MINUTES CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL PLAN COMMISSION TUESDAY, AUGUST 4, 2015

Members Present: Chairman Todd Wallace

Vice Chair Tim Kessler James Holderfield

Laura Macklin-Purdy

Tom Pretz Michelle Spruth

Members Absent: Brian Doyle

Dan Frio Tom Schuetz

Also Present: Russell Colby- Planning Division Manager

Ellen Johnson- Planner

Chris Bong – Development Engineering Manager Matthew O'Rourke – Economic Development Manager

Court Reporter

1. Call to order

Chairman Wallace called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. Roll Call

Vice Chair Kessler called the roll. A quorum was present.

3. Presentation of minutes of the July 21, 2015 meeting.

Motion was made by Vice Chair Kessler, seconded by Mr. Holderfield and unanimously passed by voice vote to accept the minutes of the July 21, 2015 meeting.

PUBLIC HEARING

4. 300 N. Randall Rd., Illinois Central School Bus Facility (North Randall Road Partners, LLC)

Application for Special Use for a Transportation Operations Facility

The attached transcript prepared by Planet Depos – Chicago Area Real Time Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

Motion was made by Vice Chair Kessler to close the public hearing. Seconded by Mr. Holderfield.

Minutes – St. Charles Plan Commission Tuesday, August 4, 2015 Page 2

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Spruth, Holderfield, Macklin-Purdy, Pretz, Wallace, Kessler

Nays:

Absent: Doyle, Frio, Schuetz

Motion carried: 6-0

MEETING

6. 300 N. Randall Rd., Illinois Central School Bus Facility (North Randall Road Partners, LLC)

Application for Special Use for a Transportation Operations Facility

The attached transcript prepared by Planet Depos – Chicago Area Real Time Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

Motion was made by Vice Chair Kessler, seconded by Mr. Pretz to approve the application for Special Use for a Transportation Operations Facility with a condition of a five year limit on the extension date.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Spruth, Holderfield, Macklin-Purdy, Pretz, Wallace, Kessler

Navs:

Absent: Doyle, Frio, Schuetz

Motion carried: 6-0

PUBLIC HEARING

5. 1500 Rt. 38, CVS Pharmacy (TMC Illinois, LLC)

Application for Special Use to amend Ordinance 1982-Z-6 (St. Charles Commercial Center PUD) and establish a new Planned Unit Development

Application for PUD Preliminary Plan

Application for Preliminary/Final Plat of Subdivision

The attached transcript prepared by Planet Depos – Chicago Area Real Time Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

Motion was made by Vice Chair Kessler to close the public hearing. Seconded by Mr. Pretz.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Spruth, Holderfield, Macklin-Purdy, Pretz, Wallace, Kessler

Minutes – St. Charles Plan Commission Tuesday, August 4, 2015 Page 3

Nays:

Absent: Doyle, Frio, Schuetz

Motion carried: 6-0

MEETING

7. 1500 Rt. 38, CVS Pharmacy (TMC Illinois, LLC)

Application for Special Use to amend Ordinance 1982-Z-6 (St. Charles Commercial Center PUD) and establish a new Planned Unit Development

Application for PUD Preliminary Plan

Application for Preliminary/Final Plat of Subdivision

The attached transcript prepared by Planet Depos – Chicago Area Real Time Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

Motion was made by Vice Chair Kessler, seconded by Mr. Pretz to approve the application for Special Use to amend Ordinance 1982-Z-6 (St. Charles Commercial Center PUD) and establish a new Planned Unit Development; Application for PUD Preliminary Plan; Application for Preliminary/Final Plat of Subdivision.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Spruth, Holderfield, Macklin-Purdy, Pretz, Wallace, Kessler

Navs:

Absent: Doyle, Frio, Schuetz

Motion carried: 6-0

8. 3875 E. Main St. – Drive-Through Stacking Reduction Request (Kolbrook Design)

East Gate Commons PUD- Proposed Potbelly Sandwich Works

The attached transcript prepared by Planet Depos – Chicago Area Real Time Court Reporting is by reference hereby made a part of these minutes.

Motion was made by Mr. Holderfield, seconded by Vice Chairman Kessler to recommend approval of the requested drive-through stacking reduction request with the condition of installation of a pork chop for the drive-through.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Spruth, Holderfield, Macklin-Purdy, Pretz, Wallace, Kessler

Nays:

Absent: Doyle, Frio, Schuetz

Motion carried: 6-0

9. Meeting Announcements

Minutes – St. Charles Plan Commission Tuesday, August 4, 2015 Page 4

a. Plan Commission

Monday, August 10, 2015 at 5:30pm Century Station Training Room – Joint meeting with P&D Committee

Tuesday, August 18, 2015 at 7:00pm Council Chambers

Tuesday, September 8, 2015 at 7:00pm Council Chambers

b. Planning & Development Committee

Monday, August 10, 2015 at 7:00pm Council Chambers

Monday, September 14, 2015 at 7:00 pm Council Chambers

10. Additional Business from Plan Commission Members, Staff, or Citizens.

Mr. Colby introduced Chris Bong as the new Development Engineering Division Manager.

11. Adjournment at 8:12 p.m.

		2
1	Hearing held at the location of:	
2		
3	ST. CHARLES CITY HALL	
4	2 East Main Street	
5	St. Charles, Illinois 60174	
6	(630) 377-4400	
7		
8		
9		
10	Before Melanie L. Humphrey-Sonntag, a Certified	
11	Shorthand Reporter, Registered Diplomate Reporter,	
12	Certified Realtime Reporter, and a Notary Public in	
13	and for the State of Illinois.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

		3
1	PRESENT:	
2	TODD WALLACE, Chairman	
3	TIM KESSLER, Vice Chairman	
4	JIM HOLDERFIELD, Member	
5	LAURA MACKLIN-PURDY, Member	
6	TOM PRETZ, Member	
7	MICHELLE SPRUTH, Member	
8		
9	ALSO PRESENT:	
10	RUSS COLBY, Planning Division Manager	
11	ELLEN JOHNSON, Planner	
12	CHRIS BONG, Development Engineering Manager	
13	MATTHEW O'ROURKE, Economic Development Manager	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

		4
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: This meeting of the	
3	St. Charles Plan Commission will come to order.	
4	Tim, roll.	
5	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Oh. Do we have a	
6	quorum?	
7	Holderfield.	
8	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Here.	
9	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Purdy.	
10	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Here.	
11	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz.	
12	MEMBER PRETZ: Here.	
13	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, here.	
14	Wallace.	
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Here.	
16	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: We don't have a	
17	quorum.	
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah, we do. We have	
19	nine total members. We have a majority.	
20	All right. Item 3 is presentation	
21	of minutes of the July 21st, 2015, meeting.	
22	Is there a motion to approve?	
23	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: So moved.	
24	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Second.	

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

		5
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Moved and seconded.	
2	All in favor?	
3	(Ayes heard.)	
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Opposed?	
5	(No response.)	
6	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Motion passes	
7	unanimously.	
8	Items 4 and 5 on your agenda are public	
9	hearings.	
10	And would Russ, would there be an issue	
11	with taking them skipping or you know, going 4,	
12	6; 5, 7?	
13	MR. COLBY: No. That will be fine.	
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Does anyone have an	
15	objection to doing them that way, taking the public	
16	hearing and the action item and then the subsequent	
17	public hearing and action item?	
18	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: No. Go ahead.	
19	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Then next on our agenda	
20	will be Item No. 4, which is 300 North Randall Road,	
21	Illinois Central School Bus facility, North Randall	
22	Road Partners, LLC, application for special use for a	
23	transportation operations facility.	
24	This is the public hearing portion of our	

meeting, and for those of you who have not been before us before, welcome.

2.4

The St. Charles Planning Commission is tasked by the City Council with conducting public hearings for certain applications that come before the City. We are a volunteer, nonpaid group, and we are here to serve our city in that capacity.

As a part of the public hearing, what we will do is we will ask the Applicant to present testimony in favor of the application, and then we will open up for questions from Plan Commission members and members of the public regarding that testimony and evidence.

After that, if anyone wishes to offer testimony and evidence either in support or against the application, they may do so at that time, and we will end the public hearing with the statement by the Applicant with any rebuttal.

Any questions regarding our procedure?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. If the Plan

Commission feels that they have enough information,

then we will close the public hearing. After that

point, no new evidence can be taken. We'll move on to

7 1 the action item on the agenda for each of these 2 particular items, and we will make a recommendation 3 either supporting approval or denial of the 4 application to the City Council. 5 At this time I would -- well, I'll point out 6 that there is a court reporter here in the room, and 7 so all of our words are being taken down for the record. Therefore, if you could wait to be recognized 8 9 by me before speaking and, when you do speak, please 10 approach the lectern, state your name and spell your 11 last name for the record and also state your address. 12 And if you could state your name each time that you speak for the ease of the court reporter. 13 14 At this time I ask that anyone who intends 15 on presenting any testimony either for or against either of the applications, 4 and 5, please be sworn 16 17 in, if you could raise your right hand. 18 (Witnesses duly sworn.) 19 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Thank you. 20 (Member Spruth joined the proceedings.) CHAIRMAN WALLACE: And let the record also 21 22 reflect Michelle Spruth is here with us tonight. 23 MEMBER SPRUTH: Thank you. My apologies. 2.4 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. And before we

		8
1	begin with Item No. 4, staff, is there anything before	
2	we go out to the Applicant?	
3	MR. COLBY: No.	
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Is the	
5	Applicant here?	
6	MR. KEILMAN: (Indicating.)	
7	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.	
8	MR. KEILMAN: Yes. My name is David	
9	Keilman, K-e-i-l-m-a-n. I live at 619 Rosedale Avenue	
10	in Roselle, Illinois.	
11	About four years ago we were here for a	
12	special use permit and received such permit for the	
13	bus company operation, and we're looking to renew	
14	that. I guess my I'm not familiar with any of you	
15	or you with me. I am the accountant for North Randall	
16	Road, and I worked with Gerard Keating on this	
17	application.	
18	We have had a good working relationship with	
19	the bus company. We are looking to extend, but we	
20	have not heard any complaints or anything that might	
21	suggest that the operation isn't working efficiently	
22	or, you know, being a good neighbor.	
23	And just kind of we just assumed that,	
24	you know, with what we've done, the improvements we	

		9
1	made at the last time to get it approved, that we	
2	would recommend getting it approved again.	
3	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay.	
4	I just have a question for staff. Ellen, is	
5	this yours?	
6	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.	
7	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. When we originally	
8	had passed this, was it was the five-year limit	
9	placed as a condition of the special use, or is that	
10	provided for in our ordinance?	
11	MS. JOHNSON: Yes, it's provided for in the	
12	ordinance, so that's a requirement of the special use,	
13	is that it expires August 2016.	
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. All right.	
15	MR. KEILMAN: Yes. And we were just	
16	we're planning ahead. We'd like to continue, again,	
17	our relationship with the bus company, and that is why	
18	we have requested this permit.	
19	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.	
20	Any questions?	
21	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: I have a question.	
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Sure.	
23	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: One thing for staff,	
24	I think, on page 5 of the document that was given to	

us, the Applicant showed a grant for a public access easement over the future access drive onto Dean.

2.4

What is this? Can you just enhance that a little bit, what we mean by "future access"? Are they going to do this, or is this in the plan at all?

MR. COLBY: Yeah. The City's interest in the long-term development of this property was to provide cross-access through this site that would connect both from — in the future extension of Woodward Drive across Randall Road connecting to Dean Street and, also, providing access to the east of the properties that front along Randall Road, and the purpose of that would be to reduce the number of curb cuts into those commercial properties off of Randall Road in the future.

One of the pieces of the cross-access that was missing was a section through this property that would extend out to Dean Street. As part of the approval in 2011, the City requested that the owner of the property dedicate the easement to reserve the right for that access to be constructed at some point in the future if the property were to be redeveloped in such a way that it would be possible to construct a road from Woodward Drive east to --

	11
1	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: That would be the City
2	that would be doing that, you're saying?
3	MR. COLBY: It could be developed either by
4	the City or by the private property owner, but the
5	special use condition was just that the access rights
6	be reserved
7	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: And it has been.
8	MR. COLBY: in the future, and that was
9	done through the recording of deeds.
10	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any other
11	questions?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Anything from
14	members of the audience?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. Is there any other
17	additional testimony?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Seeing none,
20	is there a motion to close the public hearing?
21	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: So moved.
22	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. It's been moved
24	and seconded.

		12
1	Any discussion on the motion?	
2	(No response.)	
3	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Tim.	
4	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Spruth.	
5	MEMBER SPRUTH: Yes.	
6	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Holderfield.	
7	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Yes.	
8	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Purdy.	
9	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Yes.	
10	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz.	
11	MEMBER PRETZ: Yes.	
12	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Wallace.	
13	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.	
14	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, yes.	
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. The public	
16	hearing is now closed. That concludes Item No. 4 on	
17	the agenda.	
18	You may have a seat.	
19	We'll move on to Item 6, which is action on	
20	300 North Randall Road, Illinois Central School Bus	
21	facility, North Randall Road Partners, LLC,	
22	application for special use for a transportation	
23	operations facility.	
24	Is there a motion?	

		13
1	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I have a question	
2	before I make a motion.	
3	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Sure.	
4	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: My question is, if	
5	we recommend approval, the sunset, five-year, and	
6	the would that stay I mean, that's part of the	
7	ordinance? It's part of the zoning?	
8	MR. COLBY: The Applicant's request was for	
9	the use to be extended for a minimum of five years.	
10	The Plan Commission would need to state a condition if	
11	you'd like to impose a five-year limit on the	
12	extension.	
13	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: All right.	
14	I'd recommend approval of the application	
15	for a special use for transportation operations	
16	facility with the condition of a five-year from the	
17	existing sunset date, so that would extend it to	
18	what? 20	
19	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: 2021.	
20	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: 2021.	
21	MEMBER PRETZ: Second.	
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. Any discussion on	
23	the motion?	
24	(No response.)	

		14
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Tim.	
2	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Spruth.	
3	MEMBER SPRUTH: Yes.	
4	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Holderfield.	
5	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Yes.	
6	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Purdy.	
7	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Yes.	
8	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz.	
9	MEMBER PRETZ: Yes.	
10	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Wallace.	
11	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.	
12	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, yes.	
13	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. That motion	
14	passes unanimously, and that concludes Item 6 on the	
15	agenda.	
16	Thank you, gentlemen.	
17	(Off the record at 7:09 p.m.)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER

I, Melanie L. Humphrey-Sonntag, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 084-004299, CSR, RDR, CRR, CCP, FAPR, and a Notary Public in and for the County of Kane, State of Illinois, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings, that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision, and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 12th day of August, 2015.

My commission expires: May 31, 2017

22 MXHurshey Southag

OFFICIAL SEAL
M L HUMPHREY-SONNTAG
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 05/31/2017

Notary Public in and for the

24 State of Illinois

Hearing - 1500 Route 38 Conducted on August 4, 2015

		2
1	Hearing held at the location of:	
2		
3	ST. CHARLES CITY HALL	
4	2 East Main Street	
5	St. Charles, Illinois 60174	
6	(630) 377-4400	
7		
8		
9		
10	Before Melanie L. Humphrey-Sonntag, a Certified	
11	Shorthand Reporter, Registered Diplomate Reporter,	
12	Certified Realtime Reporter, and a Notary Public in	
13	and for the State of Illinois.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

Hearing - 1500 Route 38 Conducted on August 4, 2015

	3	
1	PRESENT:	
2	TODD WALLACE, Chairman	
3	TIM KESSLER, Vice Chairman	
4	JIM HOLDERFIELD, Member	
5	LAURA MACKLIN-PURDY, Member	
6	TOM PRETZ, Member	
7	MICHELLE SPRUTH, Member	
8		
9	ALSO PRESENT:	
10	RUSS COLBY, Planning Division Manager	
11	ELLEN JOHNSON, Planner	
12	CHRIS BONG, Development Engineering Manager	
13	MATTHEW O'ROURKE, Economic Development Manager	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

4 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Item No. 5 is 3 1500 Route 38, CVS Pharmacy, TMC Illinois, LLC, 4 application for special use to amend Ordinance 5 1982-Z-6, St. Charles Commercial Center PUD, and 6 establish a new planned unit development, application 7 for PUD preliminary plan, and application for 8 preliminary and final plat of subdivision. 9 Staff, is there anything on this before we 10 go to the Applicant? 11 MR. COLBY: No. 12 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. Is the Applicant here? 13 MR. KOLB: Good evening, Commissioners. 14 15 Thanks for your time and being here. I look forward 16 to the presentation. 17 My name is Andrew Kolb, K-o-l-b. I'm an 18 attorney. My office is in St. Charles, 200 West Main 19 Street, right across the river. I'm here for the 20 Applicant, TMC Illinois 2, LLC, and the underlying 21 property owner, 1500 Lincoln Highway, LLC. 22 With me on behalf of the property owner is 23 Ken Marino [phonetic], our developer; as well as Kevin 2.4 Lewis from Sorce Architecture, the architect who

designed the Lot 2 building; and then from CVS and

T. M. Crowley is Mark Bettenhausen and Charlie

Haapala; as well as our engineers from V3, Brad

Prischman and Mark Iverson; and Noah Monee [phonetic]

is also here from our end of the team. So on behalf

of everyone, thanks for this opportunity.

2.4

What we are proposing is a new development on a site that's 2.72 acres in dimension located at the northwest corner of Lincoln Highway and 14th Street in St. Charles, directly across from the existing — very close proximity to the existing Walgreens.

It's an opportunity, we think, to bring new jobs and new sales tax revenue to the community, and we're looking at making approximately a \$6.8 million investment into the site and the improvements on the site between the two buildings. It's a great project for the community. We're very excited to be potentially a part of it.

The underlying zoning classification of the property where we're proposing the CVS to be located was in the BR, Regional Business District, with a PUD overlay pursuant to an ordinance back in 1982.

It was a bit of an outdated ordinance. It

wasn't current with your current zoning ordinances and building codes, and so what -- in working with staff, what we have elected to do is to propose a brand-new planned unit development that brings the site up to current Code as far as zoning and building regulations.

2.0

2.4

We're also proposing a two-lot subdivision, and we're seeking to develop Lot 2 with a 4600-square-foot multitenant retail office building housing up to four tenants in that building.

We plan to eliminate what's currently there now, which is a bank that's no longer in operation.

The bank will be demolished. It's the former State Bank of St. Charles, which is currently vacant and kind of an eyesore, and this new development will come in to replace all of that vacancy, all of that vacant site.

We've been working with staff to put together new sets of elevations and new landscape plans and new building plans that address staff's concerns, and CVS is excited to construct a 13,225-square-foot CVS pharmacy building with a drive-through offering, offering typical pharmaceuticals, grocery products, health care,

cosmetics, camera supplies, typical things that you'd find at a full-service pharmacy/convenience store.

2.4

As far as our development requests tonight, we're seeking a brand-new planned unit development, so it's a special use for a PUD, in accordance with 17.04 of your Code. That's A.

And we're seeking, in connection with that PUD, to have a new range of uses within that PUD ordinance. Obviously, Lot 1 would have an enumerated use as a pharmacy with a drive-through, and then Lot 2 would be the commercial/retail/office-type building that would be their multitenant.

So, number one, we'd like a brand-new special use for a planned unit development. We're also seeking a PUD preliminary plan approval which, in accordance with your PUD ordinance, is kind of a preliminary step to getting a PUD.

And then we're also seeking both preliminary and final plat of subdivision approval. We've submitted both of those applications. Because we are proposing a two-lot subdivision, we're working with staff to make two basically cohesive lots work together with cross-access and easements and things so we can maximize the space.

And then there are a number of departures from your ordinances or variances -- I think in the context of a PUD they're called departures -- that we're requesting in connection with the standards enumerated under your PUD ordinance, and I can run through those, if you guys would like, briefly.

2.0

2.4

So in connection with our PUD, the first departure we're asking for pertains to interior side yard requirements, and this would be the side yard that would be typically placed on the interior lot lines between Lots 1 and 2.

So normally you would have a 15-foot building setback off that interior lot line. We're asking essentially that that setback be eliminated given that we'll have a two-lot subdivision that essentially shares its parking, shares its access points, and operates cohesively together. And it would — to have those building lines interiorly would make the site work, and we've listed some basis. And we can go through these in detail during discussions, if you'd like.

The second departure we're asking is with respect to building foundation landscaping. As we said repeatedly in our application, the site's unique.

There is a building setback on two facades, one on 14th Street and 38, which kind of pushed the project off the corner and set it back further.

2.4

Building foundation landscaping, according to the CVS current standards — it's more important for them to maximize the space that's on a sidewalk, especially with a pharmacy use like this where you see elderly people and families, perhaps wheelchair access a lot, trying to get in and out of the pharmacy.

Maximizing the space on that sidewalk for safety concerns is really a top priority of CVS, so they — whenever they can, you know — will increase the dimension of that sidewalk to make it safer, and so we're proposing to eliminate foundation landscaping to allow for those larger sidewalk areas. That's the second departure.

The third departure pertains to both Lots 1 and 2 and pertains to interior parking lot landscaping. In accordance with your Code, you're required to have -- we were required to have 10 percent of the parking area landscaped, which, if we have a 44,861-square-foot area, that equates to 4486 square feet of landscaping, and we came up with 3769, so we have 717 feet of shortage there.

2.0

2.4

The primary reason for this is, located directly to the north and to the west of the building, there's considerable landscaping that's not counted as part of the parking lot even though it's in the general purpose area, so we're asking the Commission to consider that — to take into consideration that we do have part of the landscaping on site that's not within the parking area or within the right-of-way. And so if you counted that, we would be, you know, in excess of your ordinance, and we come up this way a little short. So that's a departure.

The fourth departure would be the interior parking lot shade trees. The Code requires 28 shade trees, which is -- we consider that to be a considerable number of trees under the formula provided.

We're proposing 14 shade trees on this parking lot alone, and we're leaving two existing shade trees. When you count the other shade trees we have on the north and west elevations of the site, I think, you know, we come a lot closer. But the way the St. Charles Code interprets what is a parking lot and excluding that area, we have a deficiency there, so that would be the fourth departure that we're

looking to make.

2.0

2.4

The next one's the minimum lot size. The lot size for Lot 2 is not in accordance with your minimum lot size of 1 acre. And we've worked a lot with staff to make sure that these two lots cohesively operate together. There will be a reciprocal easement and access agreement between the underlying property owner, 1500 Lincoln Highway, LLC, and the CVS to make sure that pedestrian traffic and vehicular traffic can, you know, move in and out of the site through the access points.

And we don't consider the minimum lot size -- if the lot stood alone and wasn't really part of a cohesive unit with Lot 1, I think it would be a bigger concern, but here, I think, having a .5, half-acre site still works given the size of that second building that's there.

The next departure is the distance between landscape islands. This one's somewhat minor.

They're all somewhat minor but this one -- there's really one instance where your Code requires us to have only between 60 and a hundred feet distance between your landscaped islands, and we exceed the landscaped island by 7 feet and only with respect to

one series of two islands, and we can show you where that is.

2.0

2.4

And then, lastly, there's an EIFS departure that we're asking for. I think -- importantly, the EIFS, we think, provides a nice smooth surface behind the CVS lettering.

The EIFS is predominant in the building only in three locations. It's behind the CVS lettering, EIFS is also in the ladder architectural feature above the front entrance, and it's also on the beveled cornice at the top of the building that wraps around the building, and so we think the EIFS breaks up the other materials that are there.

It does exceed the 10 percent requirement, but I think the use is -- it's a nice treatment for the EIFS, and I think, when viewed in light of the fact that it creates more visual signage and it breaks up the other building materials that are there, we think it looks the best, so we'd ask for a departure in that respect, too.

So those are the departures that we're asking for in the context of the PUD. We did eliminate quite a few of them in the last week or two, working with staff to try to redo the project, and

	13
this is what our CVS corporate partner in Rhode Island	
has approved for St. Charles to get the project	
through. And so we're excited to bring this to you	
and invite your comments on it.	
So there's an overview of what we're	
requesting, and I'm happy to answer any questions, or	
I can turn it over to Brad Prischman, our project	
engineer, who can run through more of the specifics	
regarding engineering or however you guys would like	
to handle the hearing.	
CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Questions?	
MEMBER SPRUTH: I guess on the traffic	
survey, did you was a traffic survey undertaken for	
the stop signs?	
MR. KOLB: We have not conducted a traffic	
survey. We think in conjunction with staff,	
I think it wasn't something that was a requirement.	
MEMBER SPRUTH: Okay. So these stop signs	
are just proposed? Or on the plans? I on one	
of them it's red and then on the other one it's gray,	
so I just I	
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They're existing.	
MEMBER SPRUTH: Are they existing?	
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They're existing.	
	has approved for St. Charles to get the project through. And so we're excited to bring this to you and invite your comments on it. So there's an overview of what we're requesting, and I'm happy to answer any questions, or I can turn it over to Brad Prischman, our project engineer, who can run through more of the specifics regarding engineering or however you guys would like to handle the hearing. CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Questions? MEMBER SPRUTH: I guess on the traffic survey, did you was a traffic survey undertaken for the stop signs? MR. KOLB: We have not conducted a traffic survey. We think in conjunction with staff, I think it wasn't something that was a requirement. MEMBER SPRUTH: Okay. So these stop signs are just proposed? Or on the plans? I on one of them it's red and then on the other one it's gray, so I just I UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They're existing. MEMBER SPRUTH: Are they existing?

14 1 MEMBER SPRUTH: So we don't know the 2 vehicles -- traffic on that -- on --3 MR. KOLB: Yeah. CVS --4 MEMBER SPRUTH: I was looking at that 5 four-way there. 6 MR. KOLB: We consider the CVS to have a 7 lower intensity of use than the prior users. on -- you know, we're not proposing any new access 8 9 points or curb cuts from IDOT or any of the complicated computations that go with that. 10 I think the use is almost identical to the 11 12 prior use in terms of -- I mean, it's somewhat more 13 intense, but I don't think it's --14 MEMBER SPRUTH: We don't know who the tenant 15 is going to be on the building? MR. KOLB: We don't. And it's a retail 16 17 building. It hasn't been brought -- you know, it's not even constructed. We haven't marketed it. 18 19 I don't think that there are any leases that have been 2.0 signed or brought up. 21 I think the uses will be office, medical --22 you know, professionals, things like that you'd see in 23 there. I don't think it would be heavy, heavy retail, 2.4 I think, for the most part.

1	MEMBER SPRUTH: Is there any issue with that
2	four-way access there, that on that? Existing
3	traffic accidents or anything like that? Are there
4	issues?
5	MR. COLBY: No, there's no issues we're
6	aware of.
7	With this application we did require that
8	the Applicant submit the trip-generation comparison
9	letter that is included in the packet.
10	Given the site was previously developed as
11	part of a larger commercial subdivision, we looked at
12	the changed use in terms of the amount of traffic it
13	would generate to see if it was comparable. And based
14	on the findings of that report, we didn't think it was
15	necessary to provide additional analysis of the
16	intersection surrounding the site.
17	MEMBER SPRUTH: Yeah. When did the last
18	I guess the State Bank. When did that cease in
19	operation?
20	MR. COLBY: You know, I don't have that
21	information. The Applicant might.
22	MEMBER SPRUTH: Okay. Because there might
23	be additional traffic generated between the time that
24	it ceased and the time that this is proposed to be

16 1 operating. 2 So we don't know that. We don't know any additional traffic that -- in that area. And if there 3 4 may be a potential -- although there -- it's similar 5 in the past, there has been additional -- more people 6 moving into that area, more people using those --7 those are major roads. So I'm -- it's just a question I have. 8 9 I just don't know the answer to it. MR. KOLB: Yeah. Vanderbilt Drive is a 10 private drive. I think that was a consideration of 11 12 ours and that we thought -- you know, I don't think it's necessarily a public right-of-way so -- I think 13 14 the use is very similar. I live in the community. 15 I haven't seen development there for quite some time. I know the bank's been abandoned for a couple years 16 17 now. 18 MEMBER SPRUTH: Okav. 19 VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Are we going to name 2.0 that street now? 21 (An off-the-record discussion was held.) 22 MR. KOLB: Oh, one year. 23 This is David Meek, too. He's the attorney 2.4 for the underlying property.

17 1 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Other 2 questions? 3 VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Actually, I do have 4 just a couple. 5 You were enumerating the deviations, and 6 there are a couple more that are listed in here. 7 Window transparency. You talked about the -- you talked about the EIFS. What's the 8 9 likelihood of CVS making -- changing any of their 10 design, too? Because staff commented -- for example, on 11 12 the Route 38 elevation, staff suggests using the taller windows shown on the 14th Street elevation or 13 providing spandrel glass to that. 14 15 Is CVS open to doing anything like that? MR. KOLB: CVS has a standard prototype that 16 17 they approve, and that's all budgeted a certain way. And it's very difficult to go back --18 19 VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: So that's a no? 20 MR. KOLB: Yeah. We actually made 21 considerable headway to present to you a revised 22 application based on staff's comments. In a week 23 turnaround, it was not easy to get those 2.4 authorizations, but this is kind of as far as -- there

	1
1	perhaps would be some things that they might be
2	willing to do, but it's time-consuming. With our
3	project opening next spring and the development the
4	demolition schedule that has to take place before the
5	weather changes, we're a little hesitant to go back
6	and get the project reapproved.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: The appearance of
8	the building would be more important to me, actually,
9	than the landscaping because I understand the
10	situation, and, frankly, I like wider sidewalks.
11	But that brings up the retail building,
12	then, because there are deviations in the retail
13	building, as well, which isn't CVS.
14	MR. KOLB: Right. We have not addressed any
15	departures on the retail building. We're happy to
16	I'm told the retail building will be Code compliant
17	but
18	MR. LEWIS: Yes, I can address any questions
19	you have on the building.
20	MR. KOLB: This is Kevin Lewis, the
21	architect from Sorce Architecture, who is drawing the
22	retail building.
23	MR. LEWIS: Kevin Lewis, Sorce Architecture.
24	All right?

		19
1	What deviations are you referring to?	
2	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Well, there are	
3	deviations in the proposed retail building.	
4	For example, 50 percent of the facade's	
5	comprised of architectural features. It doesn't meet	
6	it to the north side.	
7	Street-facing facades must have two of four	
8	architectural features.	
9	Is this on Item 2. Okay? Yeah, it met	
10	it on the 14th Street side. It doesn't meet it on the	
11	north side but okay. That one is facing that	
12	unnamed street there so	
13	MR. LEWIS: Yes.	
14	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: that would be	
15	that's the back of the building, actually. So it's	
16	going to be hard to have a facade on both sides of the	
17	building.	
18	MR. LEWIS: Yes.	
19	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I got that one.	
20	And I guess the public entrance has the same	
21	condition because it wouldn't it's the primary	
22	street entrance is 14th Street, and entrances face	
23	Route 38, which is okay because, again it's that	
24	unnamed road there, that private road. That doesn't	

20 have any -- the back of the building. But then the final one is the EIFS. T t doesn't meet the requirement. You can't cover more than 10 percent. MR. LEWIS: We submitted a revised drawing with -- I thought it would be in your package. took EIFS off the building completely --VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Oh, okay. MR. LEWIS: -- and replaced it with a cementitious stucco, more like a HardiePanel type of product. MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Is that in our building materials list? VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Yes. In the building materials on -- that's on page 9 of the report. Okay. Thank you. MR. LEWIS: You're welcome. MR. HAAPALA: Excuse me, if I can please add

My name is Charlie Haapala with

to some of the architectural comments.

T. M. Crowley, and I represent construction and

23 architecture.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24 The base building for CVS is essentially a

flat cinder block building, and in conjunction with City staff, we received the comments and we modified the building and added numerous upgrades to the building; for example, setting off the pilasters to create shadows. We did add more windows to the building, and we added awnings and we raised the parapets, so we've done a number of things.

2.4

And Norr Architects, who is our very talented group of folks, really understands the textures and making these modifications to try to fit into the community, and I think that we've certainly responded, I believe, to all of the City staff's questions.

I know the EIFS is probably a little bit of a question, but, really, the two pieces of EIFS are the cornice trim that goes around the entire building and the back of the sign panels, and it appears to be plaster. It's nowhere in close proximity to anybody on the ground that can see it.

And I think, you know, the variations that we've done off -- the picture does not do justice to the upgrades we've done to the building, but we -- we have addressed upgrades to the building and made improvements to it.

VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I didn't realize 1 2 that because, in here, we have one recommendation. So 3 there have been quite a few modifications; is that 4 correct? 5 MR. COLBY: Yes. The elevations that were 6 included in the Plan Commission packet are the updated 7 elevations. There was an earlier version that was not 8 included. 9 VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Okay. MR. HAAPALA: And that was a flat box with 10 less windows and lower parapets and -- you know, we 11 12 created textures and elevations. I mean, we really would like to serve your community, and we want to 13 14 attract people. 15 And, you know, a lot of effort's been made, you know, to understand folks here in St. Charles and 16 17 do our best to attract the local community, and we'd 18 like really to receive all those comments and -- and, 19 you know, I think we have, you know, a small 20 understanding -- and try to get a better understanding 21 all the time but -- you know, of the community. 22 And if I'm -- if I'm still up here, I'd like 23 to add to the parking. I know the setback was a 2.4 concern.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

question.

Every day we're trying to get better. CVS is trying to do the same thing. Convenience, value, service are really the mottos to try to attract more folks, and oftentimes people don't feel well coming into the store. And with that in mind, the first way most people approach the store will be via car, and most recently -- the data and the research has shown that 24-foot drive aisles are a little bit cramped, and it's been found that a 30-foot drive aisle makes it more comfortable to drive in. And then, once a customer has entered our property, the convenience of having a larger sidewalk next to the building, those are all things that we believe enhances, certainly, the safety and the attractiveness of coming into our store. So I hope that helps. If you've got any other questions, I'd be happy to --VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: It does. Thank you. MR. HAAPALA: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Other questions?

Yes.

CHAIRMAN WALLACE:

MEMBER SPRUTH: I have an additional

2.4 1 MEMBER SPRUTH: Is there a pedestrian 2 crosswalk on Vanderbilt linking the two sidewalks? There is. 3 MR. KOLB: 4 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: There is? 5 MEMBER SPRUTH: Okay. 6 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Other questions? 7 Yeah. MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Staff had recommended 8 9 that there be some kind of screening for the mechanical equipment on the roof of the CVS. 10 Has that been addressed? 11 12 MR. KOLB: Yes. The parapet -- as Charlie was just indicating, the parapet has been -- CVS has 13 treated the top of the buildings all different ways. 14 15 You know, there's been cedar fencing up there, different types of treatment. 16 17 This is a very upscale treatment, to have the metal covered with the EIFS, and the parapet's 18 19 been raised to screen the mechanicals. I think the 20 average consumer that visits the site won't experience 21 that at all in their car looking up so --22 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: On either one of those 23 buildings -- maybe I'm overlooking it. In regard to

garbage pickup and screening of anything like that, is

2.4

		25
1	that addressed here?	
2	I don't see it. Help me with that.	
3	At the rear of the building are you	
4	concealing that, your trash pickup areas?	
5	MR. HAAPALA: If I you can see it on the	
6	plan here.	
7	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: It's so small I can't	
8	see it.	
9	MR. HAAPALA: I apologize. The material	
10	would be a continuation of the masonry building.	
11	There are masonry enclosures of the same detailing as	
12	the building with a cap on it and then cedar doors	
13	that would be	
14	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: That would be CVS.	
15	MR. HAAPALA: CVS, correct. I apologize.	
16	I'm sorry.	
17	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: And then if we can	
18	move I kind of thought that was the case there, but	
19	when you go to Lot 2 for the other building, I'm not	
20	sure I see how that's being addressed.	
21	MR. HAAPALA: In a okay. There we go.	
22	If you can see, this would be for the future	
23	office building. We've addressed it in a similar	
24	fashion with a masonry enclosure. And CVS is over	

		26
1	here for CVS. This is dedicated to the office	
2	building.	
3	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: So the smaller	
4	building, it's set away from it? There's no	
5	MR. HAAPALA: Yes. In both cases they're	
6	remote. So the folks inside the office building walk	
7	across the parking lot, and the same situation happens	
8	here with CVS.	
9	The door is back in this corner, and	
10	folks	
11	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: And they go back there?	
12	MR. HAAPALA: And they go back there, yes.	
13	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Okay.	
14	MR. HAAPALA: And then I guess the other	
15	one the landscaping complements	
16	(An off-the-record discussion was held.)	
17	MR. HAAPALA: There we go.	
18	So in addition to the masonry enclosures	
19	that match the building, there's trees and bushes	
20	around it in both cases. It's been filled in. So	
21	they're screened architecturally and also with	
22	landscaping.	
23	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Okay. That was my	
24	concern.	

27 MR. HAAPALA: Okay. 1 2 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. Other questions? 3 (No response.) 4 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I have a question about 5 site circulation, and this mainly concerns the 6 drive-through and issues that we've had approving 7 drive-throughs not thinking about site circulation issues and then, all of a sudden when the place gets 8 9 built, we have cars going perpendicular across 10 drive-through lanes. And that's one of the issues that I see 11 12 here, is, from the eastern drive off of Vanderbilt, going past --13 MR. HAAPALA: Coming through here? 14 15 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: No, the other direction. MR. HAAPALA: Oh, this one? 16 17 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah. 18 Coming into the CVS drive-through, it seems 19 that the circulation to go in the drive-through would 2.0 be to come around the front of the store to go -- turn 21 left, turn left, and come in the drive-through. 22 MR. HAAPALA: Sure. Coming around this way? 23 Okay. 24 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Is there going to be

1	anything put in place to prevent people from coming	
	anyoning has in blass so bis. one beating in committee	
2	from the north and going basically, as I said,	
3	diagonal into the drive-through lanes?	
4	MR. HAAPALA: Coming off of Vanderbilt here?	
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah.	
6	MR. HAAPALA: I think what happens here is	
7	that it's a single drive-through. We typically	
8	only have one or two backups, though there is room for	
9	more.	
10	And this turn here and Brad can address	
11	this a little better than I can is yes. Yes, it	
12	is a left turn, but there is generally plenty of room.	
13	There's a bypass lane so this is double wide right	
14	here with a drive-through lane to the side.	
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah.	
16	MR. HAAPALA: And this crosshatching is an	
17	area that is just colored with striping. So the whole	
18	area from the building where the pointer is all the	
19	way to the curb is really wide open 95 percent of the	
20	time except for	
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: And the issue that I'm	
22	trying to address you can see in the drawing how we	
23	have four cars here.	
24	MR. HAAPALA: Yes.	

		29
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: If you were to add	
2	another car and then a car comes in from the north,	
3	they're coming across and blocking the through lane.	
4	MR. PRISCHMAN: So yeah. You're just	
5	saying from southbound traffic and then turning in,	
6	it's going to cross the bypass lane and into the	
7	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Correct.	
8	MR. PRISCHMAN: into that traffic?	
9	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Correct.	
10	MR. PRISCHMAN: I mean, it is they are	
11	both you know, both lanes do go the same direction.	
12	They both go westbound.	
13	The bypass is really meant to be just for	
14	folks in the drive-through lane that want to get	
15	out you know, that decide that maybe they don't	
16	want to wait; it's too long. There is only one	
17	drive-through window.	
18	And typically the count of cars that we	
19	found is that maybe including the car at the	
20	window you have three max cars so which would	
21	bring you back to like the midpoint of the building.	
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Sure.	
23	MR. PRISCHMAN: So there would be you	
24	know, we don't really anticipate I see what you're	

30
saying, you know, if there are if there's six cars.
CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Those are famous last
words, "We don't anticipate any problems," I know.
MR. PRISCHMAN: Yeah. Well, CVS used to
have for instance, they used to have two drive-
through lanes, and they eliminated the second one
because no one even used it. So it was they found
that, if it's more than three, folks go inside the
building because they don't want to wait. You know,
they don't want to wait. They know they can they
know there's pharmacists inside that can serve them,
as well, so they'll park and they'll go in.
And, again, that's just from CVS', you know,
studies from other sites, you know, all of them all
over the country so
MEMBER SPRUTH: Are we
MEMBER PRETZ: Would you prefer a "No Right
Turn" sign?
CHAIRMAN WALLACE: No. No. I just I mean,
like him, I don't I think with the amount of
stacking spaces that are shown here, I wouldn't think
that there would be a lot of a problem, but I just
I guess I'm just more interested in knowing what you
foresee as circulation through the site because what

	31
1	I see is, if I'm done you know, if I parked on the
2	east side of the building and I want to continue
3	back going west on 38, I'm going to go right
4	through that lane next to the drive-through
5	MR. PRISCHMAN: The bypass lane?
6	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah.
7	to get out of there.
8	You know, I'm going to come around and go
9	out and the west entrance and go back out
10	onto 38.
11	So
12	MR. PRISCHMAN: So it would just be like
13	that.
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: That's the only problem
15	I see, is that there are cars you know, potentially
16	there are cars that are blocking that way
17	MR. PRISCHMAN: Cars coming this way.
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: and it's affecting
19	the you know, it's affecting the flow of traffic on
20	the site.
21	MR. HAAPALA: Well, the other option that we
22	have here is folks who do not feel comfortable making
23	the left turn, they can come in front of the store and
24	go around and back out.

The drive-through is a vital part of the business, and we go through an elaborate process with CVS to understand the flow of circulation, particularly your concern
CVS to understand the flow of circulation,
particularly your concern
parerearary year concern
CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Uh-huh.
MR. HAAPALA: about having it flow and
attract folks to come and shop.
Everyone, at least, that's worked on this,
we all feel very strongly that it works the way it's
intended to work because the drive-through is a big
part of the business.
CHAIRMAN WALLACE: What about that the
lot line?
I you know, when I know that we have
very well-founded reasons for requiring the minimum
lot size of 1 acre, and when I see the lot the
second lot that's on this plan, I mean, it almost just
looks like it's shoehorned in there with a pretty
funky lot line.
I'm thinking in 20 years we're going to look
at it and say, "Why did we do that?"
"I don't know."
And I understand that you're saying there
are cross-access agreements between the two lots,

		33
1	et cetera, et cetera.	
2	Staff, do you have an issue with the layout	
3	of the lots?	
4	MR. COLBY: You know, for a site like this,	
5	if there's cross-access easements in place and shared	
6	parking, we would be looking at it more or less as a	
7	single lot.	
8	The other option was if the proposal was	
9	not to subdivide it into two lots, it could be two	
10	buildings on a single lot. That option exists under	
11	the zoning ordinance provided there's enough site area	
12	to do that.	
13	So provided that adequate easements are in	
14	place, we don't have concerns with that lot line	
15	existing in the future.	
16	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: And is the 6 foot the	
17	6 feet that you cited in your staff report the	
18	distance between the CVS building and the corner of	
19	that lot?	
20	MR. COLBY: Yes.	
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I see.	
22	MR. PRISCHMAN: Yeah, this little corner	
23	right here.	
24	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: So, really, it's	

		34
1	almost it's almost an artificial 6 feet?	
2	It's not 6 feet to the edge of the the	
3	edge of the lot to another landowner? It's to someone	
4	who has a cross-access agreement anyway.	
5	MR. COLBY: Correct. It's just the closest	
6	point of that model.	
7	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: If it was a 39-foot	
8	lot line from the building and 6 feet from the CVS to	
9	the lot line and 38 from the lot line to the end of	
10	the building, 39 feet.	
11	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah. Yeah.	
12	MR. PRISCHMAN: And this is a land lease,	
13	too, so it's one owner of the whole development.	
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any other	
15	questions?	
16	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Yeah.	
17	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Oh, yes.	
18	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: What parking spaces	
19	are allocated for the Building 2?	
20	I thought I read 19.	
21	MR. PRISCHMAN: Yeah. We that's actually	
22	part of the lot line more or less defines that,	
23	I would say. You know, the stalls here along 14th,	
24	the ADA stalls in front, you know, to the south, and	

		35
1	then these 6 stalls here to the west, so that 19	
2	stalls are all, you know, essentially the stalls	
3	closest to that second building.	
4	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: I didn't see the ones	
5	in the front.	
6	MR. PRISCHMAN: Okay. No problem.	
7	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Sorry.	
8	MR. PRISCHMAN: No problem at all.	
9	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Going back to the garbage	
10	enclosures, is there the garbage enclosure for	
11	Building 2 oh, I guess it's because of the lot line	
12	that it's sitting where it's sitting.	
13	I'm just wondering if there is any way for	
14	it to not be sticking out like a sore thumb on that	
15	little island there but, instead, having it be to the	
16	northwest of that.	
17	And is it because of the lot line that it's	
18	like that?	
19	MR. PRISCHMAN: You mean more into this	
20	green space or	
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah.	
22	MR. PRISCHMAN: Yeah. I mean, the lot line	
23	essentially does define that, but it's not like a	
24	set-in-stone thing.	

36 1 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Well, you have cross-2 access agreements. 3 MR. PRISCHMAN: Right. 4 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Would there be any issue 5 from the City's standpoint? 6 MR. COLBY: As long as there was an easement 7 to gain access from that property to the --CHAIRMAN WALLACE: And do you have any 8 9 opinion on that garbage enclosure? MR. COLBY: I don't think it's an ideal 10 location. 11 12 MEMBER SPRUTH: In addition to that, people -- you're going to have traffic going from that 13 14 lot across the drive-through to throw away waste. 15 So --CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Well, but the ones for 16 17 the CVS are over to the west. 18 MEMBER SPRUTH: Yeah. But I'm actually 19 talking about this one. This is -- well, I guess 2.0 that's square -- where it is for Lot 2; right? 21 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah. 22 MEMBER SPRUTH: So you're going to have 23 workers from Lot 2 walking across the parking area in 2.4 addition to a drive-through access, so that could

	37
1	potentially be a risk to those employees walking over,
2	you know, if somebody's in a hurry.
3	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Russ, if theoretically,
4	if we were to recommend approval with the condition
5	that the Applicant work with staff to relocate the
6	garbage enclosure for Building No. 2, do you think
7	that that's a workable solution to this?
8	MR. COLBY: It is. If you have a location
9	in mind that would be
10	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I think we'd be shooting
11	in the dark. I don't want to pin them down to a
12	location. I think that they know I think they
13	understand what our concerns are.
14	MR. MEEK: Well, let me ask I'm David
15	Meek. I'm the attorney for the property owner
16	M-e-e-k Highland Park, Illinois, 513 Central
17	Avenue.
18	Is your question about location or
19	orientation or both?
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I think both.
21	MR. MEEK: Okay. Because an orientation
22	I think an easier solution. If it's orientation, then
23	it's a solution is to rotate counterclockwise a
24	little bit so that the opening faces a little bit more

38 1 to the retail building. 2 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah. I mean --3 MR. MEEK: But I think your question is 4 more --5 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I would still have a 6 problem, so I think it's more location. 7 MR. MEEK: And then I guess my question is, if you were going to suggest a condition is -- is the 8 9 condition that we work in good faith with staff or 10 that we, in fact, come up with a different plan? 11 Because this may be -- from their 12 perspective and ours and/or CVS' -- the only good -for a variety of reasons -- the only good, practical 13 location for it --14 15 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. 16 MR. MEEK: -- so we end up in that same 17 position, could we move forward. CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah. I think if --18 19 working with staff in good faith. And if it comes 2.0 back as "You know what? We've tried other things; 21 this is the only location, " I mean, I don't know how 22 the Plan Commissioners feel. Maybe you don't share my 23 feelings on this. 2.4 But -- I mean, that would be acceptable to

1 me, if you work with staff to try and find a better 2 solution and one could be found. 3 MR. MEEK: And I would say that I wasn't 4 personally involved with that kind of consideration of 5 this plan. There are people here who have already 6 vetted other options. Maybe they might be able to 7 advise us now about why we discounted or why we ended 8 up with this option as opposed to others so --9 VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Well, it's the only 10 place a garbage truck can get into. 11 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: I've got one. 12 MR. MEEK: I'm just trying to get to how --I'm trying to resolve this. 13 14 VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I agree with --15 I mean, I -- working in good faith is fine, but if that's where it ends up, it ends up. 16 I mean, you've 17 got to be able to get a truck in there. You can't be 18 blocking the parking; it can't be in the way of --19 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: I could offer a 20 suggestion here when we're talking about this because 21 usually, when we're talking about trash and so forth, 22 it's coming out of the back of the building. 23 A possibility here is to move -- to 2.4 flip-flop this to the north end of that parking lot as

40 1 you come off Vanderbilt. 2 MR. MEEK: So to here? 3 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: And it would be up 4 there so the trash would be coming out of the back of 5 the building as opposed to the front and freeing up 6 the front area more. I don't know if that's going to 7 work or not, but I'm just --MR. MEEK: Yeah. Because I could see then 8 9 you're putting parking lots -- parking spaces closer 10 to the drive aisle without the buffer plan. 11 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: There's got to be a 12 compromise on both sides --13 MR. MEEK: Right. 14 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: -- which is going to be 15 the best. So it's just a suggestion. CHAIRMAN WALLACE: And as I said, I think --16 17 rather than us sitting here stating -- you know, 18 debating what the best location is -- my suggestion 19 would be to work with staff. You know, as you said, 2.0 work with staff in good faith and --21 MR. MEEK: Right. 22 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: -- we all have -- I think 23 we all have the same interest of having something that

2.4

looks good, you know.

		41
1	All right. Any other questions?	
2	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Yeah, I have a	
3	question.	
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: What?	
5	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: So, Russ, the name	
6	of Vanderbilt on this plan, is that what it's going to	
7	be from now on? Because that street doesn't have a	
8	name, does it?	
9	MR. COLBY: No. It's a private drive	
10	through the shopping center that has access easements	
11	over it. It does not have a name.	
12	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: What do you want,	
13	"Kessler Drive"?	
14	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I'm thinking.	
15	(Laughter.)	
16	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Maybe "Colby Drive."	
17	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Hey, there you go.	
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Do we have a Colby Drive	
19	in St. Charles?	
20	MR. COLBY: We don't.	
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: We don't. There you go.	
22	MEMBER SPRUTH: Russ, I have a question	
23	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.	
24	MEMBER SPRUTH: I just have one more	

question for staff.

2.4

There is an offset -- well, I guess there's a deficiency in landscape here as opposed to what is zoned.

Is there an opportunity to have any deficiency offset somewhere else in the community to benefit the locality? I.e., there's -- I think he said -- 2,000 square feet, I think, of landscaping --

MR. COLBY: Well, I think the Applicant presented — they actually have additional landscaping on the site that's on the northern and western part of the property that — although it's not where it's required to be located by Code within the parking lot or along the building, there is excess landscaping that exists on the property.

So we would look at that as a trade-off --

MEMBER SPRUTH: Okay.

MR. COLBY: -- as needed.

MEMBER SPRUTH: I was just wondering if there's an opportunity, in addition to the tree planting -- I think their landscaping is good, but I wonder if there's an opportunity to offset somewhere in the community as being a good neighbor where, perhaps, maybe there is a need of additional trees or

1 | landscaping that CVS would consider.

MR. HAAPALA: We would certainly -- we'd certainly be amenable to that, to contribute toward the tree fund or something like that for additional trees.

But as Russ pointed out here, the way that the description happens about the parking lot and the deficiency is artificial because it's talking about just the parking lot.

If we include inside the curb line of this entire development, there's an incredible amount of landscaping because there's this setback area, there's 40 feet here, there's probably 50 feet here. And this area back here isn't being counted, this area back here isn't counted, and all along the front is not technically being counted but, yet, it's landscaping that people experience in the community that drive by there.

There's a lot of landscaping. It's one of the most landscaped sites I've ever worked on.

But to your question, we'd certainly be amenable to doing that. We can do that.

MEMBER SPRUTH: Okay. So work with staff,

I guess.

44 1 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any other 2 questions? 3 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: I have a question. 4 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah. 5 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Just -- maybe it 6 doesn't pertain to this lot as far as getting this 7 approved, but will both of these structures go up at 8 the same time? Or will CVS actually go up first and 9 then you find tenants for the one -- to have this 10 building? Or are they going to be built --MR. KOLB: CVS is slated for a 2016 11 12 construction schedule. Probably some work -- the demolition work will occur this fall, and then we'll 13 14 break ground when the weather changes in March. 15 As far as the other retail building, it will 16 be driven by the potential lessees, probably built to 17 suit, if I'm not incorrect, and so it's -- as 18 those potential users come on board, they'll fund the 19 underwriting for the project that way. 20 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: As the need occurs, it 21 will be built? 22 MR. KOLB: Correct. It will happen. 23 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: How about the 2.4 landscaping on 14th Street?

45 1 MR. KOLB: Are you referring to the Lot 2 2 landscaping? 3 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: More or less as we go 4 down. 5 MR. KOLB: You know, I'd have to defer to Charlie on that. 6 7 Do we know if we're going to construct all of the Lot 2 landscaping before the building goes in? 8 9 I don't believe so. I mean, it's just impractical to put a final treatment on --10 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: I understand about the 11 12 trees but -- on the -- bordering the street. It's an unusual situation. I'm just curious. 13 MR. HAAPALA: We can certainly, in the 14 15 spirit of cooperation with the community, address the landscaping in the parkway area here --16 17 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: That's what I'm talking 18 about. 19 MR. HAAPALA: -- of course. MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: I know on site it's a 20 21 different matter. You need to get construction 22 vehicles in there and so forth. 23 MR. HAAPALA: We can work with our landlord 2.4 to work on that in conjunction and put the parkway

1 landscaping in, but the property line that we keep 2 discussing -- everything inside our property line will 3 be done. 4 MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: 5 MR. HAAPALA: And it's not good for business 6 looking like construction. We want to be done. 7 MEMBER SPRUTH: Have you undertaken a construction plan, where the vehicles for construction 8 9 will access and types of vehicles moving around the area, the locality, during construction? 10 MR. KOLB: That will all be part of our 11 12 final permitting for building permits. Once we submit 13 for all of the final engineering, those plans will be 14 submitted for construction and governance. I mean, 15 we'll maintain safety standards and normal flow and 16 these types of activities. 17 MR. HAAPALA: And to supplement that, 18 everybody on this team that you see here today and 19 following through with the construction, this is 2.0 pretty much all we do. 21 And the contractors who have built these, 22 they've built, you know, at least 50 or 60 of them. 23 And they're in all communities throughout Illinois

and, you know, neighboring communities. Most recently

2.4

47 1 we finished Glenview, Highland Park. We certainly are 2 sensitive to such concerns. MEMBER SPRUTH: And have you spoken to your 3 4 neighbors, your neighbors that are in the adjoining 5 properties? 6 MR. HAAPALA: I'm not aware of any 7 particular conversations on -- at this particular site 8 because it's commercial right now. 9 But we are respectful and accommodating all 10 neighborhood concerns. CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any other 11 12 questions? 13 (No response.) CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Anything from the 14 15 audience? 16 (No response.) 17 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I just wanted to --18 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Go ahead. 19 20 VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I just wanted to 21 point out that this is an application for a special 22 use, which -- the findings of fact requires us to find in the affirmative on all -- I believe we decided 23 2.4 there are six findings, not seven.

		48
1	MR. COLBY: Tim, just to clarify, this is a	
2	PUD application. We're using the PUD criteria.	
3	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: For the application.	
4	But we have findings of fact for special use for we	
5	approve criteria for the planned unit, so we don't	
6	have to find in the affirmative	
7	MR. COLBY: Correct. It's one finding as to	
8	whether or not the PUD's in the public interest based	
9	on the responses to the criteria.	
10	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: And then there are	
11	no you can find one, you can find more than one?	
12	MR. COLBY: Yes.	
13	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: And then there are	
14	no criteria for the application for the PUD	
15	preliminary plan or the application for the final plat	
16	of subdivision?	
17	MR. COLBY: Correct.	
18	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: So I just wanted	
19	to for the other Plan Commissioners point out	
20	the criteria for a planned unit development that has	
21	been answered by the Applicant submitted by the	
22	Applicant.	
23	And I think in the first they attached an	
24	Exhibit A. For the second they've attached Exhibit A,	

		49
1	and then they answered through. And I've gone through	
2	them, and I think the last one, No. 5, is attached as	
3	Exhibit B, so there's a longer explanation.	
4	And I'm satisfied that, you know, this is	
5	a they meet the criteria for a planned unit	
6	development. I don't know if anybody had any	
7	questions about that or	
8	MR. KOLB: Just in case we didn't present	
9	sufficient evidence at the public hearing, we tried to	
10	write something en masse that would be kind of a	
11	fallback that provides all of the other arguments for	
12	you to consider, so that's why you see it in an	
13	application as well as, you know, being presented to	
14	you here.	
15	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Right.	
16	MR. KOLB: Right.	
17	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: And we appreciate	
18	that. That's what you	
19	MR. KOLB: Right.	
20	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Because this is very	
21	helpful for us.	
22	MR. KOLB: Thank you.	
23	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: So I don't have any	
24	other questions.	

Hearing - 1500 Route 38 Conducted on August 4, 2015

		50
1	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Anything	
2	else?	
3	(No response.)	
4	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: I would make a	
5	motion to close the public hearing.	
6	MEMBER PRETZ: Second.	
7	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. It's been moved	
8	and seconded.	
9	Any discussion on the motion?	
10	(No response.)	
11	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Tim.	
12	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Spruth.	
13	MEMBER SPRUTH: Yes.	
14	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Holderfield.	
15	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Yes.	
16	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Purdy.	
17	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Yes.	
18	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz.	
19	MEMBER PRETZ: Yes.	
20	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Wallace.	
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.	
22	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, yes.	
23	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. The public	
24	hearing is now closed. That concludes Item No. 5 on	

		51
1	your agenda.	
2	Item 7 is 1500 Route 38, CVS Pharmacy,	
3	TMC Illinois, LLC, application for special use to	
4	amend Ordinance 1982-Z-6, St. Charles Commercial	
5	Center PUD, and establish a new planned unit	
6	development; application for PUD preliminary plan;	
7	application for preliminary and final plat of	
8	subdivision.	
9	Is there a motion?	
10	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Yes. I'd make a	
11	motion to recommend approval of the applications as	
12	described in Item 7 on the agenda.	
13	MEMBER PRETZ: Second.	
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. It's been moved	
15	and seconded.	
16	Discussion on the motion?	
17	(No response.)	
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: If none, Tim.	
19	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Spruth.	
20	MEMBER SPRUTH: Yes.	
21	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Holderfield.	
22	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Yes.	
23	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Purdy.	
24	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Yes.	

Hearing - 1500 Route 38 Conducted on August 4, 2015

		52
1	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz.	
2	MEMBER PRETZ: Yes.	
3	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Wallace.	
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.	
5	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, yes.	
6	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. That	
7	concludes Item 7 on the agenda.	
8	Thank you.	
9	MR. KOLB: Thank you.	
10	MR. HAAPALA: Thank you very much.	
11	(Off the record at 7:59 p.m.)	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

I, Melanie L. Humphrey-Sonntag, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 084-004299, CSR, RDR, CRR, CCP, FAPR, and a Notary Public in and for the County of Kane, State of Illinois, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings, that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision, and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.

15

16

17

18

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 12th day of August, 2015.

OFFICIAL SEAL M L HUMPHREY-SONNTAG NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 05/31/2017

19

My commission expires: May 31, 2017

21

20

22 _

23 Notary Public in and for the

24 State of Illinois

	1
1	BEFORE THE PLAN COMMISSION
2	OF THE CITY OF ST. CHARLES
3	
4	x
5	In Re:
6	Request for Drive-Through :
7	Stacking Reduction; Property :
8	Located at 3875 East Main :
9	Street. :
10	x
11	
12	HEARING
13	St. Charles, Illinois 60174
14	Tuesday, August 4, 2015
15	7:59 p.m.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Job No.: 74370C
22	Pages: 1 - 17
23	Reported by: Melanie L. Humphrey-Sonntag,
24	CSR, RDR, CRR, CCP, FAPR

		2
1	Hearing held at the location of:	
2		
3	ST. CHARLES CITY HALL	
4	2 East Main Street	
5	St. Charles, Illinois 60174	
6	(630) 377-4400	
7		
8		
9		
10	Before Melanie L. Humphrey-Sonntag, a Certified	
11	Shorthand Reporter, Registered Diplomate Reporter,	
12	Certified Realtime Reporter, and a Notary Public in	
13	and for the State of Illinois.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

		3
1	PRESENT:	
2	TODD WALLACE, Chairman	
3	TIM KESSLER, Vice Chairman	
4	JIM HOLDERFIELD, Member	
5	LAURA MACKLIN-PURDY, Member	
6	TOM PRETZ, Member	
7	MICHELLE SPRUTH, Member	
8		
9	ALSO PRESENT:	
10	RUSS COLBY, Planning Division Manager	
11	ELLEN JOHNSON, Planner	
12	CHRIS BONG, Development Engineering Manager	
13	MATTHEW O'ROURKE, Economic Development Manager	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

4 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: And Item 8 is 3875 East 3 Main Street, drive-through stacking reduction request, 4 Kolbrook Design, East Gate Commons PUD, proposed Potbelly Sandwich Works. 5 And staff? 6 7 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. There is no public 8 hearing for this item, but the Applicant is here to 9 request for a reduction in the drive-through facility 10 stacking spaces. 11 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. I didn't know 12 Potbelly had drive-throughs. MR. KOLBER: They don't have many but 13 14 they're starting to put them in. 15 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. If you could just state your name for the record. 16 17 MR. KOLBER: Steven Kolber at 828 Davis Street, Evanston, Illinois. 18 19 So the site here is the Friday's that's next 2.0 to Portillo's on Main Street. My clients are taking 21 the existing building and converting it into a 22 three-tenant building. You can see what the plan here 23 does. 2.4 The third tenant, which is the proposed

5 1 Potbelly's, is the drive-through component that we're 2 adding. It's a small addition to the building to make that work. 3 4 And you --5 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I see. 6 MR. KOLBER: So the tenant wanted --7 Tenant 1 and 2 are the existing building, and Tenant 3 8 is the addition on the east. We're doing a lot of 9 demolition on the back of the site. If you are 10 familiar with the site, there's a huge corral for a cooler and garbage. That's all coming down and we're 11 12 doing landscaping and additional parking on the rear 13 of the building. The request for the reduction in the 14 15 drive-through queue -- I believe in your packet you have some supporting evidence from our traffic 16 17 engineers that went to three different Potbelly 18 locations -- I think there's only five in the area --19 and they tracked, you know, the queue for the 20 peak hours, only one of which topped out at 11. For 21 the most part it's pretty sparse. So on our site here, we've worked with staff 22 23 and got to the number of 11 cars that we're showing

for this particular location, and that's for the

2.4

6 1 drive-through queue. In your packet, also, are 2 proposed elevations for the building, things that 3 we're doing that are within the PUD this building is 4 located in so you have a full idea of what we're 5 proposing for this site, which is exceptional. It took six months of work to turn it into a decent 6 7 multitenant building. CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Do you have any idea of 8 9 what the other tenants are in the building? 10 MR. KOLBER: I'm sorry? CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I see one of the other 11 12 tenants is a restaurant. MR. KOLBER: Yeah. They've reached --13 they're finalizing negotiations with the other 14 15 tenants, but they do have a lease negotiation figured out for all three tenants, so they're ready to fill 16 17 the building up right away. 18 Tenant 1 is -- no surprise to you guys --19 I'm sure you've seen Noodles before for this site, and 2.0 Tenant No. 2 is a physical therapy concern. 21 CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. 22 Do you have any questions? 23 VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Well, you know, 2.4 Todd, I have to think about your comments earlier.

		7
1	You know, this is an internal site so	
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah.	
3	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: the mess is going	
4	to be for the parking.	
5	There's two there's three entrances, and	
6	I'm trying to see the overall site here, most of	
7	the traffic comes in at the entrance to the drive-	
8	through, that drive right there. That's where most of	
9	the traffic is.	
10	MR. KOLBER: Most of the traffic will be	
11	coming in here.	
12	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: So, you know, I have	
13	to ask what's you know, every every Applicant	
14	that comes through in the drive-through has some kind	
15	of corporate study on traffic.	
16	What do you think? I mean	
17	MR. KOLBER: Well, Potbelly folks are	
18	told us that eight cars is what they would like us to	
19	design to.	
20	And then we sent out our traffic engineers	
21	to look at three current Potbelly drive-throughs, just	
22	to verify, and we had the one day that topped out at	
23	11, so we're showing 11 cars here as the absolute	
24	maximum that we would project to see at the site at	

		8
1	the peak lunchtime hour. Other than that, as you see	
2	in the studies, the numbers in the queue decrease	
3	dramatically.	
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: I don't really have an	
5	issue so much with the number of cars since it's	
6	internal circulation. My big issue is very specific.	
7	There will be cars coming from here to there.	
8	It happens all it happens at all kinds of	
9	drive-throughs all over the place.	
10	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Brand-new McDonald's	
11	on the west side.	
12	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah. It happens all the	
13	time.	
14		
15	I have seen many near accidents happen where somebody	
16	was trying to go there and somebody turning in from	
17	here. You know, and that is my biggest issue. It	
18	happens at Wendy's over on Randall Road all the time.	
19	Is there anything let's do Let's Make a	
20	Deal.	
21	Okay?	
22	MR. KOLBER: Of course. Of course.	
23	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: We'll give you your	
24	stacking space. What can you do for us to prevent	

		9
1	that from happening?	
2	MR. KOLBER: Well, fortunately, the drive	
3	here is pretty wide, what we have in this location	
4	here and what we have over here.	
5	There's the we could and we just did,	
6	as you recall I was here recently for Dunkin'	
7	Donuts, and we had a very similar small little like	
8	pork chop island here with some landscaping on it to	
9	accommodate that exact same situation.	
10	And that's something we can do, since this	
11	is all new curbing back here and new asphalt, to put a	
12	little pork chop in this edge right here to steer that	
13	traffic and deter people from cutting in. It's	
14	something that we can accommodate very easily.	
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: That works for me.	
16	I mean, staff, do you have any issue with	
17	that?	
18	MR. COLBY: As long as the drives maintain	
19	the minimum 24-foot width.	
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: And that's not an undue	
21	hardship on you to do that?	
22	MR. KOLBER: None whatsoever.	
23	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay.	
24	All right. Any questions?	

10 1 Or -- I mean, this is an action item. 2 there's a motion --MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: I do have a concern 3 4 about these parking spaces being like where No. 6 is. MR. KOLBER: We talked to staff about that. 5 6 We anticipate those will be dedicated for employee 7 parking. VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Will they be marked 8 9 that? 10 MR. KOLBER: Yeah. We'll -- the physical therapy concern will use them, and we'll make sure 11 12 that it's dedicated and called out as employee 13 parking. MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: This -- is 64 on the 14 15 north side of this? CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yeah. 16 17 VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Yes. MR. KOLBER: Yes. We did have -- not to --18 19 we did have a previous plan. You know, staff 2.0 recommended the two-way drive as to the east. 21 also, for circulation purposes, had a one-way version 22 that we added a couple extra parking spots here. 23 And this kept the rotation around a little 2.4 bit -- you know, one-way on the east side rather than

		11
1	the two-way.	
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any other	
3	questions?	
4	(No response.)	
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Does anyone	
6	wish to offer a motion?	
7	(No response.)	
8	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Anyone?	
9	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: I'll my first time.	
10	I move that we approve the space reduction	
11	request for the property at 3875 East Main Street for	
12	the drive-through facility stacking.	
13	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. Do you want to	
14	place a condition that they put a curb what will we	
15	call it?	
16	MS. JOHNSON: "Pork chop."	
17	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: A pork chop?	
18	that they insert a curb pork chop?	
19	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Yes, condition.	
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. So the motion is	
21	to recommend approval to the City Council of the	
22	requested drive-through stacking reduction request	
23	with the condition of installation of a pork chop for	
24	the drive-through.	

		12
1	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Second.	
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Any	
3	discussion?	
4	(No response.)	
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Tim.	
6	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Spruth.	
7	MEMBER SPRUTH: Yes.	
8	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Holderfield.	
9	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Yes.	
10	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Purdy.	
11	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Yes.	
12	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Pretz	
13	MEMBER PRETZ: Yes.	
14	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Mr. Pretz.	
15	Wallace.	
16	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes.	
17	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Kessler, yes.	
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. That	
19	concludes Item 8 on our agenda.	
20	MR. KOLBER: Thank you very much.	
21	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Thank you.	
22	All right. Item 9, Meeting Announcements.	
23	Our next meeting will be the joint meeting with the	
24	planning and development committee.	

		13
1	Russ, did we hear back from everyone? I saw	
2	there was another e-mail.	
3	MR. COLBY: We have. We've heard back from	
4	all of the Commission.	
5	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: And we won't have a	
6	problem having a quorum on our side?	
7	MR. COLBY: No.	
8	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Do you know if there's	
9	any issue with the City Council?	
10	MR. COLBY: No. We have a quorum with the	
11	City Council, too.	
12	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Do we have just a	
13	quorum, or is everybody going to be there?	
14	MR. COLBY: I don't know the exact number.	
15	I think there may be a couple absences.	
16	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Oh.	
17	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: Can I come late?	
18	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: There's a meeting.	
19	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: I'm sorry. But I'd	
20	like to be there for part of it.	
21	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: There's a meeting.	
22	MEMBER MACKLIN-PURDY: I mean I don't	
23	know. I might be there at 6:00.	
24	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. And	

		14
1	any additional business from Plan Commission	
2	members?	
3	(No response.)	
4	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Staff?	
5	(No response.)	
6	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Citizens	
7	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: (Indicating.)	
8	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Yes?	
9	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Yes, I have a	
10	question.	
11	Do we have anything for the August 18th	
12	meeting?	
13	MR. COLBY: We have an item tentatively	
14	planned for that date, not a public hearing.	
15	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. All right.	
16	Anything from are you staff or citizens?	
17	MR. O'ROURKE: Staff. I'm staff.	
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Okay. You're not sitting	
19	at the staff table so	
20	MR. O'ROURKE: I miss you guys so	
21	MEMBER PRETZ: We do have a citizen.	
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Oh oh, yes.	
23	I'm sorry.	
24	Did you have anything?	

		15
1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.	
2	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right.	
3	MR. COLBY: One other thing.	
4	I wanted to introduce Chris Bong, who is	
5	seated over there next to Matt, who is our new	
6	development engineering division manager replacing	
7	Chris Tiedt. So you'll see him at meetings and doing	
8	engineering on Plan Commission items.	
9	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Welcome.	
10	MR. BONG: Thank you.	
11	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. Anything	
12	else?	
13	(No response.)	
14	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Is there a motion to	
15	adjourn?	
16	VICE CHAIRMAN KESSLER: Yes. So moved,	
17	adjourn.	
18	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Is there a second?	
19	MEMBER HOLDERFIELD: Second.	
20	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All in favor?	
21	(Ayes heard.)	
22	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: Opposed?	
23	(No response.)	
24	CHAIRMAN WALLACE: All right. That motion	

```
16
      passes unanimously. This meeting of the St. Charles
 1
      Plan Commission is adjourned at 8:12 p.m.
 2
                 (Off the record at 8:12 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER

I, Melanie L. Humphrey-Sonntag, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 084-004299, CSR, RDR, CRR, CCP, FAPR, and a Notary Public in and for the County of Kane, State of Illinois, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings, that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision, and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 12th day of August, 2015.

OFFICIAL SEAL M L HUMPHREY-SONNTAG NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS

20 My commission expires: May 31, 2017

22 MX Hurshey Sonitay

Notary Public in and for the

24 State of Illinois