		AGENDA ITEM EXECUTIVE SUMMARY						
ST. CHARLES SINCE 1834	Title:	Motion to approve an Ordinance Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to Approve the Purchase of a Replacement Fire Truck Pierce Dash PUC for Fire Department and Selling the Replaced 1996 Seagrave						
	Presenter:	Chris Minick, Finance Director						
Please check appr	opriate box:					****		
Government Operations				Government Services				
Planning & Development			X	City Council (11/16/15)				
Public Hea			Liquor Commission					
Estimated Cost: \$N/A		Bu	Budgeted:		YES	NO		
If NO, please expl	ain how item will	be funded:						
<b>Executive Summa</b>					70.00			
whether the City's	Purchase Order to	ent Operations Commo purchase a Fire Truck performance bond re	ck f	rom Pi	erce Manu	facturing contain	ned terms	

Attachments: (please list)

Memo

## Recommendation / Suggested Action (briefly explain):

Motion to approve an Ordinance Authorizing the Mayor and City Clerk of the City of St. Charles to Approve the Purchase of a Replacement Fire Truck Pierce Dash PUC for Fire Department and Selling the Replaced 1996 Seagrave.

For office use only: Agenda Item Number: IIA1

## City Administrator's Office

## Memo



Date: 11/13/2015

To: Mayor Rogina and City Council From: Chris Minick, Finance Director

Cc: City Attorney John McGuirk, City Administrator Mark Koenen, Fire Chief Schelstreet

Re: Performance Bond for Fire Truck Apparatus Purchase

At the November 2, 2015 Government Operations Committee Meeting, a question was raised as to whether the City's Purchase Order to purchase a Fire Truck from Pierce Manufacturing contained terms sufficient to negate the purchase of a performance bond related to the contract for the vehicle.

With the assistance of Chief Schelstreet, Attorney McGuirk and I were able to review the language contained in the performance bond. The performance bond essentially provides an additional layer of protection by providing, essentially, an insurance policy guaranteeing that the bond company will pay for any unpaid labor or materials related to the manufacture of the apparatus. I envision that the most likely impediment to Pierce satisfying its liabilities for labor and materials relating to some exigent factor such as being forced into receivership, bankruptcy, strike, etc.

The performance bond provides an additional level of protection and a more expedient means for the City to be made whole in the unlikely event of such an occurrence. Staff proposed the purchase of the performance bond at a cost of \$1,770 due to the extent of expenditure involved, approximately \$568,000, and the long lead time of the construction contract, approximately 9 -10 months.

The City's Purchase Order, while establishing a contract between Pierce and the City for delivery of the apparatus, does not convey the same level of protection as the performance bond purchased through the Surety Company (Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland). Therefore, staff recommends purchase of the performance bond in conjunction with the approval of the contract to acquire the apparatus from Pierce via the HGAC purchasing program.