

Chrmn. Stellato returned.

5. Mayor's Office

- a. Recommendation to approve a motion to have a public discussion on Video Gaming at the August 3, 2015 Government Operations Committee meeting.

Mayor Rogina: Mr. Gus Donzelli who is present here tonight and owner of A' Salute Lounge in St. Charles had made a request to have a public discussion on video gaming. After we discussed the schedule, I told him he had to have the Council approve the discussion; so if we could have this conversation about approving it tonight and have that motion, we could have this discussion on August 3. Mr. Pat Strader is also present tonight who is the administrator of the Loyal Order of the Moose and represents the one major non-for-profit organization in our community who is interested in video gaming and has made that point clear at previous meetings. We talked about what should be in this public discussion and are listed in the Executive Summary.

- a) What impact does video gaming have on the revenues of St. Charles. You heard tonight from a lot of the non-for-profits and you heard the same rendition over again and have heard from staff many times of what we are facing as a city as far as revenues are concerned. I say everything is on the table for consideration for discussion.
- b) Review of State of Illinois Statutory rules and regulations regarding video gaming. Director Minick and Counsel McGuirk are in a very good position to outline and respond to questions about the different rules and regulations that involve any kind of establishment of video gaming in St. Charles.
- c) Police input regarding video gaming. Chief Keegan is in a position to opined on the impact of video gaming with respect to police interaction, if any.
- d) This is the most important item on the list which is an opportunity to invite citizens to come here and opined themselves on video gaming.
- e) Last the committee's questions or comments regarding video gaming.

I am going to ask both Messrs. Donzelli and Strader to make some brief comments from their perspective here as to why they've come forward and we can go on from there.

Gus Donzelli, 3410 Charlemagne, St. Charles: I've been a resident here in the second ward for 22 years, was president of home owners association and on the board for 5 years, had a lot of input as far as safety in our community, had input when von Maur came in here. I have two sons who graduated from St. Charles East High School. I'm presently the owner of A'Salute Lounge and Grill in St. Charles. I represent a lot of restaurant owners in the St. Charles area and have had meetings with different owners who have asked me to come here tonight. I believe it's a win/win situation for the restaurant owners and the City as of right now. I would respectfully ask the council to have a conversation on this matter on August 3.

Patrick Strader 37W767 Dean Street, St. Charles: I've come up here before. The Moose is strictly here for our community. We are here to better it. The more members that we have – the more money we can give back to the community through fundraising and other venues, such as gaming. The more money that comes into our place, we cannot hold onto it. It has to come back to the community. It goes to Mooseheart, Moosehaven, and our community. That's a mandatory thing that Mooseheart does. I'm hoping that you'll take it upon yourself to have a conversation with us.

Mayor Rogina: I would recommend to approve Mr. Donzelli's request simply for a public discussion on August 3, 2015 regarding video gaming with the suggested outline above and any other items that members of the committee might have to add to that.

Motion by Ald. Lemke, second by Silkaitis to have a discussion to cover the items that are referenced in this outline.

Ald. Payleitner: As a documented opponent to video gaming in St. Charles, I want to let the Mayor know that I would like to recommend and welcome just such a conversation.

Ald. Turner: I think it's about time we have this discussion.

Chrmn. Stellato: Motion has been made and second to bring this item up on August 3 with staff input and input from citizens in following the outline as we see it here.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous; Nays: None. Chrmn. Stellato did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

6. Police Department

- a. Recommendation to approve a proposed code revision for Title 5 "Business Licenses and Regulations", Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages," Section 5.08.250 "Alcoholic Beverages/Regulations Applicable Generally".

Chief Keegan: This is a proposed code revision for Title 5 "Business License and Regulations", Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages," Section 5.08.250 "Alcoholic Beverages/Regulations Applicable Generally". Back in October 2013 this committee heard testimony from the Lundeen's West liquor establishment which is a packaged liquor store who are present here this evening. Part of that discussion was their desire to expand their business model to incorporate a

drive through access window at their establishment. As part of that discussion there was some lengthy dialogue back and forth with the council and the applicant; and staff was directed at that time to do some research and come back to committee with a recommendation and a model ordinance. I would like to read into the record the draft ordinance we are presenting.

“Current Code Language”

5.08.250 Regulations Applicable Generally

Q. Licenses – Curb/Drive-Through Service

No License issued under this chapter authorizes the sale, gift or delivery of alcoholic liquor utilizing curb service, drive-through window, or any other similar methodology. All such sales, gifts or deliveries are prohibited.

“Proposed Code Language

5.08.250 Regulations Applicable Generally

Q. Curb/Drive-Through Service

Class A-1 license holders shall be authorized to sell alcoholic beverages through drive-through service, in accordance with all other regulations that are applicable to this license classification. In addition, the drive-through structure and operation shall comply with all other requirements of the City of St. Charles Municipal Code. All other curbside service or methodologies are prohibited. In addition, walk-up service through the drive-through structure is prohibited.

For properties where Title 17 of the Municipal Code (The Zoning Ordinance) requires the granting of a Special Use for a Drive-Through Facility, such Special Use approval must be granted prior to the establishment of any drive-through service. The ordinance approving the establishment of the Special Use must specifically identify that the Drive-Through Facility is to be utilized for the sale of alcoholic beverages. The drive-through service shall only be operated in conformance with the approved site plan and any conditions contained in the ordinance granting the Special Use.”

What staff has done as part of this research over the last 18 months or so, is we’ve looked at some research and model ordinances and would like to present some of this to the committee.

Lundeen’s been in business for 40 years, they are a packaged Class A liquor license facility. Hours of operation are 7:00 a.m. – 10:00 p.m. Monday – Saturday and 10:00 a.m. – 10:00 p.m. on Sundays. They have opened up and maintain a similar establishment in Sycamore for the last 10 years with no report of issues. Additionally we’ve research drive through applications in the following municipalities in Illinois: Mattoon, Decatur, Springfield, Urbana, Bloomington, Champagne, Dwight, Streeter, Peoria, Effingham, Normal, and Crest Hill.

We looked at the dialogue of the minutes from October 2013 meeting and there were things as following: speaker box regulations and the only interaction would be with the proprietor at the transaction of the currency and delivery of the merchandise. What we recommend if this ordinance came to fruition would be to eliminate the speaker box and have all the interaction

with a face/face encounter. Would regulate and ask for a camera system to be installed that would focus on the driver and registration of the vehicle and that system would be mandated and recorded. The window height and any access to the drive-through itself, we would have specifications and limitations on the height and any kind obstructions or barriers that would get in the way of closer interaction with the driver. Furthermore we attempt to mandate an overhang or sally port, an enclosed structure where there would be better interaction with the consumer and the proprietor. Lastly we would look at limitations on locations or districts. These were some of the things that I saw and wanted to look at as we talked with the other municipalities.

I went out and talked personally with the police department in Sycamore and looked at the site and from previous testimony Lundeen said that between 17 – 35% of their gross sales are alcohol beverages. I know there were some concerns and stipulations from the council concerning the delivery of alcohol to minors or the use of alcohol in a moving vehicle; I would stand on the fact that any licensee is held to a standard that regardless if the delivery was over the counter, in person at a bar, or in a packaged liquor store in a drive-through; the onness is on the proprietor to maintain and operate a successful and solid business whether there is violation through the drive through or over a counter or in person; the violations would come before the Liquor Commission and there would be repercussions.

The Lundeens are here to answer any questions and the purpose of tonight was to bring a proposed ordinance forward.

Ald. Payleitner: I was unable to open this item over the weekend and this is the first time I'm seeing it. I'm wondering why this wasn't presented first to the Liquor Commission?

Mayor Rogina: The Liquor Commission has already ruled on this issue in October 2013 and passed it along to the committee. The Liquor Commission has done its job already from my perspective.

Ald. Turner: This is just the ordinance tonight; it's not about any individual license at this point?

Chief Keegan: Correct, what we put forth thus far is Title 5 recommendation of language. Russ Colby is here to answer any zoning issues or special use issues moving forward with a certain site, we would have to entertain the protocol for zoning and special use.

Ald. Krieger: Will there be an additional license fee for this privilege? If not there should be.

Chief Keegan: We haven't proposed any but can discuss further.

Ald. Gaugel: In terms of the stacking space requirement that's for other drive-through facilities, restaurants mostly, would this apply in the same manner?

Russ Colby: There's a general category for uses where's there no stacking space requirement specified and its five spaces – less than a restaurant.

Ald. Lemke: Can we limit the number of establishments with this access to two or five and if this was located elsewhere than on a major street such as a residential, can we limit the location?

Atty. McGuirk: We can limit the number of licenses. Right now they are limited by the zoning ordinances to certain locations. Stacking is always a special use.

Russ: From recent discussions a liquor store is considered a retail sales establishment under the zoning ordinance. Right now retail sales establishments are permitted use in all of the commercial districts. A drive-through facility is a separate type of use and is a special use in all of those commercial districts. So a special use would be required to establish a drive through for any of those retail sales businesses. Under the proposed language, its stated that the special use approval must specifically identify that sales of alcoholic beverages are allowed through the drive through and in the attempt of writing it that way, we have establishments that are currently retail stores that have drive-through that operate such as prescription drugs. This change to the liquor code would not enable those retail businesses to begin selling alcohol through the drive-through without requesting to amend their special use approval. This would be a separate process required for those as well.

Chrmn. Stellato: I'm curious how Sycamore handles this if there is a problem. If there is a problem with a drive-through, can that portion be revoked under the license and they could still sell a walk-up?

Chief Keegan: There hasn't been any issue of inappropriate sales in Sycamore, but I would think if there's a violation relative to our liquor code it would be advanced to the Liquor Commission and there would be stipulations that the commission could take.

Julie and David Lundeen, 6 Greenwood Court, DeKalb: We brought some pictures of our actual drive-through at our Sycamore location. The window measures 60" wide and 30" high. The window space is wide and easily accessible. You can see how close we are to each other and there's a picture where the camera has capture the driver and the license plate. We hope you'll consider our request for this drive-through. We've been in business for 40 years and at the Scyamore location for 10 years without an incident. Drive-through is simply a business model that many businesses are embracing these days from coffee, donuts, pharmacies. It's the business owner's licensed responsibility to make sure we are serving the customers that are allowed to come through our doors or drive-through. We are responsible to who we are selling to.

Ald. Turner: On this photo of the drive through, is this your camera that goes to the license on the car?

Julie: Yes, it shoots down into the car and gets the license and the driver.

Ald. Lemke: How long do you keep the video tape?

Julie: 30 days.

Mayor Rogina: I was intrigued by a couple of the recommendations with respect to licensing fee and limitations. If we are going to take a look at this perhaps that might not be a bad way to start the ball rolling. It's the committee's decision to make a request to have the ordinance brought back with those amendments on it.

My perspective is that Lundeen's have been in business for 38 years. The Chief has indicated the model licensing they have conducted here over these years. Back in September 2013 Liquor Commission member Chuck Amenta called the Mayor of Sycamore and I called the Police Chief to ask about their reputation and the whole ambiance of drive-through of which I got very positive responses. Chief Keegan did a good job tonight on the explanation of drive-throughs. Bottom line we have a good businessman here that wants to grow his business and I support that.

Ald. Turner: Question on limiting the amount of licenses. Every time we have a drive-through, it's a special use – right? So we could just deny a special use any time we wanted to?

Russ: With a special use there are specific findings that the Council would have to consider on whether the use meets those findings. In a special use situation the burden is on the municipality to prove that the business does not meet those findings. If the intent is to limit the number of licenses, it's more appropriate to do that through the liquor code than through the zoning ordinance.

Ald. Lemke: I would like to add a suggestion that 60 days retention on a tape is relevant because sometimes you might have a case of someone using a bogus credit card and the real credit card owner might not know until they see their statement – it's a suggestion.

Julie: That is changing now. You don't even burn a DVD anymore; it's on the hard drive and captured from that. So we are making advances.

Ald. Payleitner: Mr. Mayor, I went back to the minutes and I see this didn't pass the Liquor Commission.

Mayor Rogina: 2 to 2 and I voiced my support.

Ald. Payleitner: It looks like the vote was 2 to 1 against – someone was absent.

Mayor Rogina: I want to reiterate that I voiced my support.

Ald. Payleitner: Yes you did and you said in the minutes that Lundeen's or anyone has the right to go before the Council, but I just wanted to make it clear that it didn't pass before the Liquor Commission.

Ald. Silkaitis: If this does go through we'll be watching you closely as a test project. We'll be a little more diligent since you would be something brand new to the City.

Julie: The City has the right to come into any facility any time and check it out. In our Sycamore location we rarely have an incident of minors trying to go through the drive-through because we are in such close proximity. We see into the entire vehicle – pets etc. in the vehicle.

Ald. Payleitner: You listed a bunch of cities that you researched; are any of these in Kane County – no one in Kane County has a drive-through liquor store?

Chief Keegan: No, not that I'm aware of.

Chrmn. Stellato: The choices are we vote this down or move this forward with some recommendations to come back at a later date with changes that were commented on tonight.

Motion by Ald. Gaugel, second by Lemke to recommend approval of the proposed code revision for Title 5 “Business Licenses and Regulations”, Chapter 5.08 “Alcoholic Beverages,” Section 5.08.250 “Alcoholic Beverages/Regulations Applicable Generally”.

Chrmn. Stellato: Question on protocol, when that comes back to us, where does it go; back to Council, Liquor Commission, Committee?

Atty. McGuirk: We draft a new ordinance and make the amendments, it goes to the Council from here.

Mayor Rogina: Ald. Gaugel made a motion to approve the ordinance as written and it was second.

Chrmn. Stellato: Correct.

Ald. Turner: Just as a point of order, anytime we want we can actually amend this?

Atty. McGuirk: You can always amend an ordinance.

Roll Call: Ayes: Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Gaugel, Bessner, Silkaitis; Nays: Payleitner, Krieger, Absent: Lewis. Chrmn. Stellato did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

7. Executive Session

- Personnel
- Pending Litigation
- Probable or Imminent Litigation
- Property Acquisition
- Collective Bargaining
- Review of Minutes of Executive Sessions

Motion by Ald. Turner, second by Lemke to enter into Executive Session at 8:13 to discuss Land Acquisition and Review of Minutes.

Roll Call: Ayes: Payleitner, Lemke, Turner, Bancroft, Krieger, Gaugel, Bessner, Silkaitis; Nays: None; Absent: Lewis. Chrmn. Stellato did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

Motion by Ald. Turner, second by Gaugel to come out of Executive Session at 8:25 p.m.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous; Nays: None. Chrmn. Stellato did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

8. Additional Items from Mayor, Council, Staff or Citizens.

9. Adjournment

Motion by Ald. Lemke, second by Turner to adjourn meeting at 8:25 p.m.

Voice Vote: Ayes: Unanimous; Nays: None. Chrmn. Stellato did not vote as Chairman. **Motion carried.**

:tn